

# Supporting Information for “Evaluating the effects of burn severity and precipitation on post-fire watershed responses using distributed hydrologic models”

Zhi Li<sup>1</sup>, Bing Li<sup>1</sup>, Peishi Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Glenn E. Hammond<sup>1</sup>, Pin Shuai<sup>2</sup>, Faria T.

Zahura<sup>1</sup>, Ethan T. Coon<sup>3</sup>, Xingyuan Chen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric, Climate and Earth Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, United States

<sup>2</sup>Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, UT, United States

<sup>3</sup>Climate Change Science Institute & Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States

## Contents of this file

1. Figures S1 to S3.


## Introduction

The Supporting Information includes supplemental figures that help visualize the model inputs (S1), climate regimes of the study sites (S2), and model outputs (S3).


---

Corresponding author: Xingyuan Chen (xingyuan.chen@pnnl.gov)


November 27, 2023, 5:47pm



**Figure S1.** DEM and land cover types in the (a) McKenzie River Watershed, (b) Wenas Creek Watershed, and (c) American River Watershed.



**Figure S2.** Köppen–Geiger climate classification in the study watersheds (Csb = temperate, dry & warm summer; Dsb = cold, dry & warm summer; Dsc = cold, dry & cold summer).



**Figure S3.** The relationships between the post-fire maximum daily precipitation and the river peak discharge in three scenarios: (1) without the SWR effect and without the Manning's n reduction (base case), (2) without the SWR effect and without the Manning's n reduction, and (3) with the SWR effect and with the Manning's n reduction.