

Studies	Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Domain 6	Domain 7	Overall
Sproat2017 <sup>1</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Kojima2014 <sup>9</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Cornejo-Suarez2019 <sup>10</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Gulsen2019 <sup>11</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Daneshi2016 <sup>12</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Ianella2016 <sup>13</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Plodpai2017 <sup>14</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Surmelioglu2017 <sup>15</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Ardic2018 <sup>16</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Bhardwaj2018 <sup>17</sup>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Kuo2018 <sup>18</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-

Moneir2018 <sup>19</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
--------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table IV. ROBINS – I Individual Study (Observational) Risk of Bias Evaluation

Footnote: Domain 1: Bias due to confounding, Domain 2: Bias due to selection of participants, Domain 3: Bias in classification of intervention, Domain 4: Bias due to deviations from intended interventions, Domain 5: Bias due to missing data, Domain 6: Bias in measurement of outcomes, Domain 7: Bias in selection of the reported result, (-): Moderate Risk of Bias, (+): Low Risk of Bias