

The Step-type Contrast Structure for A Second Order Semi-linear Singularly Perturbed Differential-difference Equation *

Mei XU,¹ Honghui Yin^{*1}

¹School of Mathematical and Statistics, Huaiyin Normal University, Huaian 223001,

Abstract The step-type contrast structure for a second order semi-linear singularly perturbed differential-difference equation is studied. Using the methods of boundary function and fractional steps, we construct the formula asymptotic expansion of the problem. At the same time, based on sewing techniques, the existence of the step-type contrast structure solution and the uniform validity of the asymptotic expansion are proved.

Keywords Singularly perturbed; Differential-difference equation; Contrast structure; Asymptotic expansion; Boundary function.

Document code A

MR(2010)Subject Classification 34K26 34E10

Chinese Library Classification O175.14

1 Introduction

The boundary-value problems for singularly perturbed differential-difference equations arise in various practical problems in biomechanics and physics such as in variational problem in control theory and depolarization in stein's model. Many scholars have done a lot of works

***Foundation item:** 1.The National Natural Science Funds(N. 11501236): E-mail: Xumei93@126.com,yinhh@hytc.edu.cn

on this field, especially for linear problems [7,8,10,11,16,17]. For nonlinear problems, some results [2,5,6,12,13,15] have also been obtained. However, most of these works are related to boundary layers, numerical solution or the proof of the existence of the solution. Few of them concerns the contrast structures and the uniform validity of the asymptotic expansions [2,15]. Recently, the contrast structures become the focus of attention in singular perturbation[1,3,9,14]. The fundamental characteristic of contrast structures is that there exists a t_* (or multiple t_*) within the domain of interest, which is called as an internal transition point. The position of t_* is unknown in advance and it needs to be determined thereafter. In the neighborhood of t_* , the solution $y(t, \mu)$ will have an abrupt structure change. In the different sides of t_* , if $y(t, \mu)$ approaches to different reduced solutions, we call it step-type contrast structure. If $y(t, \mu)$ approaches to the same reduced solution, we call it spike-type contrast structure. In paper[4], Wang, Xu and Ni study the spike-type contrast structure for the following singularly perturbed differential-difference equation which only contains negative shift in it:

$$\mu^2 y''(t) = F(y(t), y(t - \sigma), t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T; \quad (1.1)$$

$$y(t, \mu) = \alpha(t), \quad -\sigma \leq t \leq 0, \quad y(T, \mu) = y^T, \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper, we will study the step-type contrast structure for system (1.1),(1.2). Where $0 < \mu \ll 1$ is a small parameter and σ is a delay argument. $\alpha(t)$ is a smooth function which defined in $[-\sigma, 0]$. T is a positive constant and satisfies $\sigma \leq T \leq 2\sigma$. The restriction on T will not influence the essence of the problem and it is only convenient for our discussion.

2 Algorithm for the construction of asymptotics

Let $\mu y' = z$, then (1.1) can be rewrite as

$$\mu y'(t) = z(t), \quad \mu z'(t) = F(y(t), y(t - \sigma), t). \quad (2.1)$$

When necessary we impose several additional conditions on equation (2.1).

H_1 Suppose that $F(y, u, t)$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to each argument and for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Where $u = y(t - \sigma)$.

H_2 Suppose the reduced equation $F(\bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t - \sigma), t) = 0$ has three disjoint real roots $\bar{y}(t) = \varphi_i(t) (i = 1, 2, 3)$ in $[0, \sigma]$, but an isolate root $\bar{y}(t) = \psi_1(t)$ in $[\sigma, T]$.

H_3 Suppose $F_y(\bar{y}(t), \bar{y}(t - \sigma), t) > 0$, when $\bar{y}(t) = \varphi_i(t) (i = 1, 3)$, or $\bar{y}(t) = \psi_1(t)$. While $F_y(\varphi_2(t), \varphi_2(t - \sigma), t) < 0$.

Let $t_* \in (0, \sigma)$ be the transfer point of the contrast structure and it has the series form,

$$t_* = t_0 + \mu t_1 + \cdots + \mu^k t_k + \cdots. \quad (2.2)$$

where $t_k (k = 0, 1, \dots)$ are unknown constants which determined by the smooth connection at $t = t_*$.

Setting $x = (y, z)^T$ and using the method of boundary function, we construct a series formally satisfying (2.1), (1.2) in $[0, t_*]$, $[t_*, \sigma]$, $[\sigma, T]$ respectively.

$$x^{(1)}(t, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k (\bar{x}_k^{(1)}(t, \mu) + \Pi x_k(\tau_0, \mu) + Q^{(-)} x_k(\tau_*, \mu)). \quad (2.3)$$

$$x^{(2)}(t, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k (\bar{x}_k^{(2)}(t, \mu) + Q^{(+)} x_k(\tau_*, \mu) + \bar{Q}^{(-)} x_k(\tau_\sigma, \mu)). \quad (2.4)$$

$$x^{(3)}(t, \mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k (\bar{x}_k^{(3)}(t, \mu) + \bar{Q}^{(+)} x_k(\tau_\sigma, \mu) + R x_k(\tau_T, \mu)), \quad (2.5)$$

Where $\tau_0 = \frac{t}{\mu}$, $\tau_* = \frac{t - t_*}{\mu}$, $\tau_\sigma = \frac{t - \sigma}{\mu}$, $\tau_T = \frac{t - T}{\mu}$. $\Pi_k x(\tau_0)$, $Q_k^{(-)} x(\tau_*)$, $Q_k^{(+)} x(\tau_*)$, $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)} x(\tau_\sigma)$, $\bar{Q}_k^{(+)} x(\tau_\sigma)$, $R_k x(\tau_T)$, $(k \geq 0)$ are called boundary functions, and $\lim_{\tau_0 \rightarrow +\infty} \Pi_k x(\tau_0) = 0$, $\lim_{\tau_* \rightarrow -\infty} Q_k^{(-)} x(\tau_*) = 0$, $\lim_{\tau_\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{Q}_k^{(+)} x(\tau_\sigma) = 0$, $\lim_{\tau_* \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{Q}_k^{(-)} x(\tau_\sigma) = 0$, $\lim_{\tau_T \rightarrow -\infty} R_k x(\tau_T) = 0$ hold.

By the method of boundary function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z}_0^{(1)}(t) &= 0, \quad F(\bar{y}_0^{(1)}(t), \alpha(t - \sigma), t) = 0; \\ \frac{d\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(1)}}{dt} &= \bar{z}_k^{(1)}(t), \quad \frac{d\bar{z}_{k-1}^{(1)}}{dt} = \bar{F}_y^{(1)} \bar{y}_k^{(1)}(t) + \bar{h}_k^{(1)}(t); \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{F}_y take its values at $(\bar{y}_0^{(1)}(t), \alpha(t - \sigma), t)$ and $\bar{h}_k^{(1)}(t)$ are determined functions. (2.6) coincides with the reduced equation of (2.1), so we have $\bar{y}_0^{(1)}(t) = \varphi_1(t)$, $\bar{z}_0^{(1)}(t) = 0$. Obviously, by H_2 and (2.7), $\bar{x}_k^{(1)}(t)$ are completely determined. Similar as $\bar{x}_k^{(1)}(t)$, we obtain $\bar{y}_0^{(2)}(t) = \varphi_3(t)$, $\bar{z}_0^{(2)}(t) = 0$, $\bar{y}_0^{(3)}(t) = \psi_1(t)$, $\bar{z}_0^{(3)}(t) = 0$ and $\bar{x}_k^{(2)}(t)$, $\bar{x}_k^{(3)}(t)$ are completely determined.

For $\Pi_0 x(\tau_0)$, we have

$$\frac{d\Pi_0 y}{d\tau_0} = \Pi_0 z, \quad \frac{d\Pi_0 z}{d\tau_0} = F(\varphi_1(0) + \Pi_0 y, \alpha(-\sigma), 0); \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Pi_0 y(0) = \alpha(0) - \varphi_1(0), \quad \Pi_0 y(+\infty) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $\varphi_1(0) + \Pi_0 y = \tilde{y}^{(1)}$, $(\tilde{y}^{(1)})' = \tilde{z}^{(1)}$ and we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}^{(1)}}{d\tau_0} = \tilde{z}^{(1)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(1)}}{d\tau_0} = F(\tilde{y}^{(1)}, \alpha(-\sigma), 0); \quad (2.8)$$

$$\tilde{y}^{(1)}(0) = \alpha(0), \quad \tilde{y}^{(1)}(+\infty) = \varphi_1(0). \quad (2.9)$$

Integrating (2.8), we have

$$\tilde{z}^{(1)} = \pm \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\varphi_1(0)}^{\tilde{y}^{(1)}} F(y, \alpha(-\sigma), 0) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \triangleq \pm \Phi_1(\tilde{y}^{(1)}) \quad (2.10)$$

by H_2 , the equilibrium $M_1(\varphi_1(0), 0)$ is a saddle point on the phase plane $(\tilde{y}^{(1)}, \tilde{z}^{(1)})$. Let the steady manifold be $\Sigma_1 : \tilde{z}^{(1)} = -\Phi_1(\tilde{y}^{(1)})$. Under the condition that the line $\tilde{y}^{(1)} = \alpha(0)$ intersects with Σ_1 , the solution of problem (2.8), (2.9) exist.

For $\Pi_k x(\tau_0)$, we have the following system

$$\frac{d\Pi_k y}{d\tau_0} = \Pi_k z, \quad \frac{d\Pi_k z}{d\tau_0} = \tilde{F}_y \Pi_k y + G_k(\tau_0); \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Pi_k y(0) = -\tilde{y}_k^{(1)}(0), \quad \Pi_k y(+\infty) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where \tilde{F}_y get its value at the point $(\varphi_1(0) + \Pi_0 y, \alpha(-\sigma), 0)$. $G_k(\tau)$ are functions formed by $\bar{x}_i(t), \Pi_i x(\tau_0) (i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$.

According to Liouville formulas and constant-change method, we infer that

$$\Pi_k y = \frac{\tilde{z}(\tau_0)}{\tilde{z}(0)} (-\tilde{y}_k^{(1)}(0)) + \tilde{z}(\tau_0) \int_0^{\tau_0} \frac{1}{\tilde{z}^2(\eta)} \int_{+\infty}^{\eta} \tilde{z}(s) G_k(s) ds d\eta. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, $\Pi_k x(\tau_0)$ are completely determined. The exponential decay of $\Pi_k x(\tau_0)$ can easy be obtained from (2.13).

For $Q_0^{(-)} x(\tau_*)$, we have

$$\frac{dQ_0^{(-)} y}{d\tau_*} = Q_0^{(-)} z, \quad \frac{dQ_0^{(-)} z}{d\tau_*} = F(\varphi_1(t_0) + Q_0^{(-)} y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0); \quad (2.14)$$

$$Q_0^{(-)} y(0) = \varphi_2(t_0) - \varphi_1(t_0), \quad Q_0^{(-)} y(-\infty) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Let $\varphi_1(t_0) + Q_0^{(-)} y = \tilde{y}^{(2)}$, $(\tilde{y}^{(2)})' = \tilde{z}^{(2)}$ and we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}^{(2)}}{d\tau_*} = \tilde{z}^{(2)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(2)}}{d\tau_*} = F(\tilde{y}^{(2)}, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0); \quad (2.16)$$

$$\tilde{y}^{(2)}(0) = \varphi_2(t_0), \quad \tilde{y}^{(2)}(-\infty) = \varphi_1(t_0). \quad (2.17)$$

Integrating (2.16), we get

$$\tilde{z}^{(2)} = \pm \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{\tilde{y}^{(2)}} F(y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \triangleq \pm \Phi_2(\tilde{y}^{(2)}) \quad (2.18)$$

by H_2 , the equilibrium $M_2(\varphi_1(t_0), 0)$ is a saddle point on the phase plane $(\tilde{y}^{(2)}, \tilde{z}^{(2)})$. Let the steady manifold be $\Sigma_2 : \tilde{z}^{(2)} = \Phi_2(\tilde{y}^{(2)})$. Under the condition that the line $\tilde{y}^{(2)} = \phi_2(t_0)$ intersects with Σ_2 , the solution of problem (2.16), (2.17) exist.

For $Q_k^{(-)}x(\tau_*)$, we have the following system

$$\frac{dQ_k^{(-)}y}{d\tau_*} = Q_k^{(-)}z, \quad \frac{dQ_k^{(-)}z}{d\tau_*} = \tilde{F}_y^{(1)}Q_k^{(-)}y + G_k^{(1)}(\tau_*); \quad (2.19)$$

$$Q_k^{(-)}y(0) = (\phi_2'(t_0) - \phi_1'(t_0))t_k + q_k^{(-)}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}), \quad Q_k^{(-)}y(+\infty) = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

where $\tilde{F}_y^{(1)}$ get its value at the point $(\varphi_1(t_0) + Q_0^{(-)}y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0)$. $G_k^{(1)}(\tau_*)$ are functions compound formed by $\bar{x}_i(t)$, $Q_i^{(-)}x(\tau_0)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$).

Similar to (2.11), the solution of (2.19), (2.20) is

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k^{(-)}y &= \frac{\tilde{z}^{(2)}(\tau_*)}{\tilde{z}^{(2)}(0)} ((\phi_2'(t_0) - \phi_1'(t_0))t_k + q_k^{(-)}) + \\ &+ \tilde{z}^{(2)}(\tau_*) \int_0^{\tau_*} \frac{1}{\tilde{z}^2(\eta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \tilde{z}^{(2)}(s) G_k^{(1)}(s) ds d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Thus, $Q_k^{(-)}x(\tau_*)$ are completely determined.

For $Q_0^{(+)}x(\tau_*)$, we have

$$\frac{dQ_0^{(+)}y}{d\tau_*} = Q_0^{(+)}z, \quad \frac{dQ_0^{(+)}z}{d\tau_*} = F(\varphi_3(t_0) + Q_0^{(+)}y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0); \quad (2.22)$$

$$Q_0^{(+)}y(0) = \varphi_2(t_0) - \varphi_3(t_0), \quad Q_0^{(+)}y(+\infty) = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Let $\varphi_3(t_0) + Q_0^{(+)}y = \tilde{y}^{(3)}$, $(\tilde{y}^{(3)})' = \tilde{z}^{(3)}$ and we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}^{(3)}}{d\tau_*} = \tilde{z}^{(3)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(3)}}{d\tau_*} = F(\tilde{y}^{(3)}, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), 0); \quad (2.24)$$

$$\tilde{y}^{(3)}(0) = \varphi_2(t_0), \quad \tilde{y}^{(3)}(+\infty) = \varphi_3(t_0). \quad (2.25)$$

Integrating (2.24), we have

$$\tilde{z}^{(3)} = \pm \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\varphi_3(t_0)}^{\tilde{y}^{(3)}} F(y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), 0) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \triangleq \pm \Phi_3(\tilde{y}^{(3)}) \quad (2.26)$$

by H_2 , the equilibrium $M_3(\varphi_3(t_0), 0)$ is a saddle point on the phase plane $(\tilde{y}^{(3)}, \tilde{z}^{(3)})$. Let the steady manifold be $\Sigma_3 : \tilde{z}^{(3)} = -\Phi_3(\tilde{y}^{(3)})$. Under the condition that the line $\tilde{y}^{(3)} = \varphi_2(t_0)$ intersects with Σ_3 , the solution of problem (2.24),(2.25) exist.

For $Q_k^{(+)}x(\tau_*)$, we have the following system

$$\frac{dQ_k^{(+)}y}{d\tau_*} = Q_k^{(+)}z, \quad \frac{dQ_k^{(+)}z}{d\tau_*} = \tilde{F}_y^{(2)}Q_k^{(+)}y + G_k^{(2)}(\tau_*); \quad (2.27)$$

$$Q_k^{(+)}y(0) = (\phi'_2(t_0) - \phi'_3(t_0))t_k + q_k^{(+)}(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}), \quad Q_k^{(+)}y(+\infty) = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

where $\tilde{F}_y^{(2)}$ get its value at the point $(\varphi_3(t_0) + Q_0^{(+)}y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0)$. $G_k^{(2)}(\tau_*)$ are functions compound formed by $\tilde{x}_i^{(2)}(t)$, $Q_i^{(+)}x(\tau_*) (i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$.

similarly, according to Liouville formulas and constant-change method, we get the solution of (2.27),(2.28)

$$Q_k^{(+)}y = \frac{\tilde{z}^{(3)}(\tau_*)}{\tilde{z}^{(3)}(0)}((\phi'_2(t_0) - \phi'_3(t_0))t_k + q_k^{(+)}) + \tilde{z}^{(3)}(\tau_*) \int_0^{\tau_*} \frac{1}{\tilde{z}^{(3)}(\eta)} \int_{+\infty}^{\eta} \tilde{z}^{(3)}(s) G_k^{(2)}(s) ds d\eta. \quad (2.29)$$

Thus, $Q_k^{(+)}x(\tau_*)$ are completely determined. The exponential decay of $Q_k^{(+)}x(\tau_*)$ can easily be obtained from (2.29).

Specially, at the point $t = \sigma$, we set

$$y(\sigma, \mu) = p(\mu) = p_0 + \mu p_1 + \mu^2 p_2 + \dots + \mu^k p_k + \dots,$$

where $p_k (k = 0, 1, \dots)$ are unknown constants which determined by the smooth connection at $t = \sigma$.

$\bar{Q}_0^{(-)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ are determined by the following system:

$$\frac{d\bar{Q}_0^{(-)}y}{d\tau_\sigma} = \bar{Q}_0^{(-)}z, \quad \frac{d\bar{Q}_0^{(-)}z}{d\tau_\sigma} = F(\varphi_3(\sigma) + \bar{Q}_0^{(-)}y, \alpha(0), \sigma); \quad (2.30)$$

$$\bar{Q}_0^{(-)}y(0) = p_0 - \varphi_3(\sigma), \quad \bar{Q}_0^{(-)}y(-\infty) = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

Let $\varphi_3(\sigma) + \bar{Q}_0^{(-)}y(\tau_\sigma) = \tilde{y}^{(4)}$, $\bar{Q}_0^{(-)}z(\tau_\sigma) = \tilde{z}^{(4)}$ and we get

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}}{d\tau_\sigma} = \tilde{z}^{(4)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(4)}}{d\tau_\sigma} = F(\tilde{y}^{(4)}, \alpha(0), \sigma); \quad (2.32)$$

$$\tilde{y}^{(4)}(0) = p_0, \quad \tilde{y}^{(4)}(-\infty) = \varphi_3(\sigma). \quad (2.33)$$

Integrating (2.32), we get

$$\tilde{z}^{(4)} = \pm \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{\varphi_3(\sigma)}^{\tilde{y}^{(4)}} F(y, \alpha(0), \sigma) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \triangleq \pm \Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})$$

By the virtue of condition H_2 , the equilibrium $(\varphi_3(\sigma), 0)$ is a saddle point on the phase plane $(\tilde{y}^{(4)}, \tilde{z}^{(4)})$. So passing through $(\varphi_3(\sigma), 0)$ there exist a steady manifold $\Sigma_4 : \tilde{z}^{(4)} = \Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})$. Under the condition that the line $\tilde{y}^{(4)}(0) = p_0$ intersects with the manifold Σ_4 . The solution of system (2.32), (2.33) exist.

$\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ are determined by the following system:

$$\frac{d\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y}{d\tau_\sigma} = \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z, \quad \frac{d\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z}{d\tau_\sigma} = \tilde{F}_y^{(3)}\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y + G_k^{(3)}(\tau_\sigma); \quad (2.34)$$

$$\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y(0) = P_k - \bar{y}_k^{(2)}(\sigma), \quad \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y(-\infty) = 0, \quad (2.35)$$

where $\tilde{F}_z^{(3)}, \tilde{F}_y^{(3)}$ get their values at $(\varphi_3(\sigma) + \bar{Q}_0^{(3)}y, \alpha(0), \sigma)$. $G_k^{(3)}(\tau_\sigma)$ are determined functions.

In fact, the homogeneous system, corresponding to (2.34),

$$\frac{d\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y}{d\tau_\sigma} = \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z, \quad \frac{d\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z}{d\tau_\sigma} = \tilde{F}_y^{(3)}\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y \quad (2.36)$$

is the variational equation of (2.30). under the boundary condition $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y(0) = p_k - \bar{y}_k^{(2)}(\sigma)$, $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y(-\infty) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{cases} (\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y(\tau_\sigma))^G = (p_k - \bar{y}_k(\sigma))\Psi_1(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_1^{-1}(0), \\ (\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z(\tau_\sigma))^G = \frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}}(p_k - \bar{y}_k(\sigma))\Psi_1(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_1^{-1}(0). \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

Next, let $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y^*, \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z^*$ be the particular solution of (2.34). Introducing a new transformation

$$\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y^* = \delta_1, \quad \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z^* = \frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}}\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y^* + \delta_2.$$

and substituting it into (2.34), we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\delta_1}{d\tau_\sigma} = \frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}}\delta_1 + \delta_2, \\ \frac{d\delta_2}{d\tau_\sigma} = \left(\frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}} \right) \delta_2 + G_k^{(3)}(\tau_\sigma). \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta_2 = C\Psi_2(\tau_\sigma)$ be the general solution of $\frac{d\delta_2}{d\tau_\sigma} = (\frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}})\delta_2$, then we get a particular solution

$$\delta_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_\sigma} \Psi_2(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_2^{-1}(s)G_k^{(3)}(s)ds,$$

of $\frac{d\delta_2}{d\tau_\sigma} = (\frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}})\delta_2 + G_k^{(3)}(\tau_\sigma)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\delta_1 = \int_0^{\tau_\sigma} \Psi_1(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_1^{-1}(s)[\int_{-\infty}^s \Psi_2(s)\Psi_2^{-1}(p)G_k^{(3)}(p)dp]ds.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{cases} \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y^*(\tau_\sigma) = \int_0^{\tau_\sigma} \Psi_1(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_1^{-1}(s)[\int_{-\infty}^s \Psi_2(s)\Psi_2^{-1}(p)G_k^{(3)}(p)dp]ds, \\ \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z^*(\tau_\sigma) = \frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}} \cdot \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y^*(\tau_\sigma) + \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_\sigma} \Psi_2(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_2^{-1}(s)G_k^{(3)}(s)ds, \end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y(\tau_\sigma) = (p_k - \bar{y}_k(\sigma))\Psi_1(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_1^{-1}(0) + \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}y^*(\tau_\sigma), \\ \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z(\tau_\sigma) = \frac{d\Phi_4(\tilde{y}^{(4)})}{d\tilde{y}^{(4)}}(p_k - \bar{y}_k(\sigma))\Psi_1(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_1^{-1}(0) + \bar{Q}_k^{(-)}z^*(\tau_\sigma). \end{cases} \quad (2.38)$$

Now, $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ are all completely determined, but they contain the unknown numbers p_k . Obviously, the estimation about exponential decay of $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ can easily be obtained from (2.38).

Due to the deviation of arguments, the equations determining $\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ will be relevant to $\Pi_j y(\tau_0)$, $0 \leq j \leq k$. namely,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\bar{Q}_0^{(+)}y}{d\tau_\sigma} = \bar{Q}_0^{(+)}z, \\ \frac{d\bar{Q}_0^{(+)}z}{d\tau_\sigma} = F(\psi_1(\sigma) + \bar{Q}_0^{(+)}y, \varphi_1(0) + \Pi_0 y(\tau_\sigma), \sigma); \end{cases} \quad (2.40)$$

$$\bar{Q}_0^{(+)}y(0) = p_0 - \psi_1(\sigma), \quad \bar{Q}_0^{(+)}y(+\infty) = 0. \quad (2.41)$$

Let $\psi_1(\sigma) + \bar{Q}_0^{(+)}y(\tau_\sigma) = \tilde{y}^{(5)}$, $\bar{Q}_0^{(+)}z(\tau_\sigma) = \tilde{z}^{(5)}$, we have

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}^{(5)}}{d\tau_\sigma} = \tilde{z}^{(5)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(5)}}{d\tau_\sigma} = F(\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \varphi_1(0) + \Pi_0 y(\tau_\sigma), \sigma); \quad (2.42)$$

$$\tilde{y}^{(5)}(0) = p_0, \quad \tilde{y}^{(5)}(+\infty) = \psi_1(\sigma). \quad (2.43)$$

Combining (2.8),(2.9) with (2.42),(2.43), we have a couple system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{y}^{(5)}}{d\tau_\sigma} = \tilde{z}^{(5)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(5)}}{d\tau_\sigma} = F(\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \tilde{y}^{(1)}, \sigma); \\ \frac{d\tilde{y}^{(1)}}{d\tau_0} = \tilde{z}^{(1)}, \quad \frac{d\tilde{z}^{(1)}}{d\tau_0} = F(\tilde{y}^{(1)}, \alpha(-\sigma), 0); \end{cases} \quad (2.44)$$

$$\tilde{y}^{(1)}(0) = \alpha(0), \quad \tilde{y}^{(1)}(+\infty) = \varphi_1(0), \quad \tilde{y}^{(5)}(0) = p_0, \quad \tilde{y}^{(5)}(+\infty) = \psi_1(\sigma). \quad (2.45)$$

Here, the phase space $(\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \tilde{z}^{(5)}, \tilde{y}^{(1)}, \tilde{z}^{(1)})$ is the direct sum of $(\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \tilde{z}^{(5)})$ and $(\tilde{y}^{(1)}, \tilde{z}^{(1)})$. The equilibrium $M(\psi_1(\sigma), 0, \varphi_1(0), 0)$ is a hyperbolic saddle point because the characteristic equation at $M(\psi_1(\sigma), 0, \varphi_1(0), 0)$ is

$$[\lambda^2 - F_{\tilde{y}^{(5)}}][\lambda^2 - F_{\tilde{y}^{(1)}}] = 0$$

and its eigenvalues satisfy

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = -F_{\tilde{y}^{(5)}} < 0, \quad \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = -F_{\tilde{y}^{(1)}} < 0.$$

Thus, going through equilibrium M there exist a two-dimensional stable submanifold $W^s(M)$ and a two-dimensional unstable submanifold $W^u(M)$. Set

$$W^s(M) : Z = \bar{\Phi}(Y),$$

where $Y = (\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \tilde{y}^{(1)})^T, Z = (\tilde{z}^{(5)}, \tilde{z}^{(1)})^T, \bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_1, \Phi_5)^T$. Obviously, the projection of $W^s(M)$ on the phase plane $(\tilde{y}^{(1)}, \tilde{z}^{(1)})$ is Σ_1 . Namely, $(W^s(M))_{(\tilde{y}^{(1)}, \tilde{z}^{(1)})}^\perp = \Sigma_1$. Set

$$(W^s(M))_{(\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \tilde{z}^{(5)})}^\perp = \Sigma_5,$$

then

$$\tilde{z}^{(5)} = \Phi_5(\tilde{y}^{(5)}, \tilde{y}^{(1)}).$$

under the condition that the plane $\tilde{y}^{(5)}(0) = p_0$ intersects with the steady submanifold Σ_5 in the phase space, the solution of (2.42),(2.43) exist.

$\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ are determined by the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y}{d\tau_\sigma} &= \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}z, \quad \frac{d\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}z}{d\tau_\sigma} = \tilde{F}_y^{(4)}\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y + G_k^{(4)}(\tau_\sigma); \\ \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y(0) &= P_k - \bar{y}_k^{(3)}(\sigma), \quad \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y(+\infty) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{F}_z^{(+)}, \tilde{F}_y^{(+)}$ take their values at $(\psi_1(\sigma) + \bar{Q}_0^{(+)}y, \varphi_1(0) + \Pi_0 y, \sigma)$. $H_k^{(+)}(\tau_\sigma)$ are determined functions.

In a similar manner for solving $\bar{Q}_k^{(-)}x(\tau_\sigma)$, we can obtain

$$\begin{cases} \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y(\tau_\sigma) = (p_k - \bar{y}_k^{(3)}(\sigma))\Psi_3(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_3^{-1}(0) + \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y^*(\tau_\sigma), \\ \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}z(\tau_\sigma) = \frac{d\Phi_5(\tilde{y}^5)}{d\tilde{y}^5}(p_k - \bar{y}_k^{(3)}(\sigma))\Psi_3(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_3^{-1}(0) + \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}z^*(\tau_\sigma), \end{cases} \quad (2.48)$$

where

$$\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y^*(\tau_\sigma) = \int_0^{\tau_\sigma} \Psi_3(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_3^{-1}(s) \left[\int_{+\infty}^s \Psi_4(s)\Psi_4^{-1}(p)G_k^{(4)}(p)dp \right] ds$$

and

$$\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}z^*(\tau_\sigma) = \frac{d\Phi_5(\tilde{y}^5)}{d\tilde{y}^5} \cdot \bar{Q}_k^{(+)}y^*(\tau_\sigma) + \int_{+\infty}^{\tau_\sigma} \Psi_4(\tau_\sigma)\Psi_4^{-1}(s)G_k^{(4)}(s)ds.$$

Thus, $\bar{Q}_k^{(+)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ are completely determined.

Lemma. Under conditions H_1, H_2, H_3 ,

$$\Pi_k x(\tau_0), \quad Q_k^{(\pm)}x(\tau_*), \quad \bar{Q}_k^{(\pm)}x(\tau_\sigma) (k \geq 0)$$

are all satisfy exponential decay.

For boundary functions $R_k x(\tau_T) (k \geq 0)$, they have no essential influence on the interior layer and the solving method of them completely coincide with $\Pi_k x(\tau_0) (k \geq 0)$. We will don't discuss them in detail.

3 The smooth connection of the asymptotic solution

In order to get a smooth solution in $[0, T]$, $y^{(1)}(t, \mu)$ and $y^{(2)}(t, \mu)$ must joint smoothly at $t = t_*$, at the same time, $y^{(2)}(t, \mu)$ and $y^{(3)}(t, \mu)$ joint smoothly at $t = \sigma$. namely,

$$\frac{d}{dt}y^{(1)}(t_*, \mu) = \frac{d}{dt}y^{(2)}(t_*, \mu), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}y^{(2)}(\sigma, \mu) = \frac{d}{dt}y^{(3)}(\sigma, \mu). \quad (3.2)$$

Substituting (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) into (3.1), (3.2) respectively, we get a series of equations:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}Q_0^{(-)}y(0) = \frac{d}{d\tau}Q_0^{(+)}y(0), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\varphi_1'(t_0) + \frac{d}{d\tau} Q_1^{(-)} y(0) = \varphi_3'(t_0) + \frac{d}{d\tau} Q_1^{(+)} y(0). \quad (3.4)$$

\vdots

$$(\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(1)})'(t_0) + \frac{d}{d\tau} Q_k^{(-)} y(0) = (\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(2)})'(t_0) + \frac{d}{d\tau} Q_k^{(+)} y(0) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{Q}_0^{(-)} y(0) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{Q}_0^{(+)} y(0), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\varphi_3'(\sigma) + \frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{Q}_1^{(-)} y(0) = \psi_1'(\sigma) + \frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{Q}_1^{(+)} y(0), \quad (3.7)$$

\vdots

$$(\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(2)})'(\sigma) + \frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{Q}_k^{(-)} y(0) = (\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(3)})'(\sigma) + \frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{Q}_k^{(+)} y(0) \quad (3.8)$$

Substituting (2.8), (2.26) into (3.3) we have

$$\int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{\varphi_2(t_0)} F(y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0) dy = \int_{\varphi_3(t_0)}^{\varphi_2(t_0)} F(y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0) dy,$$

that is,

$$H(t_0) \equiv \int_{\varphi_1(t_0)}^{\varphi_3(t_0)} F(y, \alpha(t_0 - \sigma), t_0) dy = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

which is the equation for find t_0 .

H_4 . Suppose that (3.9) is solvable for t_0 ($0 < t_0 < \sigma$), and $\frac{d}{dt} H(t_0) \neq 0$.

Therefore, $Q_0^{(\mp)} y(\tau)$ exist. By (3.5), the equation to determine t_k is

$$H'(t_0)t_k = P_k, \quad (3.10)$$

where P_k are known constants. Thus $Q_k^{\pm} x(\tau_*)$ are all completely determined

In the following, we will seek the value of p_k . Let

$$G(p_0) = \tilde{z}^{(4)}(0, p_0) - \tilde{z}^{(5)}(0, p_0) = \Phi_4(p_0) - \Phi_5(p_0, \alpha(0)). \quad (3.11)$$

H_5 . Suppose there exist a solution $p_0 = \bar{p}_0$ for (3.11) and satisfy $\frac{dG}{dp_0} \big|_{p_0=\bar{p}_0} < 0$.

For p_k , by virtue of (2.38), (2.48) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d\Phi_4(p_0)}{dp_0} - \frac{d\Phi_5(p_0)}{dp_0} \right) p_k \\ &= ((\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(3)}(\sigma))' - (\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(2)}(\sigma))') - \frac{d\Phi_5(p_0)}{dp_0} (\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(3)}(\sigma)) + \frac{d\Phi_4(p_0)}{dp_0} (\bar{y}_{k-1}^{(2)}(\sigma)) \\ & - \int_{-\infty}^0 \Psi_2(0) \Psi_2^{-1}(s) G_k^{(3)}(s) ds + \int_{+\infty}^0 \Psi_4(0) \Psi_4^{-1}(s) G_k^{(4)}(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

By H_4 , the coefficient of p_k isn't equal to zero, so p_k is determined. Thus $\bar{Q}_k^{(\pm)}x(\tau_\sigma)$ are all completely determined.

4 The existence of the complex solution

In this section, using the method of sewing connection, we will prove the existence of the solution about problem (2.1),(1.2) and give out the estimates of the remainder. The solution of (2.1),(1.2) may be considered as a solution which is smoothly connected by the solutions of the following auxiliary problems.

The left problem ($0 \leq t \leq t_*$):

$$\begin{cases} \mu^2(y^{(1)})'' = F(y^{(1)}(t), \alpha(t - \sigma), t), \\ y^{(1)}(0, \mu) = \alpha(0), \quad y^{(1)}(t_*, \mu) = \phi_2(t_*), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

$$(4.2)$$

The middle problem ($t_* \leq t \leq \sigma$):

$$\begin{cases} \mu^2(y^{(2)})'' = F(y^{(2)}(t), \alpha(t - \sigma), t), \\ y^{(2)}(t_*, \mu) = \phi_2(t_*), \quad y^{(2)}(\sigma, \mu) = \bar{p}(\mu), \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

$$(4.4)$$

Where $\bar{p}(\mu) = p_0 + \mu(p_1 + \delta)$. Here, we do not expand the parameter t_* . δ is a parameter.

The right problem ($\sigma \leq t \leq T$):

$$\begin{cases} \mu^2(y^{(3)})'' = F(y^{(3)}(t), y^{(1)}(t - \sigma, \mu), t), \\ y^{(3)}(\sigma, \mu) = \bar{p}(\mu), \quad y^{(3)}(T, \mu) = y^T \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

$$(4.6)$$

Where $\bar{p}(\mu) = p_0 + \mu(p_1 + \delta)$.

The problems (4.1),(4.2); (4.3),(4.4) and (4.5),(4.6) are all boundary layer problems, so their solutions exist and have the following form:

$$y^{(1)}(t, \mu) = \varphi_1(t) + \Pi_0 y(\tau_0) + Q_0^{(-)} y(\tau_*) + O(\mu). \quad (4.7)$$

$$y^{(2)}(t, \mu) = \varphi_3(t) + Q_0^{(+)} y(\tau_*) + \bar{Q}_0^{(-)} y(\tau_\sigma) + O(\mu). \quad (4.8)$$

$$y^{(3)}(t, \mu) = \psi_1(t) + \bar{Q}_0^{(+)} y(\tau_\sigma) + R_0 y(\tau_T) + O(\mu). \quad (4.9)$$

Considering (4.2) and (4.4), we see

$$y^{(1)}(t_*, \mu) = y^{(2)}(t_*, \mu), \quad t_* \in (0, 1), \quad (4.10)$$

which implies that $y(t, \mu)$ is continuous at $t = t_*$. Therefore, t_* can be determined by the following formula

$$z^{(1)}(t_*, \mu) = z^{(2)}(t_*, \mu). \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we introduce a function $\Delta(t_*)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(t_*) &= z^{(1)}(t_*, \mu) - z^{(2)}(t_*, \mu) \\ &= [Q_0^{(-)}z(0)]^2 - [Q_0^{(+)}z(0)]^2 + O(\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Where $\Pi_0 z(\tau_0)$ and $R_0 z(\tau_1)$ are exponentially small in the neighborhood of the point $t = t_*$. We may consider that $O(\mu) = C\mu$, C is a real number. The approach of solving $Q_0^{(\mp)}z(0)$ in (4.12) is similar to those used in section 3. Consequently, In section 2.1-2.2, if we can change t_0 into t_* , it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(t_*) &= H(t_*) + O(\mu) \\ &= H(t_0) + \frac{d}{dt}H(t_0)(t_* - t_0) + O((t_* - t_0)^2) + O(\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where t_0 is known by (3.9). Let $t_* = t_0 \pm k\mu$ and put it into (4.13), we obtain

$$\Delta(t_0 \pm k\mu) = \pm k\mu \frac{d}{dt}H(t_0) + O(\mu). \quad (4.14)$$

Let k in (4.14) be sufficiently large and select μ sufficiently small, then the symbols of right-hand side of (4.14) are different. By virtue of intermediate value theorem, there exists $\bar{t}_* \in (t_0 - k\mu, t_0 + k\mu)$ such that $\Delta(\bar{t}_*) = 0$. So (4.11) holds, and $\bar{t}_* = t_0 + O(\mu)$.

From (4.4),(4.6) we know that $y^{(2)}(t, \mu)$, $y^{(3)}(t, \mu)$ are continuous at $t = \sigma$. For their smooth connection, $\frac{d}{dt}y^{(2)}(\sigma, \mu) = \frac{d}{dt}y^{(3)}(\sigma, \mu)$ is necessary.

Let $W(\bar{p}, \mu) = \frac{d}{dt}y^{(2)}(\sigma, \mu) - \frac{d}{dt}y^{(3)}(\sigma, \mu)$. Considering the smooth condition (3.6),(3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\bar{p}, \mu) &= \mu[(\bar{y}_1^{(2)}(\sigma))' - (\bar{y}_1^{(3)}(\sigma))' + \frac{d}{d\tau}\bar{Q}_1^{(-)}y(0) - \frac{d}{d\tau}\bar{Q}_1^{(+)}y(0)] + o(\mu) = \\ &= \mu\delta \frac{dG}{dp_0} \Big|_{p_0=\bar{p}_0} + o(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

When μ is sufficient small and δ has different sign, $G(\bar{p}, \mu)$ also has different sign. By virtue of intermediate value theorem, there exists $\bar{p}^* \in [p_1 - \delta, p_1 + \delta]$ such that $G(\bar{p}^*, \mu) = 0$.

Write the results in the following theorem.

Theory 1. Under conditions H_1 - H_5 , the smooth solution $y(t, \mu)$ of (1.1),(1.2) exist in the interval $[0, T]$. The zeroth asymptotic expansion of (1.1),(1.2) is

$$y(t, \mu) = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(t) + \Pi_0 y(\tau_0) + Q_0^{(-)} y(\tau_*) + O(\mu), & 0 \leq t \leq t_*, \\ \varphi_3(t) + Q_0^{(+)} y(\tau_*) + \bar{Q}_0^{(-)} y(\tau_\sigma) + O(\mu), & t_* \leq t \leq \sigma \\ \psi_1(t) + \bar{Q}_0^{(+)} y(\tau_\sigma) + R_0 y(\tau_T) + O(\mu), & \sigma \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the higher order asymptotic expansion.

References

- [1] A.B.Vasil'eva. Contrast structures of step-like type for a second-order singularly perturbed quasilinear differential equation. (Russian) Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz. 35(1995). no.4, 520-531.
- [2] A.F.Wang; M.K.Ni. The interior layer for a nonlinear singularly perturbed differential-difference equation. Acta. Math. Sci. 2012, 32B(2): 695-709.
- [3] A.F.Wang, M.K.Ni. Contrast structure for singular singularly perturbed boundary value problem. Appl. Math. Mech.(English Series) 2014, 35(5): 655-666.
- [4] A.F.Wang, M.Xu, M.K.Ni. The impulsive solution for a semi-linear singularly perturbed differential-difference equation. Acta. Math. Appl. Sin.(English Series) 2016, 32(2): 333-342.
- [5] C.G.Lange; R.M.Miura. Singular perturbation analysis of boundary-value problems for differential difference equations. IV. A nonlinear example with layer behavior. Studies Appl. Math. 1991, 84: 231-273.
- [6] H.J.Tian Asymptotic expansion for the solution of singularly perturbed delay differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2003, 281(2): 678-696.
- [7] J.I.Ramos. Exponential methods for singularly-perturbed ordinary differential-difference equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2006, 182(2): 1528-1541.
- [8] K.K.Sharma; A.Kaushik. A solution of the discrepancy occurs due to using the fitted mesh approach rather than to the fitted operator for solving singularly perturbed differential equations. Appl. Math. Comput. 2006, 181(1): 765-766.

- [9] M.G.Dmitriev, M.K.Ni. Contrast structures in the simplest vector variational problem and their asymptotics. (Russian) *Avtomat. i Telemekh.* 59(1998). no.5, 41-52.
- [10] M.K.Kadalbajoo; Devendra Kumar. Fitted mesh B-spline collocation method for singularly perturbed differential – difference equations with small delay. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2008, 204: 90-98.
- [11] M.K.Kadalbajoo; K.K.Sharma. A numerical method based on finite difference for boundary value problems for singularly perturbed delay differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2008, 197(2): 692-707.
- [12] M.K.Kadalbajoo; Sharma, K.K. Parameter uniform numerical method for a boundary-value problem for singular perturbed nonlinear delay differential equation of neutral type. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* 2004, 81(7): 845-862.
- [13] M.K.Kadalbajoo; K.K.Sharma, Numerical treatment for nonlinear differential difference equations with negative shift. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theor. Meth. Appl.* 2005, 63(5): 1909-1924.
- [14] M.K.Ni. Asymptotics of a step-type contrast structure for a class of variational problems. (Russian) *Avtomat. i Telemekh.* 69(2008). no.4, 176-183.
- [15] M.K.Ni; W.Z.Lin. The asymptotic solutions of delay singularly perturbed differential difference equations. *Acta Math. Sci.* 2010, 30A(6): 1413-1423.
- [16] R.Pratima; K.K.Sharma. Numerical analysis of singularly perturbed delay differential turning point problem. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2011, 218(7): 3483-3498.
- [17] R.Pratima; K.K.Sharma. Numerical method for singularly perturbed differential difference equations with turning point. *Int. J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 2011, 73(4): 451-470.