


# Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> Height Retrieval From UV Satellite Measurements

P. Hedelt<sup>1</sup>, N. Fedkin<sup>2</sup>, M.L. Koukoulis<sup>3</sup>, D. Efremenko<sup>1</sup>, A. Inness<sup>4</sup>, K. Michailidis<sup>3</sup>, D. Balis<sup>3</sup>, C. Li<sup>2</sup>, N. Krotkov<sup>2</sup>, D. Loyola<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DLR-IMF, Germany, <sup>2</sup>NASA, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, <sup>3</sup>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece, <sup>4</sup>ECMWF/CAMS, UK



## Background

Accurate determination of the location, height and loading of SO<sub>2</sub> plumes emitted by volcanic eruptions is essential for aviation safety. The SO<sub>2</sub> layer height (LH) is furthermore one of the most critical parameters that determine the impact on the climate.

Retrieving the SO<sub>2</sub> slant column from satellite UV measurements is simple, however for the determination of the total vertical column, the vertical SO<sub>2</sub> distribution is required and so far not known at measurement time since the retrieval was very time-consuming.

We have developed a **versatile, extremely fast yet accurate** SO<sub>2</sub> LH retrieval algorithm using the Full-Physics Inverse Learning Machine (**FP\_ILM**) algorithm:

- Mapping between spectral radiance and SO<sub>2</sub> LH using supervised learning methods:
- Combination of **PCA** and **Neural Network** regression.
- **Extremely fast** application of inversion operator to real measurements (**<3ms per pixel**)
- **Accuracy:** <2km (for SO<sub>2</sub> VCD > 20 DU)
- Successfully applied to GOME-2 [1], TROPOMI [2] and OMI [3]
- Optimized in framework of ESA S5P+I: SO2LH project
- Validated against IASI SO<sub>2</sub> LH, CALIPSO ash LH [4]
- **Semi-operational quasi-NRT S5p SO<sub>2</sub> LH retrieval in DLR INPULS project**

## Results & Outreach

We present here the results of the FP\_ILM SO<sub>2</sub> LH algorithm that has been developed and applied to current UV satellite instruments:

- Extremely fast and accurate UV SO<sub>2</sub> layer height retrieval algorithm for UV satellite data
- The S5p SO<sub>2</sub>LH algorithm has been improved and a prototype L2 product has been developed in the framework of the **ESA S5P+I: SO2LH** project, see <https://atmos.eoc.dlr.de/so2-lh>
- S5p SO<sub>2</sub>LH product has been **successfully validated against IASI, OMI, CALIPSO**
  - Very good agreement for most volcanic cases considered, see [4]
- Already, **quasi-NRT SO<sub>2</sub> LH products are generated** in DLR INPULS project
- The S5p SO<sub>2</sub> LH product is **actively assimilated by ECMWF/CAMS**
  - Significant improvement in SO<sub>2</sub> forecast, see [5]
- Application to Sentinel-4, Sentinel-5, GEMS, etc. is foreseen
- NRT S5p/TROPOMI data is automatically analyzed for ongoing volcanic eruptions on an hourly basis. Information about the eruption are published on **Twitter**: <https://twitter.com/DlrSo2>:
  - Name of volcano erupted, SO<sub>2</sub> VCD, SO<sub>2</sub> LH, SO<sub>2</sub> mass

## La Soufriere eruption April 2021

On 8 April 2021, the La Soufriere volcano erupted on the Caribbean Island of St. Vincent with a strong ash and SO<sub>2</sub> cloud, which could be detected by S5p/TROPOMI and OMI, showing SO<sub>2</sub> LH up to 20km, see Fig. 4. Clearly, a difference between the OMI (Fig. 4, right) and TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH (Fig. 4, left) is visible, which is currently under investigation. Most likely this is an effect of ash, which is currently not considered in the SO<sub>2</sub> LH retrievals.

The comparison with the two IASI SO<sub>2</sub> products in Fig. 5 shows a good agreement with a mean LH difference between the sensors of about ±0.6±3.6km (see [4] for details).

During the La Soufriere eruption an CALIPSO overpass enabled the comparison against the ash LH (not shown), showing a height difference between the CALIPSO ash LH and the TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH of about 1km (see [4] for details).




Fig. 4: TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH (left) and OMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH (right) retrieved by FP\_ILM for the first days of the La Soufriere eruption 2021. Credit: DLR/ESA and NASA/DLR

## Raikoke eruption Jun-Sep 2019

The explosive eruption of Raikoke in June 2019 injected a strong ash and SO<sub>2</sub> cloud into the stratosphere. SO<sub>2</sub> was transported over the entire northern hemisphere and was detected even 2 months after the eruption. OMI and TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LHs (Fig. 1) show a high altitude plume ranging from about 10 to 20km, which is in very good agreement (~0.5km) with the IASI Univ. Oxford AOPP and the official ULB LATMOS SO<sub>2</sub> LH products, see Fig. 2. For details, see [4]




Fig. 1: TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH (left) and OMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH (right) retrieved by FP\_ILM for the first days of the Raikoke eruption 2019. Credit: DLR/ESA and NASA/DLR

In order to forecast the SO<sub>2</sub> plume movement, ECMWF/CAMS is assimilating the GOME2 and TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> VCD. Due to the lack of vertical information, in the baseline experiment BLexp the plume is positioned around 5km altitude, which works good for most moderate eruptions. However, for strong, high altitude eruption, this assumption no longer holds and the forecast is completely off (see Fig. 3, center row). When assimilating the TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH product (LHexp), the forecast is significantly improved (Fig. 3, bottom row) and the resulting forecast field is in agreement with the IASI SO<sub>2</sub> LH (Fig. 3, top row). For details, see [4,5]




Fig 2: Histogram difference between TROPOMI and IASI SO<sub>2</sub> LH for all collocations of the Raikoke eruption. The validation against the Univ. Oxford AOPP LH product is shown in the left panel whereas the right panel shows the validation against the ULB LATMOS LH product .




Fig.3: ECMWF/CAMS SO<sub>2</sub> forecast using different products. Top row: IASI SO<sub>2</sub> LH of the Raikoke eruption on 24 (left) and 25 June (right). Center row: Baseline ECMWF/CAMS SO<sub>2</sub> forecast using fixed LH as prior. Bottom row: LH forecast after assimilating TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> LH product




Fig 5: Histogram comparison of TROPOMI and IASI SO<sub>2</sub> LH for all collocations of the La Soufriere eruption. The validation against the Univ. Oxford AOPP LH product is shown in the left panel whereas the right panel shows the validation against the ULB LATMOS LH product .

## References

- [1] D. Efremenko, et al. (2017): Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> plume height retrieval from UV sensors using inverse learning machines IJRS, Vol 38
- [2] P. Hedelt, et al. (2019): SO<sub>2</sub> Layer Height retrieval from Sentinel-5 Precursor/TROPOMI using FP\_ILM, AMT-2019-13, Vol. 12, No. 10
- [3] N. M. Fedkin, et al. (2020): Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> Effective Layer Height Retrieval for OMI Using a Machine Learning Approach, AMT, Vol14
- [4] M.E. Koukoulis, et al. (2021): Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> Layer Height by TROPOMI/S5P; validation against IASI/MetOp and CALIOP/CALIPSO observations, submitted to ACP, under review
- [5] A. Inness, et al. (2021) The CAMS volcanic forecasting system utilizing near-real time data assimilation of S5P/TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> retrievals, submitted to GMD, under review