

1     **Chemical intervention for enhancing growth and reducing grain**  
2                             **arsenic accumulation in rice**

3  
4     Ashish Kumar Srivastava<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Manish Pandey<sup>1</sup>, Tejashree Ghate<sup>1</sup>, Vikash Kumar<sup>1</sup>,  
5     Munish Kumar Upadhyay<sup>3</sup>, Arnab Majumdar<sup>4</sup>, Abhay Kumar Sanjukta<sup>5</sup>, Ashish  
6     Kumar Agrawal<sup>6</sup>, Sutapa Bose<sup>4</sup>, Sudhakar Srivastava<sup>3</sup>, Penna Suprasanna<sup>1,2</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup>Nuclear Agriculture and Biotechnology Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Center, Mumbai,  
9 Maharashtra 400 085, India; <sup>2</sup>Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai-400094, India; <sup>3</sup>Plant  
10 Stress Biology Laboratory, Institute of Environment and Sustainable Development, Banaras  
11 Hindu University, Varanasi– 221005, UP, India; <sup>4</sup>Indian Institute of Science Education and  
12 Research, Kolkata, West Bengal-741246, India; <sup>5</sup>Analytical Chemistry Division, Bhabha  
13 Atomic Research Center, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400 085, India; <sup>6</sup> Technical Physics Division,  
14 Bhabha Atomic research Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400 085, India.  
15

16\*Corresponding author: [ashishbarc@gmail.com](mailto:ashishbarc@gmail.com) (Ashish Kumar Srivastava)

## 17**ABSTRACT**

18        Arsenic (As) is a ubiquitous environmental carcinogen that enters the human food  
19chain mainly through rice grains. In the present study, we evaluated the potential of thiourea  
20(TU; non-physiological reactive oxygen species scavenger) in mitigating the negative effects  
21of arsenic (As) stress in indica rice variety IR64, with the overall aim to reduce grain As  
22accumulation. At seedling stage, As+TU treatment induced the formation of more numerous  
23and longer crown roots compared with As alone. The significant reduction in As  
24accumulation was observed in As+TU treated seedling, which coincided with light-dependent  
25suppression in the expression levels of aquaporins and photosynthesis-related genes in  
26roots. The foliar-supplemented TU under As-stress maintained reducing redox conditions  
27which decreased the rate of As accumulation to flag leaves and, eventually grain As by 0.53-  
28fold compared with those of As treatment. The agronomic feasibility of TU was validated  
29under naturally As contaminated sites of Nadia (West Bangal, India). The tiller numbers and  
30crop productivity (kg seed/ha) of TU-sprayed plants were increased by 1.5- and 1.18-fold,  
31respectively; while, grain As accumulation was reduced by 0.36-fold compared with those of  
32water-sprayed control. Thus, this study established TU application as a sustainable solution  
33for cultivating rice in As-contaminated field conditions.

34

35**KEY WORDS:** Arsenic, aquaporins, crown root, root photosynthesis, thiourea.

## 36INTRODUCTION

37 Arsenic (As) is a ubiquitous environmental toxin and is recognized as a group-1  
38carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (Humans,  
39Organization & Cancer, 2004) and, as the most prioritized human toxin by the Agency for  
40Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR-2017; [www.atsdr.cdc.gov](http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov)). Globally, about  
41240 million people from over 70 countries spanning six inhabited continents are threatened  
42by As poisoning. Most of the at-risk populations reside in Southeast Asian countries,  
43including Bangladesh, Cambodia, China, India, Myanmar, Nepal, Pakistan and Vietnam,  
44where the As concentrations in drinking water are much higher than the World Health  
45Organization (WHO) standard (10 µg/L) (Naujokas, Anderson, Ahsan, Aposhian, Graziano,  
46Thompson & Suk, 2013, Uppal, Zheng & Le, 2019). In addition to drinking water, another  
47major route of As entry into humans is via As contaminated food, in particular, rice and rice-  
48based products (Guillod-Magnin, Bruschweiler, Aubert & Haldimann, 2018, Hussain, Rengel,  
49Qaswar, Amir & Zafar-ul-Hye, 2019, Upadhyay, Shukla, Yadav & Srivastava, 2018). In  
50paddy soil-water, As builds up through the combination of natural (rock weathering and  
51alluvial deposits) and anthropogenic (use of As-contaminated groundwater to irrigate)  
52processes (Kumarathilaka, Seneweera, Meharg & Bundschuh, 2018). Although there are  
53several inorganic and organic forms of As in water and soil, the major As species detected in  
54rice grains include arsenite ( $\text{As}^{\text{III}}$ ), arsenate ( $\text{As}^{\text{V}}$ ), dimethylarsinic acid  $[(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{AsO}_2\text{H}]$ ,  
55DMA(V)] and monomethylarsonic acid  $[\text{CH}_3\text{AsO}_3^{-2}]$ , MMA]. In humans, chronic exposure to  
56As not only causes various types of cancers, skin lesions, hyper/hypo-pigmentation and  
57keratosis, but also increases the risk of lifestyle-related diseases, such as diabetes  
58(Rahman, Sohel, Yunus, Alam, Nahar, Streatfield & Yunus, 2019, Xu, Fu, Wang, Hou & Pi,  
592019).

60 Arsenic uptake and toxicity mechanisms in plants are well-explored. In brief, As<sup>V</sup>  
 61 uptake is mediated by phosphate transporters, while As<sup>III</sup> mainly enters through nodulin 26  
 62 type-intrinsic proteins (NIPs, a subfamily of aquaglyceroporins). The entry of DMA and MMA  
 63 inside the root is mediated by silicic acid transporter (Abbas, Murtaza, Bibi, Shahid, Niazi,  
 64 Khan, Amjad, Hussain & Natasha, 2018, Garbinski, Rosen & Chen, 2019, Li, Wang & Song,  
 65 2016, Lindsay & Maathuis, 2017). Inside the plant, As<sup>V</sup> interferes with phosphate dependent  
 66 processes, such as oxidative phosphorylation and ATP synthesis, and As<sup>III</sup> binds to  
 67 sulfhydryl groups of a wide range of proteins, disturbing their functions. Both As<sup>V</sup> and As<sup>III</sup>  
 68 induce the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), causing redox imbalance, and  
 69 disturb nutrient homeostasis of the plants (Finnegan & Chen, 2012). Taken together, these  
 70 changes reduce the overall plant growth and crop yield. In view of this, worldwide concerted  
 71 research efforts are ongoing with dual objectives: first, to increase As-stress tolerance in  
 72 plants for minimizing yield losses from As contaminated areas, and second, to reduce As  
 73 accumulation in grains and make rice safe for human consumption. In light of this, multiple  
 74 genes encoding transporters, thiol-metabolism related enzymes and regulatory proteins  
 75 have been targeted through transgenic technology to enhance plant As tolerance and to  
 76 reduce As accumulation (Lindsay & Maathuis, 2017), (Chen, Hua, Chen, Rathinasabapathi,  
 77 Cao & Ma, 2019, Deng, Yamaji, Ma, Lee, Jeon, Martinoia, Lee & Song, 2018, Kumari,  
 78 Rastogi, Shukla, Srivastava & Yadav, 2018, Shi, Wang, Chen, Tang, Wu, Salt, Chao &  
 79 Zhao, 2016, Sun, Chen, Che, Konishi, Tang, Miller, Ma & Zhao, 2018). In parallel,  
 80 application of low-cost and environmental friendly chemicals could be a sustainable option  
 81 (Srivastava, Pasala, Minhas & Suprasanna, 2016). Being a non-genetic approach, the low-  
 82 dose application of various chemicals faces relatively less regulatory restrictions (Srivastava  
 83 *et al.*, 2016). Thiourea (TU) is a non-physiological thiol-based reactive oxygen species

84(ROS) scavenger (Gao, Wang, Sun & Epstein, 2008, Kelner, Bagnell & Welch, 1990) and  
85anti-nitrification factor (Zacherl & Amberger, 1990) that has been shown to mitigate diverse  
86environmental stresses, including salt (Kaya, Sonmez, Aydemir, Ashraf & Dikilitas, 2013,  
87Srivastava, Sablok, Hackenberg, Deshpande & Suprasanna, 2017), drought (Vineeth,  
88Kumar & Krishna, 2016), heat(Asthir, Thapar, Bains & Farooq, 2015), UV radiation (Pandey,  
89Srivastava, Suprasanna & D'Souza, 2012), boron (Kaya, Sarioğlu, Akram & Ashraf, 2019),  
90lead(Xalxo & Keshavkant, 2019) and arsenate (Srivastava, Srivastava, Mishra, D'Souza &  
91Suprasanna, 2014). Since As-stress severity is expected to magnify under the coupled  
92stress scenario of future climate (Muehe, Wang, Kerl, Planer-Friedrich & Fendorf, 2019),  
93therefore, the present study was conducted to establish the mitigating potential of broad-  
94range effective TU towards As<sup>III</sup> stress conditions in rice, using the lab and field level studies.  
95The main aim of the study was to unravel the mechanistic basis of TU-mediated enhanced  
96growth and reduced grain As accumulation.

## 97**METHODS**

### 98**PLANT MATERIALS, GROWTH CONDITIONS AND STRESS TREATMENTS**

99       The entire study was performed on *Oryza sativa* var. IR-64. Seeds were surface  
100sterilized with 30% ethanol for 3 min and then thoroughly washed with distilled water to  
101remove traces of ethanol. Surface-sterilized seeds were soaked in distilled water under  
102shaking condition (~100 rpm) at 25°C. After 14-16 h of incubation, seeds were allowed to  
103germinate in tap water. After 48 h, germinated seeds were transferred to a customized PCR  
104plate-based hydroponic setup containing modified Yoshida medium (Supplementary Table-  
1051). After 15 d of growth under control conditions, seedlings were independently subjected to  
106different treatments including control (Yoshida medium), As<sup>III</sup> (prepared using AsNaO<sub>2</sub>),  
107As+TU and TU alone. Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU were 20 µM and 75 µM,

108respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with a similar concentration of TU was also  
 109administered for 24 h, which ensured that the presence of TU in seedlings before they  
 110actually face As-stress conditions. The pre-loading strategy has been demonstrated to  
 111maximize the impact of TU-mediated amelioration of As<sup>V</sup> in rice (Srivastava *et al.*, 2014). At  
 112every three-day cycle, the nutrient solutions were changed with fresh respective solution.  
 113After 10 d of treatment, differential phenotypes were qualitatively and quantitatively recorded  
 114in terms of numbers and lengths of crowns and main roots. After quantification, different  
 115organs including the main roots, crown roots, lower leaves (oldest) and upper leaves  
 116(youngest) were physically separated, washed thrice with chilled water and then dried at  
 11765°C till constant weight. The dried tissue was weighed and then acid-digested for As  
 118quantification. In addition, at 20 d after stress, the differential phenotypes were further  
 119recorded in terms of main root lengths and shoot lengths. The microarray analysis was  
 120performed independently in roots and shoots 24 h after different treatments. The quantitative  
 121real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis and hormone estimations were performed in roots at 24  
 122h after different treatments. Additionally, for the selected genes, qRT-PCR was performed in  
 123roots at 24 h after treatment, independently under normal light-regime and complete dark  
 124conditions. The entire phenotyping work was performed in a plant growth chamber (Sanyo,  
 125Japan) having a daily cycle of a 14 h photoperiod with a light intensity of 150  $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , day/  
 126night temperatures of 25/22°C and relative humidity of 65-75%.

#### 127**MICROARRAY ANALYSIS AND QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR**

128 Total RNA was isolated using the miRVANA RNA Isolation kit (Thermo AM1560).  
 129The detailed protocols for RNA quality assessment and microarray analysis are provided as  
 130Supplementary Method-1. The quantitative real-time PCR was performed as per the method  
 131described previously (Srivastava *et al.*, 2014).

### 132 **LC-MS BASED QUANTIFICATION OF PLANT HORMONES**

133 SA and ABA levels were estimated using LC-MS. In brief, ~500 mg of liquid nitrogen  
134 ground samples was homogenized in twice volume of 90% methanol, sonicated for 2 min  
135 and then centrifuged at 12,000 rcf for 5 min at 4°C. Supernatant was transferred to fresh  
136 tube and spiked with internal standard Trytamine-D4. Spiked samples were dried under  
137 vacuum and reconstituted in 50 µl of 20% of methanol. Of this, 10 µl was injected in LC-MS  
138 and SA and ABA peaks were identified on retention time basis. The quantification was done  
139 by comparing peak area of individual sample with that of respective standards.

### 140 **POT STUDIES WITH FOLIAR-APPLIED TU TREATMENT**

141 Pot studies were performed at wire-house experimental farm of the Bhabha Atomic  
142 Research Centre, Mumbai (India). Plastic pots (without holes) filled with 14 kg of a mixture of  
143 paddy soil: decomposed cow dung manure (2:1) were prepared in four independent groups  
144 (five pots/group). Seeds were germinated and grown on the nursery bed till seedling stage.  
145 After 22 d, four healthy seedlings were transplanted into each pot. The recommended  
146 agronomic practices were followed for all four groups. This practice included the addition of a  
147 basal fertilizer dose of N:P:K (50:50:50 kg/ha), which was achieved by adding 4 g of Suphala  
148 (15:15:15) into the soil of each pot. In addition, a top dressing of nitrogenous fertilizer in the  
149 form of urea (0.72 g per pot) was added 40 and 60 d post-transplantation. All of the pots  
150 were watered weekly to maintain 3-4 cm of standing top water until the active grain filling  
151 stage. The four groups were named As (Group-1), As+TU (Group-2), TU (Group-3) and  
152 control (Group-4). Group-1 plants were treated with two doses of As (10 mg As<sup>III</sup>/kg soil) at  
153 20 and 67 d post-transplantation. Group-2 plants were treated with As treatment along with  
154 two foliar applications of TU (6.5 mM containing 0.01% Tween-20) at 50 and 65 d post-  
155 transplantation. Group-3 plants were treated with only two foliar applications of TU. Group-4

156plants were not treated and served as controls. At 2, 10 and 15 d post-TU 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, flag  
157leaves were harvested from different treatments and subjected to As estimation. The activity  
158of glutathione reductase (GR) and levels of reduced (GSH) and oxidized (GSSG) glutathione  
159were also quantified in flag leaves harvested at 10 d post-TU 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, as per the method  
160described previously (Srivastava, Srivastava, Penna & D'Souza, 2011). Different agronomic  
161traits, such as plant height, tiller number, panicle length, branches/panicle, flag leaf length  
162and width, total dry biomass and seed yield/plant were measured at full plant maturity  
163(approximately 100 d post-transplantation). Harvest index values under different treatments  
164were also quantified using the following formula: [total seed weight (g) X 100]/total plant dry  
165weight (g). After the harvest, the rhizospheric soil was collected at 15 cm from the top and  
166dried for total As quantification.

#### 167**FIELD EVALUATION IN NATURALLY ARSENIC CONTAMINATED SITES**

168       Field evaluations were performed to validate pot study data. For this experiment,  
169naturally arsenic contaminated site was selected in Nadia District (23°01'07.8"N-  
17088°39'43.1"E, 23°01'14.3"N-88°38'24.7"E), West Bengal, India. Seeds of IR-64 variety were  
171soaked in 6.5 mM TU for 6 h and then washed three times with distilled water. In parallel,  
172seeds were also soaked independently in water, which served as water-spray control (WS).  
173After soaking, seeds were planted in a nursery bed and seedlings were grown. After 21-25 d,  
174both TU and WS treated seedlings were uprooted from the nursery and independently  
175transplanted into adjoining plots of ~40 ft<sup>2</sup> size in both sites. In addition to seed treatment, TU  
176treated plants were also treated with two foliar applications with TU (6.5 mM containing  
1770.01% Tween-20) at pre-flowering and grain filling stages, which were at 50 and 65 d post-  
178transplantation, respectively. The trials were performed during July-November, 2018, and 5-  
1797 and 4-6 cm of top water was maintained at site-1 and site-2, respectively, throughout the



180life cycle. Site-1 and 2 were irrigated through surface pond and shallow tube wells wherein  
181the As concentration in water ranged from 20-30 and 60-80  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ , respectively. Fertilizer  
182amendment was performed as per locally adapted agronomic practices. In brief, N:P:K was  
183provided in the form of Urea:Super phosphate:Muriate in the ratio of 120:60:40  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ . N  
184was applied in three tiers:  $\frac{1}{2}$  at the time of field preparation, and the other two ( $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{4}$ )  
185at early vegetative and tillering stages. A total of 25 plants were randomly selected, and  
186various agronomic traits, such as dry biomass, tiller number, spikes/plant number, plant  
187height, seed weight/plant, harvest index and seed yield, were quantified. The soil sampling  
188was also done at three different stages and various parameters like pH and organic matter  
189were quantified as per the method described previously (Upadhyay, Majumdar, Barla, Bose &  
190Srivastava, 2019).

## 191 **SYNCHROTRON BASED-ARSENIC MAPPING IN RICE GRAINS**

192Synchrotron based K-edge subtraction computed tomography technique was applied for 3D  
193As mapping in rice grains. The data acquisition and analysis were carried out at BL-4  
194imaging beamline at Indus-2 Synchrotron source at available at RRCAT, Indore, India. The  
195microCT scans were acquired below (11.8660 keV) and above (11.8675 keV) for the K-edge  
196of arsenic (11.8667 keV) using monochromatic beam available at imaging beamline. The  
197data was processed as per standard K-edge subtraction CT analysis and 2D and 3D images  
198of rice samples were obtained. The 2D images show local spatial As distribution throughout  
199the rice grains. Volume rendering of the obtained stack of microCT reconstructed slice  
200images provides 3D distribution of As map in the grains. The mean gray values and standard  
201deviation give a quantitative estimate of As accumulation in different treatments.

## 202 **ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS**

203 The analysis of As and other nutrient elements was performed using ICP-MS  
204(Supplementary Method-2). The certified reference material (CRM) NIST 1568b rice flour  
205(Supplementary Method-3) and blanks were included for quality assurance.

## 206**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND DATA DEPOSITION**

207 All lab and field experiments were carried out in a completely randomized design and  
208were repeated at least twice with triplicates to check reproducibility. One-way analysis of  
209variance (ANOVA) was performed on all of the data to confirm the variability of the data and  
210validity of the results. Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) was performed to determine the  
211significant difference between treatments using SPSS 17.0 statistical software. The  
212microarray data corresponding to this study are available from NCBI Geo under accession  
213number GSE71492.

## 214**RESULTS**

### 215**DIFFERENTIAL ROOT ARCHITECTURE AND OVERALL PLANT PHENOTYPE**

216 The post-germination phenotyping revealed differential phenotypes of rice seedlings  
217under the As<sup>III</sup> alone (As) and combined As<sup>III</sup> and TU (As+TU) treatments (Fig. 1A-B). The  
218major effect was observed in root-system architecture (RSA) until 10 d stress duration.  
219Under As-stress, both the number as well as length of crown roots were increased by 1.32-  
220( $p=0.0365$ ) and 2.2- ( $p=2.37E-07$ ) fold, respectively compared with those of control. The  
221response was further intensified under As+TU treatment, as both the number and length of  
222crown roots were increased by 1.4- ( $p=0.0038$ ) and 1.29- ( $p=0.0334$ ) fold, respectively,  
223compared with those of As alone treatment (Fig. 1C-D). Contrary to crown roots, both  
224number and length of the main roots under As-stress were decreased by 0.48- ( $p=6.83E-07$ )  
225and 0.81 ( $p=0.034$ ) fold, respectively compared with those of control. As+TU treatment could  
226not restore the negative impact of As on main root number. However, the main root length

was partially restored, as it was increased by 1.34-fold ( $p=0.0013$ ) under As+TU compared with that of As treatment (Fig. 1E-F). Additionally, TU treated seedlings showed altered RSA, as the crown root length was increased by 1.69-fold ( $p=0.0003$ ; Fig. 1D), while the main root number was decreased by 0.74-fold ( $p=0.0067$ ; Fig. 1E) compared with that of control. No significant difference in the shoot phenotype between the As and As+TU treatments was observed until 10 d stress duration (Fig. 1A). The differential phenotypes were further recorded after 20 d stress duration. The main root length pattern remained unchanged; however, the differential shoot phenotype was also observed. The average shoot length under As+TU was increased by 1.13-fold ( $p=0.007$ ) compared with that under As alone treatment. Additionally, shoot length under TU alone treatment was increased by 1.20-fold ( $p=0.001$ ) compared with that of the control (Fig. 2).

In summary, the phenotyping results validated the potential of medium-supplemented TU to alter plant growth responses under both control as well as As-stress conditions. The differential phenotype in roots and shoots was evident at short- and long-term treatment duration, respectively.

## **ORGAN-WISE ARSENIC ACCUMULATION UNDER DIFFERENT TREATMENTS**

In As-treated seedlings, As accumulation was observed maximally in main roots (94.8-fold) followed by crown roots (27.9-fold), lower leaves (15.6-fold) and upper leaves (7.9-fold) compared with those of control. In such organs, As accumulations were significantly decreased to 0.1-, 0.14-, 0.16-, 0.14-fold, respectively in As+TU treated seedlings compared with those of As alone. In both lower and upper leaves, As accumulation levels in As+TU treated seedlings were found comparable to that of control (Fig. 3).

## **ARSENIC TRANSCRIPTOME UPON TU SUPPLEMENTATION**

251 To understand the mechanistic basis for TU-mediated amelioration of As-stress,  
 252 microarray-based transcriptomic analysis was performed. The Venn analysis revealed that  
 253 the majority of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were shared between As and As+TU  
 254 treatments in the roots (139) and shoots (216). However, we also identified As-specific  
 255 DEGs (34 in root and 39 in shoot) and As+TU-specific DEGs (58 in root and 54 in shoot),  
 256 suggesting that TU supplementation has a significant effect on the As induced transcriptome  
 257 (Fig. 4A). The details of specific and shared DEGs across different treatments are provided  
 258 in Supplementary Table-2 (root) and -3 (shoot). From Venn analysis, we attempted to  
 259 identify TAR (TU modulated As Responsive) genes that maximally contributed to the As+TU  
 260 phenotype. Here, we first pooled As-specific, As+TU-specific and As/As+TU-shared DEGs  
 261 and computed the expression difference between As+TU and As treatments. Later, a 1-fold  
 262 cut-off filter was applied over the As+TU and As expression differences, which identified a  
 263 total of 54 TAR-R (Supplementary Table-4) and 26 TAR-S (Supplementary Table-5) genes  
 264 in roots and shoots, respectively. The higher number of TAR-R genes than TAR-S genes is  
 265 because TU was supplemented in hydroponic medium: hence, more response is expected in  
 266 the roots. Further, TAR gene expression patterns were also studied using scatter plots (Fig.  
 267 4B). A strong positive correlation was observed between As and As+TU expression patterns  
 268 for both TAR-R ( $r=0.76$ ) and TAR-S ( $r=0.762$ ) genes. However, the polynomial two-degree  
 269 equation indicated comparatively lower negative slopes concomitant with higher positive  
 270 slopes in As+TU compared with those in As-stress treatment. This result suggested that the  
 271 As+TU transcriptome had two distinct components. The first component represented the  
 272 resilient-DEGs (rDEGs) whose expression levels in As+TU treatment was shifted towards  
 273 that of control. On the contrary, second component was amplified-DEGs (aDEGs) wherein  
 274 the extent of up- or down-regulation in As+TU was further intensified compared with that of

275As treatment (Fig. 4B). Further, TAR genes were analyzed using a heat map-based  
 276clustering approach that identified two independent clusters in both the TAR-R (Cluster-1  
 277and 2; Supplementary Table-4) and TAR-S (Cluster-3 and 4; Supplementary Table-5) genes.  
 278Cluster-1 and 3 represent DEGs with lower expression levels in As+TU, while cluster-2 and  
 2794 include DEGs with higher expression levels in As+TU, compared with those in As-stress  
 280(Fig. 4C). To understand the functional relevance of these clusters, we performed gene  
 281ontology (GO)-based enrichment analysis at the levels of biological process (BP), cellular  
 282component (CC) and molecular function (MF) (Fig. 4D). For TAR-R, cluster-1 (39 DEGs)  
 283was enriched with respect to photosynthesis (BP, GO:0015979) and thylakoid (CC,  
 284GO:0009579), while cluster-2 (15 DEGs) was mainly associated with abiotic stress stimulus  
 285(BP, GO:0009628), cytoplasmic (CC, GO:0044444), membrane-bounded organelle (CC,  
 286GO:0043227) and catalytic activity (MF, GO:0003824). TAR-S genes were enriched with  
 287respect to GO terms; however, due to fewer included genes, the significance level was found  
 288to be lower than that of TAR-R. Both cluster-3 (14 DEGs) and 4 (12 DEGs) were associated  
 289with metabolic process (BP, GO:0008152) and catalytic activity (MF, GO:0003824).

290 Thus, we identified TAR genes from both roots and shoots. The resilient- or amplified-  
 291type expressions of these genes together contributed to a distinct transcriptome response in  
 292As+TU compared with that in As stress.

### 293 **EXPRESSION PROFILING OF AQUAPORIN ISOFORMS**

294 The microarray results, although highlighted the significance of photosynthesis  
 295related (PR) and defence genes in mediating plant responses under As-stress, it could not  
 296explain the significantly reduced As accumulation in As+TU treated seedlings. Considering  
 297the major role of aquaporins in regulating As uptake (*Li et al.*, 2016, Ma, Yamaji, Mitani, Xu,  
 298Su, McGrath & Zhao, 2008), the expression levels of 33 aquaporin isoforms were quantified

299 in roots across different treatments. Of these, 23 and 19 aquaporin isoforms were  
300 significantly up-regulated in As and As+TU treated roots, respectively. In contrast, *OsNIP2;1*  
301 or *OsLsi1* was downregulated by -3.02-fold in As+TU; while, *OsPIP1;1*, *2;1* and *2;2* were  
302 downregulated by ~2-fold in As-treated roots compared to those of control (Supplementary  
303 Table-6). Additionally, we computed the expression difference between As+TU and As  
304 treatment and the results clearly indicated that although aquaporin expression pattern was  
305 upregulated in both As+TU and As-treated roots; however, the magnitude of upregulation  
306 was significantly dampened under As+TU treatment (Supplementary Table-6). To highlight  
307 this, clustering analysis was performed on the aquaporin gene expression normalized data  
308 which yielded two independent clusters. The expression levels of 21 cluster-2 aquaporins  
309 was reduced upto 5-fold in As+TU, as compared with those in As treatment. These included  
310 *OsPIPs* (1;2, 2;4, 2;5, 2;6 and 2;7), *OsNIPs* (1;1, 1;2, 1;3, 2;1 and 4;1), *OsTIPs* (1;1, 1;2, 2;1,  
311 2;2, 3;1, 3;2, 4;2, 4;3 and 5;1) and *OsSIPs* (1;1 and 1;2) (Fig. 5; Supplementary Table-6).  
312 Out of 12 cluster-1 aquaporins, *OsNIP1;4*, *OsNIP3;2*, *OsNIP3;3* and *OsPIP2;8* were also  
313 downregulated by more than 2-fold cut-off in As+TU than As-treated roots. The  
314 downregulated expression of 25 aquaporin isoforms (21 from cluster-2 and 4 from cluster-1)  
315 in As+TU compared to As-treated roots signifies TU potential for restoring the plant-water  
316 homeostasis, as demonstrated earlier in case of NaCl-treated mustard (Srivastava,  
317 Suprasanna, Srivastava & D'Souza, 2010). All such aquaporins can be included as another  
318 sub-group of rDEGs of TAR-R category. Few selected aquaporin isoforms such as *OsNIPs*  
319 (1;1, 1;2, 1;4, 3;3 and 4;1), *OsPIPs* (2;6, 2;8) and *OsTIPs* (1;1, 3;1, 3;2 and 5;1) were also  
320 upregulated in TU alone treatment compared to those of control. Considering the regulatory  
321 roles of abscisic acid (ABA) and salicylic acid (SA) in regulating aquaporin expression, we  
322 quantified their levels in roots. While, ABA level was comparable under all the treatments

323(Fig. 3B); SA was increased by 1.9- and 2.8-fold in As+TU and TU alone treatment,  
324respectively compared with those of control. No significant change in SA was observed in  
325As-treated roots (Fig. 3C).

## 326**LIGHT-DEPENDENT EXPRESSION OF TU MODULATED GENES IN ROOTS**

327       The significant down-regulation of PR genes in As+TU treated roots indicated that  
328TU mediated molecular effects might be light-dependent. To validate this, the expression  
329levels of three PR genes were quantified in roots, independently under normal light-regime  
330and complete dark conditions. Under normal light-cycle, the expression levels of PR genes  
331like *Cyt-b6-FeS* (Cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit), CAB (Chlorophyll A-B  
332binding protein) and *RBCS* (Ribulose biphosphate carboxylase small chain) were found to  
333be downregulated in As+TU than As alone treated roots (Fig. 6A-C), which validated the  
334results derived from microarray analysis. Since, TIPs are known to increase during dark-  
335adaptation (Uenishi, Nakabayashi, Tsuchihira, Takusagawa, Hashimoto, Maeshima & Sato-  
336Nara, 2014), three top-ranked TIPs (*OsTIP1;2*, *2;1* and *4;3*) with maximum expression  
337difference under As+TU and As treated roots (Supplementary Table-6) were also quantified  
338under light-dark conditions. Like PR genes, under the normal light-cycle, the expression  
339levels of these TIPs were found to be suppressed in As+TU treated roots than those of As  
340alone (Fig. 6D-F). In contrast, neither PR genes nor TIPs showed any significant expression  
341difference between As+TU and As treatments under the dark-adapted conditions (Fig. 6). In  
342general, under both control and TU alone treatments, the expression levels of all the tested  
343genes were significantly upregulated in roots of dark-adapted plants than those with normal  
344light-regime; except for *Cyt-b6-FeS* and *OsTIP2;1* which were downregulated to 0.47- and  
3450.55-fold, respectively in TU alone treatment (Fig. 6).

## 346**GROWTH ENHANCEMENT AND GRAIN As REDUCTION UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS**

347 The observation of TU-modulated physiological and molecular responses inspired us  
 348 to determine whether As-induced negative effects can also be mitigated under field  
 349 conditions. Initially, pot-studies were performed wherein TU treatment was given in the form  
 350 of foliar spray. At both 2 and 10 d post-TU 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, As accumulation in As+TU treated flag  
 351 leaves was decreased to 0.58- and 0.48-fold, compared with those of As treatment,  
 352 respectively. However, at 15 d post-TU 2<sup>nd</sup> foliar spray, no significant difference in As  
 353 accumulation was observed between As+TU and As-treated flag leaves (Fig. 7A). Besides,  
 354 GR activity in As-treated flag leaves was also reduced to 0.54-fold compared with those  
 355 under control. In contrast, no significant change in GR activity was observed under As+TU  
 356 and TU treated flag leaves (Fig. 7C). Both GSH and GSSG levels in As-treated flag leaves  
 357 were increased by 1.19- and 1.28-fold, respectively compared with those of control.  
 358 Although, GSSG was found marginally decreased, GSH level in As+TU treated flag leaves  
 359 was significantly increased by 1.13-fold compared with those under As treatment (Fig. 7D-  
 360 E). No significant change either in GR activity or GSH and GSSG levels was observed in TU  
 361 alone treated flag leaves (Fig. 7C-E). In addition, various growth and yield attributes were  
 362 also quantified at the time of harvest (Table-1). The major effect of As-stress was observed  
 363 in whole plant dry biomass, seed yield and harvest index, which were decreased to 0.50-  
 364 0.41- and 0.69-fold, respectively, compared with those of control. In contrast, a significant  
 365 improvement was observed under As+TU treatment, as these parameters were increased by  
 366 1.90-, 2.16- and 1.75-fold compared with those of As treatment. In case of TU treatment  
 367 alone, the growth and yield attributes were found comparable, except that branches per  
 368 panicle were 1.14-fold higher than the control value (Table-1). The elemental analysis was  
 369 also performed in dehusked seeds harvested from plants given different treatments. The  
 370 total As accumulation was reduced by 0.53-fold in As+TU compared with those of seeds



371harvested from As-treated plants (Fig. 7F). As-stress reduced the levels of essential  
372elements such as copper, manganese and zinc to 0.57-, 0.68- and 0.49-fold, respectively. Of  
373these elements, copper was fully restored to the level of the control in As+TU treatment;  
374however, manganese and zinc levels were comparable to those of As-treated seeds (Fig.  
3757G-I). The iron level remained unchanged under both As and As+TU treatment conditions,  
376which is presumably the IR-64 variety specific response (Fig. 7J). No significant change in  
377any of the tested elements was observed in the case of TU alone treated seeds (Fig. 7F-J).

378       The growth promotion effect of TU was further validated at naturally arsenic-  
379contaminated site of Nadia district, West Bengal (India). Herein, seed treatment with similar  
380concentration of TU was coupled with foliar application which significantly enhanced the  
381plant growth and seed yield (Fig. 8). A major effect was observed in terms of tiller numbers,  
382which was increased by 1.5-fold in TU sprayed plants compared with that observed for WS  
383treatment (Fig. 8B). This result was concomitant with 1.34- and 1.18-fold increase in per  
384plant seed and overall crop productivity (kg seeds per hectare), respectively. The  
385synchrotron-based imaging indicated the uniform distribution of As in seeds harvested from  
386WS as well as TU-sprayed plants, except for some localized lumps at the outside boundaries  
387of the seed. The average grey-values indicated significant reduction in grain As  
388accumulation in seeds of TU-sprayed plants (Fig. 8C). To validate this, the total As level was  
389further quantified in dehusked seeds using ICP-MS. The data revealed significant reduction  
390in grain As accumulation by 0.36-fold ( $p=0.0097$ ) in seeds harvested from TU-sprayed plants  
391compared with those of WS plants (Fig. 8D).

## 392DISCUSSION

393       In the present study, we have investigated the molecular basis of TU for enhancing  
394growth and tolerance under As-stress conditions in rice. Rice has a fibrous root system that

395mainly consists of an embryonic primary/main root that develops from the radicle,  
396embryonic/postembryonic crown roots and lateral roots. Crown roots are relatively thick and  
397have relatively shorter and fewer lateral roots compared to the main root (Clark, MacCurdy,  
398Jung, Shaff, McCouch, Aneshansley & Kochian, 2011). While the main root provides early  
399vigor and establishes a framework to search for water and nutrients, crown roots provide  
400lodging resistance and contribute to water and nutrients uptake (Rogers & Benfey, 2015).  
401The exposure of As in the seedling stage imposed differential toxicity to main and crown root  
402systems. The main root system was suppressed under As-stress; however, crown root  
403length and density were increased (Fig. 1). This observation suggested the crucial role of  
404crown roots in As-stress tolerance. The reduction in the main root under As-stress has been  
405shown to be associated with altered expression of both auxin biosynthesis and transport  
406genes (Ronzan, Piacentini, Fattorini, Della Rovere, Eiche, Riemann, Altamura & Falasca,  
4072018). Although, the role of crown roots has been demonstrated under drought stress in  
408maize (Gao & Lynch, 2016) (Ahmed, Zarebanadkouki, Meunier, Javaux, Kaestner &  
409Carminati, 2018); however, to the best of our knowledge, crown root function has not been  
410explored under As-stress conditions. Under As+TU, the crown root response was further  
411aggravated than As treatment (Fig. 1 C-D) that was associated with upregulated expression  
412of a putative auxin efflux carrier (orthologous to Arabidopsis PIN-formed 5 protein) in As+TU  
413treated roots (Supplementary Table-4). The auxin efflux carriers constitute a major  
414component of polar auxin transport and are known to regulate the formation of crown roots in  
415rice (Zhang, Li, Zhang, Yan, Wang, Zhao, Li, Qi, Sun & Zhu, 2012). The TU-triggered crown  
416root response can be considered as an adaptive response of seedlings to survive better  
417under As-stress conditions. Further, we analyzed As accumulation in different plant parts  
418and found that overall As load, especially from the aerial parts, was significantly reduced in

419As+TU treated seedlings than As alone treatment (Fig. 3). Owing to this, upon long-term  
420treatment duration, improved shoot growth phenotype was also observed in As+TU  
421compared with those under As-stress conditions (Fig. 2).

422       The majority of cluster-1 genes of TAR-R, which remained downregulated in As+TU  
423treated roots compared with those under As treatment (Supplementary Table-4), encoded  
424for PR components including ribulose biphosphate carboxylase, photosystem I reaction  
425center subunit, oxygen evolving enhancer protein and chlorophyll A-B binding protein. The  
426exact function of PR genes in roots is not understood; however, their suppression is required  
427for sustained root growth under phosphate (Pi) deficient conditions. An *Arabidopsis hps7*  
428(hypersensitive to Pi starvation<sup>7</sup>) mutant, which has higher expression of PR genes in roots,  
429showed increased ROS levels and hypersensitive root phenotype under the Pi deficient  
430condition (Kang, Yu, Tian, Zhou, Li, Jiao & Liu, 2014). This observation inspired us to  
431determine whether As<sup>III</sup> exposure altered Pi levels in rice. The data revealed that Pi levels  
432were decreased and increased in roots and shoots, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1A-B).  
433However, no significant changes in Pi status were noticed under As and As+TU treatments  
434(Supplementary Fig. 1A). Thereafter, we quantified superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity and  
435found that it was specifically increased in As-treated roots (Supplementary Fig. 1C). SOD  
436constitutes the first line of defense towards oxidative damage and is responsible for the  
437maintenance of redox homeostasis under various abiotic stresses such as salt and arsenic  
438(Mittler, 2002). Owing to the ROS scavenging nature of TU, the redox status of As+TU  
439treated roots would be maintained, as indicated by no significant change in SOD activity  
440(Supplementary Fig. 1C). Thus, in spite of lower Pi level, balanced redox status facilitated  
441the suppression of PR genes, leading to better root growth phenotype observed under  
442As+TU treatment (Fig. 1C-F). Additionally, cluster-2 (TAR-R; Supplementary Table-4) and

443cluster-4 (TAR-S; Supplementary Table-5), having abiotic stress related defense genes were  
444upregulated, further contributing to improved phenotype under As+TU compared with that of  
445As treatment.

446        Apart from PR genes, the expression levels of cluster-2 aquaporin isoforms, including  
447*OsLsi1* which is the major transporter responsible for As<sup>III</sup>-uptake (Ma *et al.*, 2008), were also  
448suppressed (Fig. 5A), resulting in significantly reduced As accumulation in As+TU treated  
449seedlings compared with those of As alone treatment (Fig. 3). For most of the cluster-2  
450aquaporins, the As-transport function has not yet been demonstrated, which opens up the  
451new area for future investigations. In contrast to cluster-2, cluster-1 aquaporins like  
452*OsPIP1;1* and *OsPIP2;1* with known functions to regulate water transport (Ding, Uehlein,  
453Kaldenhoff, Guo, Zhu & Kai, 2019, Liu, Fukumoto, Matsumoto, Gena, Frascaria, Kaneko,  
454Katsuhara, Zhong, Sun, Zhu, Iwasaki, Ding, Calamita & Kitagawa, 2013), were upregulated  
455in As+TU than As treated roots (Fig. 5A), which represent compensatory mechanism of the  
456plants to maintain their water status. Another aquaporin *OsTIP4;1*, which is proposed to  
457transport As inside the vacuole (Dametto, Buffon, dos Reis Blasi & Sperotto, 2015), was also  
458upregulated expression in As+TU treatment that might reduce As-toxicity by facilitating  
459vacuolar As sequestration. Considering the critical role of ABA and SA in regulating the  
460aquaporins (Kapilan, Vaziri & Zwiazek, 2018), the levels of these hormones were quantified.  
461The results indicated higher accumulation of SA, which could drive the downregulated  
462expression of aquaporin isoforms in As+TU treated roots (Fig. 5A and C). Earlier studies  
463under salt stress conditions have confirmed that higher SA decreased the abundance of  
464aquaporins in a ROS-dependent manner (Kapilan *et al.*, 2018). To sum-up, the enhanced  
465accumulation of SA represents one of the mechanisms associated with TU-mediated  
466reduced As accumulation through aquaporin downregulation and enhanced As-stress

467tolerance. The exogenous SA has been demonstrated to strengthen redox homeostasis and  
468ameliorate As-stress conditions in rice (Singh, Dixit, Kumar, Mishra, Kumar, Dixit, Singh,  
469Dwivedi, Trivedi, Pandey, Dhankher, Norton, Chakrabarty & Tripathi, 2017) and maize  
470(Kaya, Ashraf, Alyemeni, Corpas & Ahmad, 2020). Hence, TU being a redox molecule, can  
471activate SA accumulation which will boost plant's ability to tolerate As-stress conditions. An  
472additional evidence towards this hypothesis can also be derived from significantly increased  
473accumulation of SA (Fig. 5C) and reduced root growth (Fig. 1 and 2) under the TU alone  
474treatment. Similar to this, SA accumulation under stress-free scenario has been shown to  
475attenuate the root growth (Tan, Abas, Verstraeten, Glanc, Molnar, Hajny, Lasak, Petrik,  
476Rusinova, Petrsek, Novak, Pospisil & Friml, 2020). Since, SA biosynthesis primarily takes  
477place in chloroplast via isochorismate pathway (Lefevre, Bauters & Gheysen, 2020);  
478therefore, the loss of TU-mediated suppression of PR genes and TIPs (Fig. 6), might be  
479associated with reduced SA accumulation in As+TU treated roots of dark-adapted plants.  
480Although, this need further investigations; however, it can be envisaged that TU-mediated  
481molecular changes are light-dependent in nature, at least partially.

482       After exploring the molecular basis of TU action, we tested the potential of TU to  
483promote rice growth and productivity under natural field conditions. Considering the size  
484difference between young seedlings and mature plants, the TU dose was increased from 50  
485 $\mu$ M (laboratory level, medium-supplementation) to 6.5 mM (field-level, foliar spray). The dose  
486selection was supported by the previous TU supplementation studies performed under field  
487conditions to mitigate various abiotic stresses in different crops (Wakchaure, Minhas,  
488Meena, Kumar & Rane, 2020, Waqas, Kaya, Riaz, Farooq, Nawaz, Wilkes & Li, 2019). The  
489foliar application of TU significantly reduced the rate of As accumulation to flag leaves (Fig.  
4907A), which might be associated with TU ability to accelerate As<sup>V</sup>-to-As<sup>III</sup> reduction by

491generating reducing redox environment inside the plants. The presence of As in the form of  
 492As<sup>III</sup> promotes its complexation via GSH and phytochelatins (PCs) and subsequent  
 493sequestration into vacuole. This strategy operates mainly in nodes of rice plants and restricts  
 494As translocation from older leaves-to-flag leaves and to the grain (Chen, Moore, Miller,  
 495McGrath, Ma & Zhao, 2015a, Shi *et al.*, 2016). We quantified the level of GSH (a major  
 496redox buffer) and activity of GR (GSH regenerating enzyme) in flag leaves, at 10 d post TU  
 4972<sup>nd</sup> spray wherein the maximum difference between As and As+TU treatment in As  
 498accumulation was observed. The lack of reduction in GR activity (Fig. 7C) and higher GSH  
 499(Fig. 7D), along with relatively lower GSSG level (Fig. 7E), clearly indicated that the redox  
 500status in As+TU treated flag leaves was more reducing than those of As treatment.  
 501Incidentally, this also coincides with the chemical ability of TU to scavenge different types of  
 502ROS (Gao *et al.*, 2008, Kelner *et al.*, 1990). At the time of seed harvest, As level in  
 503rhizospheric soil of As+TU treated plants was found 2.78-fold higher than those of As-treated  
 504plants (Supplementary Fig. 2), suggesting either the reduced uptake and/or activated efflux  
 505of As in response to TU supplementation. Although, the down-regulated expression of well-  
 506characterized As-transporters (Ma *et al.*, 2008) like *OsNIP2;1/OsLsi1* (Supplementary Table-  
 5076) supported the reduced As-uptake; however, considering the reducing redox environment,  
 508As-efflux could also be activated in As+TU treated plants. Such a possibility is supported  
 509through transgenic studies wherein arsenate reductases (*OsHAC1;1* and *OsHAC1;2*) over-  
 510expressing rice lines have been demonstrated to have increased As-efflux than the wild-type  
 511plants(Shi *et al.*, 2016). The reduced rate of As translocation to flag leaves ultimately  
 512resulted into ~50% reduction in grain As accumulation, without jeopardizing the levels of  
 513other tested essential elements (Fig. 7G-J). The supplementation of BSO (L-buthionine-  
 514sulphoximine; a GSH biosynthesis inhibitor) is known to enhance grain As accumulation in

515rice (Chen, Moore, Miller, McGrath, Ma & Zhao, 2015b), which is exactly opposite to TU  
 516effect. Thus, reduced redox status helps in restricting As translocation to grains. The genetic  
 517approaches like overexpression of single gene like *OsHAC1;1* or *OsHAC1;2* (Shi *et al.*,  
 5182016) or pyramiding multiple genes (Deng *et al.*, 2018) like *ScYCF1* (*Saccharomyces*  
 519*cerevisiae* yeast cadmium factor1), *OsABCC1* (*Oryza sativa* C-type ATP-binding cassette  
 520transporter1) and  $\gamma$ -ECS ( $\gamma$ -glutamyl cysteine synthetase) have been demonstrated to reduce  
 521grain As level by 20 and 70%, respectively compared with those of wild-type. In view of this,  
 522TU-mediated chemical approach can serve as a viable non-genetic substitute for reducing  
 523grain As accumulation in rice. Apart from grain As reduction, another positive change in  
 524As+TU treated plants was observed in the form of improved growth and seed yield,  
 525compared with those of As treatment (Table-1). Since N:P:K:S requirement for rice  
 526cultivation is 120:50:50:13 (kg/ha), two foliar applications of 6.5 mM TU (500 litre/ha) will add  
 527only 0.3 and 1.6% of the total demand of N and S, respectively. Considering this, it is  
 528unlikely that TU spray can act as a nutritional supplement which is further substantiated  
 529through the comparative evaluation of TU and urea effects on lentil plants (Premaradhya,  
 530Shashidhar & Samuel Jeberson, 2018). Hence, the improved growth and seed yield  
 531observed under As+TU treatment is the manifestation of reduced As burden in the plants  
 532(Fig. 3). Apart from non-basmati IR-64, TU-mediated changes in plant growth, yield and  
 533grain As reduction were also validated in a basmati rice variety PB-1. Although, the extent of  
 534grain As reduction was found comparable (Supplementary Fig. 3); the TU-mediated growth  
 535and yield enhancement effects in PB-1 were less pronounced than IR-64. These results  
 536could be because PB-1 showed an As tolerant phenotype, and hence TU effect was less  
 537evident (Supplementary Table-7). LC-MS analysis revealed that residual TU in seeds of both  
 538IR-64 and PB-1 was non-detectable (LOQ or lower quantification limit: 0.2 mg/kg). Since,

539NOAEL (No Observed Adverse Effect Limit) of TU is 6.88 mg TU/kg body weight/day  
540(Srivastava *et al.*, 2016), seeds harvested from TU sprayed plants can be considered as  
541safe for human consumption.

542 Under field conditions, TU-mediated growth and yield enhancement has been  
543demonstrated even in absence of stress (Pandey, Srivastava, D'Souza & Penna, 2013,  
544Premaradhya *et al.*, 2018); however, in our pot experiment, such responses under TU alone  
545treatment were found comparable to those of control (Table-1). This discrepancy clearly  
546suggested that TU-dependent beneficial effects are dependent upon multi-level interactions  
547between plant, soil and overall environmental conditions. Hence, we attempted to validate  
548the efficacy of TU in IR-64 rice variety under naturally As contaminated sites of Nadia district  
549(West Bengal, India). Herein, we also performed 6 h seed treatment with TU so that early  
550seedling vigor could be maintained during transplantation in As contaminated soils  
551(Supplementary Table-8). The significant increase in growth and yield related attributes  
552along with reduction in grain-As levels have also been observed under the realistic field  
553conditions (Fig. 8A-B). The synchrotron-based imaging indicated homogenous As  
554distribution in seeds including the outermost bran layer as well as endosperm. This finding  
555clearly suggested that post-production processes of rice like milling or polishing will only be  
556partially effective in reducing As toxicity, from the viewpoint of human consumption. In  
557addition, the As level was uniformly reduced in seeds from TU-sprayed plants (Fig. 8C),  
558further supporting that TU supplementation reduced the net rate of As translocation to  
559grains. Recently, using the multi-year field study, TU applicability has also been successfully  
560demonstrated in locally grown rice varieties of Nadia(Upadhyay, Majumdar, Barla, Bose &  
561Srivastava, 2020). Hence, these results together supported that TU supplementation could  
562be included as a routine agronomic practice under the As-contaminated fields.



## 563**CONCLUSIONS**

564        In conclusion, the microarray analysis at seedling stage revealed that medium-  
565supplemented As+TU treatment down- and up-regulated the expression levels of PR genes  
566and auxin efflux carrier, respectively in roots and upregulated the expression of defense  
567related genes in both root and shoot, compared with those of As-stress conditions. In  
568addition, the expression levels of majority of aquaporin isoforms were also downregulated in  
569As+TU, compared with those of As stress, which coincided with increased SA accumulation  
570in roots. Such changes at molecular level along with the significant reduction in As  
571accumulation ultimately resulted into improved growth phenotype under As+TU treatment. At  
572mature plant stage, the foliar applications of TU generated the reducing redox conditions that  
573decreased the rate of As accumulation towards flag-leaves, and also the overall As  
574accumulation in grains. In addition, the crop yield was also increased with no significant  
575residual TU accumulation in seeds. Thus, the study highlighted the mechanistic basis of TU-  
576mediated As-tolerance, which supported the agronomic feasibility of using TU  
577supplementation for enhancing growth and reducing grain As accumulation under the  
578realistic field conditions.

## 579**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

580        MKU acknowledges the financial support in the form of Senior Research Fellow from  
581CSIR (New Delhi, India). AKS sincerely thank Dr. Subhash C. Bihani and Mr. Rishiraj  
582Raghuwanshi for critical discussion while drafting the manuscript. Dr. Y.S. Kashyap, Head  
583NSRPS, Technical Physics Division is acknowledged for extending help in synchrotron-  
584based imaging. No conflict of interest has been declared between co-authors.

## 585**REFERENCES**

586 Abbas G., Murtaza B., Bibi I., Shahid M., Niazi N.K., Khan M.I., Amjad M., Hussain M. &  
 587 Natasha (2018) Arsenic Uptake, Toxicity, Detoxification, and Speciation in Plants:  
 588 Physiological, Biochemical, and Molecular Aspects. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*,  
 589 **15**.

590 Ahmed M.A., Zarebanadkouki M., Meunier F., Javaux M., Kaestner A. & Carminati A. (2018)  
 591 Root type matters: measurement of water uptake by seminal, crown, and lateral roots  
 592 in maize. *Journal of experimental botany*, **69**, 1199-1206.

593 Asthir B., Thapar R., Bains N.S. & Farooq M. (2015) Biochemical responses of thiourea in  
 594 ameliorating high temperature stress by enhancing antioxidant defense system in  
 595 wheat. *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, **62**, 875-882.

596 Chen Y., Hua C., Chen J., Rathinasabapathi B., Cao Y. & Ma L.Q. (2019) Expressing  
 597 arsenite antiporter PvACR3; 1 in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) decreases inorganic arsenic  
 598 content in rice grains. *Environmental science & technology*.

599 Chen Y., Moore K.L., Miller A.J., McGrath S.P., Ma J.F. & Zhao F.-J. (2015a) The role of  
 600 nodes in arsenic storage and distribution in rice. *Journal of experimental botany*, **66**,  
 601 3717-3724.

602 Chen Y., Moore K.L., Miller A.J., McGrath S.P., Ma J.F. & Zhao F.J. (2015b) The role of  
 603 nodes in arsenic storage and distribution in rice. *J Exp Bot*, **66**, 3717-3724.

604 Clark R.T., MacCurdy R.B., Jung J.K., Shaff J.E., McCouch S.R., Aneshansley D.J. &  
 605 Kochian L.V. (2011) Three-dimensional root phenotyping with a novel imaging and  
 606 software platform. *Plant physiology*, **156**, 455-465.

607 Dametto A., Buffon G., dos Reis Blasi É.A. & Sperotto R.A. (2015) Ubiquitination pathway as  
 608 a target to develop abiotic stress tolerance in rice. *Plant signaling & behavior*, **10**,  
 609 e1057369.

610Deng F., Yamaji N., Ma J.F., Lee S.K., Jeon J.S., Martinoia E., Lee Y. & Song W.Y. (2018)  
611       Engineering rice with lower grain arsenic. *Plant Biotechnol J*, **16**, 1691-1699.

612Ding L., Uehlein N., Kaldenhoff R., Guo S., Zhu Y. & Kai L. (2019) Aquaporin PIP2;1 affects  
613       water transport and root growth in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Physiol Biochem*, **139**,  
614       152-160.

615Finnegan P.M. & Chen W. (2012) Arsenic toxicity: the effects on plant metabolism. *Front*  
616       *Physiol*, **3**, 182.

617Gao Q., Wang G., Sun Y. & Epstein I.R. (2008) Simultaneous tracking of sulfur species in  
618       the oxidation of thiourea by hydrogen peroxide. *J Phys Chem A*, **112**, 5771-5773.

619Gao Y. & Lynch J.P. (2016) Reduced crown root number improves water acquisition under  
620       water deficit stress in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of experimental botany*, **67**, 4545-  
621       4557.

622Garbinski L.D., Rosen B.P. & Chen J. (2019) Pathways of arsenic uptake and efflux. *Environ*  
623       *Int*, **126**, 585-597.

624Guillod-Magnin R., Bruschweiler B.J., Aubert R. & Haldimann M. (2018) Arsenic species in  
625       rice and rice-based products consumed by toddlers in Switzerland. *Food Addit*  
626       *Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess*, **35**, 1164-1178.

627Humans I.W.G.o.t.E.o.C.R.t., Organization W.H. & Cancer I.A.f.R.o. (2004) *Some drinking-*  
628       *water disinfectants and contaminants, including arsenic* (vol. 84). IARC.

629Hussain S., Rengel Z., Qaswar M., Amir M. & Zafar-ul-Hye M. (2019) Arsenic and Heavy  
630       Metal (Cadmium, Lead, Mercury and Nickel) Contamination in Plant-Based Foods.  
631       In: *Plant and Human Health, Volume 2*, pp. 447-490. Springer.

632Kang J., Yu H., Tian C., Zhou W., Li C., Jiao Y. & Liu D. (2014) Suppression of  
633 photosynthetic gene expression in roots is required for sustained root growth under  
634 phosphate deficiency. *Plant physiology*, **165**, 1156-1170.

635Kaplan R., Vaziri M. & Zwiazek J.J. (2018) Regulation of aquaporins in plants under stress.  
636 *Biol Res*, **51**, 4.

637Kaya C., Ashraf M., Alyemeni M.N., Corpas F.J. & Ahmad P. (2020) Salicylic acid-induced  
638 nitric oxide enhances arsenic toxicity tolerance in maize plants by upregulating the  
639 ascorbate-glutathione cycle and glyoxalase system. *J Hazard Mater*, **399**, 123020.

640Kaya C., Sarioğlu A., Akram N.A. & Ashraf M. (2019) Thiourea-mediated Nitric Oxide  
641 Production Enhances Tolerance to Boron Toxicity by Reducing Oxidative Stress in  
642 Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) Plants.  
643 *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 1-16.

644Kaya C., Sonmez O., Aydemir S., Ashraf M. & Dikilitas M. (2013) Exogenous application of  
645 mannitol and thiourea regulates plant growth and oxidative stress responses in salt-  
646 stressed maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Plant Interactions*, **8**, 234-241.

647Kelner M.J., Bagnell R. & Welch K.J. (1990) Thioureas react with superoxide radicals to yield  
648 a sulfhydryl compound. Explanation for protective effect against paraquat. *J Biol*  
649 *Chem*, **265**, 1306-1311.

650Kumarathilaka P., Seneweera S., Meharg A. & Bundschuh J. (2018) Arsenic speciation  
651 dynamics in paddy rice soil-water environment: sources, physico-chemical, and  
652 biological factors-a review. *Water research*, **140**, 403-414.

653Kumari P., Rastogi A., Shukla A., Srivastava S. & Yadav S. (2018) Prospects of genetic  
654 engineering utilizing potential genes for regulating arsenic accumulation in plants.  
655 *Chemosphere*, **211**, 397-406.

656Lefevere H., Bauters L. & Gheysen G. (2020) Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis in Plants. *Frontiers*  
657 *in Plant Science*, **11**.

658Li N., Wang J. & Song W.Y. (2016) Arsenic Uptake and Translocation in Plants. *Plant Cell*  
659 *Physiol*, **57**, 4-13.

660Lindsay E.R. & Maathuis F.J.M. (2017) New Molecular Mechanisms to Reduce Arsenic in  
661 Crops. *Trends Plant Sci*, **22**, 1016-1026.

662Liu C., Fukumoto T., Matsumoto T., Gena P., Frascaria D., Kaneko T., Katsuhara M., Zhong  
663 S., Sun X., Zhu Y., Iwasaki I., Ding X., Calamita G. & Kitagawa Y. (2013) Aquaporin  
664 OsPIP1;1 promotes rice salt resistance and seed germination. *Plant Physiol*  
665 *Biochem*, **63**, 151-158.

666Ma J.F., Yamaji N., Mitani N., Xu X.-Y., Su Y.-H., McGrath S.P. & Zhao F.-J. (2008)  
667 Transporters of arsenite in rice and their role in arsenic accumulation in rice grain.  
668 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 9931-9935.

669Mittler R. (2002) Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends in plant science*,  
670 **7**, 405-410.

671Muehe E.M., Wang T., Kerl C.F., Planer-Friedrich B. & Fendorf S. (2019) Rice production  
672 threatened by coupled stresses of climate and soil arsenic. *Nat Commun*, **10**, 4985.

673Naujokas M.F., Anderson B., Ahsan H., Aposhian H.V., Graziano J.H., Thompson C. & Suk  
674 W.A. (2013) The broad scope of health effects from chronic arsenic exposure: update  
675 on a worldwide public health problem. *Environmental health perspectives*, **121**, 295-  
676 302.

677Pandey M., Srivastava A.K., D'Souza S.F. & Penna S. (2013) Thiourea, a ROS scavenger,  
678 regulates source-to-sink relationship to enhance crop yield and oil content in  
679 *Brassica juncea* (L.). *PLoS One*, **8**, e73921.

680 Pandey M., Srivastava A.K., Suprasanna P. & D'Souza S.F. (2012) Thiourea mediates  
681 alleviation of UV-B stress-induced damage in the Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*  
682 L.). *Journal of Plant Interactions*, **7**, 143-150.

683 Premaradhya N., Shashidhar K. & Samuel Jeberson R. (2018) Effect and profitability of foliar  
684 application of thiourea on growth and yield attributes of lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) under  
685 Manipur Conditions of North-East, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, **7**, 1040-  
686 1050.

687 Rahman M., Sohel N., Yunus F.M., Alam N., Nahar Q., Streatfield P.K. & Yunus M. (2019)  
688 Arsenic exposure and young adult's mortality risk: A 13-year follow-up study in  
689 Matlab, Bangladesh. *Environ Int*, **123**, 358-367.

690 Rogers E.D. & Benfey P.N. (2015) Regulation of plant root system architecture: implications  
691 for crop advancement. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **32**, 93-98.

692 Ronzan M., Piacentini D., Fattorini L., Della Rovere F., Eiche E., Riemann M., Altamura M. &  
693 Falasca G. (2018) Cadmium and arsenic affect root development in *Oryza sativa* L.  
694 negatively interacting with auxin. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, **151**, 64-  
695 75.

696 Shi S., Wang T., Chen Z., Tang Z., Wu Z., Salt D.E., Chao D.Y. & Zhao F.J. (2016)  
697 OsHAC1;1 and OsHAC1;2 Function as Arsenate Reductases and Regulate Arsenic  
698 Accumulation. *Plant Physiol*, **172**, 1708-1719.

699 Singh A.P., Dixit G., Kumar A., Mishra S., Kumar N., Dixit S., Singh P.K., Dwivedi S., Trivedi  
700 P.K., Pandey V., Dhankher O.P., Norton G.J., Chakrabarty D. & Tripathi R.D. (2017)  
701 A protective role for nitric oxide and salicylic acid for arsenite phytotoxicity in rice  
702 (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Physiol Biochem*, **115**, 163-173.

703Srivastava A., Suprasanna P., Srivastava S. & D'Souza S. (2010) Thiourea mediated  
704 regulation in the expression profile of aquaporins and its impact on water  
705 homeostasis under salinity stress in Brassica juncea roots. *Plant science*, **178**, 517-  
706 522.

707Srivastava A.K., Pasala R., Minhas P.S. & Suprasanna P. (2016) Plant bioregulators for  
708 sustainable agriculture: integrating redox signaling as a possible unifying mechanism.  
709 In: *Advances in Agronomy*, pp. 237-278. Elsevier.

710Srivastava A.K., Sablok G., Hackenberg M., Deshpande U. & Suprasanna P. (2017)  
711 Thiourea priming enhances salt tolerance through co-ordinated regulation of  
712 microRNAs and hormones in Brassica juncea. *Sci Rep*, **7**, 45490.

713Srivastava A.K., Srivastava S., Mishra S., D'Souza S.F. & Suprasanna P. (2014)  
714 Identification of redox-regulated components of arsenate (As(V)) tolerance through  
715 thiourea supplementation in rice. *Metallomics*, **6**, 1718-1730.

716Srivastava A.K., Srivastava S., Penna S. & D'Souza S.F. (2011) Thiourea orchestrates  
717 regulation of redox state and antioxidant responses to reduce the NaCl-induced  
718 oxidative damage in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea (L.) Czern.). *Plant Physiol*  
719 *Biochem*, **49**, 676-686.

720Sun S.K., Chen Y., Che J., Konishi N., Tang Z., Miller A.J., Ma J.F. & Zhao F.J. (2018)  
721 Decreasing arsenic accumulation in rice by overexpressing OsNIP1;1 and OsNIP3;3  
722 through disrupting arsenite radial transport in roots. *New Phytol*, **219**, 641-653.

723Tan S., Abas M., Verstraeten I., Glanc M., Molnar G., Hajny J., Lasak P., Petrik I., Russinova  
724 E., Petrasek J., Novak O., Pospisil J. & Friml J. (2020) Salicylic Acid Targets Protein  
725 Phosphatase 2A to Attenuate Growth in Plants. *Curr Biol*, **30**, 381-395 e388.

726 Uenishi Y., Nakabayashi Y., Tsuchihira A., Takusagawa M., Hashimoto K., Maeshima M. &  
 727 Sato-Nara K. (2014) Accumulation of TIP2; 2 aquaporin during dark adaptation is  
 728 partially PhyA dependent in roots of Arabidopsis seedlings. *Plants*, **3**, 177-195.

729 Upadhyay M.K., Majumdar A., Barla A., Bose S. & Srivastava S. (2019) An assessment of  
 730 arsenic hazard in groundwater–soil–rice system in two villages of Nadia district, West  
 731 Bengal, India. *Environmental geochemistry and health*, **41**, 2381-2395.

732 Upadhyay M.K., Majumdar A., Barla A., Bose S. & Srivastava S. (2020) Thiourea  
 733 supplementation mediated reduction of grain arsenic in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)  
 734 cultivars: A two year field study. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 124368.

735 Upadhyay M.K., Shukla A., Yadav P. & Srivastava S. (2018) A review of arsenic in crops,  
 736 vegetables, animals and food products. *Food chemistry*.

737 Uppal J.S., Zheng Q. & Le X.C. (2019) Arsenic in drinking water–Recent examples and  
 738 updates from Southeast Asia. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health*.

739 Vineeth T., Kumar P. & Krishna G. (2016) Bioregulators protected photosynthetic machinery  
 740 by inducing expression of photorespiratory genes under water stress in chickpea.  
 741 *Photosynthetica*, **54**, 234-242.

742 Wakchaure G., Minhas P., Meena K.K., Kumar S. & Rane J. (2020) Effect of plant growth  
 743 regulators and deficit irrigation on canopy traits, yield, water productivity and fruit  
 744 quality of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) grown in the water scarce environment.  
 745 *Journal of Environmental Management*, **262**, 110320.

746 Waqas M.A., Kaya C., Riaz A., Farooq M., Nawaz I., Wilkes A. & Li Y. (2019) Potential  
 747 Mechanisms of Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Crop Plants Induced by Thiourea. *Front*  
 748 *Plant Sci*, **10**, 1336.



749Xalxo R. & Keshavkant S. (2019) Melatonin, glutathione and thiourea attenuates lead and  
750 acid rain-induced deleterious responses by regulating gene expression of  
751 antioxidants in *Trigonella foenum graecum* L. *Chemosphere*, **221**, 1-10.

752Xu Y., Fu J., Wang H., Hou Y. & Pi J. (2019) Arsenic Exposure and Lifestyle-Related  
753 Diseases. In: *Arsenic Contamination in Asia*, pp. 83-118. Springer.

754Zacherl B. & Amberger A. (1990) Effect of the nitrification inhibitors dicyandiamide, nitrapyrin  
755 and thiourea on *Nitrosomonas europaea*. *Fertilizer Research*, **22**, 37-44.

756Zhang Q., Li J., Zhang W., Yan S., Wang R., Zhao J., Li Y., Qi Z., Sun Z. & Zhu Z. (2012)  
757 The putative auxin efflux carrier OsPIN3t is involved in the drought stress response  
758 and drought tolerance. *The Plant Journal*, **72**, 805-816.

759

## 760 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

### 761 **Fig. 1: Post-germination phenotyping of rice seedlings under short-term stress**

762 **treatments.** The 15-day-old hydroponically grown rice seedlings (Variety: IR64) were  
763 subjected to different treatments including control (Yoshida medium), arsenite (As),  
764 arsenite+thiourea (As+TU) and thiourea alone (TU). Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU  
765 were set to 20 and 75  $\mu$ M, respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with a similar  
766 concentration of TU was also administered for 24 h. After 10 d of treatment, differential  
767 phenotypes were recorded qualitatively (A-B) and quantitatively in terms of numbers and  
768 lengths of crown (C-D) and main (E-F) roots. The box plots represented data from three  
769 biological replicates (n=25; ~8-9 plants from each replicate). The mean values  $\pm$  SE were  
770 compared on the basis of *p*-values calculated using Student's *t*-test. The experiment was  
771 repeated twice to validate reproducibility.

### 772 **Fig. 2: Post-germination phenotyping of rice seedlings under long-term stress**

773 **treatments.** The 15 d old hydroponically grown rice seedlings were subjected to different  
774 treatments including control (Yoshida medium), arsenite (As); arsenite+thiourea (As+TU)  
775 and thiourea alone (TU). Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU were set as 20 and 75 mM,  
776 respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with similar concentration of TU was also  
777 given for 24 h. After 20 d of treatment, differential phenotype was recorded qualitatively (A)  
778 as well as quantitatively in terms of root (B) and shoot (C) length. The box plots represented  
779 data from three biological replicates (n=25; ~8-9 plants from each replicate). The mean  
780 values were compared on the basis of *p*-value calculated using student *t*-test. The  
781 experiment was repeated twice to validate reproducibility.

### 782 **Fig. 3: Organ-level arsenic quantification in rice seedlings under different treatments.**

783 The 15-day-old hydroponically grown rice seedlings (Variety: IR64) were subjected to

different treatments including control (Yoshida medium), arsenite (As), arsenite+thiourea (As+TU) and thiourea alone (TU). Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU were set to 20 and 75  $\mu$ M, respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with a similar concentration of TU was also administered for 24 h. After 10 d of treatment, different organs such as main root, crown root, lower leaf and upper leaf were harvested separately and then dried till they achieve constant weight. Total As levels were quantified using ICP-MS. Different letters on the bar graphs have been placed on the basis of the LSD value derived from SPSS software (DMRT,  $p \leq 0.05$ ).

**Fig. 4: Microarray analysis for the identification of differentially expressed genes**

**(DEGs) in rice seedlings under different treatments.** The 15-day-old hydroponically grown rice seedlings (Variety: IR64) were subjected to different treatments including control (Yoshida medium), arsenite (As), arsenite+thiourea (As+TU) and thiourea alone (TU). Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU were set to 20 and 75  $\mu$ M, respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with a similar concentration of TU was also administered for 24 h. At 24 h after treatment, root and shoot samples were separately harvested from two independent biological replicates and total RNA was isolated for microarray analysis. The results were represented in the form of (A) Venn diagrams showing overlapping and treatment-specific DEGs; (B) Scatter plots displaying expression patterns of Thiourea modulated Arsenic Responsive (TAR) DEGs, separately for root (TAR-R) and shoot (TAR-S); (C) Heat map-based clustering of TAR-R and TAR-S DEGs and (C) Gene ontology-based functional enrichment analysis of gene cluster-1 (C-1), 2 (C-2), 3 (C-3) and 4 (C-4). Refer Supplementary table-4 and 5 for information about gene annotation and fold-change of gene clusters associated TAR-R and TAR-S DEGs, respectively.

**Fig. 5: Real-time PCR based expression profiling of aquaporin isoforms and hormone accumulation in rice seedlings under different treatments.** The 15 d old hydroponically grown rice seedlings were subjected to different treatments including control (Yoshida medium), arsenite (As); arsenite+thiourea (As+TU) and thiourea alone (TU). Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU were set as 20 and 75  $\mu$ M, respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with similar concentration of TU was also given for 24 h. The expression profiling of aquaporin isoforms (A) and quantification of hormones like ABA (B) and SA (C) were performed in roots at 24 h after stress. The heat-map represent normalized expression across different treatments compared with those of control. Expression values were normalized using tubulin gene as a reference. Different letters on the bar graphs have been placed on the basis of the LSD value derived from SPSS software (DMRT,  $p \leq 0.05$ ). Refer supplementary table-9 for the details of the gene-specific primers.

**Fig. 6: Expression profiling of selected TIPs and photosynthesis related genes in roots under light and dark conditions.** The 15 d old hydroponically grown rice seedlings were subjected to different treatments including control (Yoshida medium), arsenite (As); arsenite+thiourea (As+TU) and thiourea alone (TU). Working concentrations of As<sup>III</sup> and TU were set as 20 and 75  $\mu$ M, respectively. For As+TU and TU, pre-treatment with similar concentration of TU was also given for 24 h. The expression analysis of TIPs (*OsTIP1;2*, *2;1* and *4;3*) and photosynthesis related genes like *Cyt-b6-FeS* (Cytochrome b6-f complex iron-sulfur subunit), *CAB* (Chlorophyll A-B binding protein) and *RBCS* (Ribulose biphosphate carboxylase small chain) was performed in roots at 24 h after stress, independently under normal light-cycle (16 h light and 8 h dark) and complete dark conditions. Expression values were normalized using tubulin gene as a reference. Different letters on the bar graphs have

830been placed on the basis of the LSD value derived from SPSS software (DMRT,  $p \leq 0.05$ ).

831Refer supplementary table-9 for the details of the gene-specific primers.

832**Fig. 7: Pot trial with IR-64 rice variety under different treatments.** Pot studies were

833performed with plants given different treatments such as control, As, As+TU and TU. A total

834of two doses of As (10 mg As<sup>III</sup>/kg soil) were given at 20 and 67 d post-transplantation. For

835As+TU, As treatment was supplemented with two foliar applications of TU (6.5 mM

836containing 0.01% Tween-20) at 50 and 65 d post-transplantation. For TU alone treatment,

837two foliar applications of TU were performed. Plants given no treatment served as control. At

8382, 10 and 15 d post-TU 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, the As accumulation was quantified in flag leaves of plants

839given different treatments (A). Besides, at 10 d post-TU 2<sup>nd</sup> spray, activity of GR (C) and

840levels of GSH (D) and GSSG (E) were also quantified in flag leaves. The representative

841panicles were shown (B) and various agronomic traits were quantified at full maturity of the

842plants (refer Table-1). The levels of As (F) and other mineral nutrients including copper (G),

843manganese (H), zinc (I) and iron (J) were quantified from dehusked seeds harvested from

844plants given different treatments. Different letters on the bar graphs have been placed on the

845basis of the LSD values derived from SPSS software (DMRT,  $p \leq 0.05$ ).

846**Fig. 8: Field studies with IR-64 rice variety under naturally arsenic-contaminated sites**

847**of Nadia district, West Bengal (India).** Naturally arsenic-contaminated site was selected in

848Nadia District (23°01'07.8"N-88°39'43.1"E, 23°01'14.3"N-88°38'24.7"E), West Bengal, India.

849Seeds were soaked either in 6.5 mM TU or water (denoted as WS for water-soaked) for 6 h,

850and then plants were raised using normal agronomic practices (refer to the methods section

851for details). In addition to seed treatments, TU treated plants were also given two foliar

852applications of TU (6.5 mM containing 0.01% Tween-20) at pre-flowering and grain filling

853stages, which occurred at 50 and 65 d post-transplantation, respectively. Representative

854plant under WS and TU treatments was shown qualitatively. The corresponding transverse-  
855section (at ~5 cm above root-shoot junction) was shown beneath to highlight the change in  
856tiller numbers (A). Various agronomic parameters were quantified from 25 randomly selected  
857plants (B). As accumulation levels in seed was quantified using the synchrotron-based  
858imaging (C) and ICP-MS (D). The mean values were compared on the basis of *p*-values  
859calculated using Student's *t*-tests.