

1. Was study/methodological quality or risk of bias assessed for the individual studies included in the meta-analysis? (ctrl F: quality, risk of bias, bias)		
yes		no (stop coding)

↓

2. Which quality measure or risk of bias tool was used? (ctrl F: quality, risk of bias, scale)		
List type (Ex: Jadad scale, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), Downs and Black Checklist, QUADAS, QUADAS-2, GRADE guidelines/approach, A Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool (ACROBAT), Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro), Oxford Scale, author independently assessed)		unspecified

↓

3. If the authors used their own methods and described them, list here.		
Enter as shown in article		blank

↓

4. Was the quality or risk of bias graded?		
yes		no

↓

5. What scale was used to grade quality or risk of bias?		
Quality (numeric value), risk of bias (high/low/unclear, etc.)		blank

↓

6. Were high risk of bias or low quality studies found?		
yes	no	unknown

↓

7. Were high risk of bias or low quality studies included in analysis?		
yes	no	unknown

↓

8. Was high risk of bias or low quality dealt with using subgroup analysis?		
yes		no

↓

9. Was high risk of bias or low quality dealt with using meta-regression?		
yes		no

↓

10. Was high risk of bias or low quality dealt with using sensitivity analysis?		
yes		no

↓

11. How was the risk of bias or quality presented in the article?		
table, figure, narrative		none