S. Hage[1,2,3*], V.V. Galy[4], M.J.B. Cartigny[5], C. Heerema[6,5], M.S. Heijnen[7], S. Acikalin[8], M.A. Clare[7], I. Giesbrecht[9,10], D.R. Gröcke[11], A. Hendry[8], R. G. Hilton[12], S.M. Hubbard[2], J.E. Hunt[7], D.G. Lintern[13], C. McGhee[8], D.R. Pasons[14], E. L. Pope[5], C D. Stacey[13], E.J. Sumner[3], S. Tank[15,9], P.J. Talling[5,11]

[1]Univ Brest, CNRS, Ifremer, Geo-Ocean, F-29280 Plouzané, France

[2]Department of Geoscience, University of Calgary, Canada

[3]School of Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Southampton, UK

[4]Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

[5]Department of Geography, Durham University, UK

[6]Department of Geography, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 2Y2

[7]National Oceanography Centre Southampton, UK

[8]School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, UK

[9]Hakai Institute, Vancouver, BC, Canada

[10]School of Resource and Environmental Management, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada

[11]Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, UK

[12]Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

[13]Geological Survey of Canada, Natural Resources Canada, Sidney, BC, Canada

[14]Energy and Environment Institute, University of Hull, UK

[15]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

*Corresponding author: Sophie Hage (`sophie.hage@ifremer.fr`)

- River-fed fjords incised by turbidity current systems can have a contemporary terrestrial organic carbon burial efficiency of 60 ± 10 %.

- Sandy surificial (2 m) deposits comprise 63 ± 14 % of the total terrestrial organic carbon burial budget in Bute Inlet, but only cover 17 % of the seafloor area.

- Global estimates based only on the muddy parts of fjords may significantly underestimate organic carbon burial rates by a factor > 3.

Abstract

The delivery and burial of terrestrial particulate organic carbon (OC) in marine sediments is important to quantify, because this OC is a food resource for benthic communities, and if buried it may lower the concentrations of atmospheric CO_2

1

over geologic timescales. Analysis of sediment cores has previously shown that fjords are hotspots for OC burial. Fjords can contain complex networks of submarine channels formed by seafloor sediment flows, called turbidity currents. However, the burial efficiency and distribution of OC by turbidity currents in river-fed fjords had not been investigated previously. Here, we determine OC distribution and burial efficiency across a turbidity current system within a fjord, in Bute Inlet (Canada). We show that 60 ± 10 % of the OC supplied by the two river sources, is buried across the fjord surficial (2 m) sediment. The sand-dominated submarine channel and its terminal lobe contain 63 ± 14 % of the annual terrestrial OC burial in the fjord. In contrast, the muddy overbank and distal flat basin settings contain the remaining 37 ± 14 %. OC in the channel, lobe and overbank exclusively comprises terrestrial OC sourced from rivers. When normalized by the fjord's surface area, at least three times more terrestrial OC is buried in Bute Inlet, compared to the muddy parts of other fjords previously studied. Although the long-term (>100 year) preservation of this OC is still to be fully understood, turbidity currents in fjords appear to be efficient in storing OC supplied by rivers in their near-surface deposits.

Plain Language summary

Plants on land use CO_2 in the atmosphere to produce organic carbon, which promotes their growth. Rivers transport organic carbon to the sea, where it is either eaten by fauna or buried in the seafloor, thus decreasing CO_2 levels on Earth over thousands to millions of years. Fjords are recognized as global organic carbon sinks; trapping 18 million tons of organic carbon in their seafloor each year. However, the complex morphology of fjord seafloors was not considered in the calculation of this organic carbon flux. In this study we determine the distribution and abundance of organic carbon across a fjord (Bute Inlet, Canada), which contains a submarine channel network terminating onto a large accumulation of sand (called lobe). We show that 60 % of the organic carbon supplied by the two rivers connected to the fjord is buried across the fjord; the majority of this carbon being held in the channel and lobe. In total, Bute Inlet buries at least three times more organic carbon per surface area than other fjords previously studied. Submarine channels in fjords thus appear to promote the storage of land-derived organic carbon in the seafloor, potentially impacting CO_2 levels and food resources for marine fauna.

1. Introduction

The sequestration of terrestrial particulate organic carbon (OC) in marine sediments can lead to a drawdown of atmospheric CO_2, and thus form a long-term control on carbon dioxide and oxygen levels in Earth's atmosphere (Berner, 1982, Burdige, 2007, Hilton and West, 2020). Terrestrial OC also constitutes a key energy resource for benthic communities living on the seabed, including in fjords (Hunter et al., 2013, Włodarska-Kowalczuk et al., 2019). It is therefore important to constrain the fluxes of terrestrial OC delivered to the seabed over short (decades to centuries) and long (thousand to million year) timescales. Major river deltas worldwide bury about 47 Mt of terrestrial OC each year,

approximately 30 % of the total OC burial including marine organic matter in the oceans (Berner, 1989; Hedges & Keil, 1995; Burdige, 2007). Despite their surface areas being 40 times smaller than that of deltas and shelves, fjords have been shown to represent 17 % of the global terrestrial OC burial (Cui et al., 2016). Therefore, fjord systems are hotspots for OC sequestration (Smith et al., 2015, Cui et al., 2016, 2017). However, these global OC burial estimates in fjords are based on samples taken predominantly from the muddy parts of fjords, and assume the seafloor in fjords is homogeneous in terms of OC burial and sedimentation rates.

Fjord seafloors can, however, be highly heterogeneous (Smeaton and Austin, 2019; Bianchi et al., 2020) and comprise diverse sub-environments including submarine channels, and associated overbanks and lobes (Zeng et al., 1991, Conway et al., 2012, Pope et al., 2019). Such sub-environments are created by submarine sediment density flows, called turbidity currents. These flows distribute sediment and OC across the fjord floor, connecting river mouths to the deeper parts of fjords, and fractionate sediment and OC by grain size and density en-route. Only a handful of studies have discussed how OC is distributed and fractionated within fjords (Cui et al., 2016, 2017; Smeaton and Austin, 2020; Bianchi et al., 2020; Hage et al., 2020). For example, a recent study of Scottish fjords revealed that muddy (<63 µm) sediments held the largest amounts of OC (~2.6 Mt) compared to sandy sediment (~0.26 Mt; Smeaton and Austin, 2020). While the OC budgets are known in the Scottish fjords, the processes determining these budgets remain uncertain, partly because of a lack of bathymetric data and knowledge of submarine flow processes in these settings (e.g. these Scottish fjords may not contain active turbidity currents). In contrast, a study of Bute Inlet (a fjord in British Columbia, Canada) highlighted that the sandy parts of a submarine channel formed by turbidity currents, held large amounts of OC, particularly compared to the overlying mud-rich sediments (Hage et al., 2020). However, the river-derived OC inputs and burial rates in different sub-environments created by turbidity currents were not considered in that previous study of Bute Inlet, nor in any other fjord. Given the large variation and uncertainty in OC burial efficiency between fjord sub-environments, it is important to assess this variability more accurately to estimate global OC budgets (Burdige, 2007, Smith et al., 2015) and to improve paleoclimate/environmental reconstructions based on sediment cores in fjords (Bianchi et al., 2020).

Here for the first time, we quantify the amount and type of OC supplied by two rivers and its distribution in the surficial sediments (top two meters) of different turbidity current sub-environments (e.g. channel floor, overbanks, lobe, distal basin) across a river-fed fjord. This study then shows how OC is unevenly distributed within a fjord, and illustrates how OC distribution and burial is dependent on turbidity current processes. Insights into how OC is distributed have wider implications for understanding OC burial by turbidity currents in locations other than fjords, for which there are also very few detailed OC budgets from river sources to marine sinks. Therefore, we compare our Bute Inlet results with available information on how OC is distributed in sandier or muddier sub-

environments of other turbidity current systems, i.e. Gaoping (Kao et al., 2014, Liu et al., 2016), Ganges-Brahmaputra (Galy et al., 2007, Lee et al., 2019), Congo (Baudin et al, 2020). This comparison highlights whether similar or contrasting OC burial patterns emerge more generally.

The main objective of this paper is to constrain OC fluxes from source-to-sink in Bute Inlet by answering the following questions: 1) How much OC is delivered by the two rivers discharging into Bute Inlet? 2) How much and what type of OC is present in the Bute Inlet sub-environments? 3) What is the terrestrial OC burial efficiency of Bute Inlet and how does it compare with other fjords? Finally, we discuss the study in a wider global context, and thus compare our results from Bute Inlet with (non-fjord) deep-sea turbidity current systems.

2. Bute Inlet: a fjord fed by two rivers

Bute Inlet is a 78 km-long fjord in British Columbia, Canada (Fig. 1). The inlet has an average width of ~4 km, an average depth of 550 m (with a maximum of 660 m; Prior et al., 1987), and a total surface area of 273 km^2 (including the fjord's steep sidewalls).

2.1. Homathko and Southgate Rivers

The Homathko and Southgate Rivers feed the head of Bute Inlet (Fig. 1). Both rivers are supplied by meltwater from snow and glaciers within their catchment and by moderate to high levels of precipitation from a rainforest climate in the coastal mountain catchments (1684 and 2089 mm of mean annual precipitation were recorded between 1981 and 2010 in the Homathko and Southgate Rivers, respectively; Giesbrecht et al., in press). The river floodplains are populated by coniferous and deciduous forests. These two rivers provide 94 % of the freshwater and sediment inputs into the fjord, with the remainder of the freshwater being provided by small streams entering the fjord at its margins (Farrow et al., 1983). The watershed areas are 5782 km^2 and 1985 km^2 for Homathko and Southgate Rivers, respectively (Fig. 1; Gonzalez et al., 2018). The Homathko River has an average annual discharge of 250 m^3/s, with an average summer peak discharge of ~800 m^3/s, in response to melting snow and ice (Water Survey of Canada, 2020). The average annual suspended sediment load of the Homathko River is 30 kg/s, whereas its bedload is 99 kg/s (Table 1; Syvistki and Farrow, 1983). There was no gauging station in the Southgate River up to 2021. However, recent (2021) data and the co-variation between daily discharge on the two systems allowed the Southgate discharge (water and total suspended load) to be modelled for our years of interest (Table 1; Texts S1 to S4).

During the spring thaw (freshet), the rivers create large sediment-laden freshwater plumes at the fjord's head (Tabata and Pickard, 1957, Syvitski et al., 1985). These plumes flow on top of the more saline fjord water, thereby bringing terrestrial particles into the fjord and enhancing the heterotrophic activity of bacterioplankton (Albright, 1983). Due to the relatively large tidal range (up to 5.5 m), sediment from these river plumes is likely to be concentrated in a turbidity maximum that is located in between the fresh and saline water

(Dyer, 1997). Observations in a similar and nearby fjord setting (Howe Sound, Canada), show that during the freshet these turbidity maxima can hold enough sediment to become denser than the saline fjord water (Hage et al., 2019). During spring tide, the river plume becomes more focused and powerful, so that low tides cause these dense turbidity maxima to migrate onto the steep delta-slope, where they can trigger turbidity currents (Hage et al., 2019). These processes, together with submarine failures of the delta slopes, result in turbidity currents frequently being triggered in such river-fed and tide-influenced fjord settings (Clare et al., 2016; Hizzett et al., 2018). It is likely that similar initiating mechanisms for turbidity currents also occur in Bute Inlet (Hughes Clarke et al., 2015).

2.2. Bute Inlet turbidity current system

Downstream of the Homathko and Southgate Deltas lie two submarine channels, which merge into a single sinuous channel formed by turbidity currents (Prior et al., 1986, 1987; Fig. 3). The channel is incised into the seafloor to a depth of up to 55 m. The channel floor is flanked by terraces that are particularly well-developed in the first 10 km of the fjord. Further downstream (430-580 m water depth) the submarine channel becomes less incised and is flanked by levees that are variably developed (Prior et al. 1987). For simplicity, in this paper we use the term "overbanks" to describe both the muddy terraces and levees bounding the submarine channel floor in Bute Inlet along its entire length.

The sediment composition of the Bute Inlet turbidity current system has been described in older work, based on sediment cores (Prior et al., 1986, Zeng et al., 1991), yet the OC composition of these cores was not documented until recently. The OC stored within the submarine channel floor was shown to be composed mostly of young terrestrial woody debris buried within fine sands (Hage et al., 2020). In this study, we build on the findings of Hage et al. (2020) from the channel floor, by documenting the distribution of OC across all of the sub-environments within this turbidity current system (i.e. channel floor, overbanks, lobe and distal flat basin). We also build on previous work on repeated bathymetric surveys and core dating (Heijnen et al., in review; Syvitski et al., 1988) to derive sedimentation rates and OC burial fluxes across the fjord.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. River sampling

We used 22 samples to characterize OC supply from the rivers. These samples cover a range of grain size classes as they were collected within the river waters, on the river banks, delta-top plains, and in the river plumes at the fjord surface (Fig. 2). The sampling campaign was conducted on 26 October 2017 (Figs. 2 and 3; Fig. S3), when the Homathko River discharge was 390 m^3/sec (Water Survey of Canada, 2020). This is higher than the 250 m^3/sec average annual river discharge on the Homathko River, and lower than its ~800 m^3/sec average summer peak discharges (Water Survey of Canada, 2020).

3.2. Fjord sampling and definition of sub-environments

Two field campaigns were conducted in June 2016 and October 2016 to sample the fjord sediments. In total, we use a set of 15 sediment cores, which sampled the upper 30 to 200 cm of recent seabed sediment. These cores were located across all sub-environments of the fjord's seabed, including the sandy channel floor and lobe, as well as the muddy overbank and distal flat basin (Fig. 3). We have few constraints on the characteristics/extent of turbidity current overbank flows, so we infer the overbank's external limit as the base of the fjord walls (red outlined area in Fig. 3). We note that sediment cores from these overbank areas are located relatively close to the sandy channel. A sediment core at the end of the fjord (650 m water depth) is used to characterize the OC composition for the entire distal flat basin (core 15; light blue outlined area in Fig. 3). We have no samples on the steep fjord sidewalls; hence these areas are not included in our OC analyses.

Visual logging identified sandy and muddy facies within the sediment cores (Fig. 3e, f). A total of 99 sub-samples were collected from the fjord sediment cores, to ensure representative sampling of each of the facies that were present in the channel floor, overbanks, lobe and distal flat basin. All samples were analyzed for carbon geochemistry, as described below.

3.3. Geochemistry on river and fjord samples

Total carbon was measured on all river and fjord samples using an LECO elemental analyzer. All samples were also analyzed for total organic carbon (TOC) content after trace amount of inorganic carbon (carbonate) were removed using HCl and rinsing with milli-Q water. No effervescence was observed during this acidification step, confirming minimal carbonates in the system (Fig. 4). TOC measurements allowed for quantifying the total amount of OC delivered by the rivers and buried in the different fjord sub-environments. In order to constrain the type (terrestrial or marine) of OC, all samples were analyzed for carbon stable isotopes (^{13}C) using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Terrestrial organic matter derived from C3 plants has an average ^{13}C between -26 and -28 ‰ (Hecky and Hesslein, 1995) and can reach values as low as -31 ‰, which correspond to young woody debris (Hage et al., 2020). In contrast, marine organic matter preserved in northeast Pacific sediments has an average ^{13}C of -21.5 ‰ (MacDonald et al., 1991).

Previous work in Bute Inlet has used a wider range of methods (e.g. radiocarbon Δ^{14}C dating and separation of OC mixtures by ramped pyrolysis-oxidation RPO) on selected river and sandy channel samples (Hage et al., 2020). We complement these previous detailed measurements with new ^{14}C and RPO measurements on three samples from the distal sub-environment (Fig. 7). The RPO method identifies POC fractions based on thermal lability by heating each sample from 20 to 1000 °C in an oxygenated carrier gas, thus sequentially combusting POC into CO_2. The CO_2 collected between temperature intervals ("fractions") is measured for carbon stable isotopes (^{13}C) and radiocarbon ac-

tivity ($^{14}C/^{12}C$). These isotope measurements on separated fractions enabled characterization of the distribution of POC source and age within individual samples (Hemingway et al., 2017).

3.4. Calculation of river organic carbon (OC) fluxes

In order to determine the particulate OC fluxes from rivers, we use bedload sediment discharge data published in past literature (Syvitski and Farrow, 1983, Text S2), recent total suspended sediment measurements conducted on the Homathko and Southgate Rivers (Text S3), and TOC measurements of river sediments collected in October 2017 (Table 1).

Bedload discharge for the Homathko River was estimated at 3100 Kt/yr by Syvitski and Farrow (1983). We scaled this Homathko bedload estimate to the Southgate River using the ratio of watershed areas (Table 1; Text S2). The yearly mean suspended load discharge for the Homathko and Southgate Rivers was estimated at 946 Kt/yr and 220 Kt/yr, respectively. This is based on suspended samples collected approximately monthly between 2018 and 2021 for the Homathko River (Text S3), together with daily water discharge data (Runkel et al. 2004; Text S3). There was no water discharge data available for the Southgate River up to 2021. Therefore, a linear regression was used to estimate daily Southgate River water discharge from Homathko River discharge, similar to Hood et al. (2020). The training dataset contained 123 daily flow observations spanning a broad range of flows from record high discharge in late June 2021 through moderately low flows in October 2021 (Text S1).

Finally, we derived both river OC fluxes by multiplying the average TOC between river bedload and suspended load samples with the annual bedload and suspended load discharge, respectively (Table 1).

3.5. Calculation of organic carbon burial rates in fjord sediments

The OC burial rates (kt/yr) in the fjord are calculated using Equation 1 (Table 2; Baudin et al., 2020) as follows:

A * TOC *   * (1-) * SR. (Equation 1)

Where A is the surface area of a sub-environment (m^2), TOC is the total OC content (%) averaged between samples from a given sub-environment,   is the sediment density (kg/m^3),   is the porosity (%), and SR is the sedimentation rate (m/yr).

Sedimentations rates (SRs) typically vary when measured over different timescales (Sadler, 1981), especially in a highly active turbidity current system (Prior et al., 1987, Heijnen et al., 2020), making them challenging to quantify. Thus, SRs were estimated herein based on two independent approaches that provide ranges of SRs (Texts S4 and S5). The first approach uses differences between two bathymetric surveys obtained in 2008 and 2018 and thus holds for a decennial timescale (Heijnen et al., in review, Table 2, Text S4). The second approach uses ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs dating methods applied to sediment

cores collected in overbank and distal flat basin settings (Syvitski et al., 1988; Heerema, 2021; Text S5), and thus holds for a centennial timescale. Based on these two approaches and timescales, we use ranges of SRs for each sub-environment as follows. SR in the channel ranges from -16 cm/yr (i.e. erosional) to 0.7 cm/yr (i.e. slightly depositional). SR in the lobe ranges from 10 to 18 cm/yr, implying a large accumulation of sediment over both decennial and centennial timescales. SR in the overbank area varies between 2 to 2.3 cm/yr. Finally, SR in the distal flat basin ranges from 1 to 2.3 cm/yr.

We note that the first approach (i.e., 11 yr time-lapse bathymetric analysis) highlights zones of erosion and deposition that migrate upstream significantly (100 to 450 m per year) due to the presence of active knickpoints in the channel (Heijnen et al., 2020). This explains why the channel is net-erosive on a decennial timescale (SR = ~ -16 cm/yr). The 30 cm long sediment cores used in this study thus probably represent deposits that were a few days to weeks old, as direct monitoring shows that over 100 turbidity currents can occur in one freshet (Chen et al., 2021; Pope et al., in review). The top of these deposits were likely reworked again by turbidity currents in the following days to weeks, progressively moving the sediment down the channel. The channel thus acts as a conduit through which sand and associated OC is shuffled to the lobe, in multiple stages over several weeks to several decades (Heijnen et al., in review). Time-lapse bathymetry also shows that the terminal lobe is built up from a small number of large magnitude 'channel flushing' events (Heijnen et al., in review), resulting in a locally high SR (SR = 18 cm/yr, Table 2) over decennial timescales. It should be kept in mind that this bathymetric method underestimates the depositional volume in areas of slow deposition (overbanks), as cm-thin drapes of sediment cannot be resolved by this method. The second approach (i.e., ^{210}Pb and ^{137}Cs dating) postulates that the entire Bute submarine system is net aggrading over centennial timescales (Syvitski et al., 1988). Thus, the channel floor is assumed to aggrade at the same rate as the adjacent overbank areas, rather than being strongly erosional. This is consistent with a rather shallow (~20 m relief) channel, as prolonged erosion in a submarine channel that is likely at least hundreds of years old would have carved a much deeper conduit. This assumption of overall aggradation results in a slow, but positive, SR in the channel (SR = 0.07 cm/yr). This SR in the channel is then assumed to be balanced by less aggradation in the lobe (SR = 10 cm/yr), such that the total sediment budget within the various sub-environments on the fjord seafloor then balances the rate at which sediment is supplied by the rivers (Table 1).

In total, all OC burial rates in the submarine system are provided as ranges (Table 2) based on the two approaches used to estimate SR.

4. Results

Below we provide the OC content and composition for both rivers and the fjord sediments separately. We note that comparison between TC and TOC on all samples revealed the absence of carbonates within both river and fjord samples (Fig. 4).

1. *Carbon composition supplied by both rivers; what is coming in?*

Coarse sand samples collected from the riverbank and delta areas have relatively low TOC (mean TOC = 0.35 %), and ^{13}C values (-27 to -28 ‰) indicating a terrestrial origin (Hecky and Hesslein, 1995). TOC is moderately high (mean TOC = 0.8 %) in the fine sands collected in the river waters, banks and deltas; whereas ^{13}C values are low (-25 to -29 ‰) and point again to a terrestrial origin (Hecky and Hesslein, 1995). TOC is highest in muddy sediments (mean TOC = 3.1%, Fig. 5) collected in the river plume at the fjord head. ^{13}C signatures for these river plume samples are unusually high (-12 to -20 ‰; Fig. 6), despite the absence of carbonates (Fig. 4). These high ^{13}C values are interpreted to be linked to bacterioplankton producing extra cellular polymeric substances (EPS; Albright, 1983), and this will be further discussed in Section 5.1.

In total, we estimate that about 23.4 ± 5.2 Kt OC/yr are delivered annually by the Homathko and Southgate Rivers. This is based on the estimated sediment discharge (suspended and bedload) and on the average TOC content measured between samples collected in both rivers in October 2017 (Table 1).

4.2. *Facies and carbon composition in the fjord; what is preserved and where?*

We now present the OC content and composition within the fjord seabed, across the four previously-defined sub-environments (Fig. 3).

Sediment cores collected from the sandy *channel floor* and *lobe* have similar facies and OC composition, thus the OC composition of these sub-environments are described together. The channel floor and lobe contain 63 % carbon-poor (mean TOC = <0.05 %) coarse sand; 29% of carbon-rich (mean TOC = 3 %) fine sand; and 8 % of carbon-moderate (mean TOC = 0.5 %) mud (Fig. 5). ^{13}C and ^{14}C ages revealed that fine sands contain young terrestrial woody debris (visible to the naked eye), buried under older biospheric soil organic matter in the muds (Hage et al., 2020; Fig. 6).

In contrast to the channel floor and lobe, the *overbanks* are dominated (80 %) by muddy deposits characterized by lower TOC values (0.35 % on average; Fig. 5). Fine sands found in the overbank cores have much lower TOC values compared to fine-sands in the channel floor and lobe. ^{13}C signatures range between -26 and -28 ‰, pointing to a terrestrial origin of the organic matter (Fig. 6). We note the absence of woody debris visible to the naked eye in the overbank cores, as opposed to the channel floor and lobe cores.

The top two meters of sediment recovered from the *distal flat basin* is made exclusively of muddy sediments characterized by a homogenous red (35 %) or grey facies (65 %). Grey muds have moderate TOC (0.65 % on average; Fig. 5) and a wide range of ^{13}C signatures, suggesting a mixed terrestrial and marine origin of organic matter (-22 to -26 ‰; Fig. 6). Red muds have high TOC (2.5 % on average; Fig. 5) with ^{13}C between -20.5 and -23 ‰ (Fig. 6) that point to a marine-dominated origin (MacDonald et al., 1991). Based on a binary mixing

model using ^{13}C compositions of marine and terrestrial OC end-members, we estimate that about 54 % of the organic carbon found in the upper two meter sediment of the distal flat basin is of marine origin (Text S6, Table S6). ^{14}C data and RPO thermograms on the red and grey muds in this distal site (Fig. 7) reveal that the OC in this muddy distal site is of similar age (500 to 1100 ^{14}C yr), compared to the organic matter found in the muddy deposits of the channel (997 ^{14}C yr; Fig. 6). Overall, OC associated with muds in the active channel and distal flat basin are older compared to the young OC associated fine sands found in the active channel (Fig. 6, Hage et al., 2020), due to mineral protection of old biospheric OC in muddy sediments (Hemingway et al., 2019).

In total, we estimate that the top two meters of sediments in the Bute turbidity current system comprise an annual OC burial rate ranging from 15.4 to 17.9 Kt OC/yr over decennial to centennial timescales, respectively (Table 2). We divide this budget between terrestrial and marine organic carbon contribution based on the mixing model applied to the distal flat basin samples (Text S6). In total, between 11.7 and 16.3 Kt OC/yr of terrestrial origin carbon are found in the fjord, in all of its sub-environments. Between 1.6 and 3.7 Kt OC/yr of marine origin carbon are found in the distal flat basin (Figs. 6 and 7, Table 2).

5. Discussion

5.1. How much particulate organic carbon is delivered by the two rivers discharging into Bute Inlet?

We have estimated that Homathko and Southgate Rivers carried 23 ± 5 Kt OC/yr into Bute Inlet based on suspended and bedload sampling conducted in 2017 (Table 1). This total OC flux is interpreted to contain three pools (Fig. 6): young terrestrial biospheric (woody debris); old terrestrial biospheric (soil organic matter); and petrogenic OC (rock-derived OC). Identification of these pools is based on TOC and ^{13}C signatures (Fig. 6), and on ramped oxidation data combined with radiocarbon dates previously presented in Hage et al. (2020). To these three pools previously identified, we add a fourth pool made of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) associated with bacterioplankton that occurs when the rivers enter the fjord and create surface plumes in which the heterotrophic activity of bacterioplankton can be enhanced (Albright, 1983). This activity appears to result in an OC pool characterized by high TOC (>3 %) and unusually high ^{13}C signatures (Fig. 6). Such signatures cannot be explained by the presence of carbonates (typically enriched in ^{13}C) because they were removed prior to isotope measurements. These high ^{13}C signatures may also correspond to C4 plants, yet C4 plants are almost exclusively found in tropical and warm environments (Hecky and Hesslein, 1995). Instead, we suggest that the high TOC and associated ^{13}C signatures correspond to bacterial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). The EPS hypothesis is further supported by the stickiness of the plume samples, which is typical for EPS (Underwood et al., 1995). This fourth pool of OC is not included in our OC river input flux as it is most likely formed within the fjord water. Further research on the highly enriched ^{13}C composition of these plume samples is required, yet it is

beyond the scope of this study. We further note that the EPS-related OC pool is not found in the fjord seabed sediment samples (Fig. 6). We propose this is because OC associated with EPS is labile and not heavy enough to settle, and it thus degrades rapidly in the water column before reaching seabed sediments (Albright, 1983).

We note that there are key assumptions behind these estimates of riverine OC composition. First, we have a limited number of river samples (n=22) and these samples were collected during a rain-induced runoff event in fall when river discharge was near the annual average (Text S1). River discharge peaks driven by the freshet and/or intense rain likely brought more and coarser terrestrial organic debris than the rates estimated here (as in Turowski et al., 2016). We thus expect these river OC fluxes to fluctuate not only seasonally but also following extreme events such as floods and intense rain, yet the data presented in this study do not constrain this variability. Second, we have no constraints on the OC content potentially coming from small streams entering the fjord along its margins. However, these streams only represent 6 % of the total sediment and freshwater input coming into the fjord (Syvitski and Farrow, 1983).

5.2. How much and what type of organic is buried in the Bute Inlet sub-environments?

The surficial sediments from the Bute turbidity current system bury a total of 15.4 – 17.9 Kt OC/yr, with 11.7 to 16.3 Kt OC/yr being of terrestrial origin. 76 to 91 % of the OC buried in Bute Inlet is thus terrestrial. This proportion is higher compared to previous estimates made in other fjords where 42 to 65 % of the OC was found to be terrestrial (Cui et al., 2016, Smeaton & Austin, 2020). This further highlights the importance of turbidity currents for distributing terrestrial OC in river-fed fjords, and the necessity to include turbidity current processes when assessing the burial of terrestrial OC globally.

There are a number of uncertainties behind OC fluxes calculated in Bute Inlet. First, we do not have sediment cores in the external parts of the overbanks, close to the fjord's sidewalls. Therefore, we extrapolate the OC composition found in the overbanks close to the channel to the entire overbank area. These more distal sites in the overbank may either be poorer in terrestrial OC compared to the sites close to the channel fed by the rivers, or richer in OC due to smaller rivers and streams and potential landslides sourced from the fjord steep sidewalls. Second, we assume that the 30 cm thick (i.e. maximum a few weeks old) deposits recovered from the channel are reproduced over centennial timescales despite the rapidly migrating knickpoints in the channel (Prior et al., 1987, Heijnen et al., 2020). We could expect that channel-floor fine sands, which are rich in OC, are excavated several times before reaching their ultimate burial location on the lobe, decreasing their OC content over time (Heijnen et al., in review). However, we do not see any evidence of OC content decrease along the channel transect, at least over the very recent (30 cm below seafloor) deposits observed in this study. We suspect that the channel is an efficient conduit for the delivery of terrestrial OC to the lobe, but this needs to be confirmed with longer sediment

cores that are difficult to collect in sandy channel floors (as piston corers tend to fail to penetrate sandy seabed).

Despite these uncertainties, the data collected in Bute Inlet allow us to derive for the first time a detailed OC burial budget in fjord sediments controlled by turbidity currents (Fig. 8). Together the channel and lobe, dominated by sands (Fig. 5) and only covering 17 % of the fjord's seafloor area, comprise 48 to 77 % of the total annual terrestrial OC burial flux in Bute Inlet. This terrestrial OC is predominantly young, associated with fine sands and buried beneath a layer of mud (Hage et al., 2020, Fig. 6). The overbanks, dominated by mud (Fig. 5) and covering 47 % of the fjord's seafloor area, comprise 15 to 25 % of the total annual terrestrial OC burial flux, with low TOC in the fine sands. The settling velocities of waterlogged woody debris have been shown to be relatively rapid due to their size and density (Waterson et al., 2008, Hoover et al., 2010, McArthur et al., 2016), such that this woody debris is carried mainly along the channel floor. This concentration of woody debris along the channel floor could explain the low TOC values seen within the fine-sands of the overbanks. The distal flat basin, exclusively made of mud in the surficial (200 cm) sediment and covering 36 % of the fjord's seafloor area, comprises the remaining 6 to 22 % of the total annual terrestrial OC burial flux. The abundance of mud in the surficial sediment of the distal flat basin is explained by the limited number of turbidity currents reaching this site in present times (Prior et al., 1987; Chen et al., 2021; Pope et al., in review).

5.3. What is the terrestrial OC burial efficiency of Bute Inlet and how does it compare with other fjords?

Comparison between OC river input (23 ± 5 Kt OC/yr) and terrestrial OC burial rate in Bute Inlet (11.7 to 16.3 Kt OC/yr), suggests that Bute Inlet has a terrestrial OC burial efficiency ranging between 50 and 70 % (60 ± 11 % on average) when estimated over decennial and centennial timescales, respectively.

No study has closed budgets between river sources and fjord sediments before, so it is not possible to compare this value with other river-fed fjords. However, we can compare our results in Bute Inlet with previous fjord studies, by normalizing OC burial rates to the Bute Inlet's total surface area. By doing so, the burial of terrestrial OC in Bute Inlet ranges between 72 and 100 t $C/km^2/yr$. This range lies between previous estimates of OC fluxes of 57 to 107 t $C/km^2/yr$ in Scottish and Irish fjords that were described as having heterogeneous seafloors (Smeaton and Austin, 2020). Importantly, our results from Bute Inlet suggest that fjords characterised by sandy turbidity current systems may bury over three times more terrestrial OC compared to previous global estimates based on muddy cores from fjords without any identified turbidity current channels (22 t $C/km^2/yr$; Smith et al., 2015, Cui et al., 2016).

5.4. Comparison with larger, deep-sea turbidity current systems

To our knowledge, only one study has provided OC balance budgets between river source and turbidity current sub-environments within a single system. This

is the Congo River to deep-sea Fan (Baudin et al., 2020), where burial rates are calculated over centennial timescales that are comparable to the longer timescales considered in this study. Using a source to sink approach, Baudin et al. (2020) found that 33 to 69 % of the annual OC delivered by the Congo River is buried in the Congo turbidity current system. This burial efficiency is similar to, if slightly lower than, our estimate in Bute Inlet (50 to 70 %), highlighting the difficulty in closing budgets between river source and marine sinks fed by turbidity currents, particularly in open marine systems such as the Congo system (Baudin et al., 2020). Within these two turbidity current systems, both the Congo and Bute Inlet submarine canyon-channels show minimal long-term (> 100 year) OC accumulation (Fig. 8, Baudin et al., 2020). We note however that under-sampling of the Congo Canyon and Channel occurred in past studies due to the difficulty with sampling sandy deposits (Baudin et al., 2020). This under-sampling of the canyon and channel may result in underestimation of OC burial rates in the Congo Channel, similarly to the Bute Inlet channel where only 30 cm long sediment cores could be retrieved. In both Congo and Bute Inlet systems, the lobe holds most of the total buried OC.

Besides this example from the Congo system, work in Gaoping Canyon offshore Taiwan shows a terrestrial OC preservation efficiency of > 70% in marine sediments. The Gaoping submarine canyon is supplied by river floods (hyperpycnal) and dilute surface plumes (hypopycnal) inputs (Kao et al., 2014). This high OC burial efficiency results from this mountainous island being a hotspot for sediment and OC production, with frequent typhoons that deliver terrestrial OC (e.g. woody debris associated with sands) through the submarine canyon to the deep-sea (Hilton et al., 2008, West et al., 2011, Liu et al., 2016). Another example of high OC burial efficiency comes from the Bay of Bengal where almost no loss of OC was observed between the Ganges-Brahmaputra River inputs and the Bengal Submarine Fan (Galy et al., 2007). Coarse turbidity current deposits from the channel-levees of the Bengal Fan submarine system were also shown to have abundant terrestrial woody fragments throughout the last 19 My (Lee et al., 2019). These OC-rich turbidity current deposits are interpreted to result from high-magnitude, low-frequency events (e.g. floods, cyclones; Lee et al.; 2019).

Based on these examples, it is plausible that rare and extreme events may also affect the OC burial efficiency and distribution in Bute Inlet. It was recently shown that most turbidity currents (~90 %) dissipate within the shallowest-water (< 200 m depth and < 12 km along channel from the delta) part of Bute Inlet, whereas less frequent (~10%) events rework this material and progressively shuffle it downstream to the lobe (Heijnen et al., in review). We further suspect that rare long runout events can flush material to the distal flat basin, as evidenced by thick sandy accumulations found at >3 m depth in 8 m long piston cores collected in the distal basin at the location of core 15 (Fig. 3, Heerema, 2021). Based on our OC burial efficiency estimates (i.e., 50 to 70 % over decennial to centennial timescales, respectively), it appears that OC burial efficiency is higher when considering longer timescales, which are more likely to

integrate some large events than shorter timescales.

An example of rare and large event occurred in the Bute Inlet area on 28 November 2020 when a glacial lake outburst flood took place at Elliot Creek, which is a tributary of Southgate River (Fig. 1). This outburst flood caused an exceptionally large landslide involving 15 million cubic meters of terrestrial material, some of which was released into the Southgate River, ultimately discharging into Bute Inlet (Geertsema et al., in review, https://blogs.agu.org/landslideblog/2020/12/16/bute-inlet-landslide/). The impact of this event on the OC burial in Bute Inlet is yet to be determined and compared with the pre-event OC fluxes presented in this study, but it may help to understand sediment and OC delivery in most infrequent but large magnitude events.

6. Conclusion

This study provides the first complete source-to-sink budget for organic carbon (OC) in a river-fed fjord turbidity current system, showing how OC is distributed within the upper <2 m of sediment. OC fluxes are estimated for the fjord's two river sources (Homathko and Southgate Rivers, which provide 94 % of the water and sediment discharge to the fjord), and compared to OC burial fluxes in different seafloor sub-environments. We estimate that the annual OC export from both rivers to the fjord is 23 ± 5 Kt C/yr, although this may not capture the full annual range of streamflow variability. The annual terrestrial OC burial rate of the entire fjord is estimated to range from 12 to 16 Kt OC/yr. This suggests that a relatively high terrestrial OC burial efficiency (60 ± 10 %) occurs within the fjord. Terrestrial OC is distributed as follows across the fjord seafloor; 63 ± 14 % of the total terrestrial OC burial occurs in the sand-dominated channel floor and lobe (covering 17 % of the fjord seafloor area), whereas 37 ± 14 % occurs in the mud-dominated overbank and distal flat basin (covering 83 % of the fjord seafloor area). Therefore, hydrodynamic fractionation of OC by turbidity currents leads to variable burial efficiencies in different sub-environments of the fjord. This study helps to understand how OC is buried within fjords over short (decennial to centennial) timescales. A comparison to other (non-fjord) turbidity current systems suggests that these systems consistently have high (50 to 100 %) terrestrial OC burial efficiency (Galy et al., 2007, Liu et al., 2016, Hilton et al., 2017; Baudin et al., 2020). Turbidity current systems may thus play a globally important role in the highly efficient delivery and burial of mainly terrestrial OC, which affects atmospheric CO_2 levels over geological timescales (Berner, 1982) and food resources for modern benthic ecosystems (Włodarska-Kowalczuk et al., 2019).

Data availability: Discharge data for the Homathko River and Southgate River are available from https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca; station 08GD004 and station 08GD010, respectively. Multibeam bathymetric data are held by the Geological Survey of Canada and Canadian Hydrographic Survey. Sediment core and river sample locations are provided in the supplementary material of this study, as well as organic geochemistry measurements made on each sample

(Tables S1, S2, S7 and S8).

7. Acknowledgements

Figure Captions

Figure 1: A. Location of Bute Inlet in British Columbia (Canada). B. Bute Inlet is fed by Homathko and Southgate Rivers. Watershed areas were delimited by Gonzalez et al. (2018)

Figure 2: Bathymetry of the head of Bute Inlet (collected in 2008 by the CCGS Vector), also showing locations of sediment samples collected in Homathko and Southgate Rivers in 2017, and offshore in fjord sediments in 2016.

Figure 3: Submarine morphology and sediment cores collected in the Bute turbidity current system. Boxes A to D: zoom-ins showing the parts of the system bounded by overbank. E & F: Sediment core set. 30 cm long cores were collected using a box coring system; 200 cm long cores were collected using a piston coring system.

Figure 4: comparison between total carbon (including inorganic and organic carbon) and total organic carbon content measured on all samples collected in rivers and fjord.

Figure 5: Facies and total organic carbon (TOC) content within rivers, submarine channel and lobe, overbank, and distal flat basin. Pie charts represent the contribution (in %) of each of the facies to a given sub-environment.

Figure 6: Total Carbon content (TC) versus carbon stable isotopes (13C) measured on all samples collected in the rivers and in the Bute turbidity current system. 13C values are reported relative to Vienna Pee-Dee Belemnite (VDBP). Radiocarbon dates are expressed as reservoir age offsets in 14C years (following Soulet et al., 2016). The combination of bulk measurement of carbon, stable

isotopes and radiocarbon isotopes allowed five carbon pools to be identified. (1) Extra polymeric substances associated with bacterioplankton in the river plumes at the surface of the fjord waters (Albright, 1983). (2) Marine carbon produced in the distal site. (3) Young terrestrial carbon in the form of woody debris almost exclusively buried in the sandy submarine channel. (4) Old terrestrial biospheric organic carbon aged in soils. (5) Petrogenic (rock-derived) organic carbon associated with coarse sand.

Figure 7: Separation of OC mixtures by ramped oxidation (RPO) for three samples collected in the distal flat basin (Core 15 in Fig. 3). Two facies are identified in this core: muddy sediment with a reddish colour and muddy sediments with a grey colour and organic debris. Black lines show distribution of activation energy (Ea) (thermogram; Hemingway et al., 2017). Blue squares show radiocarbon ages (in fraction modern, Fm, a measurement of the deviation of the 14C/12C ratio of a carbon fraction from "modern"). Red dots show carbon stable isotopes (13C, in ‰). Blue bars represent the Ea range to which each red dot and blue square applies.

Figure 8: Summary illustration (not to scale) showing total organic carbon (OC) fluxes from rivers to seafloor sediment in Bute Inlet. OC fluxes are given as the average value between sediment budgets estimated using two approaches (see Methods; Heijnen et al., in review, Syvitski et al., 1988, Heerema, 2021). Error bars correspond to the range between the two approaches. Sediment and OC are shuffled stepwise down the channel before reaching the lobe due to migrating knickpoints. The channel is thus net erosive over decennial timescales, with patches of erosion (E) and deposition (D) between knickpoints. Over longer timescales (>100's yr), the channel is interpreted as being neutral to slowly aggrading.

Table 1: Homathko and Southgate Rivers characteristics and estimates of annual organic carbon fluxes. a: Syvtiski and Farrow (1983). b: see Text S1 for suspended load estimates in both rivers. c: no bedload estimate was found in the literature for the Southgate River. The bedload was thus estimated based on the Homathko River using the ratio between the two river watersheds as a scaling factor (Text S2).

Table 2: Sediment budget and organic carbon (OC) fluxes in the sub-environments of the Bute turbidite system over 10 and 100 yr timescales. a: sediment volumes derived from repeated bathymetric surveys between 2008 and 2018 (Heijnen et al.. in review). Sedimentation rates are obtained by dividing the sediment volume by the surface area of a given sub-environment. Volume uncertainties are based on vertical accuracy of the multibeam surveys of 0.5 % of the water depth. hence the 600 m deep lobe is greatly affected (Heijnen et al.. 2020). b: Assumed sedimentation rates in the channel and lobe are based on c and Baudin et al. (2020; see Suppl. Material). c: Sedimentation rates in the overbank and distal basin are based on 210Pb and 137Cs dating (Syvistki et al.. 1988. Heerema. 2020; Suppl. Material). OC annual fluxes are obtained as follows: OC flux = Sediment volume x TOC x (1-Porosity) x Density

8. References

1. Albright, L. J. (1983). Influence of river-ocean plumes upon bacterioplankton production of the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia. *Marine Ecology - Progress Series*, 12, 107-113.

2. Baudin, F., Martinez, P., Dennielou, B., Charlier, K., Marsset, T., Droz, L., & Rabouille, C. (2017). Organic carbon accumulation in modern sediments of the Angola basin influenced by the Congo deep sea fan. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, 142, 64-74.

3. Baudin, F., Rabouille, C., & Dennielou, B. (2020). Routing of terrestrial organic matter from the Congo River to the ultimate sink in the abyss: a mass balance approach (André Dumont medallist lecture 2017). *Geologica Belgica*, 23(1-2).

4. Berner, R. A. (1982). Burial of organic carbon and pyrite sulfur in the modern ocean: its geochemical and environmental significance. *American Journal of Science, 282*, 451-473. doi:10.2475/ajs.282.4.451

5. Berner, R. A. (1989), Biogeochemical cycles of carbon and sulfur and their effect on atmospheric oxygen over Phanerozoic time, *Paleogeogr. Paleoclimatol. Paleoecol.*, 75, 97–122.

6. Bianchi, T.S., Arndt, S., Austin, W.E.N., Benn, D.I., Bertrand, S., Cui, X., Faust, J. C., Koziorowska-Makuch, K., Moy, C.M., Savage, C., Smeaton, C., Smith, R.W., Syvitski, J. 2020. Fjords as Aquatic Critical Zones (ACZs). *Earth-Science Reviews* (203), pp. 103-145. doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103145.

7. Bornhold, B. D., Ren, P., & Prior, D. B. (1994). High-frequency turbidity currents in British Columbia fjords. *Geo-Marine Letters, 14*, 238-243.

8. Burdige, D. J. (2005). Burial of Terrestrial Organic Matter in Marine Sediments: A Re-Assessment. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 19*(7). doi:10.1029/2004gb002368

9. Burdige, D. J. (2007). Preservation of organic matter in marine sediments: controls, mechanisms, and an imbalance in sediment organic carbon budgets? *Chemical Reviews, 107*, 467-485. doi:10.1021/cr050347q

10. Chen, Y., Parsons, D.R., Simmons, S.M., Williams, R., Cartugny, M.J.B., Hughes Clarke, J.E., Stacey, C.D., Hage, S., Talling, P.J., Azpiroz-Zabala, M.A., Clare, M.A., Hizzett, J.L., Heijnen, M.S., Hunt, J.E., Lintern, D.G., Sumner, E.J., Vellinga, A.J., Vendettuoli, D. (2021). Knickpoints and crescentic bedform interactions in submarine channels. *Sedimentology, 68*, 1358-1377

11. Cui, X., Bianchi, T. S., Savage, C., Smith, R.W. (2016) Organic carbon burial in fjords: terrestrial versus marine inputs. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 451*, 41-50.

17

12. Cui, X., Bianchi, T. S., Jaeger, J. M., & Smith, R. W. (2016). Biospheric and petrogenic organic carbon flux along southeast Alaska. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 452*, 238-246.

13. Cui, X., Bianchi, T. S., & Savage, C. (2017). Erosion of modern terrestrial organic matter as a major component of sediments in fjords. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 1457–1465.

14. Conway, K. W., Barrie, J. V., Picard, K. & Bornhold, B. D. (2012). Submarine channel evolution: active channels in fjords, British Columbia, Canada. *Geo-Marine Letters,* 32, 301–312.

15. Coynel, A., Seyler, P., Etcheber, H., Meybeck, M., & Orange, D. (2005). Spatial and seasonal dynamics of total suspended sediment and organic carbon species in the Congo River. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19(4). doi:10.1029/2004gb002335

16. Decho, A. W., & Gutierrez, T. (2017). Microbial Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPSs) in Ocean Systems. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8(922). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00922

17. Deptuck, M. E., Sylvester, Z., Pirmez, C., O'Byrne, C. Migration-aggradation history and 3-D seismic geomorphology of submarine channels in the Pleistocene Benin-major Canyon, western Niger Delta slope. 2007. *Marine and Petroleum Geology, 24, 406-433.* doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2007.01.005

18. Dyer, K. R. (1997). Estuaries: A Physical Introduction. New York, NY.

19. Farrow, G. E., Syvitski, J. P. M., & Tunnecliffe, V. (1983). Suspended particulate loading on the macro-benthos in a highly turbid fjord; Knight Inlet, British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 40*(1), 273-288.

20. Gaines, S. M., Eglinton, G., & Rullkotter, J. (2009). Echoes of life: what fossil molecules reveal about earth history: Oxford University Press.

21. Gales, J. A., Talling, P. J., Cartigny, M. J., Hughes Clarke, J., Lintern, G., Stacey, C., & Clare, M. A. (2019). What controls submarine channel development and the morphology of deltas entering deep-water fjords? *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms,* 44(2), 535-551.

22. Galy, V. V., Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B., & Eglington, T. (2015). Global carbon export from the terrestrial biosphere controlled by erosion. *Nature Letter*, 521. doi:10.1038/nature14400

23. Geertsema, M. Menounos, B., Bullard, G., Carrivick, J.L., Clague, J.J., Dai, C., Donati, D., Ekstrom, G., Jackson, J.M., Lynett, P., Pichierri, M., Pon, A., Shugar, D.H., Stead, D., Del Bel Belluz, J., Friele, P., Giesbrecht, I., Heathfield, D., Millard, T., Nasonova, S., Schaeffer, A.J., Ward, B.C., Blaney, D., Blaney, E., Brillon, C., Bunn, C., Floyd, W., Higman,

B., Hughes, K.E., McInnes, W., Mukherjee, K., Sharp, M.A. (in review, Geophysical Research Letters). The 28 November 2020 landslide, tsunami, and outburst flood – a hazard cascade associated with rapid deglaciation at Elliot Creek, British Columbia, Canada.

24. Giesbrecht, I.J.W, Tank, S.E., Frazer, G.W., Hood, E.W., Gonzalez Arriola, S.G., Butman, D.E., D'Amore, D.V., Hutchinson, D., Bidlack, A., and Lertzman, K.P. (in press, Global Biogeochemical Cycles). Watershed classification predicts streamflow regime and organic carbon dynamics in the Northeast Pacific coastal temperate rainforest.

25. Gonzalez Arriola, S., Giesbrecht, I.J.W., Biles, F.E., and D'Amore, D.V. 2018. Watersheds of the northern Pacific coastal temperate rainforest margin. Hakai Institute Data Package. doi: 10.21966/1.715755

26. Hage, S., Cartigny, M. J. B., Sumner, E. J., Clare, M. A., Hughes Clarke, J. E., Talling, P. J., Lintern, D.G., Simmons, S.M., Silva Jacinto, R., Vellinga, A.J., Allin, J.R., Azpiroz-Zabala, M., Hales, J.A., Hizzett., J.L., Hunt, J.E., Mozzato, A., Parsons, D.R., Pope, E.L., Stavey, C.D., Symons, W.O., Vardy, M.E., Watts, C. (2019). Direct Monitoring Reveals Initiation of Turbidity Currents From Extremely Dilute River Plumes. *Geophysical Research Letters, 46*(20), 11310-11320. doi:10.1029/2019gl084526

27. Hage, S., Galy, V., Cartigny, M., Acikalin, S., Clare, M., Gröcke, D., Hilton, R.G., Hunt., J.E., Lintern, D.G., McGhee, C., Parsons., D.R., Stacey., C.D., Sumner, E.J., Talling. P.J. (2020). Efficient preservation of young terrestrial organic carbon in sandy turbidity-current deposits. *Geology*, 48 (9): 882–887.

28. Hecky, R.E. and Hesslein, R.H. Contributions of Benthic Algae to Lake Food Webs as Revealed by Stable Isotope Analysis (1995). *J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.,* 14(4):631-653

29. Hedges, J. I., & Keil, R. G. (1995). Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis. *Marine Chemistry, 49*(81e115). doi:10.1016/0304-4203(95)00008-F

30. Heerema, C. J. (2021). Evolution of Turbidity Currents: New insights from direct field measurements, Durham theses, Durham University. *Available at Durham E-Theses Online: http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/13963/*

31. Heijnen, M. S., Clare, M. A., Cartigny, M. J., Talling, P. J., Hage, S., Lintern, D. G., Stacey, C.D., Parsons, D.R., Simmons, S.M., Chen, Y., Sumner, E.J., Dix, J.K., Hughes Clarke, J.E. (2020). Rapidly-migrating and internally-generated knickpoints can control submarine channel evolution. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1-15.

32. Heijnen, M. S., Clare, M.A., Cartigny, M. J., Talling, P.J., Hage, S., Pope, E.L., Bailey, L., Sumner, E.J., Lintern, D.G., Stacey, C.D., Parsons, D.P., Simmons, S.M., Chen, Y., Hubbard, S.M., Eggenhuisen, J.T., Kane, I.,

Hughes Clarke, J.E. (in review, EPSL) Fill, flush or shuffle: How is sediment carried through submarine channels to build lobes?

33. Hemingway, J. D., Rothman, D. H., Rosengard, S. Z., and Galy, V. V.: Technical note: An inverse method to relate organic carbon reactivity to isotope composition from serial oxidation, *Biogeosciences*, 14, 5099–5114, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-5099-2017, 2017.

34. Hemingway, J.D., Rothman, D.H., Grant, K.E., Rosengard, S.Z., Eglington, T.I., Derry, L.A., Galy, V.V. 2019. Mineral protection regulates long-term global preservation of natural organic carbon. *Nature* 570, 228–231. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1280-6

35. Hilton, R.G., West, A.J. Mountains, erosion and the carbon cycle. (2020). Nature Review Earth Environ 1, 284–299.

36. Hilton, R. G., Galy, A., Hovius, N., Chen, M. C., Horng, M. J., & Chen, H. (2008). Tropical-cyclone-driven erosion of the terrestrial biosphere from mountains. *Nature Geoscience*, 1(11), 759-762.

37. Hizzett, J. L., Hughes Clarke, J. E., Sumner, E. J., Cartigny, M. J. B., Talling, P. J., & Clare, M. A. (2018). Which triggers produce the most erosive, frequent, and longest runout turbidity currents on deltas? . *Geophysical Research Letters, 45*, 855-863.

38. Hoover, T.M., Marczak, L.B., Richardson, J.S. &Yonemitsu, N. (2010) Transport and settlement of organic matter in small streams. *Freshwater Biology*, 55(2), 436-449.

39. Hughes Clarke, J.E., Talling, P.J., Cartigny, M.J.C. (2015) Flow by flow monitoring within fjord-delta turbidite systems: Insights into deep water channel-to-lobe transitions. *American Geoscience Union annual meeting*, 2015

40. Hunter, W., Jamieson, A., Huvenne, V., & Witte, U. (2013). Sediment community responses to marine vs. terrigenous organic matter in a submarine canyon. *Biogeosciences.*

41. Kao, S.-J., Hilton, R.G., Selvaraj, K., Dai, M., Zehetner, F., Huang, J.-C., Hsu, S.-C., Sparkes, R., Liu, J.T., Lee, T.-Y., Yang, J.-Y. T., Galy, A., Xu, X., Hovius, N. (2014) Preservation of terrestrial organic carbon in marine sediments offshore Taiwan: mountain building and atmospheric carbon dioxide sequestration. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 2, 127-139.

42. LaRowe, D. E., Arndt, S., Bradley, J. A., Estes, E. R., Hoarfrost, A., Lang, S. Q., Lloyd, K.G., Mahmoudi, N., Orsi, W.D., Shah Walter, S.R., Steen, A.D., Zhao, R. (2020). The fate of organic carbon in marine sediments-New insights from recent data and analysis. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 103146.

43. Lee, H., Galy, V., Fend, X., Ponton, C., G., A., France-Lanord, C., & Feakins, S. (2019). Sustained wood burial in the Bengal Fan over the last 29 million years. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116*(45), 22518-22525.

44. Liu, J. T., Hsu, R. T., Hung, J.-J., Chang, Y.-P., Wang, Y.-H., Rendle-Bühring, R. H., Yang, R. J. (2016). From the highest to the deepest: The Gaoping River–Gaoping Submarine Canyon dispersal system. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 153, 274-300.

45. Macdonald, R., Macdonald, D., O'Brien, M., & Gobeil, C. (1991). Accumulation of heavy metals (Pb, Zn, Cu, Cd), carbon and nitrogen in sediments from Strait of Georgia, BC, Canada. *Marine Chemistry*, 34(1-2), 109-135.

46. McArthur, A. D., Kneller, B. C., Wakefield, M. I., Souza, P. A., & Kuchle, J. (2016). Palynofacies classification of the depositional elements of confined turbidite systems: Examples from the Gres d'Annot, SE France. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 77, 1254-1273. doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.08.020

47. McArthur, A., Kneller, B., Souza, P., & Kuchle, J. (2016). Characterization of deep-marine channel-levee complex architecture with palynofacies: An outcrop example from the Rosario Formation, Baja California, Mexico. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 73, 157-173.

48. McArthur, A., Gamberi, F., Kneller, B., Wakefield, M., Souza, P., & Kuchle, J. (2017). Palynofacies classification of submarine fan depositional environments: Outcrop examples from the Marnoso-Arenacea Formation, Italy. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 88, 181-199.

49. Plink-Björklund, P., & Steel, R. J. (2004). Initiation of turbidity currents: outcrop evidence for Eocene hyperpycnal flow turbidites. *Sedimentary Geology*, 165(1-2), 29-52.

50. Pope, E. L., Normandeau, A., O Cofaigh, C., Stokes, C.R., Talling, P.J. 2019. Controls on the formation of turbidity current channels associated with marine-terminating glaciers and ice sheets. *Marine Geology* (415). doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2019.05.010

51. Pope, E.L., Cartigny, M.J.B., Clare, M.A., Talling, P.J., Lintern, D.G., Vellinga, A., Hage, S., Acikalin, S., Bailey, L., Chapplow, N., Chen, Y., Eggenhuisen, J.T., Hendry, A., Heerema, C., J., Heijnen, Hubbard, S.M., Hunt, J.E., McGhee, C., Parsons, D.R., Simmons, S.M., M., Stacey, C.D., Vendettuoli, D. (in review, Science Advance). First source-to-sink monitoring shows dense head controls sediment flux and runout in turbidity currents.

52. Prior, D. B., Bornhold, B. D., & Johns, M. W. (1986). Active sand transport along a fjord-bottom channel, Bute Inlet, British Columbia. *Geology*,

14(7), 581-584.

53. Prior, D. B., Bornholdt, B. D., Wiseman, W. J. J., & Lowe, D. R. (1987). Turbidity Current Activity in a British Columbia Fjord. *Sciences, New Serie, American Association for the Advancement of Science, 237*(4820), 1330-1333.

54. Runkel, R.L., Crawford, C.G., and Cohn, T.A., 2004, Load Estimator (LOADEST):
A FORTRAN Program for Estimating Constituent Loads in Streams and Rivers: U.S. *Geological Survey Techniques and Methods Book 4*, Chapter A5, 69 p.

55. Sadler, P. M. (1981). Sediment Accumulation Rates and the Completeness of Stratigraphic Sections. *The Journal of Geology, 89(5),* 569–584. doi:10.1086/628623

56. Smeaton, C., & Austin, W. E. N. (2019). Where's the Carbon: Exploring the Spatial Heterogeneity of Sedimentary Carbon in Mid-Latitude Fjords. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 7, 269.

57. Smith, R. W., Bianchi, T. S., Allison, M., Savage, C., & Galy, V. (2015). High rates of organic carbon burial in fjord sediments globally. *Nature Geoscience, 8.* doi:10.1038/ngeo2421.

58. Soulet, G., Skinner, L., Beaupré, S.R., Galy, V. 2016. A note on reporting of reservoir ^{14}C disequilibria and age offsets. *Radiocarbon*, 58 (1), 205-2011.

59. Sparkes, R. B., Lin, I.-T., Hovius, N., Galy, A., Liu, J. T., Xu, X., & Yang, R. (2015). Redistribution of multi-phase particulate organic carbon in a marine shelf and canyon system during an exceptional river flood: Effects of Typhoon Morakot on the Gaoping River–Canyon system. *Marine Geology, 363*, 191-201. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2015.02.013

60. Sparkes, R. B. (2012). Marine Sequestration of Particulate Organic Carbon from Mountain Belts. (PhD thesis) Downing College, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

61. Syvitski, J. P. M., & Farrow, G. E. (1983). Structures and processes in Bayhead Deltas: Knight and Bute Inlet, British Columbia. *Sedimentary Geology, 36*(217), 244.

62. Syvitski, J. P. M., Asprey, K. W., Clattenburg, D. A., & Hodge, G. D. (1985). The prodelta environment of a fjord: suspended particle dynamics. *Sedimentology, 32*, 83-107.

63. Syvitski, J. P. M., Smith, J.N., Calabrese, E.A., Boudreau, B.P. (1988). Basin Sedimentation and the Growth of Prograding Deltas. *Jounral of Geophysical Research, 93 (C6),* 6895-6908.

64. Tabata, S., & Pickard, G. L. (1957). The physical oceanography of Bute Inlet, British Columbia. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, *14*(4), 487–520. https://doi.org/10.1139/f57-014

65. Talling, P. J., Amy, L. A., & Wynn, R. B. (2007). New insight into the evolution of large-volume turbidity currents: comparison of turbidite shape and previous modelling results. *Sedimentology*, 54(4), 737-769.

66. Talling, P. J., Massin, D., G., Sumner, E. J., & Malgesini, G. (2012). Subaqueous sediment density flows: Depositional processes and deposit types. *Sedimentology*, 59, 1937–2003. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.2012.01353.x

67. Turowski, J.M., Hilton, R.G., Sparker, R. (2016). Decadal carbon discharge by a mountain stream is dominated by coarse organic matter. *Geology*, 44 (1), p. 27-30.

68. Underwood, G., Paterson, D. and Parkes, R. (1995). The measurement of microbial carbohydrate exopolymers from intertidal sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography,* 40(7), pp.1243-1253.

69. Water Survey of Canada. (2020). Historical Hydrometric Data.

70. Waterson, E. J., & Canuel, E. A. (2008). Sources of sedimentary organic matter in the Mississippi River and adjacent Gulf of Mexico as revealed by lipid biomarker and ^{13}C TOC analyses. *Organic Geochemistry*, 39(4), 422-439.

71. West, A. J., Lin, C. W., Lin, T. C., Hilton, R. G., Liu, S. H., Chang, C. T., Lin, K.-C., Galy, A., Sparkes, R.B., Hovius, N. (2011). Mobilization and transport of coarse woody debris to the oceans triggered by an extreme tropical storm. *Limnology and oceanography*, 56(1), 77-85.

72. Włodarska-Kowalczuk, M., Mazurkiewicz, M., Górska, B., Michel, L. N., Jankowska, E., & Zaborska, A. (2019). Organic carbon origin, benthic faunal consumption, and burial in sediments of northern Atlantic and Arctic fjords (60–81°N). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 124, 3737–3751. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005140

73. Zeng, J., Lowe, D. R., B., P. D., Wiseman, W. J. J., & Bornhold, B. D. (1991). Flow properties of turbidity currents in Bute Inlet, British Columbia. *Sedimentology,* 38(6), 975-996.