

Small populations lose overall genomic diversity but can maintain adaptive potential

Samarth Mathur¹ and J. Andrew DeWoody^{1,2}

1. Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, 915 W. State St., West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

2. Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University, 715 W. State St., West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

Corresponding Author:

Samarth Mathur

mathur20@purdue.edu

915 W. State Street, Pfendler Hall 141

West Lafayette, IN 47907

ORCID ID

Samarth Mathur: 0000-0002-6446-5718

J. Andrew DeWoody: 0000-0002-7315-5631

Running title: Adaptive potential of small populations

Keywords:

Montezuma Quail; adaptive diversity; genetic erosion; genetic load; variant effects

Abstract

In principle, populations with higher genetic diversity and larger effective sizes have greater evolutionary capacity (i.e., higher adaptive potential) to respond to ecological stressors. Small isolated populations tend to lose genetic diversity rapidly due to the detrimental effects of drift and inbreeding via genetic erosion. We are interested in how adaptive potential persists in small populations and how it fluctuates relative to overall genomic diversity. We analyzed individual whole genome sequences from different populations of Montezuma Quail (*Cyrtonyx montezumae*), a small ground-dwelling bird that is sustainably harvested in some portions of its range but is of conservation concern elsewhere. Our results indicate that overall, Montezuma Quail populations in the U.S. exhibit low levels of genomic diversity due in large part to long-term declines in effective population sizes over nearly a million years. The smaller and more isolated Texas population is significantly more inbred than the large Arizona and the intermediate-sized New Mexico populations. The Texas gene pool has a significantly lower proportion of deleterious alleles than the Arizona gene pool, but also significantly more high-frequency deleterious alleles that, coupled with elevated inbreeding, elevate the realized genetic load in Texas. Our results highlight that smaller, isolated populations are at higher risk of inbreeding depression as detrimental mutations rise in frequency due to drift and weakened purifying selection. Our study illustrates how population genomics can be used to proactively assess both neutral and adaptive aspects of contemporary genetic diversity in a conservation framework while simultaneously considering deeper demographic histories.

Introduction

Many species and populations world-wide are declining at an alarming rate (Barnosky et al., 2011; Ceballos et al., 2015; Dirzo et al., 2014), mainly driven by human-mediated habitat loss and climate change (Loarie et al., 2009). Active prevention of population declines and extirpations is a priority for conservation (Cardinale et al., 2012; Thompson, Koshkina, Burgman, Butchart, & Stone, 2017) because reduction in population size is often followed by reduction in genetic diversity (Allendorf, Luikart, & Aitken, 2013; Soulé, 1985). The loss of genetic diversity has negative consequences on the survivability and future persistence of a species as it impedes their ability to adapt to environmental change (Bijlsma & Loeschcke, 2005; Bürger & Lynch, 1995; Reed & Frankham, 2003). Smaller and/or isolated populations exhibit a more rapid loss of within-population genetic variation as compared to

their larger counterparts (Willi, Van Buskirk, & Hoffmann, 2006). The combined effects of drift, inbreeding, weak selection, and lack of gene flow in small, isolated populations may lead to “genetic erosion” (Bijlsma & Loeschcke, 2012). Genetic erosion is expected to indirectly reduce the mean fitness of a population and thus increase extinction risks (Bijlsma & Loeschcke, 2012; Leroy et al., 2018). The impact of genetic erosion on the adaptive potential of a species is increasingly recognized as an integral aspect of comprehensive conservation efforts (Holderegger et al., 2019; Ralls et al., 2018).

Compared to large populations, small populations have less genetic diversity and are more prone to risks of inbreeding depression as they are burdened with higher proportions of detrimental mutations (“genetic load”) in their protein-coding genes (Lynch, Conery, & Burger, 1995). Genetic erosion in small populations can lead to an increase in the frequencies of partially recessive deleterious alleles (Charlesworth, Morgan, & Charlesworth, 1993; Lynch, 2007) which can lead to inbreeding depression in inbred populations (Keller, 2002). In theory, larger populations are more efficient at purging the genetic load of deleterious alleles via stronger purifying selection (Hedrick & Garcia-Dorado, 2016), but the empirical evidence for such purging is mostly experimental (Bersabé & García-Dorado, 2013; Bijlsma, Bundgaard, & Van, 1999; Crnokrak & Barrett, 2002) and rarely explored in natural populations (Rettelbach, Nater, & Ellegren, 2019).

Much of the vertebrate genome has no known benefit to its host and is thought to evolve in a nearly-neutral fashion (Ohta, 1992). Much of the genome (e.g., intergenic regions) can be shaped by genome-wide processes such as inbreeding, migration, and demographic stochasticity (Pool & Nielsen, 2007). In contrast, genetic variants that exist in genic regions may be important for adaptation and thus subject to targeted, locus-specific natural selection. The type and strength of selection on such adaptive variants largely determines the phenotypic response (Ellegren & Sheldon, 2008). Contemporary genomic patterns of diversity are shaped by both recent and historic factors such as lack of gene flow due to anthropogenic habitat fragmentation (Lino, Fonseca, Rojas, Fischer, & Ramos Pereira, 2019) and demographic responses to glaciations (Nadachowska-Brzyska, Li, Smeds, Zhang, & Ellegren, 2015), respectively. Hence, explicitly comparing whole genomes with defined genic regions should help with identifying the major contributors to overall genomic architecture and also evaluate the adaptive potential of populations. In this study, we use whole genome sequences to quantify genic and whole genome variation from different sized

populations of Montezuma Quail (*Cyrtonyx montezumae*), then estimate the degree of genetic erosion and its impact on adaptive potential.

The Montezuma Quail is a small gamebird that is hunted in portions of Mexico, New Mexico, and Arizona but of conservation concern in Texas (Fig. 1). It is one of the least-studied avian species in North America (Gonzalez, Harveson, & Luna, 2015) due to its cryptic nature as well as difficulties associated with live trapping and monitoring (Hernandez, Harveson, & Brewer, 2006). Montezuma Quail are currently experiencing species-wide declines within the U.S. (Harveson et al., 2007), and Texas populations are listed as Vulnerable by Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) with no open hunting season due to growing concerns about extirpations (Harveson, 2009). Unlike other North American quails, Montezuma Quail are diet (Albers & Gehlbach, 1990) and habitat specialists (Brown, 1979) that heavily rely on grass cover for predator evasion (Bristow & Ockenfels, 2004). Their demography is strongly impacted by seasonal rainfall (Chavarria, Montoya, Silvy, & Lopez, 2012) and adequate grass cover (Brown, 1979) making habitat degradation and fragmentation major threats to Montezuma quail survival (Luna, Oaster, Cork, & O'Shaughnessy, 2017). Populations in Arizona are more genetically diverse than those from Texas or New Mexico (Mathur, Tomeček, Heniff, Luna, & DeWoody, 2019) and are expected to be the least impacted by genetic erosion due to larger sizes and more contiguous habitat (Fig. 1). In contrast, the Texas population is expected to have the highest signature of genetic erosion due to a restricted geographic range and associated demographic isolation.

Herein, we report the data from whole genomes sequencing (WGS) of 90 Montezuma Quail from Arizona, New Mexico, and Texas. We used these WGS data to quantify the levels of overall genomic diversity, genic (i.e., adaptive) variation, differentiation, individual inbreeding, and the genetic load in each population. We do so in a conservation context by comparing populations of different sizes. Our results indicate that Montezuma Quail effective population sizes have decreased over much of the last million years, and their similar trajectories over time indicate that now-disjunct populations in the U.S. were long connected demographically. Furthermore, we find that the small Texas population is isolated, inbred, genetically depauperate, and more prone to inbreeding depression.

Materials and Methods

Samples, DNA extraction, and sequencing

Montezuma Quail samples were opportunistically collected from three representative geographic populations in the United States: Arizona (AZ), New Mexico (NM), and Texas (TX) as described earlier (Mathur et al. 2019; Fig. 1). Based on the size of their geographic range in each state, on assessments by each state game agency, on eBird sightings, and on previous genetic analyses, we explicitly assume that AZ samples come from a large population, NM from a medium-sized population, and TX from a small population relative to each other (Mathur et al. 2019). Arizona samples were acquired from hunter harvested wings initially collected by Randel et al. (2019). New Mexico samples were acquired as voucher specimens by R. Luna, whereas Texas samples were collected as road-kill carcasses by L. Harveson. Sample handling and DNA extraction protocols are described in Mathur et al. (2019).

We sequenced whole genomes of 90 Montezuma quail samples (AZ=60, TX=17, NM=13) by creating individually barcoded dual-index libraries using Illumina® Nextera™ reagents following the manufacturer's protocol. The libraries were sequenced in 8 lanes of paired-end 150bp reads (2x150bp) on one S4 flow cell using Illumina® NovaSeq™ 6000 sequencing system in Purdue University's Genomics Core Facility. We removed any sample if they failed to generate more than 8 million reads (i.e. less than 1x mean read depth).

Sequencing filtering, alignment, and read preprocessing

We used FastQC v0.11.7 (Andrews, 2010) to quality check our raw reads and removed adapter sequences from trailing and leading edges of each read using Trimmomatic v.0.36 (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014). We also used Trimmomatic to remove low quality sequences (Phred < 20) and any read smaller than 30bp after clipping and quality filtering, prior to any further downstream analysis.

The filtered reads were mapped to a Montezuma quail draft genome assembly (Mathur et al. 2019) with BWA v.0.7.17 (Li & Durbin, 2009) using the *mem* algorithm. Samples with less than 50% mapped reads were removed from further analysis. Our final dataset contained 74 individuals (AZ=52, TX=15, NM=7). We used the Genome Analysis ToolKit (GATK) "Best Practice Workflow" (Auwerda et al., 2018) to pre-process our mapped reads. We first sorted the reads by their co-ordinates and marked duplicates using PicardTools (<http://picard.sourceforge.net>). We then used GATK v3.6.0 (McKenna et al., 2010) to realign our reads around indels to minimize misaligning with mismatches. We identified the regions to be realigned using

RealignerTargetCreator and aligned bam files using IndelRealigner. The base quality score was recalibrated for all the reads using known variant sites discovered from high coverage genome reads (Mathur et al., 2019) using BaseRecalibrator. We finally used these filtered-realigned-recalibrated reads to get coverage statistics using samtools depth (Li et al., 2009), and for further downstream analyses.

In cases where we needed to polarize genomic variants as ancestral or derived (see below), we used the high-quality and contiguous chicken genome (*Gallus gallus* GRCg6a) as reference. Both Galliformes, Montezuma Quail belong to the New World quail Family Odontophoridae that diverged from junglefowl (*Gallus* spp.; Family: Phasianidae) approximately 30-40 million years ago (Cox, Kimball, Braun, & Klicka, 2007; Hosner, Braun, & Kimball, 2015). Read mapping and preprocessing steps were same as above.

Mitogenome assembly and diversity

We mapped genomic reads to the previously published Montezuma Quail mitogenome (Mathur et al., 2019) and extracted the uniquely mapped reads (mito-reads) using BMap v37.93 (Bushnell, 2014). Since nuclear copies of mitochondrial DNA (NUMTs) exist in nearly all eukaryotic genomes (Bensasson, Zhang, Hartl, & Hewitt, 2001; Lopez, Yuhki, Masuda, Modi, & O'Brien, 1994), we tried to first identify the NUMTs in the nuclear genome assembly of the Montezuma Quail. We used a BLAST-based approach to query the Montezuma Quail reference mitogenome against a custom blast database of Montezuma Quail nuclear genome scaffolds. We extracted the NUMT sequences from genome assembly as fasta files using faSomeRecords (Kent et al., 2002). Any mito-read that also uniquely matched to the NUMT fasta sequences were removed using BMap. This helped ensure that final mito-specific reads we retained belonged to the mitogenome and not NUMTs. We used samtools mpileup to align mito-specific reads to the reference mitogenome and used bcftools (Li et al., 2009) to call variants. We filtered the variants with a minimum base depth of 10 using vcflib (Garrison, 2012) and used bcftools consensus to create consensus mitogenomes for every individual. To avoid mismapping and errors introduced at the artificial ends created in the linearized mitogenome, we trimmed 40bp from either end of the mitochondrial sequence prior to analysis.

All mitogenomes were aligned as multiple sequence alignment using Clustalw v.2.1 (Thompson, Higgins, & Gibson, 1994) using default parameters. We calculated mitochondrial nucleotide diversity indices and haplotype

statistics using Arlequin v3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer, 2010). We accounted for unequal sample sizes for each population by randomly subsampling mitochondrial genomes from each population (N=7) and recalculated nucleotide diversity indices using 100 independent permutations.

Genotype likelihood estimation, subsampling, and genotype calling

For the nuclear reads, we used ANGSD v0.929 (Korneliussen, Albrechtsen, & Nielsen, 2014) to estimate genotype likelihoods (GL) call single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using the samtools model. We filtered bam files to only include unique reads with a minimum mapping quality of 50. We excluded bases with a base quality score < 20 and only retained only proper pairs. Major and minor allele was inferred from the GL and triallelic sites were removed. Per-site allele frequencies (AF) were estimated using a combination of estimators i.e. first estimating allele frequency from GL assuming both major and minor alleles are known and then re-estimating AF by summing over the three possible minor alleles weighted by their probabilities. We used a p-value cut off of 10^{-6} to call a site polymorphic and a minimum minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.05. We also used a maximum depth threshold of 500 to avoid calling SNPs from repetitive regions (Clucas, Lou, Therkildsen, & Kovach, 2019). Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were tested and sites with p-value < 0.01 were filtered out to remove potential paralogous sequences with an excess of heterozygotes due to erroneous mapping (Meisner & Albrechtsen, 2019).

When estimating GL across all samples (N=74), we used a threshold of minimum 60 individuals to ensure including segregating sites from more than one population, in other words, to prevent retaining sites from only the Arizona population (N=52) (“population dataset”). To avoid biases introduced due to uneven sample sizes, we re-estimated GL and discovered SNPs from an equal subset of Arizona and Texas samples (N=21; AZ=7, TX=7, NM=7). For our subsamples, we chose samples with the highest depth and breadth of coverage to maximize the genomic spread of our variants (“genomic dataset”). For the subset, we used a minimum individual threshold of 15 and maximum depth threshold of 100.

In the end, we analyzed our genotype likelihood data two ways: (a) retaining maximum individual information at the cost of markers per individual (“population dataset”) (McLennan, Wright, Belov, Hogg, & Grueber, 2019) and (b) retaining maximum genomic information of each population at the cost of individuals analyzed per population (“genomic dataset”) (Benjelloun et al., 2019). The population dataset was used for the

estimation of inbreeding and genetic structure, both of which can be inferred from a smaller set of widespread markers from more individuals, whereas the genomic dataset with higher SNP density was used to estimate genome-wide diversity and for detecting signatures of selection.

Relatedness, inbreeding co-efficient, and population structure estimation

Assumptions of many population genetic estimators are violated if family members and closely related individuals are analyzed simultaneously. Related individuals among a sample set should thus be identified and removed prior to population structure analysis (Meisner & Albrechtsen, 2018, 2019). We estimated relatedness among our samples using IBSrelate (Waples, Albrechtsen, & Moltke, 2019). IBSrelate uses GL estimates to categorize a pair of individuals as either parent-offspring, full-siblings, half-siblings, first-cousins, or unrelated based on whether the pair share the same genotype or exhibit dissimilar genotypes at a particular site (Manichaikul et al., 2010). We compared all individual pairs (total of 2701 comparisons) and removed any pairwise comparison from relatedness estimates if the number of sites compared were less than 100,000.

We estimated individual inbreeding coefficient (F) using PCAngsd v.0.982 (Meisner & Albrechtsen, 2018) from inferred GL. This allows F-values at a site to vary between -1 and 1 where a negative value indicates an excess of heterozygotes and a positive value indicates an excess of homozygotes at a site. Since inbred individuals would have an excess of homozygous sites, they should have an overall $F > 0$. We used extremely low tolerance values ($1e-9$) and 5000 maximum iterations for estimation to assure a stricter stopping criterion and avoid convergence at a local minimum (Fig. S10).

To identify genetic structure in our Montezuma Quail samples, we used two approaches. First, we used PCAngsd to calculate a covariance matrix and performed individual level PCA using princomp function in R (Team, 2013). Second, we used NGSAdmix (Skotte, Korneliussen, & Albrechtsen, 2013) to estimate individual admixture proportions. For PCAngsd, we used a minimum tolerance value for population AF estimation of $1e-9$, a tolerance threshold for updating individual AF of $1e-9$ for 1000 iterations. For NGSAdmix, we ran 10 independent runs for each K from 1-10 with minimum MAF 0.05, $1e-9$ tolerance for convergence, $1e-9$ tolerance for log likelihood difference in 50 iterations, and maximum 50,000 iterations. The most likely number of subpopulations were

determined based on first and second order rate of change of the likelihood distribution from the 10 runs (Evanno, Regnaut, & Goudet, 2005).

Nucleotide diversity, heterozygosity, and contemporary effective population size estimation

For nucleotide diversity estimates, we only used the genomic dataset to avoid biases in estimating site frequency spectrum (SFS) due to uneven sample sizes and heavy data pruning, which was the case for our population dataset. We used ANGSD to generate a folded SFS by using the Montezuma Quail reference genome and a minimum base quality of 20 and minimum mapping quality of 50. Next, we obtained a maximum likelihood estimate of the SFS using realSFS by bootstrapping it 1000 times and using the mean SFS for each population to estimate per-site Watterson's theta (θ_w). We obtained mean heterozygosity (H_o) and its variance from the bootstrapped SFS.

To obtain an estimate of contemporary effective population sizes (N_e) from mean genomic θ_w , we first estimated the whole-genomic mutation rate (μ) for Montezuma Quail ($\theta_w = 4N_e\mu$). Since no linkage map exists for Montezuma Quail, we estimated μ following Zhan et al. (2013). The Montezuma Quail reference assembly was mapped to the Chicken genome (*Gallus gallus* GRCg6a) using LASTZ (Harris, 2007). The mean divergence time (t) between chicken and Montezuma Quail was derived from www.timetree.org and polymorphic loci were identified only if neither target nor query nucleotide was N/n and the locus was not in an alignment gap. The final μ per nt per year was calculated with the following formula: $\mu = (\text{counts of mutated loci} / \text{sequence length}) / 2t$ (Zhan et al., 2013).

Genetic differentiation and signatures of local adaptation

Small populations in isolation drift apart randomly at some loci (Whitlock, Ingvarsson, & Hatfield, 2000) and can become locally adapted to their microenvironment at adaptive loci (Schierup et al., 2018). Both processes of drift and adaptation lead to nucleotide divergence (D_{XY}) and variation in allele frequencies (F_{ST}) among populations (Matthey-Doret & Whitlock, 2019; Puzey, Willis, & Kelly, 2017; Rousset, 1997). We investigated genomic patterns of genetic differentiation by estimating pairwise F_{ST} using a sliding window approach (window size=100kb, step=50kb) for each population pair (AZ-TX, TX-NM, AZ-NM). We used ANGSD to calculate the 2D SFS for each

population pair using the chicken genome (GRCg6a) as reference to polarize alleles as derived or ancestral. We quantified the levels of nucleotide divergence (D_{XY}) using the calcDxy.R (<https://github.com/mfumagalli/ngsPopGen/blob/master/scripts/calcDxy.R>). In this case, we estimated GL for each population individually, but only retained sites that were shown to be segregating in all populations. This ensured that sites with a fixed allele in one population is still included in our per population D_{XY} calculations.

To identify candidate regions under putative selection due to local adaptation, we Z-transformed F_{ST} around the mean for each sliding window and examined the outliers that had $Z(F_{ST})$ values outside 5 standard deviations from the mean (Willoughby, Harder, Tennesen, Scribner, & Christie, 2018). After removing false positives that showed higher deviations due to lack of data, the remaining outlier windows were inspected for nearby genes. We blasted the 100-kb outlier window to the chicken genome using default parameters and only retained windows that contained annotated genes with known function.

Adaptive diversity, and adaptive differentiation estimation

The Montezuma Quail genome consists of ~17,500 genes (Mathur et al., 2019) and we examined levels of variation in just the genic regions as a comparison to the genome-wide estimates of variation. We filtered out reads that mapped to the Montezuma Quail gene sequences using BBMap. The genotype likelihoods and diversity indices were estimated for the genic regions using genic reads following the same methods and parameters as above.

To investigate levels of differentiation within the genes, we used population branch statistics (PBS) in ANGSD. PBS are based on comparing the pairwise F_{ST} values between the three sampled populations and identify the directionality of sequence differentiation (Yi et al. 2010) along each branch of their corresponding three-population tree. PBS represents the amount of allele frequency change at a locus in a population's history since its divergence from the other two populations and have the power to detect recent selection (G. Amorim et al., 2017; Jiang & Assis, 2019). We computed mean PBS for each annotated gene ($N=17,573$) in the Montezuma Quail genome to determine if Texas quail have genes that are exceptionally diverged relative to the other two populations, possibly due to positive selection (Yi et al., 2010).

Population trends and historic demographic sizes

A population that underwent a bottleneck should lose rare variants and leave intermediate frequency variants in the genome, resulting in an overall positive value of Fu's F statistic (Fu, 1997). On the other hand, new mutations would be added in an expanding population resulting in excess of rare variants and a negative mean value of Fu's F. Fu's F is more sensitive to demographic changes than Tajima's D (Ramos-Onsins & Rozas, 2002) but requires ancestral sequences for unbiased estimations. Thus, we estimated mean Fu's F statistic for every population over a sliding window in ANGSD using the chicken genome as an ancestral reference with 100kb window size and 50kb step.

We reconstructed ancestral demographic histories using SMC++ v.1.15.2 (Terhorst, Kamm, & Song, 2016) which uses unphased whole genome data to infer population size histories using sequential Markov coalescent (SMCs) simulations. The reads that mapped to the first 10 chicken chromosomes (NC_006088.5- NC_006097.5) comprising ~ 750 Mbp were used to create composite likelihoods for each population individually by varying the identity of the distinguished individual while keep other individuals within the population as undistinguished. We used cross-validation to estimate population size changes using the Powell algorithm with a tolerance of 1×10^{-5} and a mutation rate of 3.14×10^{-9} (estimated as above). We ran our model using 5000 iterations and used different parameter values for thinning and regularization penalty to avoid degeneracy in the likelihood and overfitting (Terhorst et al., 2016) with final model generated using thinning parameter of 1300 and regularization penalty of 6. A generation time of 1.5 was used to convert generations into years.

Estimation of genetic load

To assess the impact of a mutation in genic regions and its zygosity, we called genotypes at SNPs within the genes from GL estimates. Genotypes were only called at sites with minimum individual depth of 5X to minimize technical biases (Benjelloun et al., 2019). Allele frequencies from the genotype calls at each of the genic variants were calculated using vcftools v.0.1.16 (Danecek et al., 2011). We predicted the effect of a variant on amino acid change and its deleterious impact using SnpEff 4.2 (Cingolani et al., 2014). A variant is classified as either high, moderate, low, or modifier based on its inferred effect on protein translation. We quantified the genetic load of a population as the proportion of deleterious variants within the genic regions and compared the among-population differences in such proportions using chi-squared tests with Yates' continuity correction (Newcombe, 1998; Yates,

1934). High impact variants have the most disruptive (i.e., deleterious) effect on protein like truncation or loss of function, whereas low impact mutations are mostly synonymous with little to no impact on proteins (Cingolani et al., 2014). Thus, high impact variants have the potential to impart the greatest genetic load (Ellegren & Sheldon, 2008) as compared to low or synonymous variants (Crnokrak & Barrett, 2002), but the realized genetic load depends upon dominance and zygosity. As an extreme example, one can imagine a genome where 50% of the protein-coding genes are compromised by high-impact variants (i.e., high potential load), but the realized genetic load is nil if they are all recessive and outbreeding prevails. In contrast, a few high-impact deleterious recessive mutations (low potential load) contribute greatly to the realized genetic load if they are homozygous due to inbreeding.

Results

In this study, we collected WGS data from 90 Montezuma Quail (AZ=60, TX=17, NM=13; Fig. 1). We generated more than 1.65 billion reads (mean = 18.5 million reads per individual) corresponding to approximately 250 billion bases (mean = 2.8 billion bases per individual; >2x individual coverage). Since these samples were opportunistically collected (i.e. either hunter-harvested wing tissues or roadkill carcasses), we found significant variability in the quality and quantity of DNA sequenced. This stochasticity was evident from sequences generated per individual (Table S1) and their depth and breadth of coverage (Table S1, Fig. S1). We removed samples that failed to generate the threshold of 8 million bases (N=10) or where less than 50% of the total reads mapped to the Montezuma Quail assembly (N=6). However, we achieved a high level of read mapping for the remainder of the samples ($84.4\% \pm 18.1\%$; Table S1). Ultimately, we analyzed genomic information from 74 individuals (AZ=52, TX=15, NM=7) that covered $65.1 \pm 22.1\%$ (mean \pm SD) of the Montezuma Quail genome at $2.1 \pm 1.3X$ depth (Table 1).

Our complete mitogenome analysis detected 39 unique haplotypes in the Arizona population with 239 parsimony-informative sites shared among them. There were 11 unique Texas haplotypes sharing 171 parsimony-informative sites, and we found only 3 unique haplotypes for the New Mexico population with 167 such sites. We found per-site nucleotide diversity (Π) and Kimura 2-P pairwise distances to be smaller in the Texas and New Mexico mitogenomes ($p=0.03$ and $p=0.04$ respectively) as compared to Arizona. Haplotype diversity (H_d) did not

significantly differ between Texas and Arizona mitogenomes ($p = 0.70$) but was significantly smaller in New Mexico as compared to Arizona ($p=0.02$; Fig. S2).

For the nuclear genome analysis, we partitioned our data into two datasets: population and genomic. The population dataset consisted of genotype likelihoods from 456,373 SNPs retained from all individuals ($N=74$). The genomic dataset contained genotype likelihood information from 6,696,145 SNPs sampled across an equal subset of each representative population ($N=21$). Using the population dataset, we first estimated the relatedness among our samples to determine if we had close relatives in the study. Pairwise relatedness was measured for 2,341 individual pairs. Almost all the pairs analyzed were either unrelated (99.5%) or 3rd-degree relatives (0.21%). We found no full-sibling or parent-offspring relationships (1st-degree) in our samples; however, 5 pairs from Arizona, 1 pair from Texas, and 1 pair from New Mexico had 2nd degree or half-sibling relationship (Fig. 2A). Overall, our kinship analysis indicates that, consistent with our opportunistic field sampling and broad survey range, close relatives were only rarely sampled and thus, should not impact our population structure results. Inbreeding co-efficient estimates (Table 1) showed significantly higher levels of mean inbreeding in Texas birds as compared to Arizona birds (Fig. 2B; Table S2) whereas inbreeding in Texas was only slightly elevated relative to New Mexico birds. Both PCA and admixture analyses produced similar results indicating that the Arizona, Texas, New Mexico populations are genetically distinct (Fig. 2C, D). However, based on the ΔK method (Evanno et al., 2005), the most likely number of ancestral populations is $K=4$ (Fig. S3), splitting Arizona populations into two subpopulations (Fig. 2C). The population-level trends for relatedness, inbreeding and genetic differentiation were concordant between the two datasets (Fig. S4) and thus it seems clear that sampling issues have not biased our interpretations.

We used genomic dataset to quantify the levels of genome-wide nucleotide diversity as estimated by per-site Watterson's theta (θ_w). Mean genome-wide θ_w was significantly lower for the Texas population ($\theta_w = 4.05 \times 10^{-4}$; $SE = 1.67 \times 10^{-7}$) as compared to both Arizona ($\theta_w = 5.37 \times 10^{-4}$; $SE = 1.93 \times 10^{-7}$) and New Mexico ($\theta_w = 4.57 \times 10^{-4}$; $SE = 1.80 \times 10^{-7}$) (Table 1; Table S3). The genome-wide distribution of per scaffold diversity had higher a mean in the Arizona population than in Texas or New Mexico (Fig. S5). Contemporary estimates of N_e were quantified using whole-genomic μ of $3.14 \times 10^{-9} \text{ bp}^{-1}\text{year}^{-1}$ (CI: $2.59 \times 10^{-9} - 3.34 \times 10^{-9}$) (Table 1). Thus, Texas quail show a ~30% reduction in their overall genomic diversity with a mean, long-term evolutionary N_e reduction of ~25% relative to Arizona. The genomic heterozygosity was also significantly reduced for Texas samples (Table 1)

as compared to either Arizona or New Mexico (Fig. 3A; Table S4). This indicates that smaller Montezuma Quail populations in Texas and New Mexico are more severely impacted by genetic erosion with contemporary diversity equivalent to those reported in endangered and vulnerable avian species, whereas the larger Arizona population has heterozygosity estimates similar to other birds of least concern (Fig. 3B).

Global estimates of F_{ST} between each population pair showed low to moderate levels of genetic differentiation at the whole genome level (Table 2). However, we found significant variation in F_{ST} values across the genome for each population pair (Fig. 4; Fig. S6). One interesting observation was large $Z(F_{ST})$ scores for loci on chromosome 16 (NC_006103.5) for all population comparisons (Fig. 4; Fig. S6). This is probably due to low synteny between quail and chicken at chromosome 16 (Morris et al., 2020), perhaps due to an inversion (Clucas et al., 2019) but this needs further validation using longer sequence scaffolds (Lamichhaney & Andersson, 2019). There is a similar discontinuity at one end of chicken chromosome 26 (Fig. S6). We examined the windows that were highly differentiated in both AZ-TX and TX-NM comparisons to look for genes and assess their functionality. Genes or a gene clusters associated with the outlier peaks are shown in Fig. 4 and their known functions are listed in Table S5. Per-site F_{ST} and D_{XY} values for SNPs located in those genes are shown in Fig S7. In total, we found 12 genes that exhibited very high levels of differentiation (> 5 SD) and because these genes are associated with fitness-related traits (Table S5), they are likely candidates for genes underlying local adaptation in Texas quail.

One of the major emphasis of our study was to assess the adaptive potential of Montezuma Quail, particularly in the small, isolated Texas population. Variation in protein coding genes has the capacity to gauge adaptive potential (Barbosa et al., 2018). The trends we observed for genic diversity were similar to the whole genome (Table 1) with a 1.4X reduction of genic diversity in Texas quail. The Texas population had significantly lower ($\theta_w = 3.49 \times 10^{-4}$; $SE = 1.06 \times 10^{-6}$) genic nucleotide diversity as compared to Arizona ($\theta_w = 4.89 \times 10^{-4}$; $SE = 1.25 \times 10^{-6}$) and New Mexico ($\theta_w = 3.68 \times 10^{-4}$; $SE = 1.09 \times 10^{-6}$; Table S6). Mean heterozygosity in the genic regions of Texas and New Mexico quail were both significantly reduced relative to Arizona quail whereas Texas and New Mexico samples showed similar levels of genic heterozygosity (Fig. 3A; Table S7). One interesting observation was that all three populations of Montezuma quail maintain more genic heterozygosity than genome-wide heterozygosity (Fig. 3A, Table 1).

We inferred levels of adaptive differentiation using both pairwise F_{ST} and population branch statistics (PBS). Consistent with our estimates of heterozygosity (Fig. 3), our F_{ST} estimates from the genic regions show significantly higher levels of differentiation among the three populations as compared to the whole genomic background (Table 2). Texas quail have a higher mean genic PBS than the other populations (Fig. S8; Table S8), which may be indicative of local adaptation.

Demographic analysis indicated that the Arizona population is expanding with F_u 's $F = -0.23 \pm 0.01$ (mean \pm SE) whereas both the Texas and New Mexico populations are declining with F_u 's $F = 0.11 \pm 0.02$ and 0.22 ± 0.02 respectively (Fig. 5A). We tracked N_e estimates over the last ~ 1 million years using the pairwise sequentially Markov coalescent method (Fig. 5B). The three populations display concordant trajectories for most of their evolutionary history over that timeframe. We observed a decline in N_e from in the period of 10^6 - 10^5 years before present (YBP) followed by a more stable period. A subsequent re-expansion occurred around 10,000 years ago, then populations began to rebound until growth rates became negative around 3000-5000 YBP (Fig. 5B).

To quantify the potential genetic load of adaptive variants, we compared the mutations within protein-coding genes (Fig. 6A) and their predicted change on translation (Fig. 6B). Most of the genic variation was due to non-coding intronic variants or variants immediately outside the transcription unit (Fig. 6A), neither of which influence amino acid sequences but both of which may impact expression levels. The Arizona population had a significantly higher proportions of high, moderate, and low impact deleterious mutations when compared to either the Texas or New Mexico populations (Fig. 6B; Table S9). These results show that the larger Arizona population carries the greatest potential genetic load of deleterious mutations (Fig. 6B). However, the smaller and more inbred Texas population exhibited those detrimental mutations at higher frequencies (Fig. 6C) and due to the elevated inbreeding in Texas (Fig. 2B; Fig. S9) has the highest realized genetic load if we assume many of these deleterious alleles adhere to a model of simple dominance. Overall, these data indicate that larger populations harbor detrimental mutations at lower frequencies due to purging via stronger purifying selection and/or weaker purifying selection in smaller populations (Hedrick & Garcia-Dorado, 2016; Keller, 2002). We acknowledge that frequency estimates based on called genotypes may be biased due to low coverage and sample size (Benjelloun et al., 2019), but the trends we observe here are exactly what is predicted by simulations (Coop et al., 2015) and seen in human population studies (Do et al., 2015; Simons, Turchin, Pritchard, & Sella, 2014).

Discussion

In this study, we analyzed whole genome sequences from three natural populations of Montezuma Quail that vary in size and habitat continuity (Fig. 1) to understand how drivers of genetic erosion (e.g., small sizes and isolation) can affect genomic diversity and reservoirs of future adaptive potential. Small populations are predicted to have lower levels of diversity (Soulé, 1985) and recessive deleterious alleles should have a more pronounced impact on fitness than in large populations due to inbreeding (Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 1999). Populations that have experienced declines and are restricted to smaller habitats tend to have lower levels of overall genomic heterozygosity (Barsh, Rogers, & Slatkin, 2017; Brüniche-Olsen, Kellner, & DeWoody, 2019; Palkopoulou et al., 2015), but how these factors affect the adaptive potential is far less explored. By comparing levels of genome-wide diversity, adaptive (genic) diversity, and quantifying genetic load in different populations, our aim was to get a better understanding of how the adaptive potential of a species is affected by genetic erosion. The implications from our results should be relevant to conservation of Montezuma Quail and other small natural populations that are facing extinction threats worldwide (Ceballos et al., 2015).

Genetic erosion reduces genomic diversity

Our genomic diversity estimates are consistent with predictions for small declining populations that are expected to be most impacted by genetic erosion (Bijlsma & Loeschcke, 2012; Leroy et al., 2018). Species with small populations sizes have lower diversity (Frankham, 1996) and less adaptive potential (Hedrick, Robinson, Peterson, Vucetich, & Johnson, 2019). Our population genomic data support these expectations. Furthermore, Montezuma Quail exhibit lower levels of whole genomic heterozygosity than many other avian species (Fig. 3). The reduction of genomic diversity in Montezuma Quail is reflective of long-term declines in N_e over the last million years (Fig. 5B). More specifically, Montezuma Quail from Texas are the most genetically depauperate of the populations we surveyed with genomic diversity similar to vulnerable and endangered birds (Fig. 3B). Our Texas samples had genome-wide heterozygosity similar to raptors and other large birds (Table 1, Fig. 3B) even though small birds typically have more genetic diversity (Eo, Doyle, & DeWoody, 2011). Overall, we think the data reveal

that genomic erosion has likely reduced the evolutionary potential of Montezuma Quail in Texas and that this reduction is unlikely to abate in the absence of gene flow through assisted translocation or other means.

Isolation leads to more inbreeding

A lack of migration among populations limits gene flow and accelerates inbreeding (Frankham, 1996; Gong, Gu, & Zhang, 2010; Hedrick, Kardos, Peterson, & Vucetich, 2016; Keller, 2002; Madsen, Stille, & Shine, 1996; Pulanić et al., 2008). Our samples from Montezuma quail populations in the U.S. form independent genetic clusters (Fig. 2C,D), which is unsurprising given the geographic distances among sampling sites and the limited dispersal capacity of this ground-dwelling bird (Stromberg, 1990). These results are in general accordance with our previous findings based on a small SNP panel (Mathur et al. 2019), but the divide in Arizona (Fig. 2C; Fig. S3) was undetected with that same SNP panel. Our kinship analysis suggests that very few of our samples were derived from related individuals (Fig. 2A), and our inbreeding estimates show that the Texas population is highly inbred as compared to Arizona and New Mexico (Fig. 2B). Our samples were acquired opportunistically and that likely reduced the probability of collecting related individuals. However, inbreeding itself can reduce estimates of kinship as inbred individuals may have elevated number of alternate homozygous genotypes and a reduced number of shared heterozygous genotypes (Waples et al., 2019). We observed an elevated incidence of alternative homozygotes for within-Texas comparisons (Fig. S9) and we think the collective evidence shows that the small, isolated population of Montezuma quail in West Texas is relatively inbred. This is key, as elevated inbreeding means more of the potential genetic load will be realized (see below).

Impact of genetic drift on local adaptation

One of the major drivers of genetic erosion in small populations is genetic drift. In the absence of migration, genetic drift can fix common alleles or lose rare alleles from the gene pool. Isolated populations with historically low sizes can become phenotypically distinct over time (Holycross & Douglas, 2007; Schierup et al., 2018) due to differences in nucleotide composition (D_{XY}) (Wakeley, 1996) or allele frequencies (F_{ST}) (Beaumont, 2005). The intensity of genetic differentiation due to drift is generally expected to be the same for all neutral loci in the nuclear genome due to lack of selection pressures, but it is complicated by linked selection (McVean, Cai,

Macpherson, Sella, & Petrov, 2009; Rettelbach et al., 2019). Recent population genomic studies have shown that different populations exhibit a heterogeneous differentiation landscape (“differentiation islands”) across the genome (Burri et al., 2015; Ellegren et al., 2012). We observe similar results in Montezuma Quail populations (Fig. 4; Fig. S6) where many regions show highly significant values of F_{ST} even though global estimates seem biologically insignificant (Table 2). Some of these high- F_{ST} windows no doubt represent statistical artefacts, but many of these highly differentiated regions contain functional genes (Fig. 4) that could impact various fitness traits (Table S5) and could be signatures of local adaptation (Willoughby et al., 2018). This idea is bolstered by our global estimates of genic differentiation and the PBS results which suggest that coding genes are more rapidly diverging than the genome overall (Fig. S8, Table 2). These signatures of adaptation analyses suggest that local adaptation could constrain genetic rescue due to the possible reduction in fitness of interpopulation hybrids (Bell et al., 2019; Whiteley, Fitzpatrick, Funk, & Tallmon, 2015). On the other hand, such analyses have the potential to identify source populations that have adaptive genetic signatures most similar to the recipient population (e.g., Fig. S8) and thus the greatest likelihood of success from a long-term, evolutionary perspective.

The adaptive potential of small populations

Understanding the adaptive response of a species to future environmental changes is a high priority for conservation (Holderegger et al., 2019) as this response impacts the long-term probability of persistence (Hedrick et al., 2019), but such an assessment is not straightforward. Genetic erosion is expected to affect adaptive potential by either reducing the overall standing variation in adaptive regions or by the accumulation of deleterious mutations (Lynch et al., 1995; Ohta, 1992). We evaluated these two detractors of adaptive capacity by considering variation contained exclusively in genic regions and assessing their possible phenotypic impact. Montezuma Quail have over 17,000 genes and our results show that the nucleotide diversity in genic regions is lower relative to the whole genomic background (Table 1). This is not entirely unexpected as most genes are highly conserved and most mutations arising at these genes will be deleterious and subject to negative purifying selection (Rettelbach et al., 2019). Despite reduced nucleotide diversity in genic regions, we observed higher levels of genic heterozygosity in Montezuma Quail genes as compared to the entire genome (Fig. 3A). These results illustrate that populations can maintain genic diversity, perhaps due to overdominance (e.g. Schou, Loeschcke, Bechsgaard, Schlötterer, and

Kristensen (2017), episodic diversifying selection on key fitness genes (Antonides, Mathur, & DeWoody, 2019; Antonides, Mathur, Sundaram, Ricklefs, & DeWoody, 2019), and/or viability selection (Doyle et al., 2019). The exact process or processes maintaining heterozygosity in genic regions is not clear, but the resulting pattern is consistent with the myriad studies that have shown heterozygosity-fitness correlations in a wide variety of eukaryotes (Mitton, 1997).

The proportion of deleterious mutations present in the genic regions should reflect the potential genetic load (Charlesworth et al., 1993; Ellegren & Sheldon, 2008; Hedrick & Garcia-Dorado, 2016). Our results show Arizona quail carry more high impact deleterious variants as compared to Texas quail and this difference tends to diminish with variant impact (Fig. 6B). Most of the genic variants are non-coding (Fig. 6A) and thus do not impact amino acid sequences but could impact expression levels (Harder, Willoughby, Ardren, & Christie, 2020). Recent population genomics studies have shown via simulations (Coop et al., 2015) and empirical data (Ávila, Amador, & García-Dorado, 2010; Do et al., 2015; Rettelbach et al., 2019) that most deleterious genic variants are eventually culled by purifying selection but that small effect recessive mutations can persist in large populations.

Conservation considerations

Our results indicate that Montezuma quail populations in the U.S. exhibit low genomic diversity comparable to a number of threatened and endangered species ((Brüniche-Olsen et al., 2019; de Villemereuil et al., 2019; Zhan et al., 2013); Fig. 3B). Our genomic diversity estimates are consistent with predictions for small declining populations, and we argue that our estimates of genic diversity better reflect the evolutionary potential of the species. This study adds to the growing body of literature urging conservation organizations like IUCN to add genetic diversity estimates as a consideration in the listing process (Allendorf, Hohenlohe, & Luikart, 2010; Brüniche-Olsen, Kellner, Anderson, & DeWoody, 2018; Ralls et al., 2018; Willoughby et al., 2015)).

Theory suggests that deleterious mutations should be more abundant in small populations and empirical data support this prediction for species like woolly mammoths (Barsh et al., 2017) and Iberian lynx (Abascal et al., 2016), with critically low population sizes and ineffective purifying selection. However, most of the species that are declining due to recent anthropogenic activities have maintained relatively large N_e with previous cycles of bottlenecks and re-expansions, as in Montezuma Quail (Fig. 5B) and other birds (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al.,

2015). This study and another quantifying levels of genetic load across 42 mammalian species (van der Valk, de Manuel, Marques-Bonet, & Guschanski, 2019) suggest that smaller populations have significantly lower proportions of deleterious mutations whereas larger, more genetically diverse populations carry a higher burden of deleterious mutations that contribute to genetic load. Our data indicate that large populations harbor a larger proportion of high and low impact deleterious mutations in their genomes, but these variants are (on average) maintained at lower frequencies and merely represent potential genetic load. In contrast, the realized genetic load impacts individual fitness when these detrimental variants are homogenized due to inbreeding and/or drift. This pattern exists in part because purifying selection against partially recessive deleterious recessive alleles is relaxed in large populations where higher heterozygosity hides these alleles from selection. Thus, our genomic data illustrate and quantify the incidence of potential genetic load in large populations (Arizona) relative to the realized genetic load in small, inbred populations like Texas.

Conclusions

We analyzed whole genome sequences from different populations of Montezuma Quail in the U.S and compared the relative impact of genetic erosion between populations of various sizes. Our results indicate that Montezuma Quail populations in the U.S. have mean genome-wide heterozygosity comparable to other avian taxa of conservation concern. We found that random drift due to isolation and higher inbreeding are the major driving force behind these observed patterns of reduced genomic diversity. Most interestingly, our results reveal how small populations are able to maintain adaptive potential by exhibiting higher genic heterozygosity despite a reduction in overall genomic diversity. We find that larger populations carry a larger proportion of deleterious mutations (potential genetic load) than small populations where recessive deleterious alleles are exposed to selection due to inbreeding (realized genetic load). We think these data will be useful to those interested in the conservation of Montezuma Quail, and that they illustrate the power of population genomics in evaluating adaptive potential in light of fragmented landscapes and rapid environmental change.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Louis Harveson for collecting the Texas samples and Dr. Ryan Luna for the New Mexico samples. We thank Dr. John M. Tomeček and Arizona Department of Game and Fish (J. Heffelfinger) for the hunter-harvested wings. This research was funded in part by the Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service, the Reversing the Decline of Quail in Texas Initiative, and the National Institute for Food and Agriculture. SM was supported by a Graduate Research Fellowship from the Welder Wildlife Foundation. This article represents publication ##### of the Rob and Bessie Welder Foundation. We thank Drs. John W. Bickham, H. Lisle Gibbs, Mark Christie, Ximena Bernal, and members of the DeWoody laboratory group for constructive criticism on an earlier draft of the manuscript.

Data accessibility

The sequence datasets generated during the current study are available in NCBI's Short Read Archive BioProject accession # PRJNA623948, BioSample accession # SAMN14562436-509 and SRA accession # SRR11514056-129. The scripts developed for analysis can be publicly accessed at https://github.com/samarth8392/MQU_PopGenomics

Authors' contributions

SM and JAD conceived and designed the research. SM performed all bioinformatic analyses. SM and JAD led the writing effort.

References

- Abascal, F., Corvelo, A., Cruz, F., Villanueva-Cañas, J. L., Vlasova, A., Marcet-Houben, M., . . . Godoy, J. A. (2016). Extreme genomic erosion after recurrent demographic bottlenecks in the highly endangered Iberian lynx. *Genome Biology*, 17(1). doi:10.1186/s13059-016-1090-1
- Albers, R. P., & Gehlbach, F. R. (1990). Choices of Feeding Habitat by Relict Montezuma Quail in Central Texas. *Wilson Bulletin*, 102(2), 300-308. Retrieved from <Go to ISI>://WOS:A1990DH72200009
- Allendorf, F. W., Hohenlohe, P. A., & Luikart, G. (2010). Genomics and the future of conservation genetics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 11(10), 697-709. doi:10.1038/nrg2844
- Allendorf, F. W., Luikart, G., & Aitken, S. N. (2013). *Conservation and the genetics of populations* (Second ed.). Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. In: Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Antonides, J., Mathur, S., & DeWoody, J. A. (2019). Episodic positive diversifying selection on key immune system genes in major avian lineages. *Genetica*, 147(5-6), 337-350. doi:10.1007/s10709-019-00081-3
- Antonides, J., Mathur, S., Sundaram, M., Ricklefs, R., & DeWoody, J. A. (2019). Immunogenetic response of the bananaquit in the face of malarial parasites. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 19(1). doi:10.1186/s12862-019-1435-y
- Auwer, G. A., Carneiro, M. O., Hartl, C., Poplin, R., del Angel, G., Levy-Moonshine, A., . . . DePristo, M. A. (2018). From FastQ Data to High-Confidence Variant Calls: The Genome Analysis Toolkit Best Practices Pipeline. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*, 43(1). doi:10.1002/0471250953.bi1110s43
- Ávila, V., Amador, C., & García-Dorado, A. (2010). The purge of genetic load through restricted panmixia in a *Drosophila* experiment. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 23(9), 1937-1946. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02058.x
- Barbosa, S., Mestre, F., White, T. A., Paupério, J., Alves, P. C., & Searle, J. B. (2018). Integrative approaches to guide conservation decisions: Using genomics to define conservation units and functional corridors. *Molecular Ecology*, 27(17), 3452-3465. doi:10.1111/mec.14806
- Barnosky, A. D., Matzke, N., Tomiya, S., Wogan, G. O. U., Swartz, B., Quental, T. B., . . . Ferrer, E. A. (2011). Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? *Nature*, 471(7336), 51-57. doi:10.1038/nature09678
- Barsh, G. S., Rogers, R. L., & Slatkin, M. (2017). Excess of genomic defects in a woolly mammoth on Wrangel island. *PLoS Genetics*, 13(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006601
- Beaumont, M. A. (2005). Adaptation and speciation: what can Fst tell us? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 20(8), 435-440. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.05.017
- Bell, D. A., Robinson, Z. L., Funk, W. C., Fitzpatrick, S. W., Allendorf, F. W., Tallmon, D. A., & Whiteley, A. R. (2019). The Exciting Potential and Remaining Uncertainties of Genetic Rescue. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(12), 1070-1079. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2019.06.006
- Benjelloun, B., Boyer, F., Streeter, I., Zamani, W., Engelen, S., Alberti, A., . . . Pompanon, F. (2019). An evaluation of sequencing coverage and genotyping strategies to assess neutral and adaptive diversity. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 19(6), 1497-1515. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13070
- Bensasson, D., Zhang, D.-X., Hartl, D. L., & Hewitt, G. M. (2001). Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 16(6), 314-321. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(01\)02151-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02151-6)
- Bersabé, D., & García-Dorado, A. (2013). On the genetic parameter determining the efficiency of purging: an estimate for *Drosophila* egg-to-pupae viability. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 26(2), 375-385. doi:10.1111/jeb.12054
- Bijlsma, Bundgaard, & Van, P. (1999). Environmental dependence of inbreeding depression and purging in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 12(6), 1125-1137. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.1999.00113.x
- Bijlsma, R., & Loeschcke, V. (2005). Environmental stress, adaptation and evolution: an overview. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 18(4), 744-749. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.00962.x
- Bijlsma, R., & Loeschcke, V. (2012). Genetic erosion impedes adaptive responses to stressful environments. *Evolutionary Applications*, 5(2), 117-129. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00214.x
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114-2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

- Bristow, K. D., & Ockenfels, R. A. (2004). Pairing season habitat selection by Montezuma quail in southeastern Arizona. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 57(5), 532-538. doi:10.2111/1551-5028(2004)057[0532:Pshsbm]2.0.Co;2
- Brown, D. E. (1979). Factors Influencing Reproductive Success and Population Densities in Montezuma Quail. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 43(2). doi:10.2307/3800365
- Brüniche-Olsen, A., Kellner, K. F., Anderson, C. J., & DeWoody, J. A. (2018). Runs of homozygosity have utility in mammalian conservation and evolutionary studies. *Conservation Genetics*, 19(6), 1295-1307. doi:10.1007/s10592-018-1099-y
- Brüniche-Olsen, A., Kellner, K. F., & DeWoody, J. A. (2019). Island area, body size and demographic history shape genomic diversity in Darwin's finches and related tanagers. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(22), 4914-4925. doi:10.1111/mec.15266
- Bürger, R., & Lynch, M. (1995). Evolution and Extinction in a Changing Environment: A Quantitative-Genetic Analysis. *Evolution*, 49(1), 151-163. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1995.tb05967.x
- Burri, R., Nater, A., Kawakami, T., Mugal, C. F., Olason, P. I., Smeds, L., . . . Ellegren, H. (2015). Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive the evolution of the genomic landscape of differentiation across the speciation continuum of *Ficedula* flycatchers. *Genome Research*, 25(11), 1656-1665. doi:10.1101/gr.196485.115
- Bushnell, B. (2014). *BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner*; Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States).
- Cardinale, B. J., Duffy, J. E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D. U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., . . . Naeem, S. (2012). Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature*, 486(7401), 59-67. doi:10.1038/nature11148
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances*, 1(5). doi:10.1126/sciadv.1400253
- Charlesworth, B., & Charlesworth, D. (1999). The genetic basis of inbreeding depression. *Genetical Research*, 74(3), 329-340. doi:10.1017/s0016672399004152
- Charlesworth, B., Morgan, M. T., & Charlesworth, D. (1993). The effect of deleterious mutations on neutral molecular variation. *Genetics*, 134(4), 1289-1303. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8375663>
- Chavarria, P. M., Montoya, A., Silvy, N. J., & Lopez, R. R. (2012). *Impact of inclement weather on overwinter mortality of Montezuma quail in southeast Arizona*. Paper presented at the National Quail Symposium Proceedings.
- Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L. L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., . . . Ruden, D. M. (2014). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly*, 6(2), 80-92. doi:10.4161/fly.19695
- Clucas, G. V., Lou, R. N., Therkildsen, N. O., & Kovach, A. I. (2019). Novel signals of adaptive genetic variation in northwestern Atlantic cod revealed by whole-genome sequencing. *Evol Appl*, 12(10), 1971-1987. doi:10.1111/eva.12861
- Coop, G., Balick, D. J., Do, R., Cassa, C. A., Reich, D., & Sunyaev, S. R. (2015). Dominance of Deleterious Alleles Controls the Response to a Population Bottleneck. *PLoS Genetics*, 11(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005436
- Cox, W. A., Kimball, R. T., Braun, E. L., & Klicka, J. (2007). Phylogenetic Position of the New World Quail (Odontophoridae): Eight Nuclear Loci and Three Mitochondrial Regions Contradict Morphology and the Sibley-Ahlquist Tapestry. *The Auk*, 124(1), 71-84. doi:10.1093/auk/124.1.71
- Crnokrak, P., & Barrett, S. C. H. (2002). Perspective: Purging the Genetic Load: A Review of the Experimental Evidence. *Evolution*, 56(12), 2347-2358. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00160.x
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., . . . Durbin, R. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, 27(15), 2156-2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- de Villemereuil, P., Rutschmann, A., Lee, K. D., Ewen, J. G., Brekke, P., & Santure, A. W. (2019). Little Adaptive Potential in a Threatened Passerine Bird. *Current Biology*, 29(5), 889-894.e883. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.01.072
- Dirzo, R., Young, H. S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N. J. B., & Collen, B. (2014). Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science*, 345(6195), 401-406. doi:10.1126/science.1251817

- Do, R., Balick, D., Li, H., Adzhubei, I., Sunyaev, S., & Reich, D. (2015). No evidence that selection has been less effective at removing deleterious mutations in Europeans than in Africans. *Nature Genetics*, 47(2), 126-131. doi:10.1038/ng.3186
- Doyle, J. M., Willoughby, J. R., Bell, D. A., Bloom, P. H., Bragin, E. A., Fernandez, N. B., . . . Delmore, K. (2019). Elevated Heterozygosity in Adults Relative to Juveniles Provides Evidence of Viability Selection on Eagles and Falcons. *Journal of Heredity*, 110(6), 696-706. doi:10.1093/jhered/esz048
- Ellegren, H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2008). Genetic basis of fitness differences in natural populations. *Nature*, 452(7184), 169-175. doi:10.1038/nature06737
- Ellegren, H., Smeds, L., Burri, R., Olason, P. I., Backström, N., Kawakami, T., . . . Wolf, J. B. W. (2012). The genomic landscape of species divergence in *Ficedula* flycatchers. *Nature*, 491(7426), 756-760. doi:10.1038/nature11584
- Eo, S. H., Doyle, J. M., & DeWoody, J. A. (2011). Genetic diversity in birds is associated with body mass and habitat type. *Journal of Zoology*, 283(3), 220-226. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2010.00773.x
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, 14(8), 2611-2620. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x
- Excoffier, L., & Lischer, H. E. (2010). Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol Ecol Resour*, 10(3), 564-567. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x
- Frankham, R. (1996). Relationship of Genetic Variation to Population Size in Wildlife. *Conservation Biology*, 10(6), 1500-1508. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x
- Fu, Y. X. (1997). Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. *Genetics*, 147(2), 915-925. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9335623>
- G. Amorim, C. E., Nunes, K., Meyer, D., Comas, D., Bortolini, M. C., Salzano, F. M., & Hünemeier, T. (2017). Genetic signature of natural selection in first Americans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(9), 2195-2199. doi:10.1073/pnas.1620541114
- Garrison, E. (2012). Vcfliib: A C++ library for parsing and manipulating VCF files. *GitHub* <https://github.com/ekg/vcfliib>.
- Gong, W., Gu, L., & Zhang, D. (2010). Low genetic diversity and high genetic divergence caused by inbreeding and geographical isolation in the populations of endangered species *Loropetalum subcordatum* (Hamamelidaceae) endemic to China. *Conservation Genetics*, 11(6), 2281-2288. doi:10.1007/s10592-010-0113-9
- Harder, A. M., Willoughby, J. R., Ardren, W. R., & Christie, M. R. (2020). Among-family variation in survival and gene expression uncovers adaptive genetic variation in a threatened fish. *Molecular Ecology*, 29(6), 1035-1049. doi:10.1111/mec.15334
- Harris, R. S. (2007). Improved pairwise Alignmnet of genomic DNA.
- Harveson, L. A. (2009). *Management of Montezuma quail in Texas: barriers to establishing a hunting season*. Paper presented at the National Quail Symposium Proceedings.
- Harveson, L. A., Allen, T. H., Hernández, F., Holdermann, D. A., Mueller, J. M., & Whitley, M. S. (2007). Montezuma quail ecology and life history. *Texas quails. Texas A&M University, College Station, USA*, 23-29.
- Hedrick, P. W., & Garcia-Dorado, A. (2016). Understanding Inbreeding Depression, Purging, and Genetic Rescue. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(12), 940-952. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.005
- Hedrick, P. W., Kardos, M., Peterson, R. O., & Vucetich, J. A. (2016). Genomic Variation of Inbreeding and Ancestry in the Remaining Two Isle Royale Wolves. *Journal of Heredity*. doi:10.1093/jhered/esw083
- Hedrick, P. W., Robinson, J. A., Peterson, R. O., Vucetich, J. A., & Johnson, J. (2019). Genetics and extinction and the example of Isle Royale wolves. *Animal Conservation*, 22(3), 302-309. doi:10.1111/acv.12479
- Hernandez, F., Harveson, L. A., & Brewer, C. E. (2006). A Comparison of Trapping Techniques for Montezuma Quail. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 34(4), 1212-1215. doi:10.2193/0091-7648(2006)34[1212:Acottf]2.0.Co;2
- Holderegger, R., Balkenhol, N., Bolliger, J., Engler, J. O., Gugerli, F., Hochkirch, A., . . . Zachos, F. E. (2019). Conservation genetics: Linking science with practice. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(17), 3848-3856. doi:10.1111/mec.15202

- Holycross, A. T., & Douglas, M. E. (2007). Geographic isolation, genetic divergence, and ecological non-exchangeability define ESUs in a threatened sky-island rattlesnake. *Biological Conservation*, 134(1), 142-154. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2006.07.020
- Hosner, P. A., Braun, E. L., & Kimball, R. T. (2015). Land connectivity changes and global cooling shaped the colonization history and diversification of New World quail (Aves: Galliformes: Odontophoridae). *Journal of Biogeography*, 42(10), 1883-1895. doi:10.1111/jbi.12555
- Jiang, X., & Assis, R. (2019). Population-specific sequence and expression differentiation in Europeans. *bioRxiv*, 749499.
- Keller, L. (2002). Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17(5), 230-241. doi:10.1016/s0169-5347(02)02489-8
- Kent, W. J., Sugnet, C. W., Furey, T. S., Roskin, K. M., Pringle, T. H., Zahler, A. M., & Haussler, D. (2002). The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res*, 12(6), 996-1006. doi:10.1101/gr.229102
- Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15, 356. doi:10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4
- Lamichhaney, S., & Andersson, L. (2019). A comparison of the association between large haplotype blocks under selection and the presence/absence of inversions. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9(8), 4888-4896. doi:10.1002/ece3.5094
- Leroy, G., Carroll, E. L., Bruford, M. W., DeWoody, J. A., Strand, A., Waits, L., & Wang, J. (2018). Next-generation metrics for monitoring genetic erosion within populations of conservation concern. *Evolutionary Applications*, 11(7), 1066-1083. doi:10.1111/eva.12564
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25(14), 1754-1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., . . . Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16), 2078-2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Li, S., Li, B., Cheng, C., Xiong, Z., Liu, Q., Lai, J., . . . Yan, J. (2014). Genomic signatures of near-extinction and rebirth of the crested ibis and other endangered bird species. *Genome Biology*, 15(12). doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0557-1
- Lino, A., Fonseca, C., Rojas, D., Fischer, E., & Ramos Pereira, M. J. (2019). A meta-analysis of the effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on genetic diversity in mammals. *Mammalian Biology*, 94, 69-76. doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2018.09.006
- Loarie, S. R., Duffy, P. B., Hamilton, H., Asner, G. P., Field, C. B., & Ackerly, D. D. (2009). The velocity of climate change. *Nature*, 462(7276), 1052-1055. doi:10.1038/nature08649
- Lopez, J. V., Yuhki, N., Masuda, R., Modi, W., & O'Brien, S. J. (1994). Numt, a recent transfer and tandem amplification of mitochondrial DNA to the nuclear genome of the domestic cat. *J Mol Evol*, 39(2), 174-190. doi:10.1007/bf00163806
- Luna, R. S., Oaster, E. A., Cork, K. D., & O'Shaughnessy, R. (2017). *Changes in Habitat Use of Montezuma Quail in Response to Tree Canopy Reduction in the Capitan Mountains of New Mexico*. Paper presented at the National Quail Symposium Proceedings.
- Lynch, M. (2007). *The origins of genome architecture*. Sunderland, Mass.: Sinauer Associates.
- Lynch, M., Conery, J., & Burger, R. (1995). Mutation Accumulation and the Extinction of Small Populations. *The American Naturalist*, 146(4), 489-518. doi:10.1086/285812
- Madsen, T., Stille, B., & Shine, R. (1996). Inbreeding depression in an isolated population of adders *Vipera berus*. *Biological Conservation*, 75(2), 113-118. doi:10.1016/0006-3207(95)00067-4
- Manichaikul, A., Mychaleckyj, J. C., Rich, S. S., Daly, K., Sale, M., & Chen, W. M. (2010). Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, 26(22), 2867-2873. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq559
- Mathur, S., Tomeček, J. M., Heniff, A., Luna, R., & DeWoody, J. A. (2019). Evidence of genetic erosion in a peripheral population of a North American game bird: the Montezuma quail (*Cyrtonyx montezumae*). *Conservation Genetics*, 20(6), 1369-1381. doi:10.1007/s10592-019-01218-9
- Matthey-Doret, R., & Whitlock, M. C. (2019). Background selection and FST: Consequences for detecting local adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(17), 3902-3914. doi:10.1111/mec.15197

- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernysky, A., . . . DePristo, M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, 20(9), 1297-1303. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110
- McLennan, E. A., Wright, B. R., Belov, K., Hogg, C. J., & Grueber, C. E. (2019). Too much of a good thing? Finding the most informative genetic data set to answer conservation questions. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 19(3), 659-671. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12997
- McVean, G., Cai, J. J., Macpherson, J. M., Sella, G., & Petrov, D. A. (2009). Pervasive Hitchhiking at Coding and Regulatory Sites in Humans. *PLoS Genetics*, 5(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000336
- Meisner, J., & Albrechtsen, A. (2018). Inferring Population Structure and Admixture Proportions in Low-Depth NGS Data. *Genetics*, 210(2), 719-731. doi:10.1534/genetics.118.301336
- Meisner, J., & Albrechtsen, A. (2019). Testing for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in structured populations using genotype or low-depth next generation sequencing data. *Mol Ecol Resour*, 19(5), 1144-1152. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13019
- Mitton, J. B. (1997). *Selection in natural populations*. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Morris, K. M., Hindle, M. M., Boitard, S., Burt, D. W., Danner, A. F., Eory, L., . . . Smith, J. (2020). The quail genome: insights into social behaviour, seasonal biology and infectious disease response. *BMC Biology*, 18(1). doi:10.1186/s12915-020-0743-4
- Nadachowska-Brzyska, K., Li, C., Smeds, L., Zhang, G., & Ellegren, H. (2015). Temporal Dynamics of Avian Populations during Pleistocene Revealed by Whole-Genome Sequences. *Current Biology*, 25(10), 1375-1380. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.047
- Newcombe, R. G. (1998). Interval estimation for the difference between independent proportions: comparison of eleven methods. *Statistics in Medicine*, 17(8), 873-890. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-0258(19980430)17:8<873::Aid-sim779>3.0.Co;2-i
- Ohta, T. (1992). The nearly neutral theory of molecular evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 23(1), 263-286.
- Palkopoulou, E., Mallick, S., Skoglund, P., Enk, J., Rohland, N., Li, H., . . . Dalén, L. (2015). Complete Genomes Reveal Signatures of Demographic and Genetic Declines in the Woolly Mammoth. *Current Biology*, 25(10), 1395-1400. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.04.007
- Pool, J. E., & Nielsen, R. (2007). Population Size Changes Reshape Genomic Patterns of Diversity. *Evolution*, 61(12), 3001-3006. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00238.x
- Pulanić, D., Polašek, O., Petrovečki, M., Vorko-Jović, A., Peričić, M., Lauc, L. B., . . . Rudan, I. (2008). Effects of Isolation and Inbreeding on Human Quantitative Traits: An Example of Biochemical Markers of Hemostasis and Inflammation. *Human Biology*, 80(5), 513-533. doi:10.3378/1534-6617-80.5.513
- Puzey, J. R., Willis, J. H., & Kelly, J. K. (2017). Population structure and local selection yield high genomic variation in *Mimulus guttatus*. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(2), 519-535. doi:10.1111/mec.13922
- Ralls, K., Ballou, J. D., Dudash, M. R., Eldridge, M. D. B., Fenster, C. B., Lacy, R. C., . . . Frankham, R. (2018). Call for a Paradigm Shift in the Genetic Management of Fragmented Populations. *Conservation Letters*, 11(2). doi:10.1111/conl.12412
- Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Rozas, J. (2002). Statistical Properties of New Neutrality Tests Against Population Growth. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 19(12), 2092-2100. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004034
- Randel, C. J., Johnson, C. Z., Chavarria, P. M., Lopez, R. R., Silvy, N. J., & Tomeček, J. M. (2019). Estimating Montezuma quail hatch date using primary molt at harvest. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 43(4), 766-768. doi:10.1002/wsb.1017
- Reed, D. H., & Frankham, R. (2003). Correlation between Fitness and Genetic Diversity. *Conservation Biology*, 17(1), 230-237. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01236.x
- Rettelbach, A., Nater, A., & Ellegren, H. (2019). How Linked Selection Shapes the Diversity Landscape in *Ficedula* Flycatchers. *Genetics*, 212(1), 277-285. doi:10.1534/genetics.119.301991
- Rousset, F. (1997). Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance. *Genetics*, 145(4), 1219-1228. Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9093870>
- Schierup, M. H., Van Belleghem, S. M., Vangestel, C., De Wolf, K., De Corte, Z., Möst, M., . . . Hendrickx, F. (2018). Evolution at two time frames: Polymorphisms from an ancient singular divergence event fuel contemporary parallel evolution. *PLoS Genetics*, 14(11). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007796

- Schou, M. F., Loeschcke, V., Bechsgaard, J., Schlötterer, C., & Kristensen, T. N. (2017). Unexpected high genetic diversity in small populations suggests maintenance by associative overdominance. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(23), 6510-6523. doi:10.1111/mec.14262
- Simons, Y. B., Turchin, M. C., Pritchard, J. K., & Sella, G. (2014). The deleterious mutation load is insensitive to recent population history. *Nature Genetics*, 46(3), 220-224. doi:10.1038/ng.2896
- Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S., & Albrechtsen, A. (2013). Estimating Individual Admixture Proportions from Next Generation Sequencing Data. *Genetics*, 195(3), 693-702. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.154138
- Soulé, M. E. (1985). What Is Conservation Biology? *BioScience*, 35(11), 727-734. doi:10.2307/1310054
- Stromberg, M. R. (1990). Habitat, Movements and Roost Characteristics of Montezuma Quail in Southeastern Arizona. *The Condor*, 92(1), 229-236. doi:10.2307/1368404
- Team, R. C. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Terhorst, J., Kamm, J. A., & Song, Y. S. (2016). Robust and scalable inference of population history from hundreds of unphased whole genomes. *Nature Genetics*, 49(2), 303-309. doi:10.1038/ng.3748
- Thompson, C. J., Koshkina, V., Burgman, M. A., Butchart, S. H. M., & Stone, L. (2017). Inferring extinctions II: A practical, iterative model based on records and surveys. *Biological Conservation*, 214, 328-335. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2017.07.029
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., & Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 22(22), 4673-4680. doi:10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
- van der Valk, T., de Manuel, M., Marques-Bonet, T., & Guschanski, K. (2019). Estimates of genetic load in small populations suggest extensive purging of deleterious alleles. *bioRxiv*, 696831.
- Wakeley, J. (1996). Distinguishing Migration from Isolation Using the Variance of Pairwise Differences. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 49(3), 369-386. doi:10.1006/tpbi.1996.0018
- Waples, R. K., Albrechtsen, A., & Moltke, I. (2019). Allele frequency-free inference of close familial relationships from genotypes or low-depth sequencing data. *Mol Ecol*, 28(1), 35-48. doi:10.1111/mec.14954
- Whiteley, A. R., Fitzpatrick, S. W., Funk, W. C., & Tallmon, D. A. (2015). Genetic rescue to the rescue. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 30(1), 42-49. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.009
- Whitlock, M. C., Ingvarsson, P. K., & Hatfield, T. (2000). Local drift load and the heterosis of interconnected populations. *Heredity*, 84(4), 452-457. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2540.2000.00693.x
- Willi, Y., Van Buskirk, J., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2006). Limits to the Adaptive Potential of Small Populations. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 37(1), 433-458. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110145
- Willoughby, J. R., Harder, A. M., Tennesen, J. A., Scribner, K. T., & Christie, M. R. (2018). Rapid genetic adaptation to a novel environment despite a genome-wide reduction in genetic diversity. *Molecular Ecology*, 27(20), 4041-4051. doi:10.1111/mec.14726
- Willoughby, J. R., Sundaram, M., Wijayawardena, B. K., Kimble, S. J. A., Ji, Y., Fernandez, N. B., . . . DeWoody, J. A. (2015). The reduction of genetic diversity in threatened vertebrates and new recommendations regarding IUCN conservation rankings. *Biological Conservation*, 191, 495-503. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.07.025
- Yates, F. (1934). Contingency Tables Involving Small Numbers and the χ^2 Test. *Supplement to the Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 1(2). doi:10.2307/2983604
- Yi, X., Liang, Y., Huerta-Sanchez, E., Jin, X., Cuo, Z. X. P., Pool, J. E., . . . Wang, J. (2010). Sequencing of 50 Human Exomes Reveals Adaptation to High Altitude. *Science*, 329(5987), 75-78. doi:10.1126/science.1190371
- Zhan, X., Pan, S., Wang, J., Dixon, A., He, J., Muller, M. G., . . . Bruford, M. W. (2013). Peregrine and saker falcon genome sequences provide insights into evolution of a predatory lifestyle. *Nature Genetics*, 45(5), 563-566. doi:10.1038/ng.2588

Table 1: Summary statistics for sequence coverage, inbreeding coefficients (F), per-site Watterson's theta (θ_w), heterozygosity (H) and effective population sizes (N_E) for Montezuma quail populations analyzed in this study. The diversity indices were calculated for either the whole genome or just the genic regions. N_E was calculated using an estimated genomic mutation rate of 3.14×10^{-9} with 95% CI calculated using standard error in θ_w estimates. Sequence depth is measured in fold-coverage and breadth is measured as percentage of Montezuma quail assembly mapped by the reads.

	N	Sequence depth (X) (mean \pm SD)	Sequence breadth (%) (mean \pm SD)	F (mean \pm SD)	Whole genome		Genic regions		N_E (95% CI)
					θ_w	H	θ_w	H	
Arizona	52	2.14 \pm 0.78	69.45 \pm 14.51	0.05 \pm 0.08	5.37 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.0020	4.89 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.0044	42,795 (42,764 - 42,825)
Texas	15	1.45 \pm 1.82	42.69 \pm 30.17	0.33 \pm 0.28	4.05 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.0008	3.68 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.0027	32,208 (32,182 - 32,234)
New Mexico	7	3.48 \pm 1.78	84.16 \pm 11.51	0.07 \pm 0.08	5.57 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.0011	3.49 $\times 10^{-4}$	0.0023	36,417 (36,390 - 36,446)

Table 2: Estimates of global F_{ST} between the different population pairs measured for either the whole genome or just the genic regions. 95% CI was calculated using standard error in F_{ST} estimates by 100 bootstraps of 2D-SFS for each population pair.

Population Pair	Mean Global F_{ST} (95% CI)	
	Whole genome	Genic regions
Arizona - Texas	0.1287 (0.1286 - 0.12878)	0.2452 (0.2449 - 0.2455)
Texas - New Mexico	0.0962 (0.0961 - 0.0962)	0.1984 (0.1979 - 0.1986)
Arizona - New Mexico	0.0972 (0.0972 - 0.0973)	0.1926 (0.1925 - 0.1928)

Figure 1: Montezuma Quail species range and sampling sites (from Mathur et al. 2019). Samples (N=60) were collected from the larger and most contiguous Arizona sites, from an intermediate-sized population in New Mexico (N=13 samples), and from a relatively isolated and small population in Texas (N=15 samples).

Figure 2: Inbreeding and population structure of Montezuma Quail. Samples analyzed in this study were mostly unrelated based on (A) kinship analysis. (B) Mean individual inbreeding co-efficient (F) was significantly higher in the Texas population with no significant difference between Arizona and New Mexico populations. Results from both (C) admixture and (D) PCA analysis clearly demarcate samples from the three collecting sites into independent genetic clusters. However, likelihood estimates indicate that most likely number of ancestral populations was K=4 (indicated with asterisk), where Arizona was divided into two subpopulations.

Figure 3: Estimated levels of heterozygosity in Montezuma quail. (A) Genic heterozygosity is higher than genome-wide heterozygosity in all three populations sampled. (B) Comparison of genome-wide heterozygosity with other birds indicates that smaller Montezuma Quail populations in Texas and New Mexico have genomic diversity comparable to vulnerable species (Brüniche-Olsen et al., 2019; de Villemereuil et al., 2019; Li et al., 2014). Heterozygosity was measured as the proportion of heterozygous genotypes in the whole genome.

Figure 4: Z-transformed F_{ST} estimates for comparisons made between Arizona and Texas Montezuma quail every 100 kb window (50 kb steps). The reads were mapped to the chicken genome and the windows were arranged according to chicken autosomal (1-33) or sex (Z, W) chromosomes. Scaffolds that were not part of the major chicken chromosomes were binned together as unplaced. We found many windows within each chromosome that had high (>5 SD) levels of differentiation and many of those windows contained genes with known function (red arrows). This shows that there is a very heterogeneous landscape of genetic differentiation within Montezuma quail genome and drift is most likely the evolutionary driver behind the observed patterns.

Figure 5: (A) Population trends and (B) demographic histories of Montezuma quail. Population trends indicate that only Arizona populations seems to be expanding (Fu's $F < 0$) whereas, both Texas and New Mexico populations are declining (Fu's $F > 0$). Error bars indicate 95% CI around the estimate. Montezuma quail experienced a strong historic bottleneck during the last glacial maxima (LGM) followed by re-expansion.

Figure 6: Larger populations have higher genetic load but smaller populations have higher inbreeding risks. (A) Schematic of eukaryotic gene structure and proportion of variants in different genic regions where the colors in each panel correspond to one another. Much of the genic variation exists outside the transcription unit. (B) Genetic load was estimated for each population as the proportion of deleterious mutations within annotated protein-coding genes. The Arizona samples had the highest proportions of high impact, moderate impact, and low impact variants consistent with their elevated level of genomic diversity (Fig. 3a). Note the difference in scales on y-axis. (C) Larger populations are more efficient at genetic purging via purifying selection. In all sized populations, the mean allele frequencies are smaller for deleterious mutations as compared to non-coding variants, but the small inbred Texas population has a higher frequency of negative impact variants than larger outbred Arizona or New Mexico populations. Error bars indicate 95% CI around the estimate. This pattern is likely because recessive deleterious alleles exist as heterozygotes in large populations and in homozygotes in small populations so that smaller populations have a higher risk of inbreeding depression despite having a smaller genetic load in terms of the proportion of compromised genes in the genome.