

1      **PacBio and Illumina RNA sequencing identify alternative splicing**

2                      **events in response to cold stress in two poplar species**

3                      Jingli Yang<sup>1</sup> | Wanqiu Lv<sup>1</sup> | Minzhen Zeng<sup>1</sup> | Yanrui Fu<sup>1</sup> | Chenghao Li<sup>1\*</sup>

4      1 State Key Laboratory of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, Northeast Forestry  
5      University, 26 Hexing Road, Harbin 150040, China;

6      \*Corresponding author (e-mail: chli0@163.com, telephone: 86-451-82191556, fax: 8  
7      6-451-82190607).

8  
9      **Running head:** Alternative splicing events in poplar

10

11      **Abstract**

12      In eukaryotes, alternative splicing (AS) is a crucial regulatory mechanism that  
13      modulates mRNA diversity and stability. The contribution of AS to stress are known  
14      in many species related to stress. But the post-transcriptional mechanism in poplar  
15      under cold stress is still unclear. Recent studies have utilized the advantages of Single  
16      Molecular Real Time (SMRT) sequencing technology from Pacific Bioscience  
17      (PacBio) to identify full-length transcripts. We, therefore, used a combination of  
18      single-molecule long-read sequencing and Illumina RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) for a  
19      global analysis of AS in two poplar species (*Populus trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis*)  
20      under cold stress. We further identified 1261 AS events in *P. trichocarpa* and 2101 in  
21      *P. ussuriensis*, among which intron retention, with a frequency of more than 30%, was

the most prominent type under cold stress. RNA-Seq data analysis and annotation revealed the importance of calcium, abscisic acid, and reactive oxygen species signaling in cold stress response. Besides, the low temperature rapidly induced multiple splicing factors, transcription factors, and differentially expressed genes through AS. In *P. ussuriensis*, there was a rapid occurrence of AS events. This study provides new insight into the complexity and regulation of AS during cold stress response in two poplar species.

29

## 30 **KEYWORDS**

31 *Populus trichocarpa*, *Populus ussuriensis*, alternative splicing, cold stress, PacBio,  
32 RNA-Seq

33

## 34 **1. INTRODUCTION**

35 In eukaryotes, precursor messenger RNAs (pre-mRNAs) with multiple introns  
36 undergo alternative splicing (AS) to generate two or more mature mRNA isoforms  
37 encoding structurally and functionally different proteins (Palusa, Ali, & Reddy, 2007).  
38 AS is a crucial regulatory mechanism that contributes to cellular and functional  
39 complexity and has been extensively studied in animals and plants (Wang et al., 2018;  
40 Li et al., 2019). Genome-wide investigation of AS has been performed on  
41 development or in response to stresses in multiple plants. Studies have revealed that  
42 an estimated 60% of Arabidopsis, 50% of soybean, 40% of cotton, and 40% of maize  
43 intron-containing genes undergo AS (Syed, Kalyna, Marquez, Barta, & Brown, 2012;

44 Marquez, Brown, Simpson, Barta, & Kalyna, 2012; Li et al., 2019). Five major types  
45 of AS events are recognized, namely exon skipping (ES), intron retention (IR),  
46 mutually exclusive exon (MXE), alternative 5' splice site (A5SS), and alternative 3'  
47 splice site (A3SS), of which IR is the most common event in plants (Reddy, Marquez,  
48 Kalyna, & Barta, 2013). Evidence suggests that plants employ AS to achieve  
49 phenotypic plasticity in response to different abiotic stresses, such as heat, drought,  
50 salinity, and cold (Zhao, Sun, Xu, Zhang, & Li, 2014; Liu et al., 2018; Zhu, Li,  
51 Zhang, & Guo, 2018).

52 Several studies have identified and reported the complexity of AS in plant species,  
53 such as cotton (Wang et al., 2018), cassava (Li et al., 2019), *Phyllostachys edulis*  
54 (Wang et al., 2017), *Populus trichocarpa* (Bao et al. 2013), Arabidopsis (Marquez et  
55 al., 2012), and rice (Zhang et al., 2019). Different from the constitutively spliced  
56 isoforms, alternatively spliced ones always show cell-, tissue-, or condition-specific  
57 expression patterns, and the extent of AS in plants depends on the complexity of  
58 tissues (Kalsotra & Cooper, 2011). Gan et al. (2011) identified multiple varied AS  
59 events among the different genotypes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Zhou, Moshgabadi, &  
60 Adams (2011) discovered a total of 45 AS events in *Brassica oleracea* in two organs  
61 and under two environmental conditions (heat and cold). While the splice sites in the  
62 pre-mRNA are recognized by splicing factors (SFs), which recruit the spliceosome for  
63 intron removal, to generate different alternatively spliced isoforms ((Fu & Ares, 2014;  
64 Lee & Rio, 2015). Besides, SFs are essential for plant growth and development  
65 processes, including control of flowering time, regulation of the circadian rhythms,

66 and response to abiotic stresses (Staiger & Brown, 2013; Schlaen et al., 2015). These  
67 studies indicate that the regulated AS of downstream targets is essential for plants.  
68 A recent survey by Calixto et al. (2018) has reported a massive and rapid AS response  
69 under cold stress that involved changes in hundreds of cold-responsive transcription  
70 factors (TFs) and SF RNA-binding proteins in *Arabidopsis*. Moreover, misexpression  
71 of SFs altered cold sensitivity or tolerance in plants (Reddy et al., 2013; Staiger &  
72 Brown, 2013). In *Arabidopsis*, low temperature regulated the expression and splicing  
73 patterns of the serine/arginine-rich SFs (Palusa et al., 2007). These studies strongly  
74 suggest the central role of SFs and the importance of AS in plant response to cold  
75 stress.

76 Studies have widely used short-read RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) technology to  
77 detect AS events; however, it is challenging to identify full-length splicing isoforms  
78 accurately by this method. By contrast, the SMRT developed by Pacific Biosciences  
79 (PacBio, California, USA), offers an improvement in read length over the previous  
80 technologies. PacBio technology is valuable for the annotation of newly sequenced  
81 genes and the analysis of AS (Eid et al., 2009; Sharon, Tilgner, Grubert, & Snyder,  
82 2013). Researchers have started using PacBio sequencing technology to characterize  
83 the complexity of transcriptome in various plant species.

84 Low temperature is one of the most common stresses that negatively affect plant  
85 growth and crop production (Calixto et al., 2018). Numerous studies have  
86 demonstrated changes in AS events in response to external cold/chilling stimuli,  
87 however, the regulation of AS in poplar species under cold stress is not clear at the

whole transcriptomic level. In this study, it was better cold tolerance in *P. ussuriensis* than in *P. trichocarpa*. we aim to investigate the reason for this. Our data reveal that cold intensely affects gene expression via alternative splicing regulated by SFs in *P. ussuriensis* to resistant cold stress and advances our understanding of the high complexity and specificity of species-specific AS regulation in response to cold.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1. Plant materials and growth conditions**

*Populus trichocarpa* ‘Nisqually-1’ and *P. ussuriensis* Kom. were used in this study. Stem segments (3 cm long) of these poplar species were cultured in ½ MS medium for one month. These clonally cultured seedlings were placed at 25 ± 2 °C under 16 h/8 h (light/dark) photoperiod. Two-week-old of both plants were transferred to a chamber for cold treatment at 3°C and -3°C. The young leaves and shoot apices were collected after 3 h of cold treatment, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C for RNA extraction. The nine RNAs samples from each poplar species (three biological replicates per time point) were subjected to 150 bp paired-end sequencing using the Illumina HiSeq platform. The nine RNAs samples from each poplar species were mixed in equal volume and sequenced on the PacBio RS II platform, respectively (Figure 1a).

### **2.2. RNA extraction and the SMRT sequencing library construction**

Total RNA was extracted from the leaves and shoot apices of *P. trichocarpa* and *P.*

110 *ussuriensis* maintained under different temperatures (25°C, 3°C and -3°C) using the  
111 CTAB method (Jaakola, Pirttila, Halonen, & Hohtola, 2001). The total RNA was  
112 assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, <https://www.agilent.com>). RNA  
113 purity was checked using the kaiaoK5500<sup>®</sup> Spectrophotometer (Kaiao, Beijing,  
114 China). RNA integrity and concentration were assessed using the Bioanalyzer 2100  
115 RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Total RNA of the nine  
116 samples from each species were pooled in equal amounts, and 1 µg of the pooled  
117 RNA was used for cDNA synthesis and SMRTbell library construction. The purified  
118 RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA using the SMARTer PCR cDNA Synthesis  
119 Kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc. Mountain View, CA, USA). The cDNA was  
120 amplified using the Kapa HiFi PCR Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA).  
121 Size selection was carried out on a BluePippin (Sage Science, Beverly, MA, USA),  
122 and 1–2 kb, 2–3 kb, 3–6 kb, and 5–10 kb fractions were collected. These collected  
123 cDNA fractions were treated with a DNA damage repair mix, followed by end repair  
124 and ligation of SMRT adapters using the PacBio SMRTbell Template Prep Kit  
125 (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA) to create SMRT sequencing libraries.

126

### 127 **2.3. PacBio data analysis**

128 Raw data generated by the PacBio RSII platform was processed following Iso-seq  
129 method. The PacBio raw reads were processed into error-corrected ‘reads of inserts’  
130 (ROIs) using ToFu (version 2.3.0) with the following parameters: minimum full pass  
131 > 1, minimum ROI length > 200 nucleotides, and prediction accuracy > 0.8. The ROIs

were classified into circular consensus sequences (CCS) and non-CCS subreads based on the presence or absence of sequencing adapters. CCS subreads were classified into full-length non-chimeric reads (FLNC) or non-FLNC based on the presence of both the primer sequences (the 5' and 3' sequences) and the polyA tail signal. Next, an isoform-level clustering algorithm ICE (Iterative Clustering for Error Correction) was applied to all the full-length (FL) transcripts to obtain the consensus transcripts based on the sequence similarity and generate a consensus sequence for each cluster. Quiver was used for error correction to obtain high-quality (HQ) and low-quality (LQ) isoforms (accuracy  $\geq 99\%$ ). Finally, high-quality FL transcripts were combined to obtain all high-quality FL transcripts of each sample.

#### **2.4. Library preparation and Illumina sequencing**

Illumina sequencing was performed to generate data that can be used to validate and quantify the PacBio transcripts. Approximately 2  $\mu\text{g}$  of RNA per sample was used as input material for the Illumina sequencing. The clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot cluster generation system (Illumina HiSeq PE Cluster Kit v4-cBot-HS) following the manufacturer's instructions. After cluster generation, the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina platform, and 150 bp paired-end reads were generated. In order to guarantee the data quality, the original data (Raw Data) was filtered. The read counts for each gene in each sample were generated using HTSeq v0.6.0, and FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase Million Mapped Reads) values were then calculated to estimate the expression level of the genes in each sample. Gene

annotation was based on the following databases: Nr (NCBI non-redundant protein sequences), Nt (NCBI non-redundant nucleotide sequences), Swiss-Prot (a manually annotated and reviewed protein sequence database), Pfam (Protein family), COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins), GO (Gene Ontology), KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) orthology database (Kanehisa et al. 2008), GO enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) was implemented by the Goseq (Young, Wakefield, Smyth, & Oshlack, 2000).

## **2.5. Identification of differentially spliced events**

The tool ASprofile (version b-1.0.4) was employed to classify the AS events using the raw.gtf files assembled from the Illumina RNA-Seq and SMRT-Seq data of *P. ussuriensis* compared to *P. trichocarpa*. The AS events, including IR, ES, MXE, A5SS, and A3SS were extracted and counted. The differential AS (DAS) events from two poplar comparison at different temperature were identified by rMATS.3.2.2 (Shen et al., 2014). The AS events with a false discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.05 were defined as DAS events. GO enrichment analyses with DAS were conducted using AgriGO (Tian et al., 2017).

## **2.6. Calcium and physiological indicators determination**

After cold stress, the leaves of 25°C, 3°C, -3°C for *P. trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis* was collected and dried in a 70 °C in the oven. Approximately 0.5mg (dry weight) of



175 samples were weighted and analyzed using inductively coupled plasma (ICP)  
176 emission spectrometer (ICP-OES 5110 VDV, Agilent, USA).  
177 For MDA content measurement, about 50 mg of leaves were ground and  
178 homogenized in 1mL of 0.1% (w/v) TCA for 10 min and centrifuged at 10,000g for 15  
179 min at 4°C. The supernatant was reacted with 20% (w/v) TCA containing 0.5% (w/v)  
180 thiobarbituric acid. After boiled and cooled, it was centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 min at  
181 4°C. The detailed method was performed as described by Metwally, Finkemeier,  
182 Georg, & Dietz (2003).  
183 The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was measured using an Amplex Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit  
184 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as described in Xing, Jia, & Zhang (2008). Leaves of  
185 poplars were frozen in N<sub>2</sub> and ground. The phosphate buffer (20 mM K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH6.5) was  
186 added to 50 mg of ground frozen tissue. After centrifugation, the supernatant was incubated  
187 with 0.2 U ml<sup>-1</sup> horseradish peroxidase and 100 μM Amplex Red reagent (10-acetyl-3,7-  
188 dihydrophenoxazine) at room temperature for 30 min in darkness. The fluorescence was  
189 quantified using FLUOStar Optima (excitation at 560 nm and emission at 590 nm).

190

## 191 **2.7. Validation of alternative splicing transcripts**

192 Total RNA was extracted from the leaves and shoot apices of *P. ussuriensis* as  
193 described above. The TransScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis  
194 SuperMix Kit (TransGen Biotech, China) was used for simultaneous genomic DNA  
195 removal and cDNA synthesis (20 μL) following the manufacturer's instructions. The  
196 AS transcripts were validated by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-

PCR) using specific primers listed in Supporting Information Table S1.

## **2.8. Validation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed as described above. qRT-PCR was performed to validate DEGs. The *PuActin* gene was used as the internal control. The relative gene expression values in *P. ussuriensis* were analyzed using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method compared to *P. trichocarpa*. All reactions were performed using three biological replicates for each sample. The primers are listed in Supporting Information Table S2.

## **3. RESULTS**

### **3.1. Phenotypic changes in two poplar species in response to cold stress**

Low temperature is one of the key environmental stresses, which negatively impairs plant growth and development (Li, Li, Zhang, Zhang, Jiang, Yu, & Hou, 2017). To evaluate the tolerance of cold, we compared the phenotypic changes of two poplar species *P. trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis*, which are tolerant and sensitive to cold stress, respectively. About 5 cm height of seedlings were used for physiological and transcriptome analyses at 3°C for 3 h and -3°C for 3 h. The seedlings cultured at room temperature (Figure 1a) were used as control. Treatment-related changes in the phenotype of *P. trichocarpa* were observed after 4 h at -3°C (Figure 1d), the leaves

were damaged and wilted. While, the *P. ussuriensis* plants were not damaged (Figure 1d). In comparison, no difference was observed after 3 h at 3°C (Figure 1b) and -3°C (Figure 1c) between the two species.

### 3.2. Calcium, MDA, POD and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> determination

At room temperature, the content of calcium, MDA, POD and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in *P. ussuriensis* was not different from them in *P. trichocarpa* (Figure 2). As the temperature fell, the calcium (Figure 2a), MDA (Figure 5b), POD (Figure 2c) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2d) content in both poplars was increased. But the calcium (Figure 2a), and POD (Figure 2c) content in *P. ussuriensis* increased more than that in *P. trichocarpa*. While, the MDA (Figure 2b) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Figure 2d) content in *P. ussuriensis* was decreased than that in *P. trichocarpa* at 3°C and -3°C.

### 3.3. Overview of PacBio Iso-Seq data of two poplar species

We used *P. ussuriensis*, the widely cultivated species in northeast China, to compared with *P. trichocarpa* for this study. We performed PacBio sequencing and analyzed based on *P. trichocarpa* genome. From the phenotypic point of view, *P. ussuriensis* was more tolerant to lower temperatures than *P. trichocarpa*. To better understand the full-length splice variants, novel genes, and alternative polyadenylation (APA) sites of the two species in response to cold stress, the PacBio Iso-Seq method was used to sequence the transcriptomes. A total of two SMRT cells (r64053\_20191003\_023517\_4\_H01 for *P. trichocarpa*;

239 r64053\_20191003\_023517\_4\_H01 for *P. ussuriensis*) mixed with nine samples were  
240 constructed to eliminate instrumental bias towards short fragments respectively. A  
241 dataset with more than 20 Gb of clean reads was obtained after filtering using  
242 SMRTLink (6.0). Refer to *P. trichocarpa* genome, a total of 51,153 and 58,082  
243 consensus isoforms were obtained from the two libraries (*P. trichocarpa* and *P.*  
244 *ussuriensis*), respectively (Table 1). The mean length of consensus isoforms in the two  
245 libraries was between 1683 and 1910 bp, respectively (Table 1). A total of 50,910 and  
246 57,872 reads of HQ isoforms were produced, respectively. HQ isoforms with a mean  
247 length of 1678 and 1905 bp were identified from the two libraries, respectively (Table  
248 1). Among which, a total of 228 and 188 HQ isoforms with a mean length of 1157 and  
249 1344 bp were obtained, respectively (Table 1). Mapping on to the reference genome  
250 of *P. trichocarpa* revealed that a total of 97.66% and 97.51% of the isoforms detected  
251 by PacBio SMRT-Seq were mapped reads in *P. trichocarpa* (Figure 3a) and *P.*  
252 *ussuriensis* (Figure 3b) respectively; 1.8% and 1.02% were multi-mapped to the  
253 genome in *P. trichocarpa* (Figure 3a) and *P. ussuriensis* (Figure 3b) respectively. The  
254 mapped isoforms (density) were spread across different chromosomes. Our analysis  
255 indicates that the reference genome of *P. trichocarpa* genome was enriched with the  
256 PacBio SMRT-Seq results and used for further analysis.

257 Furthermore, we compared the genome-wide genetic variants, including chromosome  
258 distribution, gene density, novel gene, novel long non-coding RNA (lncRNA), APA  
259 site, and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), between *P. trichocarpa* and *P.*  
260 *ussuriensis* (Figure 3) using the Circos program. A total of 852 (Figure 3c) and 1093

(Figure 3d) novel genes and 25 (Figure 3c) and 42 (Figure 3d) APA sites were detected in *P. trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis*, respectively. A total of 235,900 (Figure 3c) and 49,781 (Figure 3d) SNPs were detected in *P. trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis*, respectively. LncRNAs, which are widespread in eukaryotic genomes and play crucial roles in various biological processes (Shafiq, Li, & Sun, 2016; Chekanova, 2015).

### 3.3. Identification of known and novel alternative splicing (AS) events

To investigate the role of AS in response to cold stress, we surveyed the transcript isoforms in the two poplar species. We examined the five major types of AS events (IR, ES, MXE, A5SS, and A3SS) in the isoforms using SMRT-Seq (Figure 4a). We compared the frequency of AS types from the two poplar species at 25°C, -3°C and 3°C respectively. Based on the reference genome (*P. trichocarpa*), 35.7% of the AS events were IR, 30.3% were A5SS, 24.7% were A3SS, 9.0% were SE, and 0.3% were MXE in *P. trichocarpa* (Figure 4b) while 34.7% of the AS events were IR, 32.7% were A5SS, 24.5% were A3SS, 7.9% were SE, and 0.2% were MXE in *P. ussuriensis* (Figure 4c). The number of AS events in *P. trichocarpa* under cold stress was more than that in *P. ussuriensis* (Figure 4d). A total of 1261 AS events were identified from 834 genes in *P. trichocarpa* and 2101 AS events from 1257 genes in *P. ussuriensis* (Figure 4d). Among the AS types, IR, with a frequency of more than 30%, was the most abundant type under cold stress (Figure 4e). Interestingly, the percentage of different AS types changed with a decrease in temperature in both poplar species, especially IR dramatically increased and SE decreased at -3°C compared with that at

283 3°C both in *P. trichocarpa* (Figure 4f) and *P. ussuriensis* (Figure 4g).

284

#### 285 **3.4. Analysis of DEGs, differential alternative splicing (DAS) events, and** 286 **corresponding cold-responsive genes**

287 RNA-Seq helps understand the network via which AS influence gene expression and  
288 transcriptome reprogramming. To improve the accuracy of AS analysis, we  
289 investigated the transcriptional changes and compared the the two poplar species in  
290 response to cold stress by RNA-seq. Compared to *P. trichocarpa*, 6060 (Data S1),  
291 5208 (Data S2), and 5867 (Data S3) DEGs ( $P < 0.05$ ;  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 1$ ) were identified at  
292 25°C, 3°C, and -3°C, respectively, in *P. ussuriensis*. We further analyzed the most  
293 significantly enriched GO terms for DEGs (Figure 5, a-i) and DAS (Figure 5, j-l)  
294 genes. The most significantly enriched GO terms for DEGs were “response to  
295 stimulus and stress” in biological process (BP, Figures 5a–c), “intrinsic component of  
296 membrane” in cellular component (CC, Figures 5d–f), and “catalytic activity” in  
297 molecular function (MF, Figures 5g–i). The most significantly enriched GO terms for  
298 DAS genes are shown in Figure 5j–l. As the temperature decreased, the “response to  
299 abiotic stimulus” item of BP increased significantly, which is consistent with the GO  
300 terms of DEGs.

301 From the RNA-Seq data, U1–U9 samples were classified into six subclasses of genes  
302 that were upregulated or downregulated in *P. ussuriensis* compared with *P.*  
303 *trichocarpa* (P1–P9 samples) in response to cold stress with different patterns of

expression (Figure S1). For example, genes of subclass 3 in *P. ussuriensis* were upregulated compared with that in *P. trichocarpa* (Figure 6a, Supporting Information Data 4). These upregulated genes included genes involved in calcium signaling, such as calmodulin (CAM), calcium-dependent protein kinase (CPK), and CBL-interacting protein kinase (CIPK) (Table 2), and many mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades (Data S4). Additionally, a 9-*cis*-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (*NCED*) gene encoding the key enzyme of abscisic acid (ABA) biosynthesis was upregulated under cold stress (Table 2). Many peroxidase (POD) genes were also upregulated in *P. ussuriensis* (Table 2). In total, 2785, 3613, and 3408 DAS genes were identified at 25°C, 3°C, and -3°C, respectively, in *P. ussuriensis* compared with that in *P. trichocarpa* by RNA-seq (Figure 6b). MATS identified the DAS events in the two species under different temperature conditions. A model pattern example from IGV view of Potri.001G016200 alternative splicing (SE) type is shown in Figure 6c. Venn analysis revealed a substantial overlap among the DEGs, DAS genes, and the cold-responsive DEGs identified in *P. ussuriensis* compared to *P. trichocarpa* (Figures 6d–f). About half of the DEGs were DAS genes (Figures 6d–f), of which 18.1%, 28.2%, and 35.1% DEGs at 25°C, 3°C, and -3°C, respectively, in response to cold stress were DAS genes (Figures 6d–f); these genes with significant expression ( $P < 0.05$ ;  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 1$ ) are shown in Table 3 based on GO. We found that several DEGs related to cold stress, such as cold-regulated inner membrane protein 1 (CORIMP1, Potri.001G353500) at 25°C, low-temperature- and salt-responsive proteins (LTI6A,

325 Potri.013G001600 and CORIMP1 Potri.001G353500) at 3°C, and late elongated  
326 hypocotyl (LHY, Potri.014G106800), low-temperature-induced integral membrane  
327 protein (LTI6A, Potri.013G001600), and calcium-binding protein (CML,  
328 Potri.002G182500, Potri.014G108200) at -3°C in *P. ussuriensis* (Table 3) had more  
329 isoforms based on *P. trichocarpa* genome. RT-PCR validation revealed more than one  
330 isoform for these genes (Figure 7). We also randomly selected some DEGs using qRT-  
331 PCR to validate the reliability of the RNA-seq data. The result showed that the qRT-  
332 PCR was consistent with RNA-seq data (Figure 8).

333

### 334 **3.5. Cold-induced changes in splicing factors (SFs) and transcription factors** 335 **(TFs)**

336 The splice site of pre-mRNA was recognized by SFs that recruit the spliceosome to  
337 remove the introns away (Chen & Moore, 2015). A number of SFs was reported that  
338 functions by diverse environmental conditions (Laloum, Martín, & Duque, 2018). We  
339 focused on the SFs that probably regulated the AS of downstream genes by different  
340 spliceosome complexes under cold condition. The AS events occurred in multiple SFs  
341 including small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs), such as spliceosome-associated  
342 proteins (DEAH box helicase 1, YT521-B, LSM, AAR2, SART-1, and Prp series;  
343 Table 4,  $P < 0.05$ ;  $|\log_2(FC)| > 1$ ). At 25°C, only two SFs were differentially  
344 expressed; five SFs, including the two SFs at 25°C, were differentially expressed at



345 3°C. Many SFs, including SFs of the two temperatures (25°C and 3°C), were also  
346 responsive at -3°C (Table 4). Most importantly, all the SFs were upregulated. In short,  
347 more pre-mRNA SFs responded in *P. ussuriensis* than that in *P. trichocarpa* as the  
348 temperature decreased.

349 Analysis based on the reference genome revealed AS of numerous TFs. Table 2 shows  
350 significantly induced TFs. Under normal conditions, AS events of these TFs were  
351 different between the two poplar species and identified, such as WRKY, MYB, B3,  
352 and CAMTA. More and more AS events happened as the temperature lowered (Table  
353 5).

354

#### 355 4. DISCUSSION

356 Plants cope with adverse environmental conditions by reprogramming the gene  
357 expression and metabolism in a strict manner (Li et al., 2019). Although the  
358 expression of genes in some plant species under cold stress has been studied  
359 extensively, pre-mRNA splicing during the transcriptional changes in response to cold  
360 is still not clear in poplar species. In the current study, the result showed that the *P.*  
361 *ussuriensis* was more cold tolerance than *P. trichocarpa*, probably two poplar species  
362 have different abilities to deal with cold condition. We used SMRT sequencing and  
363 RNA-Seq (Illumina) for the first time for a global survey of AS in two poplar species  
364 under cold stress. The PacBio Iso-Seq data revealed that 97.66% and 97.51% of the  
365 isoforms detected were mapped reads based on the reference genome of *P.*  
366 *trichocarpa*, and 1.8% and 1.02% were multi-mapped to the genome. These findings

367 indicate that PacBio Iso-Seq data has higher transcript density than the reference  
368 genome and the complexity of the response.

369 Plant cells sense cold stress through membrane rigidification (Chinnusamy, Zhu, &  
370 Zhu, 2007). The rigidification subsequently activates mechanosensitive or ligand-  
371 activated calcium channels that lead to transient accumulation of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the cytosol.  
372 Studies have reported the higher levels of calcium, which lead to signal amplification,  
373 act as the initial signals for cold stress response in plants (Vergnolle et al., 2005;  
374 Chinnusamy et al., 2007).  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and MAPK signaling cascades play significant roles in  
375 the cold response pathway of Arabidopsis (Li et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017). In our  
376 study, *P. ussuriensis* exhibited more cold tolerance than *P. trichocarpa*. We found that  
377 calcium signaling-related genes, such as CAM, CPK, and CIPK, and many MAPK  
378 cascades were upregulated in *P. ussuriensis* at 25°C, 3°C and -3°C compared to *P.*  
379 *trichocarpa*. Meanwhile, the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  content in *P. ussuriensis* increased more than that in  
380 *P. trichocarpa* under cold stress in a short time (3 h) from 25°C to -3°C (Figure 5a),  
381 which indicates that the cold response pathway is calcium-dependent in poplar  
382 species.

383 Reactive oxygen species (ROS) also activate signal transduction pathways in response  
384 to biotic and abiotic stresses (Miller, Suzuki, Ciftci-Yilmaz, & Mittler, 2010). As the  
385 temperature lowed, the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  content was increased, and the increased level was  
386 greater in *P. trichocarpa* compared with in *P. ussuriensis*. In our study, low  
387 temperature upregulated many POD genes in *P. ussuriensis*, which indicate the

388 influence of ROS on cold stress regulation of gene expression. Besides, ROS can  
389 simulate  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  accumulation that affects cold tolerance in plants (Chinnusamy et al.,  
390 2007). Studies have also reported the role of ABA in abiotic stresses, such as drought,  
391 low temperature, and salinity. ABA accumulates in plants to regulate stress-responsive  
392 genes (Lv et al., 2018). ABA can also act as a secondary signal to change  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels that  
393 finally influence cold signaling (Boudsocq & Sheen, 2013). In this study, *NCED* gene  
394 encoding the key enzyme involved in ABA biosynthesis at low temperature were  
395 upregulated. Thus, we conclude that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signaling, ROS, and ABA might have led to  
396 cold tolerance in a short time.

397 Abiotic stress triggers AS events in plants (Pajoro, Severing, Angenent, & Immink,  
398 2017; Laloum et al. 2018). These events regulate proteome diversity and gene  
399 expression to adept adverse environment (Thatcher et al., 2016). Therefore, the DAS  
400 events identified in our study under cold stress were not accidental. The extensive AS  
401 information identified here demonstrates the complexity of cold stress response.  
402 Studies based on the analysis of DEGs alone have significantly underestimated this  
403 regulatory mechanism. We also compared the AS events in two poplar species in  
404 response to cold stress. The comparison of the different AS types identified IR events  
405 in a large proportion, followed by A3SS in both types of poplars. Studies have  
406 reported IR as the most common splicing event in plant species, such as Arabidopsis  
407 (Marquez et al., 2012; Calixto et al., 2018) and cassava (Li et al., 2019). Calixto et al.  
408 (2018) reported differential splicing events very early under cold stress in  
409 Arabidopsis. The AS exhibited significant changes within only 40–60 minutes under

410 cold stress (Calixto et al. 2018). Researchers have identified the cold-responsive ICE-  
411 CBF pathway, which was critical for the regulation of cold-responsive transcriptome  
412 in plants. One such cold-responsive pathway involved the binding of ICE1 (Inducer of  
413 CBF expression 1) to the promoter of CBFs (C-repeat binding factors) inducing  
414 expression; ICE1 enhances the expression of *COR* (Cold-regulated) genes also during  
415 cold acclimation (Ritonga, & Chen, 2020). However, the expression of these cold-  
416 responsive genes did not change in the two poplar species. Therefore, we speculated  
417 that AS happened probably before the differential expression of cold-responsive genes  
418 was induced. To identify DAS, we investigated the transcriptional changes in two  
419 poplar species in response to cold stress after 3 h by MATS. We identified few DAS  
420 events in *P. ussuriensis* when compared with *P. trichocarpa*. Many DAS genes  
421 regulated by both transcription and AS were differentially expressed in *P. ussuriensis*  
422 compared with *P. trichocarpa*. Accelerated cell death 6 (*ACD6*, Potri.013G133900)  
423 was downregulated in *P. ussuriensis* and subjected to IR under different low-  
424 temperature conditions. *ACD6* encodes a transmembrane protein with intracellular  
425 ankyrin repeats, which positively controls cell death and defense (Lu, Rat, Song, &  
426 Greenberg, 2003; Yao & Greenberg, 2006). Yao and Greenberg reported that *ACD* can  
427 prevent plant chlorophyll breakdown that induce programmed cell death (PCD) (Yao  
428 & Greenberg, 2006). In this study, *ACD6* was downregulated. This might have  
429 happened because PCD was not activated in *P. ussuriensis* at any temperature  
430 conditions, and thus, *P. ussuriensis* exhibited higher cold tolerance than *P.*  
431 *trichocarpa*. LHY is a protein that functions in floral growth (Yon et al., 2016) and

432 stress response (Adams et al., 2018). Studies have reported the influence of  
433 temperature changes on *LHY* transcript in Arabidopsis; moreover, AS in *LHY* was  
434 temperature-dependent (James, Sullivan, & Nimmo, 2018a; James et al., 2018b). In  
435 the present study, *LHY* (Potri.014G106800) was upregulated, and five types isoforms  
436 (A3SS, A5SS, MXE, RI, and SE) were identified at -3°C, which indicates the role of  
437 *LHY* in cold tolerance in poplar. Together, our results suggest that AS and DEGs play  
438 critical roles in the cold response. We have proposed a network (Figure 9) that reflects  
439 the rapid changes in DEGs and DAS genes during the cold response.

440 Differential expression of SFs is also a significant factor that determines the changes  
441 in stress-induced AS (Punzo et al., 2020). In Arabidopsis, DNA-DAMAGE REPAIR/  
442 TOLERATION PROTEIN111 (DRT111)/SPLICING FACTOR FOR  
443 PHYTOCHROME SIGNALING (DRT111/SFPS) SF controlled ABA-sensitivity  
444 during seed germination (Punzo et al., 2020). In the present study, all the SFs  
445 identified via GO enrichment analysis were upregulated in *P. ussuriensis* compared  
446 with *P. trichocarpa*. Among these upregulated SFs, SC35, an arginine-serine rich  
447 protein, is known to regulate plant development by modulating splicing and  
448 transcription of a subset of genes was identified (Yan et al., 2017). Scarecrow-like  
449 (*SCL*) genes are involved in plant information transmission via signaling networks  
450 (Liu et al., 2017) and in the regulation of plant abiotic stresses, such as drought or salt  
451 stress (Golldack, Li, Mohan, & Probst, 2013; Zhou, Zhang, & Wang, 2013) were  
452 identified. The SF RS40, also an arginine-serine rich protein, participates in miRNA  
453 biogenesis (Chen & Moore, 2015). Therefore, the role of SFs in cold-induced AS

changes needs further investigation. Additionally, studies have reported numerous TFs that are subjected to AS and subsequently contribute to the regulation of gene expression (Zhang, Chernomoretz, & Yanovsky, 2015). In this study, at room temperature, few TFs had isoforms in *P. ussuriensis* compared with *P. trichocarpa*. As the temperature decreased, the amount of AS in TFs increased in *P. ussuriensis*. It will be interesting to investigate the functions of the novel and already known cold-responsive TFs regulated by AS under cold stress.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, SMRT-Seq and Illumina RNA-Seq revealed that poplar trees rapidly responded at the pre-mRNA alternative splicing (AS) stage and AS regulated the transcript abundance to adjust to cold stress. Isoform abundance and rapid response in *P. ussuriensis*, indicate that the changes in AS transcripts might was the most element that tolerant to cold stress compared with *P. trichocarpa* species. Splicing factors and transcription factors are likely important for the regulation of DEGs by AS events. Our findings will support further research on the functions of AS and the coordination between transcriptional and AS responses to confer cold tolerance.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Illumina HiSeq data have been submitted to GEO under the accession numbers

477 PRJNA656151 for *Populus trichocarpa* and PRJNA656152 for *Populus ussuriensis*.  
478 PacBio SMRT sequencing data have been submitted to the Sequence Read Archive  
479 (SRA) of NCBI under the accession numbers PRJNA656159 for *P. trichocarpa* and  
480 PRJNA650237 for *P. ussuriensis*.

481

## 482 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

483 J.Y. and C.L. conceived and planned the experiments; J.Y. and W.L. performed the  
484 experiments; J.L. collected the materials; X.Z. analyzed the data; and J.Y. wrote the  
485 manuscript with support from all the authors.

486

## 487 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

488 We acknowledge TopEdit LLC for the linguistic editing and proofreading during the  
489 preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural  
490 Science Foundation of China (No. 31971671), the Overseas Expertise Introduction  
491 Project for Discipline Innovation (B16010), the Fundamental Research Funds for the  
492 Central Universities of China (2572018CL04), and the Heilongjiang Touyan  
493 Innovation Team Program (Tree Genetics and Breeding Innovation Team).

494

## 495 **ORCID**

496 Chenghao Li <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0916-0409>

497

## 498 **REFERENCES**

499 Adams, S., Grundy, J., Veflingstad, S. R., Dyer, N. P., Hannah, M. A., Ott, S., ...

500 Carré, I. A. (2018). Circadian control of abscisic acid biosynthesis and signaling  
 501 pathways revealed by genome-wide analysis of LHY binding targets. *New*  
 502 *Phytologist*, 220(3):893–907. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15415>.

503 Bao, H., Li, E., Mansfield, S. D., Cronk, Q. C. B., El-Kassaby, Y.A., & Douglas, C.J.  
 504 (2013). The developing xylem transcriptome and genome-wide analysis of  
 505 alternative splicing in *Populus trichocarpa* (black cottonwood) populations.  
 506 *BMC Genomics*, 14:359. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-359>.

507 Boudsocq, M., & Sheen, J. (2013). CDPKs in immune and stress signaling. *Trends in*  
 508 *Plant Science*, 18: 30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.08.008>.

509 Calixto, C. P. G., Guo, W., James, A. B., Tzioutziou, N. A., Entizne, J. C., Panter, P.  
 510 E., ... Brown, J. W. S. (2018). Rapid and Dynamic Alternative Splicing Impacts  
 511 the Arabidopsis Cold Response Transcriptome. *The Plant Cell*, 30:1424–1444.  
 512 <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.18.00177>.

513 Chekanova, J. A. (2015). Long non-coding RNAs and their functions in  
 514 plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 27:207–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.08.003>.

515  
 516 Chen, W., & Moore, M. J. (2015). Spliceosomes. *Current Biology*, 25:181–183.  
 517 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.059>.

518 Chinnusamy, V., Zhu, J., & Zhu, J. (2007). Cold stress regulation of gene expression  
 519 in plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 12(10): 444-451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2007.07.002>.

520  
 521 Eid, J., Fehr, A., Gray, J., Luong, K., Lyle, J., Otto, G., ... Turner, S. (2009). Real-time



522 DNA sequencing from single polymerase molecules. *Science*, 323: 133–138.  
 523 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162986>.

524 Fu, X.D., & Ares, M., Jr. (2014). Context-dependent control of alternative splicing by  
 525 RNA-binding proteins. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 15: 689–701.  
 526 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3778>.

527 Gan, X., Stegle, O., Behr, J., Steffen, J. G., Drewe, P., Hildebrand, K.L., ... (2011).  
 528 Multiple reference genomes and transcriptomes for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature*,  
 529 477: 419–423. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10414>.

530 Golldack, D., Li, C., Mohan H., & Probst N. (2013) Gibberellins and abscisic acid  
 531 signal crosstalk: living and developing under unfavorable conditions. *Plant Cell*  
 532 *Reports*, 32:1007–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-013-1409-2>

533 Jaakola, L., Pirttila, A. M., Halonen, M., & Hohtola, A. (2001). Isolation of high  
 534 quality RNA from bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) fruit. *Molecular*  
 535 *Biotechnology*, 19: 201-203. <https://doi.org/10.1385/MB:19:2:201>.

536 James, A. B., Sullivan, S., & Nimmo, H. G. (2018a). Global spatial analysis of  
 537 *Arabidopsis* natural variants implicates 5'UTR splicing of LATE ELONGATED  
 538 HYPOCOTYL in responses to temperature. *Plant Cell and Environment*,  
 539 41(7):1524-1538. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13188>.

540 James AB, Calixto CPG, Tzioutziou NA, Guo W, Zhang R, Simpson CG, ... Nimmo,  
 541 H. G. (2018b). How does temperature affect splicing events? Isoform switching  
 542 of splicing factors regulates splicing of LATE ELONGATED  
 543 HYPOCOTYL (LHY). *Plant Cell and Environment*, 41(7):1539-1550.

544 <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13193>

545 Kalsotra, A., & Cooper, T. A. (2011). Functional consequences of developmentally  
 546 regulated alternative splicing. *Nature Review Genetics*, 12: 715–729.  
 547 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3052>.

548 Kanehisa, M., Araki, M., Goto, S., Hattori, M., Hirakawa, M., Itoh, M., ...,  
 549 Yamanishi, Y. (2008). KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment.  
 550 *Nucleic Acids Research*, 36:480-484. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm882>.

551 Laloum, T., Martín, G., and Duque, P. (2018). Alternative splicing control of abiotic  
 552 stress responses. *Trends in Plant Science*, 23: 140–150.  
 553 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.09.019>.

554 Lee, Y., & Rio, D.C. (2015). Mechanisms and regulation of alternative pre-mRNA  
 555 splicing. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 84: 291–323.  
 556 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060614-034316>.

557 Li, H., Ding, Y., Shi, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, S., Gong, Z., ... Yang, S. (2017). MPK3-  
 558 and MPK6-mediated ICE1 phosphorylation negatively regulates ICE1 stability  
 559 and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Developmental Cell*, 43: 630–642.e4.  
 560 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.025>.

561 Li, P., Li, Y.J., Zhang, F.J. Zhang, G.Z., Jiang, X.Y., Yu, H.M., ... Hou, B.K. (2017).  
 562 The Arabidopsis UDP-glycosyltransferases UGT79B2 and UGT79B3, contribute  
 563 to cold, salt and drought stress tolerance via modulating anthocyanin  
 564 accumulation. *The Plant Journal*, 89: 85–103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13324>.

565 Li, S., Yu, X., Cheng, Z., Zeng, C., Li, W., Zhang, L., ... Peng, M. (2019). Large-scale

566 analysis of the cassava transcriptome reveals the cold stress on alternative  
 567 splicing. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 71(1):422-434.  
 568 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz444>.

569 Liu, H., Qin, J., Fan, H., Cheng, J., Li, L., & Liu, Z. (2017). Genome-wide  
 570 identification, phylogeny and expression analyses of SCARECROW-LIKE(SCL)  
 571 genes in millet (*Setaria italica*). *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*,  
 572 23(3): 629–640. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-017-0455-6>.

573 Liu, Z., Qin, J., Tian, X., Xu, S., Wang, Yu., Li, H., ... Sun, Q. (2018). Global  
 574 profiling of alternative splicing landscape responsive to drought, heat and their  
 575 combination in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 16:  
 576 714–726. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12822>.

577 Lu, H., Rate, D. N., Song, J. T., Greenberg, J. T. (2003). ACD6, a novel ankyrin  
 578 protein, is a regulator and an effector of salicylic acid signaling in the  
 579 Arabidopsis defense response. *The Plant Cell*, 15: 2408–2420.  
 580 <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.015412>.

581 Lv, X., Li, H., Chen, X., Xiang, X., Guo, Z., Yu, J., ... Zhou, Y. (2018). The role of  
 582 calcium-dependent protein kinase in hydrogen peroxide, nitric oxide and ABA-  
 583 dependent cold acclimation. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 69:4127–4139.  
 584 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery212>.

585 Marquez, Y., Brown, J. W., Simpson, C., Barta, A., & Kalyna, M. (2012).  
 586 Transcriptome survey reveals increased complexity of the alternative splicing  
 587 landscape in Arabidopsis. *Genome Research*, 22: 1184–1195.

588 <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.134106.111>.

589 Metwally, A., Finkemeier, I., Georgi, M., Dietz, K.J. (2003). Salicylic acid alleviates  
590 the cadmium toxicity in barley seedlings. *Plant Physiology*, 132:272–281.

591 Miller, G., Suzuki, N., Ciftci-Yilmaz, S., & Mittler, R. (2010). Reactive oxygen  
592 species homeostasis and signalling during drought and salinity stresses. *Plant*  
593 *Cell Environment*, 33: 453–467. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02041.x)  
594 [3040.2009.02041.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02041.x).

595 Nurhasanah, R.F., & Chen, Su. (2020). Physiological and Molecular Mechanism  
596 involved in cold stress tolerance in plants. *Plants*, 9(5): 560.  
597 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9050560>.

598 Pajoro, A., Severing, E., Angenent, G.C., & Immink, R.G.H. (2017). Histone H3  
599 lysine 36 methylation affects temperature-induced alternative splicing and  
600 flowering in plants. *Genome Biology*, 18: 102. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1235-x)  
601 [017-1235-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1235-x).

602 Palusa, S. G., Ali, G. S., & Reddy, A. S. (2007). Alternative splicing of pre-mRNAs of  
603 Arabidopsis serine/arginine-rich proteins: regulation by hormones and stresses.  
604 *The Plant Journal*, 49: 1091–1107. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.03020.x)  
605 [313X.2006.03020.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.03020.x).

606 Punzo, P., Ruggiero, A., Possenti, M., Perrella, G., Nurcato, R., Costa, A., ... Batelli,  
607 G. (2020). DRT111/SFPS Splicing Factor Controls Absciscic Acid Sensitivity  
608 during *Seed Development and Germination*, 183(2):793-807.  
609 <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.20.00037>.

610 Reddy, A. S., Marquez, Y., Kalyna, M., & Barta, A. (2013). Complexity of the  
 611 alternative splicing landscape in plants. *The Plant Cell*, 25: 3657–3683.  
 612 [https://doi.org/ 10.1105/tpc.113.117523](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.117523).

613 Schlaen, R.G., Mancini, E., Sanchez, S. E., Perez-Santángelo, S., Rugnone, M.  
 614 L., Simpson, C. G., ... Yanovsky, M. J. (2015). The spliceosome assembly factor  
 615 GEMIN2 attenuates the effects of temperature on alternative splicing and  
 616 circadian rhythms. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*  
 617 *States of America*, 112: 9382–9387. [https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1504541112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504541112).

618 Shafiq, S., Li, J., & Sun, Q. (2016). Functions of plants long non-coding RNAs. *BBA -*  
 619 *Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*, 1:1859:155–  
 620 162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagr.2015.06.009>.

621 Sharon, D., Tilgner, H., Grubert, F. & Snyder, M. (2013) A single-molecule long-read  
 622 survey of the human transcriptome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 31: 1009–1014.  
 623 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2705>.

624 Shen, S., Park, J. W., Lu, Z., Lin, L., Henry, M. D., ... Xing, Y. (2014). rMATS:  
 625 robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RN  
 626 A-Seq data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*  
 627 *States of America*, 111(51): 5593-5601.  
 628 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1419161111>.

629 Staiger, D., & Brown, J.W. (2013). Alternative splicing at the intersection of  
 630 biological timing, development, and stress responses. *The Plant Cell*, 25: 3640–  
 631 3656. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.113803>.

632 Syed, N. H., Kalyna, M., Marquez, Y., Barta, A., & Brown, J. W. (2012). Alternative  
633 splicing in plants: coming of age. *Trends in Plant Science*, 17: 616–623.  
634 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.06.001>.

635 Thatcher, S. R., Danilevskaya, O. N., Meng, X., Beatty, M., Zastrow-Hayes, G.  
636 Harris, H., ... Li, B. (2016). Genome-wide analysis of alternative splicing during  
637 development and drought stress in maize. *Plant Physiology*, 170: 586–599.  
638 <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01267>.

639 Tian, T., Liu, Y., Yan, H., You, Q., Yi, X., Du, Z., ..., Su, Z. (2017). agriGO v2.0: a GO  
640 analysis toolkit for the agricultural community, 2017 update. *Nucleic Acids*  
641 *Research*, 45: 122–129. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx382>.

642 Vergnolle, C., Vaultier, M.N., Taconnat, L., Renou, J.P., Kader, J.C., Zachowski, A. ...  
643 Ruelland, E. (2005). The cold-induced early activation of phospholipase C and D  
644 pathways determines the response of two distinct clusters of genes in  
645 *Arabidopsis* cell suspensions. *Plant Physiology*, 139(3):1217-33. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.068171>.

647 Wang, M., Wang, P., Liang, F., Ye, Z., Li, J., Shen, C., ... Zhang, X. (2018). A global  
648 survey of alternative splicing in allpolyploid cotton: landscape, complexity and  
649 regulation. *New Phytologist*, 217:163-178. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14762>.

650 Wang, T., Wang, H., Cai, D., Gao, Y., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., ... Gu, L. (2017).  
651 Comprehensive profiling of rhizome-associated alternative splicing and  
652 alternative polyadenylation in moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). *The Plant*  
653 *Journal* 91:684-699. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13597>.

654 Williams, M.E., Torabinejad, J., Cohick, E., Parker, K., Drake, E.J., Thompson, J.E.,  
 655 ... Dewald, D.B. (2005). Mutations in the Arabidopsis phosphoinositide  
 656 phosphatase gene SAC9 lead to overaccumulation of PtdIns(4,5)P2 and  
 657 constitutive expression of the stress-response pathway. *Plant Physiology*,  
 658 138(2):686-700. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.061317.

659 Xing, Y., Jia, W.S., Zhang, J.H. (2008). AtMKK1 mediates ABA-induced CAT1  
 660 expression and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> produced via AtMPK6-coupled signaling in Arabidopsis.  
 661 *The Plant Journal*, 54: 440–451. doi:10.1093/jxb/erq125.

662 Yan, Q., Xia, X., Sun, Z., & Fang, Y. (2017). Depletion of Arabidopsis SC35 and  
 663 SC35-like serine/arginine-rich proteins affects the transcription and splicing of a  
 664 subset of genes. *PLoS Genetic*, 13(3): e1006663.  
 665 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006663>.

666 Yao, N., & Greenberg, J. T. (2006). Arabidopsis accelerated cell death2 modulates  
 667 programmed cell death. *The Plant Cell*, 18: 397-411.  
 668 <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.036251>.

669 Yon, F., Joo, Y., Cortés Llorca, L., Rothe, E., Baldwin, I. T., Kim, S. G. (2016).  
 670 Silencing *Nicotiana attenuata* LHY and ZTL alters circadian rhythms in flowers.  
 671 *New Phytologist*, 209(3):1058–66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13681>.

672 Young, M.D., Wakefield, M.J., Smyth, G.K., Oshlack, A. (2010). Gene ontology  
 673 analysis for RNA-seq: Accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biology*, 11:R14.  
 674 <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-2-r14>.

675 Zhang, G., Sun, M., Wang, J., Lei, M., Li, C., Zhao, D., ... Zhang, B. (2019). PacBio

676 full-length cDNA sequencing integrated with RNA-seq reads drastically  
677 improves the discovery of splicing transcripts in rice. *The Plant Journal*, 97:296–  
678 305. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14120>.

679 Zhao, C., Wang, P., Si, T., Hsu, C.C., Wang, L., Zayed, O., ... Zhu, J. K. (2017). MAP  
680 kinase cascades regulate the cold response by modulating ICE1 protein stability.  
681 *Developmental Cell*, 43: 618–629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.024>.

682 Zhao, Y., Sun, J., Xu, P., Zhang, R., & Li, L. (2014). Intron-mediated alternative  
683 splicing of WOOD-ASSOCIATED NAC TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR1B  
684 regulate cell wall thickening during fiber development in *Populus* Species. *Plant*  
685 *Physiology*, 164:765-776. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.113.231134>.

686 Zhou, R., Moshgabadi, N., & Adams, K. L. (2011). Extensive changes to alternative  
687 splicing patterns following allopolyploidy in natural and resynthesized  
688 polyploids. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*  
689 *of America*, 108: 16122–16127. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1109551108>.

690 Zhu, G., Li, W., Zhang, F., & Guo, W. (2018). RNA-seq analysis reveals alternative  
691 splicing under salt stress in cotton, *Gossypium davidsonii*. *BMC Genomics*, 19:  
692 73. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4449-8>.



693 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

694 **Figure 1** Phenotypic changes in *Populus trichocarpa* and *Populus ussuriensis* in  
695 response to cold stress. *P. trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis* grown at 25°C for 3h (a);  
696 3°C for 3 h (b); -3°C for 3 h (c); and -3°C for 4 h (d).

697 **Figure 2** Circos visualization of PacBio Isoseq data in *Populus trichocarpa* and  
698 *Populus ussuriensis* compared using SMRT sequencing. (a-b) Distribution of full-  
699 length reads density in *P. trichocarpa*. and *P. ussuriensis* respectively on reference  
700 chromosome respectively. (c-d) The distribution of genetic variants in *P. trichocarpa*.  
701 and *P. ussuriensis* respectively.

702 **Figure 3** Comparison of alternative splicing (AS) events in response to cold stress in  
703 *Populus trichocarpa* and *Populus ussuriensis* identified by PacBio sequencing. (a) AS  
704 types. (b) The proportion of different AS types in response to cold stress in *P.*  
705 *trichocarpa*. (c) The proportion of different AS types in response to cold stress in *P.*  
706 *ussuriensis*. (d) Statistical analysis of the AS types in response to cold stress in *P.*  
707 *trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis*. (e) Radar plot showing the percentage of AS types in  
708 response to cold stress in *P. trichocarpa* and *P. ussuriensis*. (f) Comparison of the AS  
709 types at different cold temperatures in *P. trichocarpa*. (g) Comparison of the AS types  
710 at different cold temperatures in *P. ussuriensis*.

711 **Figure 4** Most significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms for differentially  
712 expressed genes (DEGs) and differential alternative splicing (DAS) genes identified  
713 by RNA sequencing analysis of two poplar species under cold conditions. (a–c) GO  
714 terms for DEGs between *Populus ussuriensis* and *Populus trichocarpa* at 25°C. (d–f)

715 GO terms for DEGs between *P. ussuriensis* and *P. trichocarpa* at 3°C. (g–i) GO terms  
716 for DEGs between *P. ussuriensis* and *P. trichocarpa* at -3°C. (j–l) GO terms for DAS  
717 genes between *P. ussuriensis* and *P. trichocarpa* at 25°C, 3°C, and -3°C.

718 **Figure 5** Calcium and physiological indicators measurement in *P. trichocarpa* and *P.*  
719 *ussuriensis*. (a) Calcium content measurement using inductively coupled plasma (ICP)  
720 emission spectrometer (ICP-OES 5110 VDV, Agilent, USA). (b) MDA, (c) POD and  
721 (d) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content measurement.

722 **Figure 6** Changes in differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and differential  
723 alternative splicing (DAS) genes in response to cold stress.

724 **Figure 7** Characteristic of randomly selected alternative splicing (AS) events and  
725 validation of randomly selected alternative splicing events detected by RNA  
726 sequencing using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The  
727 arrow on the left side indicates the position of PCR primers used for RT-PCR. The  
728 electropherogram on the right side shows the alternatively spliced product bands.

729 **Figure 8** Validation of randomly selected differentially expressed genes (DEGs) using  
730 quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

731 **Figure 9** Model for cold signaling pathway and gene regulation in poplar.

## 732 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

733 **Data S1** Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *Populus ussuriensis* and  
734 *Populus trichocarpa* identified by RNA sequencing analysis.

735 **Data S2** Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *Populus ussuriensis* and  
736 *Populus trichocarpa* at 3°C identified by RNA sequencing analysis.

737 **Data S3** Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *Populus ussuriensis* and

738 *Populus trichocarpa* at -3°C identified by RNA sequencing analysis.

739 **Data S4** Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of cluster 3 in *Populus ussuriensis*  
740 compared to *Populus trichocarpa* identified by RNA sequencing analysis.

741 **Figure S1** Clustering of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *Populus ussuriensis*  
742 compared to *Populus trichocarpa* in response to cold stress identified by RNA  
743 sequencing analysis.

744 **Table S1** Primers used for reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

745 **Table S2** Primers used for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-  
746 PCR).

747 **Tables**748 Table 1 Difference in genes as annotated in two poplar species compared to *P. trichocarpa* genome by the PacBio sequencing data respectively.

	<i>P. trichocarpa</i>	<i>P. ussurensis</i>
Cell_ID	r64053_20191003_0 23517_4_H01	r64053_20191003_023517_ 4_H01
Number of consensus isoforms	51,153	58,082
Mean length of consensus isoforms	1683.17	1910.19
Number of high-quality isoforms	50,910	57,872
Mean length of high-quality isoforms	1678.8	1905.13
Number of low-quality isoforms	228	188
Mean length of low-quality isoforms	1157.82	1344.64

749

750

Table 2 Partial DEGs response to cold in *Populus ussuriensis* compared with *Populus trichocarpa*.

25 °C			+3 °C			−3 °C		
Gene ID	annotation	Log2FoldChange	Gene ID	annotation	Log2FoldChange	Gene ID	annotation	Log2FoldChange
Potri.001G138000	<i>CAM</i>	3.2		<i>CAM</i>	1.5	Potri.T124900	<i>CAM3</i>	1.5
Potri.007G042900	<i>CAM1</i>	2.0	Potri.T124900	<i>CAM3</i>	1.3	Potri.002G047300	<i>CAM</i>	1.4
Potri.005G052800	<i>CAM8</i>	1.8				Potri.001G138000	<i>CAM</i>	1.4
Potri.T124900	<i>CAM3</i>	1.7						
Potri.006G065900	<i>CAM3</i>	1.5						
Potri.009G168700	<i>CPK</i>	1.6	Potri.009G168700	<i>CPK</i>	3.6	Potri.009G168700	<i>CPK</i>	2.7
Potri.016G06570	<i>CPK</i>	1.2	Potri.004G015500	<i>CPK</i>	1.4	Potri.004G015500	<i>CPK</i>	1.3
Potri.004G015500	<i>CPK</i>	1.2				Potri.016G065700	<i>CPK</i>	1.2
Potri.018G122300	<i>CIPK</i>	1.4	Potri.018G108500	<i>CIPK</i>	1.3	Potri.016G133900	<i>CIPK</i>	1.4
Potri.018G108500	<i>CIPK</i>	1.2	Potri.018G122300	<i>CIPK</i>	1.3	Potri.017G118000	<i>CIPK</i>	1.2
Potri.009G152200	<i>NCED</i>	-1.8	Potri.001G393800	<i>NCED</i>	2.1	Potri.001G393800	<i>NCED</i>	1.3
			Potri.011G112400	<i>NCED</i>	1.5	Potri.011G112400	<i>NCED</i>	2.2
			Potri.019G093400	<i>NCED</i>	1.1			
Potri.010G156400	<i>CAB</i>	6.1	Potri.010G156400	<i>CAB</i>	9.1	Potri.010G156400	<i>CAB</i>	8.0
Potri.002G222800	<i>CAB</i>	4.5	Potri.002G222800	<i>CAB</i>	3.6	Potri.002G222800	<i>CAB</i>	2.8
Potri.008G198900	<i>CAB</i>	1.1	Potri.016G011300	<i>CAB</i>	3.3	Potri.016G011300	<i>CAB</i>	1.7
Potri.010G155400	<i>CAB</i>	-1.9	Potri.014G162100	<i>CAB</i>	2.6	Potri.010G155400	<i>CAB</i>	-1.9
			Potri.T137800	<i>CAB</i>	-1.4			
			Potri.010G155400	<i>CAB</i>	-2.1			
Potri.013G154400	<i>POD</i>	7.2	Potri.012G000300	<i>POD</i>	7.7	Potri.012G000300	<i>POD</i>	7.7
Potri.007G122100	<i>POD3</i>	5.5	Potri.007G122100	<i>POD</i>	4.6	Potri.017G038000	<i>POD3</i>	5.5
Potri.017G064100	<i>POD73</i>	5.4	Potri.017G038000	<i>POD3</i>	4.3	Potri.008G099900	<i>POD</i>	4.7

Potri.017G038000	<i>POD3</i>	4.3	Potri.011G062300	<i>POD19</i>	3.2	Potri.007G122100	<i>POD3</i>	4.3
Potri.T163100	<i>POD</i>	4.3	Potri.001G145800	<i>POD20</i>	3.1	Potri.008G022700	<i>POD</i>	3.7
Potri.013G083600	<i>POD4</i>	3.7	Potri.001G145800	<i>POD18</i>	3.1	Potri.013G154400	<i>POD</i>	3.4
Potri.012G006800	<i>POD5</i>	3.0	Potri.002G018000	<i>POD64</i>	2.8	Potri.016G125000	<i>POD</i>	3.4
Potri.010G036100	<i>POD6</i>	2.8	Potri.013G154400	<i>POD</i>	2.3	Potri.011G062300	<i>POD19</i>	2.9
Potri.016G132900	<i>POD</i>	2.6	Potri.003G214900	<i>POD15</i>	2.0	Potri.017G064100	<i>POD73</i>	2.8
Potri.018G015500	<i>POD18</i>	2.6	Potri.010G036100	<i>POD6</i>	1.9	Potri.018G015500	<i>POD18</i>	2.7
Potri.001G145800	<i>POD20</i>	2.6	Potri.003G214800	<i>POD15</i>	1.7	Potri.010G175100	<i>POD7</i>	2.6
Potri.001G013000	<i>POD</i>	2.1	Potri.001G013000	<i>POD</i>	1.6	Potri.001G145800	<i>POD20</i>	2.6
Potri.003G214900	<i>POD15</i>	2.1	Potri.017G064100	<i>POD73</i>	1.6	Potri.010G036100	<i>POD6</i>	2.2
Potri.015G003500	<i>POD5</i>	2.0	Potri.004G052100	<i>POD15</i>	1.5	Potri.003G214800	<i>POD15</i>	2.0
Potri.001G011500	<i>POD15</i>	1.9	Potri.003G214700	<i>POD15</i>	1.2	Potri.001G013000	<i>POD</i>	2.0
Potri.011G062300	<i>POD</i>	1.9				Potri.013G083600	<i>POD4</i>	1.6
Potri.002G018000	<i>POD64</i>	1.8				Potri.002G065300	<i>POD12</i>	1.6
Potri.003G214800	<i>POD15</i>	1.8				Potri.003G214700	<i>POD15</i>	1.5
Potri.006G267400	<i>POD18</i>	1.3				Potri.006G267400	<i>POD18</i>	1.2
Potri.007G053400	<i>POD73</i>	1.2						

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

Table 3 DAS events in response to cold in *Populus ussurensis* compared with *Populus trichocarpa*.

25 °C				+3 °C				−3 °C			
Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change	Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change	Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change
Potri.013G133900	RI	<i>ACD6</i>	-2.9	Potri.003G017500	SE	<i>RECQ</i>	1.8	Potri.013G133900	RI	<i>ACD6</i>	-3.5
Potri.001G353500	SE, RI	<i>COR413IMI</i>	-1.7	Potri.013G001600	RI, A5SS, A3SS	<i>LTI6A</i>	-1.4	Potri.009G078500	SE	HVA22A	-1.9
Potri.013G014400	RI	<i>SWEET16</i>	-1.6	Potri.008G158200	RI	<i>ELIP</i>	-2.4	Potri.014G106800	A3SS, A5SS, MXE, RI, SE	<i>LHY</i>	2.2
				Potri.005G070900	SE	DGK	-2.6	Potri.013G001600	A3SS, A5SS, SE	<i>LTI6A</i>	-2.9
				Potri.008G161600	SE	EO	1.3	Potri.018G004200	SE	HIBCH	-6.0
				Potri.005G246000	SE, A5SS	PLD	-1.4	Potri.013G014400	A3SS, RI, SE	SWEET16	-1.9
				Potri.002G230000	SE	GLR6	1.5	Potri.004G230100	A3SS, SE	VRN1	-1.6
				Potri.017G041700	A3SS	ISPS	1.4	Potri.001G024900	A3SS, SE	ANN4	1.5

				Potri.013G133900	RI,	ACD 6	-3.3	Potri.002G 182500	A3SS, RI, SE	CML	1.8
				Potri.002G182500	A3SS	CML	1.6	Potri.014G 108200	A3SS, SE	CML	1.4
				Potri.001G353500	A3SS, MXE, RI, SE	CORIM1	-1.4	Potri.005G 070900	SE	DAG	-1.6
								Potri.008G 158200	RI	ELIP1	-1.5
								Potri.005G 196700	A5SS, SE	GI	-2.1

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774



775

776

777

Table 4 Expression changed of splicing-associated factors in *P. ussurensis* compared to *P. trichocarpa* at different temperature.

25 °C				+3 °C				−3 °C			
Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change	Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change	Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change
Potri.015G112800		DEAH1	5.6	Potri.016G020200		PRO8	2.4	Potri.015G112800		DEAH1	8.6
Potri.016G020200		PRO8	1.7	Potri.015G112800		DEAH1	2	Potri.016G020200		PRO8	3.5
				Potri.001G147100		RES	1.6	Potri.009G041300		RBM22	2.3
				Potri.010G093100	RI	CEF-1	1.2	Potri.010G093100	RI	CEF1	1.9
								Potri.016G062500	A3SS	SCL30A	1.8
								Potri.009G041700	A5SS	SR45a	1.8
								Potri.006G197000		SCL30A	1.7
								Potri.012G012800		SF3A3	1.6
								Potri.007G070700	A3SS; RI	SF3A1	1.6
								Potri.001G147100		RES	1.5
								Potri.007G045900	A5SS; RI	Prp18	1.4
								Potri.004G076600		CTNNBL	1.4
								Potri.012G064100		SLU7-A	1.4
								Potri.015G048200	A3SS	SLU7-A	1.3
								Potri.012G133600	SE	RS40	1.3
								Potri.009G149600		SFU2af	1.3

							Potri.017G060200		ISY1	1.3
							Potri.004G039000	SE	SF3B2	1.3
							Potri.004G052700	SE	DEAH9	1.3
							Potri.002G153600		RS40	1.3
							Potri.003G087200		RES	1.3
							Potri.005G138200		SFRS16	1.3
							Potri.T134200	SE	SFRS1	1.2
							Potri.011G098100		RBM17	1.2
							Potri.006G000200		cwf25	1.2
							Potri.010G213300		PRP3	1.2
							Potri.005G024600	A3SS	SFRS1	1.2
							Potri.T125700		SC35	1.2
							Potri.005G210600		Prp18	1.2
							Potri.013G135300		PRP1	1.2
							Potri.008G168000	A5SS, MXE; SE	SF3B5	1.2
							Potri.005G258000		SFU2af	1.2
							Potri.019G039900		Prp19	1.2
							Potri.005G105700	A5SS; RI; SE	SR45a	1.2
							Potri.002G175000	A3SS; SE	RSP31	1.2
							Potri.014G101800	A5SS; SE	RS31	1.2

778  
779  
780  
781

Table 5 Comparison of AS events in TFs of two poplar species by the PacBio sequencing data respectively.

25 °C				+3 °C				−3 °C			
Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change	Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change	Gene ID	AS type	annotation	Log2Fold Change
Potri.001G063000	A5 SS	bHLH	4.3	Potri.001G283300	A3 SS	ERF	5.8	Potri.005G184400	A5SS	B3	3.1
Potri.014G193800	A3 SS	MYB	3.4	Potri.002G090600	A3 SS, RI	bHLH	4.3	Potri.004G155800	MXE	CAMTA	4.0
Potri.012G016000	A3 SS	NAC	2.1	Potri.001G395000	SE	MYB	5.3	Potri.014G106800	MXE	MYB	2.2
Potri.012G118300	A3 SS	ERF	3.9	Potri.001G035500	A3 SS, SE	WRKY	9.4	Potri.006G024000	RI	MYB	3.8
Potri.006G072200	A5 SS	WRKY	2.6	Potri.001G010700	A3 SS	WRKY	10.6	Potri.002G148000	RI	NAC	2.7
Potri.016G102100	A5 SS	HD-ZIP	3.5	Potri.001G259100	A3 SS, MXE, SE	NAC	5.9	Potri.001G184200	RI	WRKY	3.8

Potri.005G184400	A5 SS	B3	2.4	Potri.001G056700	A3 SS, SE	B3	8.8	Potri.002G102100	RI	ERF	2.6
Potri.004G155800	SE	CAMTA	3.4	Potri.002G002200	A3 SS , A5 SS	MYB	4.6	Potri.012G118300	SE	ERF	3.1
				Potri.001G063000	A5 SS	WRKY	8.3	Potri.004G155800	SE	CAMTA	4.0
				Potri.002G225600	A5 SS	HSF	3.8	Potri.007G119700	SE	bHLH	2.5
				Potri.001G075600	A5 SS	MYB	8.0	Potri.T152100	SE	MYB	6.0
				Potri.001G290100	A5 SS	WRKY	5.8	Potri.001G291700	SE	WRKY	2.9
				Potri.001G159400	MX E, SE	HSF	6.8	Potri.011G150100	SE	B3	2.8
				Potri.002G182500	RI	ERF	4.0				
				Potri.001G017700	RI	bHLH	9.6				
				Potri.001G436200	RI	B3	4.8				
				Potri.001G217700	RI	WRKY	6.2				
				Potri.001G056700	RI	B3	8.8				
				Potri.001G114000	RI	bHLH	7.5				

				Potri.001G255100	RI	bZIP	6.0				
				Potri.001G385300	SE	NAC	5.3				
				Potri.001G133500	SE	HSF	7.4				
				Potri.001G240300	SE	MYB	6.0				

782

783