

Statistical Investigation of the relationship between the occurrences of AGW, ESF and ESF types in the American sector

Olawepo, A. Olayinka, Olagunju, O. Emmanuel, and Ajani, O. Victoria

Department of Physics, University of Ilorin

nijiolawepo@yahoo.com

Abstract

Equatorial Spread F (ESF), a manifestation of nighttime irregularities in the equatorial ionosphere has been linked to Atmospheric Gravity Waves (AGW) by different authors. However, there have not been much study to ascertain the extent of the relationship between the occurrence of AGW and the generation and occurrence of ESF. This study investigates the correlation between AGW and ESF occurrences during the year 2016, using data obtained with the aid of satellite borne Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) and ionogram obtained with the aid of Digisonde Portable Sounder (DPS-4) located at Jicamarca, (geog. Lat. 11.95, Long. 76.87 and geomagnetic Lat. 9.28, Long. -7.92), an equatorial station in the Peruvian sector. During this period, 72.9% of AGW occurrence was observed between 18:00UT and 00:00UT (post-sunset period) while the remaining 27.1% occurrence was observed between 00:00 and 04:00UT (post-midnight period) coinciding with the period of occurrence of ESF. Results from the study reveal that the occurrences of ESF and AGW are independent of each other. An insignificant correlation (0.39) was found between the days of occurrence of the two phenomena. While ESF occurrence is a regular daily occurrence with local time dependence, AGW propagation is not dependent on local time. For Jicamarca, we found that ESF occurrence is greater during the solstice months than equinox. The probability of AGW reaching the bottomside F-layer depends on the properties of the wave. In this study, AGW was able to penetrate ionospheric heights on only six occasions. The results also show that AGW occurrence can only influence the conditions that trigger ESF rather than triggering ESF altogether. The occurrence of AGW tends to influence the occurrence of MSF type of ESF which is predominantly a post sunset phenomenon in preference to the other two types. Coefficient of correlation between AGW and MSF ranged between

0.1 and 0.5, while for RSF and FSF it ranged between -0.2 and 0.2. These levels of correlations tend to confirm that AGW occurrence only do influence rather than outright triggering of ESF.

Keywords: Atmospheric gravity waves, Equatorial ionosphere, Equatorial spread F, Ionospheric irregularities,

1.0 Introduction

The earth atmosphere is a mixture of gases. The atmosphere has four main layers which are the troposphere below 10km, the stratosphere which ranges from 10 km to 50 km in altitude, the mesosphere (50 km to 60 km) and the thermosphere which is located above 60 km altitude. The coupling of the lower layers of the atmosphere with the upper layers has become a subject of interest to scientists in recent times as a result of the various studies (e.g. Chau et al., 2010) suggesting the possible interconnection between these two regions of the earth atmosphere. The dynamics of the lower atmosphere can be influenced by weather conditions leading to several activities among which are atmospheric gravity waves (AGW), the effects of which can be transferred to the upper atmosphere. Gravity waves are generated in fluid medium where buoyancy and gravity tend to attain equilibrium, propagating mesoscale disturbances which transport energy and momentum in fluid environments. Gravity waves have the ability to transport energy and momentum both horizontally and vertically far away from their sources and, upon breaking, deposit this energy and momentum into the mean flow, resulting in a drag force. AGW has been shown to penetrate to higher altitudes under suitable propagation conditions (Vadas et al., 2009a, and 2009b, Taylor et al., 2008; Wrasse et al., 2008). Small amounts of gravity wave drag can significantly influence the thermal structure in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT) through downward control (Hindley, 2016). For instance, Takahashi et al.

(2008) noted a close correlation between AGW spatial scales in the Mesosphere and Lower Thermosphere (MLT) and plasma bubble scales seen in 6300 Å emissions at the F layer peak. Also, the airglow AGW momentum flux analysis by Vargas *et al.* (2009a) showed evidence of AGW spatial and temporal scales and amplitudes in the MLT and extending to the bottomside F layer. Studies by Hysell *et al.*, (2014) indicated that atmospheric gravity waves could induce plasma dynamics in the ionosphere directly by moving the plasma in the direction of the geomagnetic field and indirectly by driving electric dynamos, either in the F region or in the E region on common geomagnetic field lines. Vertical plasma drift could massively influence the height of equatorial F layer. The equatorial vertical plasma drift is, in general, upward during daytime and downward at night, and the reversal from upward to downward occurs around 20:00 LT. An important feature of the equatorial F region vertical plasma drift is the occurrence of a sharp increase of the upward velocity just before it reverses downward (Fejer *et al.*, 2008). This Pre-Reversal Enhancement (PRE) of the vertical plasma drift is associated with an enhanced eastward electric field when the E region conductivity decreases rapidly immediately after sunset. Large PRE often occurs around equinox when the geomagnetic field lines are aligned with the sunset terminator, so the eastward polarization electric field becomes the strongest near the sharp horizontal gradient of conductivity (Tsunoda, 2005). Empirical patterns of the PRE depend on factors such as solar radio flux, season, longitude, and geomagnetic activity (Fejer *et al.*, 2008). During the postsunset, PRE moves the equatorial F layer to high altitudes, and the bottomside of the F layer becomes steeper than that in the daytime because of non-existence of photo-ionization there, creating conditions conducive for the growth of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability. Atmospheric gravity waves of different scales and periodicity oscillating into the ionosphere are known to generate pre-reversal enhancement in drift velocity through the wind dynamo (Fesen *et al.*, 2000; Abdu *et al.*, 2006). According to Abdu, (2001), there are three processes that could lead to the formation of Equatorial Spread F namely: (i) the linear growth rate of the generalized Rayleigh–Taylor (R–T) instability process, (ii) flux tube integrated Pedersen conductivity that controls the nonlinear development, and (iii) density perturbations can serve

76 as seeding sources. Rayleigh-Taylor (R-T) instability is believed to be the main physical mechanism
77 responsible for the growth of equatorial spread F. The R-T instability is excited in the bottomside F
78 region and evolves into a plasma instability that penetrates to the topside F region. According to
79 Woodman, (2009), the generalized R-T instability mechanism was found to be too slow to explain the
80 rapid development observed in ESF formation. AGW was therefore proposed as a seeding mechanism for
81 the formation of the irregularity that results in ESF. This proposition was enhanced by the results
82 obtained from studies carried out by Abdu *et al.*, (2006) and Takahashi *et al.*, (2009) which show that
83 AGW could cause PRE in the ionosphere, and that the short-term variability in the PRE vertical drift may
84 arise from external forcing due to upward propagating atmospheric gravity waves.

85 The pre-reversal enhancement (PRE) vertical drift velocity responsible for the uplift of the F layer could
86 trigger the R-T instability mechanism which is recognized as the basic drivers controlling the ESF
87 morphology across different seasons and longitudes (Abdu, 2001; Dabas *et al.*, 2003). The PRE rapidly
88 elevates the ionosphere into a higher altitude region, where the collision frequency is lower and more
89 conducive for further plasma depletion growth by the R-T instability mechanism (Afolayan *et al.*, 2019).
90 Haung, (2018) made extensive effort to identify the relationship between PRE and occurrence of ESF.
91 Three distinct relationships were identified, which include (1) the requirement of threshold of PRE for
92 ESF occurrence, (2) linear increase in PRE with the ESF occurrence probability, and (3) PRE serves as a
93 function of continuous probability distribution of ESF.

94 ESF are observations of “spread” in the traces on ionogram at equatorial regions and are used to describe
95 plasma instability phenomena that occur in the F-region of the equatorial ionosphere (Kelley, 1989). This
96 phenomenon which was first observed by Booker and Wells (1938) was seen as “diffuse echoes” from the
97 F region of the equatorial ionosphere over a wide range of wave frequency. The ionogram traces, rather
98 than showing a thin line corresponding to the virtual height of the reflecting altitude, as the ionosonde
99 frequency was changed, showed instead a range of virtual heights as if the echoing region were spread

over a range of altitudes (range spread, RSF). At times, the spread showed only at the high frequency end and looked more like a spread in frequency for a given virtual height (frequency spread, FSF) (Woodman,2009). The diffuse echoes, suggested to be caused by irregularities in the ionospheric F region, were later named spread F. Consequently, three types of spread F have thus been identified namely range spread F (RSF), frequency spread F (FSF) and the mixed type of spread F (MSF). The aim of this paper is to investigate the relationship between the occurrence of AGW/- and the generation of nighttime ESF.

2.0 Data and Method

Gravity waves data in form of Brightness Temperature Perturbation (BTP) obtained with the aid of the Advanced Infrared Sounder (AIRS) on board Aqua satellites and ionograms recorded with the aid of digisonde located at Jicarmaca (Geog. Lat. 11.95, Long. 76.87 and Geom. Lat. -7.92, Long. 9.28) an equatorial station in the Peruvian sector for the year 2016. While the BTP data were retrieved from the AIR's data archive at <http://data.pub.fz-juelich.de/slcs/airs/gravity.waves> , ionospheric data were obtained from the Global Ionosphere Radio Observatory (GIRO) portal on (DIDbase) [www.https://ulcar.uml.edu/DIDBase/](http://ulcar.uml.edu/DIDBase/) . The BTP data were used directly as proxy for the gravity wave data (although one may extract the gravity wave parameter from the BTP data by using a 4th order point polynomial filter e.g. see Wu 2004; Hoffmann and Alexander 2010). Since we are interested only in the nighttime, only BTP data during nighttime (18:00UT – 05:00UT) were considered. Nighttime ionograms covering the entire 2016 were extracted and manually inspected for the occurrence of ESF. Ionograms with ESF were further examined for the ESF type. Thus, ionograms with ESF were grouped into three: RSF, FSF and MSF. Equations (1) – (3) were used to determine the percentages of occurrence of ESF, types of ESF and AGW respectively. Corresponding number of BTP (values) percentage of occurrences were then correlated with the percentage of ESF occurrences in order to examine the relationship

between gravity waves and ESF. The occurrence percentages (values) of BTP were also correlated with the types of ESF in order to examine the possible influence gravity waves played in the formation of the three types of ESF.

$$\text{Percentage of Spread } f(\%SF) = \frac{\text{Total Number of spread } F(TNSF)}{\text{Total Number of Ionogram}(TNI)} \times \frac{100}{1} (1)$$

$$\text{Percentage Type of Spread } F(\%TSF) = \frac{\sum \text{Type of Spread } F(STSF)}{\text{Total Number of Spread } F(TNSF)} \times \frac{100}{1} (2)$$

$$\% \text{ Frequency occurrence of AGW per month} = \frac{\text{Total AGW occurrence per month}}{\text{Total GW occurrence} \in 2016} \times \frac{100}{1} (3)$$

3.0. Results and Discussion

3.1 AGW occurrence

Fig. 1 shows occurrence statistics of AGW (represented by BTP observations). The result shows that AGW occurrence was not regular during the period under review. BTP occurrence was observed 337 times spreading across (the nighttime) of only twenty-eight (28) out of the three hundred and sixty-five (365) days of the twelve months of the year 2016. Fig. 1 also shows the monthly occurrence percentage. The month of September is observed to have the highest percentage occurrence (21%) followed by July (18.7%). The month of December with percentage occurrence of 2.5% had the lowest. The occurrence percentage can thus be categorized according to the percentage BTP occurrence (%BTP) into high (%BTP > 15%); moderate 15% > %BTP < 8% and low %BTP < 8%. The months of February, July and September experienced high occurrence; April, Oct and November experienced moderate occurrence while the months of March, May and December had low occurrence. January and June did not experience any

occurrence during the year under study. Fig. 1(b) is the plot showing number of days in each month with (nighttime i.e. 1800UT of one day to 0500UT of the other) AGW occurrence. The plot shows that AGW monthly occurrences were recorded only between two (one nighttime) and five days (two and half nighttimes). The month of October had the highest number of five days while February, April and September had occurrence of AGW on four days each. March, May, June and November experienced AGW on two days each while December had occurrence on three days within the month.

3.2. Equatorial Spread F Occurrence

Fig. 2(a) shows that unlike AGW, ESF is a regular occurrence. ESF was observed all through the twelve months of the year. The month of December recorded the highest number of days with occurrence. All the ionograms for the 31 days (i.e. nighttime) exhibit ESF. December was closely followed by June with ionograms for 28 out of the thirty days of the month having ESF occurrence. The month of August with 11 days has the least number of days of occurrence. Fig. 2(b) shows the seasonal plot of the number of days with ESF occurrence at Jicarmaca during the year 2016. ESF obviously shows seasonal dependence, (in accordance with the existing literature) with the solstice months showing predominance in number of days of ESF occurrence. December solstice with an average of 24 days has highest occurrence followed by June solstice with an average of 22 days. For the equinox months, March equinox with an average of 21 days of occurrence experienced ESF on more days than September equinox with barely 15 days with ESF. Fig. 2(c) shows the plot of relative occurrence of the three types of ESF. While MSF had occurrence every month of the year 2016, RSF was observed seven months of Feb., March, May, July, Aug., Nov., and Dec. FSF was observed eight months of the year, being absent in the months of Jan., May, June and August. A closer look at Fig. 2(c) reveals that RSF followed a trend, having higher number of days of occurrence during February and March (equinox) and November and December (solstice) while showing low occurrence during the middle of the year. Thus, a polynomial trend line through the plot shows that the monthly daily occurrence of RSF follows a polynomial of order two and correlation coefficient of 0.93.

3.3 Correlation between AGW and ESF

Fig. 3 is the plot showing the relative days of occurrence of AGW, ESF and the three types of ESF. The plot reveals that ESF and MSF in particular are regular occurrences as they are present throughout the months of the year. The months of January and June (solstice months) are observed to have recorded 100% of MSF, covering the 28 days for which ESF was observed. RSF is observed to have a maximum of seven days of occurrence and this occurred in the month of December. It is followed by the month of February with six days of occurrence of RSF. FSF is least in the number of days of occurrence during the year. A maximum of four days of occurrence is observed for each of the months of February and October. The month of October with the maximum of five days of AGW occurrence has the highest percentage of occurrence. The months of February, April and September had four days each with AGW occurrence. Fig 4 shows the correlation plots of days of occurrence of ESF (and ESF types) with AGW. The plots show that all except the days of occurrence of FSF were negatively correlated with the days of occurrence of AGW. The number of days of ESF occurrence shows a negative correlation with days of AGW occurrence with regression coefficient of 0.1513 (and correlation coefficient, $R = 0.39$). This suggests that the days of occurrence of ESF and AGW are not significantly related. Among the three types of ESF, only the days of FSF occurrence shows a positive relationship with the days of occurrence of AGW. A regression coefficient of 0.0068 ($R = 0.08$) is observed to exist between FSF and AGW. These results show that the day of ESF occurrence does not really depend on the occurrence of AGW. Although their days of occurrences are independent of each other, there is the possibility that, whenever the two phenomena occur simultaneously the presence of AGW may influence the conditions necessary for the onset of ESF (in cases where AGW generate enough momentum to reach ionospheric heights). Result from this study shows that during the entire 2016, simultaneous occurrences of AGW and ESF were recorded on only on six occasions (nighttime). Table 1 shows the months and days (18:00UT – 04:00UT) when the occurrence of the two phenomena coincide and their frequencies of occurrence. Simultaneous occurrence of ESF and

AGW were observed once each in February, April, November and December, and twice in September. A maximum of forty ionograms with ESF occurrences were observable between the hours of 18:00UT and 04:00UT (ionograms were recorded every fifteen minutes) while a maximum of thirty one AGW (BTP) occurrences were observable within the period. According to Takahashi et al., (2009) and Cabrera et al., (2010), where coincidence occurs, occurrence of AGW is expected to be connected to the occurrence of only two out of the three possible types of ESF, namely RSF and MSF. Fig. 5 shows scatter plots of the frequency of occurrence of the type of ESF prevailing on each of the days against the frequency of occurrence of AGW for the six days. The results show that the occurrence of MSF is more prevalent than RSF on the days of simultaneous occurrences of AGW and ESF. MSF occurrence was observed on five out of the six occasions. 100% of the ESF observed in April (20-21), September (26-27 and 28-29) and November (28-29) while 75% of December (20-21) were MSF. RSF occurrence (100%) was observed only on Feb (5-6). The implication of these results is that the occurrence of AGW tends to enhance the occurrence of MSF more than the other two types of ESF since the occurrence of MSF was predominant on days when there were coincidences in occurrences of AGW and ESF. Fig 5 shows the correlation plots of the frequency of occurrence of types of ESF and AGW on these days. The results show that, although the correlation between ESF types and AGW frequency of occurrence is positive for all the six except for 28-29 September, the correlation coefficients for all the days were very much less than unity, thus signifying that the degree of dependency of the two phenomena on each other is quite low. Correlation coefficient for February (5-6), April (20-21) and September (26-27) and December (20-21) are found to be 0.1, 0.2, 0.2 and 0.3 respectively while the correlation coefficient for September (28-29) and November are both ~ 0.5 .

4.0 Summary and Discussion

A statistical study to investigate the relationship between the occurrence of AGW, a lower atmosphere phenomenon and ESF, a nighttime phenomenon characteristic of equatorial ionosphere has been carried

out. We have used BTP obtained from AIRS as a proxy for AGW parameter, in conjunction with nighttime
 ionograms obtained with the aid DPS-4 at Jicarmaca, an equatorial station in the Peruvian sector during
 the year 2016, a year of low solar activity for the study. Results from the study shows that AGW
 occurrence is not a regular phenomenon when compared with the occurrence of ESF which a regular
 phenomenon emanating from the nighttime irregularity in the equatorial ionosphere. ESF has been
 shown to exhibit diurnal, seasonal, solar cycle, angle of dip and longitudinal dependence (e.g. Woodman,
 2009; Li et al., 2008; Paznukhov et al., 2012; Adeniyi et al., 2017; Bolaji et al., 2018). Our study shows that
 ESF at Jicarmaca exhibits seasonal dependence being greater in occurrence in the solstice than equinox.
 Although AGW occurrence exhibits seasonal dependence, its propagation direction is not local time
 dependent (Ejiri et al., 2003). Its occurrence and extent depends solely on the level of atmospheric
 convection and other meteorological activities (Hoffmann and Alexander, 2010; Lane and Zhang, 2011;
 Vargas et al., 2016). Its direction of propagation is dictated by planetary waves which vary from one
 latitude to the other (Ejiri et al., 2003). Our result shows that AGW (nighttime) occurrence was recorded
 at Jicarmaca only on twenty eight out of the 365 days of the year under study compared to ESF which is a
 regular (nighttime) occurrence. Hence, the result further reveals that days of occurrence of the two
 phenomena show no reasonable correlation; their days of occurrences are independent one on the other.
 Coefficient of correlation for the days of occurrence of AGW and ESF was found to be 0.39. Furthermore,
 not all AGW propagating upward do get to the ionospheric heights. Depending on the wavelengths, AGW
 get absorbed at mesopause heights (Ejiri et al., 2003). We found that simultaneous occurrence of AGW
 and ESF can occur when their days and periods of occurrence coincide, and when gravity waves achieve
 large amplitudes enough to reach the bottomside F-layer heights (Fritts et al., 2008). Only six of such
 occasions were observed in this study. According to Fritts et al., (2008) AGW at bottomside F-layer
 altitudes will act to modulate the parameters that are responsible for the growth rates of plasma
 instability to varying degrees depending on the properties of AGW. Where AGW is able to reach the
 bottomside F-layer, it is expected to influence mainly MSF and RSF types of ESF (Takahashi et al., 2009;

Cabrera et al., 2010). Result of this study reveals that AGW occurrence at the bottomside F-layer influences the mechanisms that trigger MSF more than RSF types of ESF. MSF type of ESF was observed to predominate during the days of coincidental occurrences; with five of the six days having MSF occurrence. MSF is the type of ESF in which combines the attributes of the other two i.e. RSF and FSF. This implies that the influence of AGW at the bottomside F-layer heights is such that it enhances the conditions suitable for the triggering of MSF in preference to the other two types. Adeniyi et al., (2017) has shown that MSF is a post sunset phenomenon while RSF is predominant during post-midnight. Hence, the influence of AGW on ESF is predominant during post sunset periods.

5.0 Conclusion

A statistical analysis of the relationship between the occurrences of ESF and AGW has been carried out in this study. We have used AGW data in the form of BTP obtained using AIRS data and ionograms obtained with the aid of a digisonde located at Jicarmaca, an equatorial station within the Peruvian sector during 2016. Our results reveal that the occurrences of ESF and AGW are independent of each other. No significant correlation was found between the days of occurrence of the two phenomena. While ESF is a regular occurrence with seasonal dependence, AGW propagation is not dependent on local time. For Jicarmaca, we found that ESF occurrence is greater during the solstice months than equinox. The probability of AGW reaching the bottomside F-layer depends on the properties of the wave. In this study, AGW was able to reach ionospheric heights on only six occasions. When AGW has enough amplitude to reach ionospheric heights it influences the conditions that trigger rather than triggering it. We also found that AGW tend to influence the occurrence of MSF type of ESF which is predominantly a post sunset phenomenon. Coefficient of correlation between AGW and MSF ranged between 0.1 and 0.5. These levels of correlations tend to confirm the influence rather than outright triggering of ESF by AWG occurrences.

266 **Footnote**

267 This study is a statistical study of the correlation between AGW and ESF occurrences. We have used BTP
268 as a proxy for AGW rather than extracting AGW parameter for the study. As a result, this study is limited
269 to quantitative rather than qualitative analysis. We look forward to further studies which will examine
270 qualitatively, the relationship existing between these phenomena.

271 **Acknowledgement**

272 Authors wish to acknowledge the scientists at Jicarmaca laboratory for the maintenance of the Digisonde
273 and making their data available. We also acknowledge the scientists in charge of the aqua satellite and
274 AIR for making their data available.

275

276

277 **Reference**

278 Abdu, M.A., (2001). Outstanding problems in the equatorial ionosphere-thermosphere electrodynamics
279 relevant to spread F. Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics 63,869–884

280

281 Abdu M.A, Batista I.S, Reinisch B.W, MacDougall J.W, Kherani E.A and Sobral J.H.A (2012). Equatorial range
282 spread F echoes from coherent backscatter, and irregularity growth processes, from conjugate
283 point digital ionograms. Radio Sci Vol 47, RS6003.

284

285 Abdu M.A (2019). Day-to-day and short-term variabilities in the equatorial plasma bubble/spread F
286 irregularity seeding and development. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, Vol 6(11), pp 1 –
287 22.

288

289 Abdu MA, Batista PP, Batista IS, Brum CGM, Carrasco A, Reinisch B. W (2006) Planetary wave oscillations
 290 in mesospheric winds, equatorial evening prereversal electric field and spread F. Geophys Res Lett
 291 33, n.L07107:1–4.
 292
 293 Abdu M.A, Ramkumarb T.K, Batista, I.S, Bruma C.M, Takahashi H, Reinischc B.W, Sobral J.A (2006).
 294 Planetary wave signatures in the equatorial atmosphere–ionosphere system, and mesosphere-E-
 295 and F-region coupling. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics Vol 68 pp 509–522
 296
 297 Abdu M. A, Kherani E. A, Batista I. S, de Paula E. R., Fritts D. C., and Sobral J. A (2009).
 298 Gravity wave initiation of equatorial spread F/plasma bubble irregularities based on
 299 observational data from the SpreadFEx campaign. Ann. Geophys., Vol 27, pp 2607 –2622
 300
 301 Adeniyi, J. O; G. Agunbiade, A. O. Olawepo, O. A. Oladipo, I. A. Adimula and S. O. Ikubanni. (2017). Low
 302 Latitude Spread-F Occurrence during June Solstice and September Equinox of Sunspot
 303 Minimum.
 304 The African Review of Physics (2017) 12: Special Issue on Applied Physics in Africa 0004 29
 305
 306 Afolayan A. O; Mandeep S. J; Abdullah M; Buhari S. M; Yokoyama .T; and Supnithi P (2019). Spread F
 307 occurrence features at different 1 longitudinal regions during low and moderate solar activity.
 Manuscript under review for journal Ann. Geophys
 308
 309 Aswathy R. P. and Manju, G (2017). Gravity wave control on ESF day-to-day variability: An empirical
 approach. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, Vol 122, pp6791–6798
 310
 311 Bolaji, O.S., Adebisi, S.J., Fashae, J.B., Characterization of ionospheric irregularities at different longitudes
 312 during quiet and disturbed geomagnetic conditions, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial
 Physics (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2018.11.007>

313 Booker H. G and Wells H. W (1938). Scattering of radio waves in the F region of ionosphere. Terr Mag
314 Atmos Electr 43:249

315 Chau, J. I, N.A. Aponte, E. Cabassa, M.P. Sulzer, I. P. Gonchenrko and S. A. Gonzalez. (2010). Quiet time
316 ionospheric variability over Arcibo during sudden stratospheric warming events. Journal of
317 Geophysical Res. Vol. 115, A00G06, doi:10.1029/2010JA015378

318 Cabrera M. A, Pezzopane, M. Zuccherett E. and. Ezquer R. G(2010). Satellite traces, range spread-F
319 occurrence, and gravity wave propagation at the southern anomaly crest. Ann. Geophys., Vol 28, pp
320 1133–1140.

321 Dabas, R. S.; Singh, L.; Lakshmi, D. R.; Subramanyam, P; Chopra, P and Garg, S. C.: Evolution and dynamics
322 of equatorial plasma bubbles: Relationships to ExB drift, postsunset total electron content
323 enhancements, and equatorial electrojet strength, Radio Sci., 38(4), pp 495

324 Ejiri, M. K., K. Shiokawa, T. Ogawa, K. Igarashi, T. Nakamura and T. Tsuda (2003). Statistical study of
325 short-period gravity waves in OH and OI nightglow images at two separated sites. Journal of
326 Geophysical Research, ol. 108, no. D21, 4679, doi:10.1029/2002jd002795

327 Fejer B. G, Jensen J. W, Su S-Y (2008). Quiet time equatorial F region vertical plasmadrift model derived
328 from ROCSAT-1 observations. J Geophys Res 113:A05304.

329 Fesen, C.G., Crowley, R.G.R., Richmond, A.D., Fejer, B.G., (2000). Simulation of the pre-reversal
330 enhancement in the low latitude vertical ion drifts. Geophysical Research Letters 27,1851–1854

331 Fritts, D. C. and Alexander, M. J(2013). Gravity wave dynamics and effects in the middle atmosphere, Rev.
332 Geophys.,Vol 41, pp 1003..

333 Fritts, D. C. and Vadas, S. L. (2008). Gravity wave penetration into the thermosphere: Sensitivity to solar
334 cycle variations and mean winds, Ann. Geophys., Vol 26, pp 3841–3861

335 Fritts D. C., VadasS. L., Riggin D. M., Abdu M. A., Batista I. S., TakahashiH., Medeiros A, Kamalabadi F., Liu
336 H.L, Fejer, B. G. and TaylorM. J. (2008). Gravity wave and tidal influences on equatorial spread F
337 based on observations during the Spread F Experiment (SpreadFEx). Ann. Geophys., Vol 26, pp
338 3235–3252.

339 Gong, J, Yue, J and Wu D. L (2015). Global survey of concentric gravity waves in AIRS images and ECMWF
340 analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Vol 10, pp 2210 – 2226.

341 Hindley, N (2016). Satellite Observations and Spectral Analysis of Stratospheric Gravity Wave Dynamics.
342 An unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of
343 Bath.

344 Hoffmann, L., and Alexander M. J. (2010), Occurrence frequency of convective gravity waves during the
345 North American thunderstorm season, Journal of Res Geophys., pp115, D20111.

346

347 Huang, C. S (2018).Effects of the postsunset vertical plasma drift on the generation of equatorial spread
348 F. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science. Vol 5 pp 1 – 15.

349 Hysell, D. I; Jafari, R; Fritts, D. C and Laughman, B (2014). Gravity wave effects on postsunset equatorial F
350 region stability. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, pp 5848,
351 10.1002/2014JA019990.

352 Kelley, M. C.:(1989). The Earth’s Ionosphere: Plasma Physics and Electrodynamics, Academic, San Diego,
353 California,

354 Narayanan VL, Taori A, Patra AK, Emperumal K, Gurubaran S (2012) On the importance of wave-
355 like structures in the occurrence of equatorial plasmabubbles: a case study. J Geophys Res 117,
356 A01306.

357

358 Olagunju (2019). A study of Influence of Atmospheric gravity waves and nighttime irregularities in the
 359 equatorial ionosphere. Unpublished M.Phil/Ph.D Thesis at Department of Physics, University of
 360 Ilorin.
 361

362 Patra, A, K, Taori A, Chaitanya PP, Sripathi S (2013) Direct detection of wavelike spatial structure at the
 363 bottom of the F region and its role on the formation of equatorial plasma bubble. J Geophys Res
 364 118:1196–1202
 365

366 Rao, R. P, Ram S.T, Niranjana .K and Prasad D.D (2006). The role of post sunset vertical drifts at the
 367 equator in predicting the onset of VHF scintillations during high and low sunspot activity years.
 368 “Science for Space Weather” ILWS Workshop, pp 19 – 24.
 369

370 Shi, J. K, Wang G. J, Reinisch B. W, Shang S. P, Wang X, Zherebotsov, G. and Potekhin A. (2011). Relationship
 371 between strong range spread F and ionospheric scintillations observed in Hainan from 2003 to
 372 2007. Geophysical research journal, Vol 116, pp 306 – 401.

373 Takahashi, H, Taylor M.J, Pautet P-D, Medeiros A.F, Gobbi D, Wrasse C.M, Fachine J, Abdu M.A, Batista I.S,
 374 Paula E, Sobral J.H.A, Arruda D, Vadas SL, Sabbas FS, Fritts DC (2009) Simultaneous observation of
 375 ionospheric plasma bubbles and mesospheric gravity waves during the Spread F Ex campaign. Ann
 376 Geophys 27:1477–1487.

377 Taylor, M. J., Pautet, P. D., Medeiros, A. F., Buriti, R., Fachine, J., Fritts, D. C., Vadas, S., Takahashi, H., and
 378 Sao Sabbas, F (2008). Characteristics of mesospheric gravity waves near the magnetic equator,
 379 Brazil, during the Spread F Ex campaign, Ann. Geophys., in press.

380 Tsunoda, R. T. (2008) Satellite traces: an ionogram signature for large-scale wave structure and a
 381 precursor for equatorial spread F. Geophys Res Letts 35: L20110.

382 Lane, T. P and Zhang F (2011). Coupling between Gravity Waves and Tropical Convection at Mesoscales.
383 Journal of the Atmospheric Science. Vpl 68, pp 2582.

384 Tsunoda, R. T (2010) On equatorial spread F: establishing a seeding hypothesis. JGeophys Res 115,
385 A12303,

386 Vadas, S. L., and M. J. Keskinen (2009a), Three-dimensional nonlinear evolution of equatorial ionospheric
387 bubbles with gravity wave seeding and tidal wind effects, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L03101,
388 doi:10.1029/2009GL041216.

389 Vadas, S. L., and H. L. Liu (2009b), Generation of large-scale gravity waves and neutral winds in the
390 thermosphere from the dissipation of convectively generated gravity waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114,
391 A10310,

392 Vargas F, Swenson G, Liu A, and Pautet D (2016). Evidence of the excitation of a ring-like gravity wave in
393 the mesosphere over the Andes Lidar Observatory. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.
394 pp 8896.

395 Woodman, R. F (2009). Spread F – an old equatorial aeronomy problem finally resolved? *Ann. Geophys.*,
396 27, 1915–1934. www.ann-geophys.net/27/1915/2009/

397 Wrasse, C. M., Takahashi, H., Fehine, J., Medeiros, A. F., and Bageston, J. V. (2008). Ray tracing of GWs
398 observed in OH airglow during SpreadFEx, *Ann. Geophys.*, in review,

399 Wu, D. L.,(2004). Mesoscale gravity wave variances from AMSU-A radiances. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, pp 31.