

Table 3. Absorbance at 232 nm ( $K_{232}$ ) noted in the ‘Arbequina’, ‘Picual’, and ‘Verdial’ olive oils extracted from fruit, picked with a Manual Inverted Umbrella (R1) and in a traditional way (R2) and stored during 0, 4, 8, and 14 days at 5 °C (C1) and ambient temperature (C2)<sup>a</sup>.

ST(days); R (1.2); C (1.2)	$K_{232}$					
	ARBEQUINA		PICUAL		VERDIAL	
	year 1	year 2	year 1	year 2	year 1	year 2
0; 1; 1	1.51 ± 0.02 x	1.77 ± 0.16 x	1.75 ± 0.17	1.45 ± 0.19	1.56 ± 0.19	2.04 ± 0.16
0; 1; 2	1.51 ± 0.02 x	1.77 ± 0.16 <b>AB</b> x	1.75 ± 0.17	1.45 ± 0.19	1.56 ± 0.19	2.04 ± 0.16
0; 2; 1	1.47 ± 0.03 y	1.48 ± 0.08 <b>B</b> y	1.59 ± 0.17	1.57 ± 0.11	1.58 ± 0.12 <b>B</b>	2.17 ± 0.11 <b>A</b>
0; 2; 2	1.47 ± 0.03 y	1.48 ± 0.08 <b>B</b> y	1.59 ± 0.17 <b>AB</b>	1.57 ± 0.11	1.58 ± 0.12 <b>B</b>	2.17 ± 0.11 <b>A</b>
4; 1; 1	1.47 ± 0.15	1.75 ± 0.11 a	1.72 ± 0.06 a $\alpha$	1.48 ± 0.12	1.90 ± 0.13	2.10 ± 0.07
4; 1; 2	1.66 ± 0.06	1.50 ± 0.02 <b>B</b> b	1.63 ± 0.02 ab $\beta$	1.38 ± 0.10	1.91 ± 0.23	1.97 ± 0.11
4; 2; 1	1.55 ± 0.06	1.57 ± 0.09 <b>AB</b> ab	1.68 ± 0.13 ab $\alpha$	1.83 ± 0.39	1.84 ± 0.01 <b>A</b>	2.04 ± 0.09 <b>AB</b>
4; 2; 2	1.48 ± 0.04	1.64 ± 0.11 <b>B</b> ab	1.51 ± 0.03 <b>B</b> b $\beta$	1.55 ± 0.03	1.80 ± 0.07 <b>A</b>	2.03 ± 0.17 <b>AB</b>
8; 1; 1	1.48 ± 0.34	1.59 ± 0.13 b x	1.61 ± 0.04	1.54 ± 0.42	1.77 ± 0.45	2.00 ± 0.04 a x
8; 1; 2	1.44 ± 0.31	1.62 ± 0.11 <b>B</b> b x	1.67 ± 0.22	1.25 ± 0.04	1.40 ± 0.82	1.75 ± 0.05 b x
8; 2; 1	1.68 ± 0.15	1.87 ± 0.02 <b>B</b> a y	1.64 ± 0.10	1.27 ± 0.04	1.76 ± 0.01 <b>A</b>	2.14 ± 0.05 <b>A</b> a y
8; 2; 2	1.46 ± 0.24	1.62 ± 0.05 <b>B</b> b y	2.00 ± 0.31 <b>A</b>	1.39 ± 0.19	1.64 ± 0.05 <b>AB</b>	2.19 ± 0.17 <b>A</b> a y
14; 1; 1	1.65 ± 0.02	1.67 ± 0.11 b $\alpha$	1.89 ± 0.40	1.40 ± 0.03	1.82 ± 0.13	1.92 ± 0.10 $\alpha$
14; 1; 2	1.53 ± 0.01	1.91 ± 0.09 <b>A</b> ab $\beta$	1.91 ± 0.34	1.69 ± 0.33	1.81 ± 0.03	1.78 ± 0.08 $\beta$
14; 2; 1	1.50 ± 0.17	1.81 ± 0.24 <b>AB</b> ab $\alpha$	1.80 ± 0.23	1.58 ± 0.29	1.77 ± 0.05 <b>A</b>	1.92 ± 0.02 <b>B</b> $\alpha$
14; 2; 2	1.62 ± 0.08	2.04 ± 0.02 <b>A</b> a $\beta$	1.66 ± 0.07 <b>AB</b>	1.45 ± 0.01	1.69 ± 0.02 <b>AB</b>	1.78 ± 0.06 <b>B</b> $\beta$
Storage Time (ST)	.559	.000	.149	.108	.041	.000
Treatment (T)	.915	.986	.793	.528	.775	.001
ST × T	.414	.000	.199	.173	.916	.038
Harvesting (R)	.912	.852	.324	.233	.869	.002
Conservation (C)	.669	.765	.930	.425	.316	.022
ST × R	.537	.000	.128	.222	.745	.007
ST × C	.471	.003	.195	.438	.624	.484
R × C	.579	.901	.875	.717	.838	.096
ST × R × C	.210	.022	.543	.110	.864	.306

<sup>a</sup> In each variable the values of different treatments followed by different letters are significantly different according to the Tukey test ( $P < 0.05$ ). Absence of letters means no significant effect due to treatment according to one-way ANOVA ( $P < 0.05$ ). In each column, values at different storage times (ST) and the same harvesting method (R) and conservation method (C), followed by different upper bold case letters are significantly different; four values at each ST, followed by different lower case letters (a, b, c, d) are different; two values at the same ST and same conservation method (C), but different harvesting method (R), followed by lower case letters (x or y), are different; two values at the same ST and same R, but different C, followed by different Greek letters are significantly different. Each value is the mean ± SD of 3 replicates.