

1Long stent graft for frozen elephant trunk repair in acute type A aortic dissection

2Running head: Safety and efficacy in long frozen elephant trunk

3Chaojie Wang MM^{1,2,3†}, Wenqian Zhang MM^{1,2†}, Jihai Peng MM^{4†}, Jie He MD⁵, Xu Wenliu

4MM^{1,2},Guangtian Chen MM³, Xiaoping Fan MD, PhD^{1,2*}

5¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine,

6the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou,

7China;

8²The Second Clinical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou,

9China;

10³Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Guangdong Cardiovascular Institute, Guangdong

11Provincial People's Hospital/Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Laboratory of South

12China Structural Heart Disease, Guangzhou, China;

13⁴Department of Rehabilitation, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy

14of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China;

15⁵Department of Vascular Surgery, the first affiliated hospital of Sun Yat-sen University,

16Guangzhou, China

17*Corresponding Author: Xiaoping Fan. Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Guangdong

18Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, 55th Neihuan western road,Guangzhou 510120,

19Guangdong, China; Email: fukui-hanson@hotmail.com

20†Chaojie Wang, Wenqian Zhang and Jihai Peng have contributed equally to this work.

21

22

23**Abstract**

24**OBJECTIVES:** The frozen elephant trunk (FET) technique has become an important tool in the
25treatment of acute type A aortic dissection. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect
26of long FET on spinal cord injury (SCI) and distal aortic remodeling after acute type A aortic
27dissection based on clinical and radiological outcomes.

28**METHODS:** From January 2018 to November 2019, 158 patients [mean age 51.8 years (range
2932 - 78 years), 88.6% male] with acute type A aortic dissection were treated by FET with 100
30mm ($n=113$) or 150 mm ($n=45$) open hybrid stent graft prosthesis. Patients were divided into
31two groups according to the length of FET. The clinical and radiological outcomes of the
32patients were reviewed retrospectively.

33**RESULTS:** Postoperative outcomes did not differ significantly: in-hospital mortality (9.7% vs
346.7%, $P=0.758$) and SCI (5.3% vs 2.2%, $P=0.674$). Aortic remodeling, which was evaluated by
35aortic diameter, true lumen diameter, false lumen diameter and the rate of false lumen
36complete thrombosis, was more positive in long FET group in the descending thoracic aorta
37during the follow-up period. At the abdominal level, there was no statistically significant
38difference between the two groups.

39**CONCLUSIONS:** The long version of FET does not increase the risk of SCI in patients with
40acute type A aortic dissection. The application of long FET can achieve better results in terms
41of remodeling of the thoracic aorta in the short- and medium-term follow-up.

42**Key words:** Frozen elephant trunk, Aortic dissection, False lumen thrombosis, Aortic
43remodeling

44

45**1 INTRODUCTION**

The frozen elephant trunk (FET) technique has become an important tool in the treatment of various aortic diseases including acute type A aortic dissection [1-3]. This technique is used to close intimal tears in the proximal part of the descending aorta, to direct the blood flow in the true lumen (TL) and to seal the false lumen (FL) to prevent its dilatation [4-5]. However, 24% of patients develop spinal cord injury (SCI) after surgery [6-9], and 20-38% of patients develop negative remodeling of the distal descending aorta during follow-up, which may require secondary opening or endovascular repair to prevent rupture [10-12]. For the surgical concept dominated by closed intimal tears, whether the length of FET affects SCI and remodeling of the downstream aorta is still controversial.

In this study, we evaluated the effect of long FET on SCI and distal aortic remodeling after acute type A aortic dissection using clinical and radiological outcomes.

METHODS

2.1 Patients

From January 2018 to November 2019, 158 patients (140 males, 88.6%) with acute type A aortic dissection were treated by FET with open hybrid stent graft prosthesis (Cronus®, MicroPort Medical, Shanghai, China) at our institution. Mean age was 51.8 years (range 32 - 78 years). All patients were divided into two groups according to the length of FET inserted. A total of 113 patients were treated with the short version (short FET: 100 mm), and 45 patients were treated with the long version (long FET: 150 mm). The clinical and radiological outcomes of patients were reviewed retrospectively. The preoperative characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. Clinical outcomes in all subjects were evaluated and aortic remodeling in 123 patients (87 short FET and 36 long FET) was investigated. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) patients were diagnosed with acute type A aortic

69dissection; (ii) dissection involved the aortic arch and descending aorta; and (iii) no previous
70surgical repair or endovascular repair of the descending aorta. When aortic remodeling was
71analyzed, 35 patients were excluded as they did not undergo computed tomography
72angiography (CTA) after surgery due to renal insufficiency (12, 7.6%), no preoperative CTA
73data(2, 1.2%), endoleak (5, 3.1%), hospital mortality (14, 8.9%), or lost to follow-up (2, 1.2%).
74This retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangdong Provincial
75People's Hospital (No. GDREC2019840H(R1)). Individual written informed consent was
76obtained from each patient before surgery.

77

782.2 *Surgical technique*

79All surgical procedures were performed through a median sternotomy. The right axillary
80artery with or without the right femoral artery were exposed for arterial cannulation, and
81the superior and inferior vena cava were exposed for intravenous cannulation, and
82cardiopulmonary bypass was performed. Bladder temperature was cooled to 25-28°C,
83followed by circulatory arrest of the lower body with moderate hypothermia. Cerebral
84protection was achieved by unilateral or bilateral antegrade perfusion, and myocardial
85protection was achieved by intermittent antegrade perfusion of cold blood cardioplegic
86solution. After completion of aortic root repair, the aortic arch was laterally severed to the
87left subclavian level, and the FET stent was implanted into the true lumen of the aortic arch
88and descending aorta and deployed. The aortic arch was treated using the island technique
89or the branched prosthetic graft. At the end of the procedure, the aortic arch graft was

90anastomosed with the ascending aortic or root graft. Cerebrospinal fluid drainage was only
91performed in patients with paraplegia or paresis after surgery.

92

932.3 Data collection and follow-up

94Clinical data were obtained from databases or telephone follow-up. Clinical follow-up ended
95in July 2020 and was complete in 98.7% of patients. The median (1st–3rd quartile) follow-up
96time was 17 months (12–22 months).

97All patients without renal insufficiency were required to undergo CTA before surgery, after 1
98month, 3 months, 1 year and annually thereafter. Aortic remodeling (Figure 1) was measured
99by the aortic lumen (AL), TL, FL diameter, and FL thrombosis at the following six levels: level 1
100(L1) at the level of the pulmonary artery bifurcation, which is usually covered by a stent after
101surgery; level 2 (L2) at the level of the tenth thoracic vertebra, which is not usually covered
102by the stent in the thoracic aorta; level 3 (L3) at the level of the diaphragm, which is the
103thoracic and abdominal aorta transition areas; level 4 (L4) at the level of the [celiac trunk](#);
104level 5 (L5) at the level of the left renal artery; and level 6 (L6) at the level of the abdominal
105aorta bifurcation. On a section perpendicular to the aortic axis, AL and TL diameters were
106measured by the maximum length perpendicular to the free internal diaphragm. FL diameter
107was calculated by subtracting the TL diameter from the aortic diameter. According to the
108degree of FL patency, the degree of thrombosis was divided into three categories: no
109thrombosis, partial thrombosis and complete thrombosis.

110The level of thoracic vertebrae corresponding to the distal end of the stent graft and distal
111complete thrombosis were measured by postoperative CTA.

112

1132.4 *Statistical analysis*

114The results are shown as *n* (%), mean±standard deviation or median (P25,P50). Statistical
115analysis was performed with SPSS 25.0 software (IBM Corp., USA). The Shapiro–Wilk test was
116used to verify the normal distribution. The Student's *t*-test was used to compare the groups
117of continuous variables with normal distribution, and the Mann–Whitney U-test was used to
118compare the groups of continuous variables without normal distribution or rank variable.
119The log-rank test was used to calculate statistical differences in the Kaplan–Meier survival
120estimates. Differences were considered significant when two-tailed *P*-values were < 0.05.

121

1223 RESULTS

1233.1 *Preoperative data*

124Patient demographics and baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. A total of 113
125patients (99 males, 87.6%, aged 51.9±7.8 years) were treated with the short FET. Forty-five
126patients (41 males, 91.1%, aged 50.2±9.4 years) were treated with the long FET. The primary
127entry tears were located in the ascending aorta in 58 (51.3%) short FET and in 28 (62.2%)
128long FET, the aortic arch in 53 (46.9%) short FET and in 14 (31.1%) long FET. The remaining
129primary entry tears were located in the descending aorta. Baseline data were comparable
130between the two groups.

131

1323.2 *Intraoperative data*

133Patient intraoperative data are shown in Table 2. Concomitant procedures mainly included
134root replacement (31.1% vs 35.4%, *P*=0.608), root repair (62.2% vs 36.3%, *P*=0.003) and
135coronary artery bypass grafting (6.7% vs 9.7%, *P*=0.758) were not significantly different in the

136two groups. The mean distal stent graft at the level of the [thoracic vertebra](#) was significantly
137longer in the long FET group (T8.5 vs T6.8, $P<0.001$).

138

1393.3 Postoperative data

140Patient postoperative data are summarized in Table 3. There was no significant difference
141between the two groups in overall in-hospital mortality [3/45 (6.7%) vs 11/113 (9.7%),
142 $P=0.758$]. Major postoperative complications, including re-exploration due to bleeding, renal
143insufficiency requiring hemodialysis, stroke and endoleak were not significantly different
144between the two groups. Remarkably, paraplegia after surgery was also comparable in the
145long FET and short FET group [1/45 (2.2%) vs 6/113 (5.3%), $P=0.674$]. All patients with spinal
146paraplegia were treated with cerebrospinal fluid drainage, glucocorticoid and neurotrophic
147drugs. Three of these patients recovered from spinal paraplegia after treatment.

148

1493.4 Aortic diameter changes at the downstream aorta

150The changes in AL, TL and FL diameters at each level of the downstream aorta are presented
151in Figure 2. There was no significant difference in the preoperative aortic diameter of each
152segment of the downstream aorta between the two groups. At the level of L1, the increase in
153TL diameter and the reduction in FL diameter were greater in the long FET group at 1 month,
1543 months and 12 months after surgery. The change in AL diameter was not significantly
155different between the two groups. At the level of L2, the postoperative AL and FL diameters
156were significantly smaller in the long FET group compared to those in the short FET group at
1573 months and 12 months after surgery. At the level of L3, the AL and FL diameters were
158smaller in the long FET group at 12 months after surgery. At the level of L4, L5 and L6, the

159postoperative AL, TL and FL diameters were not significantly different between the two
160groups.

161

1623.5 *Thrombosis of the false lumen*

163The rate of complete FL thrombosis at each level after surgery is shown in Figure 3. At one
164month after surgery, the rate of complete FT thrombosis was higher at the level of L2 (83% vs
16561%, $P=0.022$) and L3 (70% vs 45%, $P=0.020$) in the long FET group. At 3 months after
166surgery, the rate of complete FL thrombosis was higher at the level of L2 (78% vs 51%,
167 $P=0.050$) in the long FET group. At 12 months after surgery, the rate of complete FL
168thrombosis at all levels showed higher trend in the long FET group; however, the difference
169was not statistically significant between the two groups.

170The distal level of postoperative complete thrombosis of the FL was significantly different
171between the two groups, as shown in Table 4. In the long FET group, the level of complete FL
172thrombosis was more extensive at 1 month (T10 vs T11, $P=0.019$), 3 months (T10 vs T12,
173 $P=0.042$) and 12 months (T10 vs L3, $P=0.047$).

174

1753.6 *Follow-up*

176During the follow-up period, none of the patients required re-operation of the distal aorta.
177Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown in Figure 4. Follow-up survival was not significantly
178different between the two groups.

179

1804 **DISCUSSION**

181In acute type A aortic dissection, the use of FET has become an effective surgical strategy
182over the past few decades [4,11,13]. This technique is used to seal the tear in the proximal
183descending aorta, enlarge the TL, and shrink the FL. The main advantages of this technique
184are to stabilize a range of descending aorta dissections, reduce the possibility of re-
185intervention, and exclude the occurrence of retrograde aortic dissection [7,14]. Moreover,
186the FET technique can be used for secondary opening and endovascular repair if necessary,
187as it offers a better landing zone for endovascular completion and makes an open surgical
188completion possible [15].

189Although FET has shown encouraging results in the treatment of acute type A aortic
190dissection [1-3,12], SCI is still considered one of the most devastating complications after
191surgery. As shown in the literature, the incidence of SCI ranges from 0 to 24% [6-9]. In the
192present study, 7/158 (4.4%) of patients developed postoperative SCI. Traditionally,
193postoperative SCI was thought to be caused by the occlusion of critical intercostal arteries
194which perfuse the spinal cord, particularly the Adamkiewicz artery [7]. Flores and colleagues
195[7] recommended that a distal landing zone of T7 or lower was an independent risk factor for
196SCI in multivariate analysis. Similarly, Mizuno and colleagues [16] reported that the incidence
197of SCI was significantly higher when the distal landing zone was T8.

198However, in our series, the long FET group had a mean distal landing zone of T8.5, which did
199not increase the incidence of SCI compared with the short FET group. This suggested that no
200significant increase in the incidence of SCI was observed when more intercostal arteries were
201occluded. This result is similar to that of Hoffman and colleagues [8], who reported that the
202risk of SCI was not increased even when the FET reached T10-12. Kozlov and colleagues [17]

203also recommended that an additional thoracic stent graft implanted down to the celiac
204artery after FET, which covered more intercostal arteries, would not increase the incidence of
205SCI. This result can be explained by the collateral network theory suggested by Griep and
206colleagues [18], which is based on the fact that the spinal cord is fed by intercostal arteries,
207and branches of the vertebral and iliac arteries. When the extensive coverage of intercostal
208arteries was occluded by FET, the remaining intercostal arteries, vertebral arteries, and iliac
209arteries, along with their collateral network, gradually adapted and continued to provide
210adequate blood to the spinal cord [18].

211As a matter of fact, the descending aorta still changes dynamically after surgery.
212Postoperative dilatation of the distal aorta is the major factor that threatens the survival of
213patients. As mentioned in other literature [13,19], in the thoracic segment, the TL increased,
214the FL decreased, and the total diameter remained stable compared with pre-operation,
215while in the abdominal segment, the TL remained stable, the FL and AL diameter increased
216gradually. In our series, the results in both the long FET and short FET groups were similar to
217those mentioned above. It should be noted that the long FET group showed better
218remodeling in the postoperative changes of the thoracic levels. In the descending thoracic
219aorta, the TL remained stable due to the covered stent, while the FL gradually formed
220thrombosis due to proximal occlusion and progressive obliteration [20]. The better
221remodeling effect in the long FET group may have been due to the fact that more of the TL
222was stabilized by the stent, and the longer FL was occluded to form a thrombosis and
223gradually absorbed.

224In addition to the increase in the TL and the decrease in the FL, complete thrombosis of the
225FL is also one of the main indicators of favorable aortic remodeling [21]. In the absence of
226complete thrombosis of the FL, the wall of the FL would not be able to withstand the internal
227aortic pressure, leading to subsequent dilatation. A residual patent FL in the downstream
228aorta was reported as a predictor of both distal aortic enlargement and late mortality [22-
22924]. Actually, the rate of complete thrombosis of the FL varies at different levels of the
230downstream aorta [13,25]. It has been reported that the rate of complete thrombosis of the
231FL along the stent in the early postoperative period is 70–100%, whereas the probability of
232FL complete thrombosis at the level of the abdominal aorta is only 14–37% [17,25]. In our
233study, we observed a similar trend to the above in terms of complete thrombosis of the FL of
234the descending aorta in both groups. The rate of complete FL thrombosis at the thoracic
235levels showed a higher trend in the long FET group. While in the abdominal segment, there
236was no statistically significant difference in thrombosis levels between the two groups.
237As Hoffman and colleagues [8] reported, the longer version of the FET allows more extensive
238aortic dissection to be repaired, and can limit the residual aortic dissection to a shorter level.
239At the distal aorta, proximal occlusion is eliminated due to reentry of the dissection and the
240branching vessels of the abdominal aorta. This may explain the lower rate of complete
241thrombosis at the abdominal level.
242Our study had some limitations. The design of the study was retrospective, and the follow-up
243was relatively short. Nonetheless, we consider our results to be meaningful. A larger patient
244sample and longer follow-up results will be reported in a future study.

245

2465 CONCLUSION

247It is encouraging that the long FET did not increase the risk of SCI in the treatment of patients
248with acute type A aortic dissection. In addition, the application of long FET can achieve better
249results in remodeling of the thoracic aorta in the short- and medium-term follow-up.

250

251**Conflict of interest:** None declared.

252**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:** Chaojie Wang: concept, data collection, drafting article, and
253statistics. Wenqian Zhang and Jihai Peng: data collection, analysis and statistics. Jie
254He ,Wenliu Xv and Guangtian Chen: data interpretation, analysis and statistics. Xiaoping Fan:
255concept, drafting article, and critical revision. All authors have approved the article.

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264**Reference**

265[1] Shrestha M, Bachet J, Bavaria J et al. Current status and recommendations for use of the
266frozen elephant trunk technique: a position paper by the Vascular Domain of EACTS. Eur J
267Cardiothorac Surg 2015;47:759–69.

268[2] Shrestha M, Haverich A, Martens A. Total aortic arch replacement with the frozen
269elephant trunk procedure in acute DeBakey type I aortic dissections. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg
2702017;51:i29–34.

271[3] Kreibich M, Berger T, Morlock J et al. The frozen elephant trunk technique for the
 272treatment of acute complicated Type B aortic dissection. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*
 2732018;53:525–30.

274[4] Jakob H, Dohle DS, Piotrowski J et al. Six-year experience with a hybrid stent graft
 275prosthesis for extensive thoracic aortic disease: an interim balance. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*
 2762012;42:1018–25.

277[5] Sun LZ, Qi RD, Chang Q et al. Surgery for acute type A dissection with the tear in the
 278descending aorta using a stented elephant trunk procedure. *Ann Thorac Surg*, 2009, 87:
 2791177-80.

280[6] Leontyev S, Misfeld M, Daviewala P et al. Early- and medium-term results after aortic arch
 281replacement with frozen elephant trunk techniques-a single center study. *Ann Cardiothorac*
 282*Surg*. 2013;2:606-11.

283[7] Flores J, Kuniyara T, Shiiya N et al. Extensive deployment of the stented elephant trunk is
 284associated with an increased risk of spinal cord injury. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*.
 2852006;131:336-42.

286[8] Hoffman A, Damberg AL, Schalte G et al. Thoracic stent graft sizing for frozen elephant
 287trunk repair in acute type A dissection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2013;145:964-9.e1.

288[9] Jakob H, Tsagakis K, Pacini D et al. The International E-vita Open Registry: data sets of 274
 289patients. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;52:717–23

290[10] Dohle DS, Tsagakis K, Janosi RA et al. Aortic remodelling in aortic dissection after frozen
 291elephant trunk.*Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*, 2016, 49: 111-17.

292[11] Shrestha M, Beckmann E, Krueger H et al. The elephant trunk is freezing: the Hannover
 293experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2015;149:1286–93.

294[12] Roselli EE, Loor G, He J et al. Distal aortic interventions after repair of ascending
 295dissection: the argument for a more aggressive approach. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*, 2015,
 296149: S117-24.e3.

297[13] Iafrancesco M, Goebel N, Mascaro J et al. Aortic diameter remodelling after the frozen
 298elephant trunk technique in aortic dissection: results from an international multicentre
 299registry. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2017;52:310–18.

300[14] Ren C, Xu S, Lai Y et al. Open surgery with frozen elephant trunk for the treatment of
 301proximal stent graft-induced new entry in type B aortic dissection: a case report. *Ann Vasc*
 302*Surg* 2015;29:1316.e21–4

303[15] Thoralf Sundt. Current Understandings and Approach to the Management of Aortic
 304Intramural Hematomas. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. Summer 2014;26(2):123-131.

305[16] Mizuno T, Toyama M, Tabuchi N et al. Stented elephant trunk procedure combined with
 306ascending aorta and arch replacement for acute type A aortic dissection. *Eur J Cardiothorac*
 307*Surg* 2002;22: 504–9.

308[17] Kozlov BN, Panfilov DS, Saushkin VV et al. Distal aortic remodelling after the standard
 309and the elongated frozen elephant trunk procedure. *Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg*
 3102019;29:117–23.

311[18] Grieppe EB, Luozzo GD, Schray D et al. The anatomy of the spinal cord collateral
 312circulation. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;1(3):350-357.

313[19] Berger T, Kreibich M, Morlock J et al. True-lumen and false-lumen diameter changes in
 314the downstream aorta after frozen elephant trunk implantation. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*,
 3152018;54: 375-381.

316[20] Kobuch R, Hilker M, Rupprecht L et al. Late reoperations after repaired acute type A
317aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg, 2012; 144: 300-7.

318[21] Tochii M, Takami Y, Ishikawa H et al. Aortic remodeling with frozen elephant trunk
319technique for Stanford type A aortic dissection using Japanese J-graft open stent graft. Heart
320Vessels, 2019;34: 307-315.

321[22] Kimura N, Itoh S, Yuri K et al. Reoperation for enlargement of the distal aorta after initial
322surgery for acute type A aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg, 2015;149: S91-8.e1.

323[23] Halstead JC, Meier M, Etz C et al. The fate of the distal aorta after repair of acute type A
324aortic dissection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2007;133:127-35.

325[24] Concistre G, Casali G, Santaniello E et al. Reoperation after surgical correction of acute
326type A aortic dissection: risk factor analysis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2012;93:450-5.

327[25] Weiss G, Santer D, Dumfarth J et al. Evaluation of the downstream aorta after frozen
328elephant trunk repair for aortic dissections in terms of diameter and false lumen status. Eur J
329Cardiothorac Surg 2016;49:118–24.

330**TABLES**

331Table 1: Patient characteristics

332Table 2: Intraoperative characteristics

333Table 3: Clinical and aortic outcome

334Table 4: The distal level of complete thrombosis

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352 **FIGURES**

353 Figure 1: Computed tomographic angiography showed aortic imaging after frozen elephant
354 trunk.

355

356 Figure 2: Aortic, true lumen and false lumen diameter change at each level of the descending
357 aorta at each time period. LAL: all lumen of the long FET, LTL: true lumen of the long FET,
358 LFL: false lumen of the long FET, SAL: all lumen of the short FET, STL: true lumen of the short
359 FET, SFL: false lumen of the short FET.

360

361 Figure 3: Rate of complete false lumen thrombosis in each level after surgery. SFET: short
362 frozen elephant trunk, LFET: long frozen elephant trunk.

363

364 Figure 4: Kaplan–Meier estimates of survival probability.

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374Table 1: Patient characteristics

Variables	Total (n=158)	Short FET (n=113)	Long FET (n=45)	P-value
Age (years), mean \pm SD	51.4 \pm 8.3	51.9 \pm 7.8	50.2 \pm 9.4	.255
Male gender, n (%)	140 (88.6)	99 (87.6)	41(91.1)	.532
Time from onset to surgery (day), median [IQR]	4 (2,8)	4 (2,7)	4.5 (2,10)	.358
Hypertension, n (%)	114 (72.2)	84 (74.3)	30 (66.7)	.332
Diabetes Mellitus,n(%)	6 (3.8)	4 (3.5)	2 (4.4)	1.000
Smoking history, n (%)	23 (14.6)	18 (16.1)	5 (11.1)	.427
Marfan's syndrome,n (%)	3 (1.9)	2 (1.8)	1 (2.2)	1.000
Coronary artery disease,n (%)	8 (5.1)	5 (4.4)	3 (6.7)	.689
History of stroke,n (%)	8 (5.1)	6 (5.3)	2 (4.4)	1.000
Aortic regurgitation, n (%)				
Moderate	33(20.9)	25(22.1)	8(17.8)	.208
Severe	20(12.7)	11(9.7)	9(20.0)	
Location of primary entry tear, n(%)				
Ascending aorta	86(54.4)	58(51.3)	28(62.2)	.081
Aortic arch	67(42.4)	53(46.9)	14(31.1)	
Descending aorta	5(3.1)	2(1.8)	3(6.7)	
Distal extent of aortic dissection, n(%)				
Thoracic descending aorta	11 (7.0)	7 (6.2)	4 (8.9)	.294
Aorta abdominalis	25 (15.8)	21 (18.6)	4 (8.9)	
Iliac artery	122 (77.2)	85 (75.2)	37 (82.2)	

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384Table 2. Intraoperative Characteristics

Variables	Total (n=158)	Short FET (n=113)	Long FET (n=45)	P-value
Cardiopulmonary bypass time (min), mean \pm SD	247.8 \pm 59.5	245.5 \pm 58.9	251 \pm 61.0	.601
Cross-clamp time (min), mean \pm SD	135.6 \pm 39.4	128.1 \pm 38.2	147.7 \pm 38.7	.011
hypothermic circulatory arrest time (min), mean \pm SD	22.3 \pm 8.2	21.85 \pm 5.9	22.9 \pm 11.0	.569
Concomitant procedures , n(%)				
Root replacement	54(34.2)	40(35.4)	14(31.1)	.608
Root repair	69(43.7)	41(36.3)	28(62.2)	.003
Coronary artery bypass grafting	14(8.9)	11(9.7)	3(6.7)	.758
Proximal anastomosis zone, n (%)				
Z1	26 (21.5)	20 (22.7)	6 (18.2)	.588
Z2	95 (78.5)	68 (77.3)	27 (81.8)	
Distal stent graft at the level of thoracic vertebra , mean \pm SD	T7.3\pm1.0	T6.8\pm0.7	T8.5\pm0.7	<.001

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399Table 3. Clinical and aortic outcome

Variables	Total (n=158)	Short FET (n=113)	Long FET (n=45)	P-value
Re-exploration for bleeding , n(%)	6 (3.8)	3 (2.7)	3 (6.7)	.353
Stroke , n(%)	20 (12.7)	14 (12.4)	6 (13.3)	.872
Paraplegia , n(%)	7 (4.4)	6 (5.3)	1 (2.2)	.674
Renal failure , n(%)	42 (26.6)	32 (28.3)	10 (22.2)	.434
Endoleak , n(%)	5 (3.1)	3 (2.7)	2 (4.4)	.624
ICU stay among survivors (days), mean \pm SD	8 (5 , 11)	8 (5 , 11)	8 (5 , 11)	.987
Hospital stay among survivors (days), mean \pm SD	23 (18 , 31)	22 (17 , 29)	24 (20 , 36)	.066
Operative mortality , n(%)	14 (8.8)	11 (9.7)	3 (6.7)	.758

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416Table 4. The distal level of complete thrombosis.

Variables	Short FET	Long FET	P-value
1 month	T10 (T8, T12)	T11 (T10, T12)	.019
3 months	T10 (T7, T12)	T12 (T9, L3)	.042
12 months	T10 (T7, T12)	L1 (T10, L3)	.047

417