

Reference	Lake/Location	Lake Area (km ²)	Lake max. depth (m)	Lake mean depth (m)	Time frame
our study (Scholz et al. 2021)	Lake Lunz, Austria	0.68	34	20	1 year (2017)
Anderson et al., 1999	Williams Lake, MN, USA	0.37	9	5.2	5x1 week (spring/summer/fall)
Armani et al., 2020	Itaipu Lake, Brazil	1350			Jan - Nov 2013 (non-continuous)
Czikowsky et al., 2018	Lake Pleasant, NY, USA	6	24	8.0	16. Sept - 11. Oct 2010
Du et al., 2018	Erhai Lake, China	256.5	20.7	10.0	2012 - 2015
Erkkilä et al., 2018	Lake Kuivajärvi, Finland	0.62	13.2	6.3	fall 2014 (16 days)
Eugster et al., 2003	Toolik Lake, Alaska	1.5	25		short term (2-4 days in July)
Eugster et al., 2003	Soppensee, Switzerland	0.25	27		short term (3 days in September)
Eugster et al., 2020	Toolik Lake, Alaska	1.5	26		ice free periods 2010-2015
Franz et al., 2016	Polder Zarnekow, Germany	0.075	1.2		May 2013 - May 2014
Han et al., 2020	Ngoring Lake, Tibet	610.7	32	17.0	ice free periods 2011-2013
Huotari et al., 2011, see also Vesala et al., 2006	Lake Valkea-Kotinen, Finland	0.041	6.5	2.5	ice free periods 2003-2007
Jammet et al., 2017	Villasjön, Sweden	0.17	1.3	0.7	Jun 2012 - Dec 2014
Jonsson et al., 2008	Lake Merasjärvi, Sweden	3.8	17	5.1	Jun - Okt 2005

Reference	Lake/Location	Lake Area (km ²)	Lake max. depth (m)	Lake mean depth (m)	Time frame
Kim et al., 2016	Eastmain-1 reservoir, Canada	603	57	11.0	ice free 2006-2009
Liu et al., 2015	Erhai Lake, China	256.5	20.7	10.0	1 yr (2012)
Liu et al., 2016	Ross Barnett Reservoir, MS, USA	134	8	4-8	1 yr (2008)
Lohila et al., 2015	Pallasjärvi, Finland	17.3	36	9.0	Jul-Oct 2013
Mammarella et al., 2015, see also Heiskanen et al., 2014	Lake Kuivajärvi, Finland	0.63	13.2	6.4	Jun - Oct 2010 & 2011
Morin et al., 2017	Douglas Lake, MI, USA	13.74	24		Jun - Sept/Oct 2013 & 2014
Podgrajsek et al., 2015	Lake Tämnaaren, Sweden	38	2	1.3	Sept 2010 - Sept 2012
Polsenaere et al., 2013	Floodplain lake, Barzil	450			19.-22.Nov 2011
Potes et al., 2017	Alqueva reservoir, Portugal	250	92	16.6	2. Jun-2. Oct 2014
Reed et al., 2018	Lake Mendota, WI, USA	39.61	25.3	12.8	2012-2017
Shao et al., 2015, see also Ouyang et al., 2017	Lake Erie, USA	25700	64	5.1	Oct 2011 - Sept 2013
Sollberger et al., 2017	Lake Klöntal, Switzerland	3.3	45		Mar - Jun 2012

Reference	Instrumentation: Open path (OP)/Close path (CP) gas analyser and measurement height (m)	Instrument set-up **	EC processing software
our study (Scholz et al. 2021)	CP 3.9 m	shore	EddyPro / matlab OgO Toolbox
Anderson et al., 1999	CP & OP 1.2 m	fix	
Armani et al., 2020	OP	fix	
Czikowsky et al., 2018	CP 2 m	float	
Du et al., 2018	OP 2.5 m	fix	EddyPro
Erkkilä et al., 2018	CP 1.8 m	float	EddyUH
Eugster et al., 2003	CP 1.5 m	float	
Eugster et al., 2003	OP 2.8 m	float	
Eugster et al., 2020	CP 1.3 - 1.6 m	float	eth-flux software
Franz et al., 2016	CP 2.6 m	shore	EddyPro
Han et al., 2020	OP 3 m	fix	EddyPro
Huotari et al., 2011, see also Vesala et al., 2006	CP 1.5 m	float	
Jammet et al., 2017	OP 2.5 m	shore	EddyPro
Jonsson et al., 2008	OP 1.6 -2.6 m	fix	EcoFlux 1.4

Reference	Instrumentation: Open path (OP)/Close path (CP) gas analyser and measurement height (m)	Instrument set-up **	EC processing software
Kim et al., 2016	OP 13 m	fix	
Liu et al., 2015	OP 3.5 m	fix	EddyPro
Liu et al., 2016	OP 4 m	fix	
Lohila et al., 2015	CP 2.5 m	shore	
Mammarella et al., 2015, see also Heiskanen et al., 2014	CP 1.7 m	float	EddyUH
Morin et al., 2017	OP ~2 m	fix	
Podgrajsek et al., 2015	OP 4.7 m	fix	
Polsenaere et al., 2013	OP 4.6 m	shore	EdiRe
Potes et al., 2017	OP 2 m	float	
Reed et al., 2018	OP 11.6 m	shore	TK3
Shao et al., 2015, see also Ouyang et al., 2017	OP 15 m	fix	EdiRe
Sollberger et al., 2017	OP 1.5 m	float	eth-flux software

** fix: solid base but not on shore
(e.g. fixed in sediment; island)
float: floating platform
shore: on shore of lake

various methods for time lag
estimation and spectral
corrections were applied

Reference	averaging interval (min) / detrending ***	coordinate rotation	Quality checks and criteria for rejection (SST: steady state test; ITT: integral turbulence test)
our study (Scholz et al. 2021)	variable (OgO)	coordinate rotation	OgO; positive momentum flux
Anderson et al., 1999	30 / digital recursive filter	coordinate rotation	spectral inspection
Armani et al., 2020	10 / LD	coordinate rotation	
Czikowsky et al., 2018	15	raft motion corrected; coordinate rotation	SST > 30 %; fluxes with high low-frequency contribution (ogive); calm & unstable periods ($z/L < -0.55$)
Du et al., 2018	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	SST & ITT (flag 0-1-2); flag = 2 is discarded
Erkkilä et al., 2018	30 / LD	coordinate rotation	SST > 100 %; skewness, kurtosis; standard deviation of CO ₂ > 3 ppm
Eugster et al., 2003	30 / LD	coordinate rotation	positive momentum flux; spectral inspection
Eugster et al., 2003	5 / LD	coordinate rotation	positive momentum flux; spectral inspection
Eugster et al., 2020	30	coordinate rotation	9-level flag system according to Foken (in Aubinet 2012); only flag = 9 was rejected
Franz et al., 2016	30 / BA	planar fit	flag 0-1-2; flag = 2 is discarded; $u^* < 0.12$ or > 0.76 m s ⁻¹ ; flux <0.2 or >99.8 percentile
Han et al., 2020	30 / LD	coordinate rotation	
Huotari et al., 2011, see also Vesala et al., 2006	30 / LD	coordinate rotation	SST > 30 %; positive momentum flux; intermittency, skewness, kurtosis (Vickers & Mahrt 1997); vertical rotation > 15°
Jammet et al., 2017	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	SST > 30 %; skewness, kurtosis (Vickers & Mahrt 1997); when no time-lag found
Jonsson et al., 2008	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	SST > 30 %; positive momentum flux; CO ₂ fluxes outside 3 std

Reference	averaging interval (min) / detrending ***	coordinate rotation	Quality checks and criteria for rejection (SST: steady state test; ITT: integral turbulence test)
Kim et al., 2016	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	
Liu et al., 2015	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	Foken et al. 2004
Liu et al., 2016	30	coordinate rotation	Foken et al. 2004; negative CO2 fluxes outside 2-4 std were discarded
Lohila et al., 2015	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	SST; variance of CO2 concentration > 1 ppm
Mammarella et al., 2015, see also Heiskanen et al., 2014	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	skewness, kurtosis (Vickers & Mahrt 1997); SST > 100 %
Morin et al., 2017	30 / BA	coordinate rotation	u* threshold
Podgrajsek et al., 2015	30 / LD	coordinate rotation	u spd < 1 m s-1; skewness, kurtosis (Vickers & Mahrt 1997)
Polsenaere et al., 2013	10 / LD	coordinate rotation	SST & ITT
Potes et al., 2017	30 / LD	coordinate rotation	only diagnostic flags from instruments+wind direction/footprint
Reed et al., 2018	30	polynomial planar fit	default TK3 (SST & ITT)
Shao et al., 2015, see also Ouyang et al., 2017	30 / BA	planar fit	SST & ITT, u* < 0.1; flux > 6std (7day moving window)
Sollberger et al., 2017	30	NA	SST (Foken et al. 2012)

*** BA: Block
Average
LD: Linear
Detrending

most of the time,
'coordinate rotation'
equals a double
rotation.

Reference	data cover (%)	Gap-filling	CO ₂ flux (mg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹)
our study			
(Scholz et al. 2021)	15%		259
Anderson et al., 1999			-186 to 2800
Armani et al., 2020			301
Czikowsky et al., 2018	35%		348
Du et al., 2018	55%	different methods for gap-filling were tested	322 to 443
Erkkilä et al., 2018	27%		363 to 1130
Eugster et al., 2003			114
Eugster et al., 2003			289
Eugster et al., 2020	51-93%	median diel cycle approach for short gaps up to 1.5 days; longer gaps: daily average of the respective season	200
Franz et al., 2016	45.5%	marginal distribution sampling	118
Han et al., 2020	80%		-830 to 130
Huotari et al., 2011, see also			210
Vesala et al., 2006	10%		(186 to 266)
Jammet et al., 2017	26%	Artificial Neural Network	228
Jonsson et al., 2008	16%		221

Reference	data cover (%)	Gap-filling	CO ₂ flux (mg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹)
Kim et al., 2016			1140
Liu et al., 2015			466 to 1284
Liu et al., 2016	80%		321
Lohila et al., 2015			210
Mammarella et al., 2015, see also Heiskanen et al., 2014	37%		726
Morin et al., 2017	51-73 %	Neural Network (separately for night-time and daytime data)	726
Podgrajsek et al., 2015	45%		187
Polsenaere et al., 2013	62%		612
Potes et al., 2017	63%		-38
Reed et al., 2018	29 % (?)		-151 to -636
Shao et al., 2015, see also Ouyang et al., 2017	35%	marginal distribution sampling (monthly mean / MDV were also tested)	173
	83.7 %		
	(26.4 % best QC)		
Sollberger et al., 2017			15.5