

Newborn screening for sickle cell disease in sub-Saharan Africa: Is the glass half-full yet?

Luke R. Smart MD ¹⁻³ and Russell E. Ware MD PhD ^{1,3}

¹Division of Hematology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

² Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, University of Cincinnati

³Global Health Center, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

Correspondence: Russell E. Ware MD PhD

 Division of Hematology

 Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

 Cincinnati OH 45229

 russell.ware@cchmc.org

Text: 1000

Figures: 1

References: 18

Key Words: sickle cell disease, newborn screening, Africa

Abbreviations

LMIC	Low and Middle Income Countries
SCD	Sickle Cell Disease

The overwhelming majority of children with sickle cell disease (SCD) in the world are born, live, and die in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) located within sub-Saharan Africa. [1] Tragically, outside of a few select African urban centers, almost none of these affected infants are ever diagnosed, and are thus unable to receive life-saving preventive care and survive past 5 years of age. [2] Newborn screening for SCD holds great promise for changing this unacceptable paradigm. Even before the widespread use of disease-modifying therapy with hydroxyurea, adoption of universal newborn screening for SCD in the United States saved lives by allowing early initiation of penicillin, pneumococcal immunization, and family education about early signs of life-threatening complications. [3-5] Although these simple interventions are potentially available in LMIC, most SCD screening in Africa to date has been in the form of pilot studies that primarily demonstrate feasibility and document a high burden of disease. [6-10]

In this issue of *Pediatric Blood and Cancer*, Segbefia et al describe their experience implementing a newborn SCD screening program at Korle Bu, the largest public hospital in Ghana. [11] Should we be encouraged by yet another pilot program in sub-Saharan Africa, when none of the prior programs has evolved into a national universal newborn screening policy? The halting success of the United States to adopt universal sickle cell newborn screening should temper our response. Despite pre-existing newborn screening infrastructure for metabolic conditions like phenylketonuria, [12] more than 30 years with tireless advocacy and significant federal funding were required before SCD was added to every state's screening program. [13] Although their experiences reveal some failures and gaps, the team in Ghana describes an early essential phase of implementation and provides an invaluable roadmap for hospitals in LMIC that seek to establish successful a public health intervention within their busy, complex medical environment. Their report is especially relevant as collaborative efforts are coalescing around the wider introduction of SCD screening across Africa, such as the CONSA program by the American Society of Hematology. [14]

This manuscript contains one of the most detailed accounts yet published of the programmatic structure, resources, personnel, and efforts needed to operationalize an LMIC newborn SCD screening program in its full breadth from sample collection to follow-up care. With support from government, academia, and industry, the team in Ghana set out to establish a robust SCD screening program to diagnose newborns on the hospital maternity ward and connect them to the SCD clinic at the same facility. Newborn screening in Africa is cost-effective, [15,16] but the startup phase can be especially resource intensive, and this team formed an impressive coalition to accomplish the task. The Ghana Ministry of Health and the Sickle Cell Foundation of Ghana provided necessary impetus; a north-south collaboration with The Hospital for Sick Children and Toronto University was established; and external funding was obtained from Pfizer. Care was taken to form appropriate local governance and supervision.

During an initial year-long demonstration phase, they tested only 45% of all newborns but still diagnosed 79 infants with presumed SCD, of whom 74 (94%) were contacted and 60 (79%) were linked to ongoing SCD care. After discovering that task-shifting was ineffective for already busy nurse-midwives, additional staff were hired to collect infant samples on the maternity wards. During the following year-long Phase 1 period, they managed to test 80% of newborns, diagnose 132 infants, contact 121 (92%), and link 62 (47%) to ongoing care. Perhaps not coincidentally, broader screening brought to scale meant only half of the babies were brought into care.

Linkage-to-care is an essential aspect of any screening program and perhaps its greatest challenge. Children diagnosed with SCD in sub-Saharan Africa will only benefit from the diagnosis when they regularly attend a dedicated SCD clinic that provides appropriate therapy. [17] The intuitive cascade of care from collection of specimens to prescription of medications contains numerous pitfalls that defy easy solutions and require adaptations tailored to the realities of each setting (Figure 1). Short-term successes in previous pilot screening programs have varied, but larger maternity-based programs have

experienced more challenges, averaging only around 50% in Angola, Liberia, and DRC. [6,7,18] Those reports provide few details about the strategies used to ensure clinic attendance and focused more on the optimal screening location (hospital-based, immunization clinic-based), timing (at birth, before 3 months of age), and methodology (point-of-care, hemoglobin electrophoresis, high performance liquid chromatography).

Accurate test results are important, but screening programs must recognize and address features that impede successful linkage-to-care. SCD screening in a major hospital provides an opportunity to diagnose children early in life, but delayed reporting of test results may prohibit timely notification and education of families before discharge. After babies leave the hospital, families are sometimes difficult to locate. Those who live far from the hospital and are unable to return for routine care may lack an appropriately equipped local SCD clinic. Utilizing immunization clinics more proximal to family homes can increase clinic attendance if local SCD clinics are available. [10] In Ghana, creative solutions included developing a relationship with the family before discharge, repeated contact attempts, tracking clinic no-shows, and employing public health nurses to locate families in the community.

While the long-term success of SCD screening programs in LMIC remains to be determined, these efforts provide some rays of hope for the future of children born with SCD in LMIC, especially within sub-Saharan Africa. The progress achieved in response to the global HIV pandemic displays the sustainable gains that can be accomplished with local-global partnerships. The American Society of Hematology, in partnership with PerkinElmer, has committed to helping with newborn screening in numerous African countries; continued external funding is needed to create SCD screening and treatment programs that extend beyond teaching hospitals. Despite numerous struggles and challenges, we see these ~50% success rates as a glass half-full, recognizing that ongoing partnerships, investment, and best practices

are needed to extend such programs beyond busy maternity wards in major cities to smaller hospitals, immunization clinics, and health outposts across each country.

Disclosures

Dr. Smart has nothing to disclose. Dr. Ware receives research donations from Bristol Myers-Squibb, Addmedica, and Hemex Health; serves as a consultant for Nova Laboratories; is on a Medical Advisory Board for CSL Behring and Alexion; and chairs Data and Safety Monitoring Boards for Novartis and Editas. None of these disclosures is relevant to this commentary.

References

1. Piel FB, Hay SI, Gupta S, Weatherall DJ, Williams TN. Global burden of sickle cell anaemia in children under five, 2010-2050: modelling based on demographics, excess mortality, and interventions. *PLoS Med* 2013;10(7):e1001484.
2. Grosse SD, Odame I, Atrash HK, Amendah DD, Piel FB, Williams TN. Sickle cell disease in Africa: a neglected cause of early childhood mortality. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;41(6 Suppl 4):S398-S405.
3. Gaston MH, Verter JI, Woods G, et al. Prophylaxis with oral penicillin in children with sickle cell anemia. A randomized trial. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314(25):1593-1599.
4. McCavit TL, Xuan L, Zhang S, Flores G, Quinn CT. Hospitalization for invasive pneumococcal disease in a national sample of children with sickle cell disease before and after PCV7 licensure. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2012;58(6):945-949.
5. Vichinsky E, Hurst D, Earles A, Kleman K, Lubin B. Newborn screening for sickle cell disease: effect on mortality. *Pediatrics* 1988;81(6):749-755.
6. McGann PT, Ferris MG, Ramamurthy U, et al. A prospective newborn screening and treatment program for sickle cell anemia in Luanda, Angola. *Am J Hematol* 2013;88(12):984-989.
7. Tubman VN, Marshall R, Jallah W, et al. Newborn screening for sickle cell disease in Liberia: A pilot study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2016;63(4):671-676.
8. Ndeezi G, Kiyaga C, Hernandez AG, et al. Burden of sickle cell trait and disease in the Uganda Sickle Surveillance Study (US3): a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016;4(3):e195-e200.
9. Ambrose EE, Smart LR, Charles M, et al. Surveillance for sickle cell disease, United Republic of Tanzania. *Bull World Health Organ* 2020;98(12):859-868.
10. Nnodu OE, Sopekan A, Nnebe-Agumadu U, et al. Implementing newborn screening for sickle cell disease as part of immunisation programmes in Nigeria: a feasibility study. *Lancet Haematol* 2020;7(7):e534-e540.

11. Segbefia CI, Goka B, Welbeck J, et al. Implementing newborn screening for sickle cell disease in Korle Bu Teaching Hospital, Accra: Results and lessons learned [published online ahead of print, 2021 Apr 23]. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2021;e29068.
12. Guthrie R. The origin of newborn screening. *Screening* 1992;1:5-15.
13. Benson JM, Therrell BL Jr. History and current status of newborn screening for hemoglobinopathies. *Semin Perinatol* 2010;34(2):134-144.
14. Consortium on Newborn Screening in Africa. <https://www.hematology.org>. Accessed May 2, 2021.
15. McGann PT, Grosse SD, Santos B, et al. A cost-effectiveness analysis of a pilot neonatal screening program for sickle cell anemia in the Republic of Angola. *J Pediatr* 2015;167(6):1314-1319.
16. Kuznik A, Habib AG, Munube D, Lamorde M. Newborn screening and prophylactic interventions for sickle cell disease in 47 countries in sub-Saharan Africa: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2016;16:304.
17. Uyoga S, Macharia AW, Mochamah G, et al. The epidemiology of sickle cell disease in children recruited in infancy in Kilifi, Kenya: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health* 2019;7(10):e1458-e1466.
18. Tshilolo L, Aissi LM, Lukusa D, et al. Neonatal screening for sickle cell anaemia in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: experience from a pioneer project on 31 204 newborns. *J Clin Pathol* 2009;62(1):35-38.

Figure 1. Steps in a successful newborn screening program and intervening aspects that affect completion of each step