# Effects of Tide and Wave on the Transport of Water and Sediments off the Yellow River Mouth in Winter

Xinyue Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Jianrong Zhu<sup>2</sup>, and Shenliang Chen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shanghai National Engineering Research Center of Urban Water Resources Co., Ltd. <sup>2</sup>East China Normal University

January 17, 2023

#### Abstract

The fresh water and sediments transport from the Yellow River mouth downstream along the coast into the Laizhou Bay under the northeasterly wind in winter. The sediment transport is convergence in the river mouth, divergence in the downstream area, and convergence in the north of Laizhou Bay. Tide and wave are the two main forcings affecting the transport of water and sediments off river mouths. For the high-turbidity Yellow River mouth and the adjacent sea, tidal forcing enhances the subtidal downstream transport of water and sediments off the river mouth into the Laizhou Bay, whereas wave forcing has little effect on the advection of water and sediments. The sediment resuspension is controlled by the bottom shear stress induced by tide and wave. The tide-induced bottom shear stress is higher in the north of Laizhou Bay and south of Bohai Bay due to the stronger bottom tidal current. The wave-induced bottom shear stress plays a more important role in sediment resuspension, which is higher in the nearshore region along the Yellow River Delta away from the coast to some extent on account of the maximum near-bottom wave orbital velocity. Tidal mixing strengthens the upward diffusion of bottom suspended sediments. Without tidal forcing, the decreased bottom shear stress suspends less sediment above bed. On the other hand, the enhanced stratification hinders the upward diffusion of the bottom sediment due to the lack of tidal mixing, resulting in higher suspended sediment concentration (SSC) in the bottom layer in the offshore region.

#### Hosted file

953352\_0\_art\_file\_10580512\_rny8cm.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/574518/ articles/618247-effects-of-tide-and-wave-on-the-transport-of-water-and-sediments-offthe-yellow-river-mouth-in-winter

2	Effects of Tide and Wave on the Transport of Water and Sediments
3	off the Yellow River Mouth in Winter
4	Xinyue Cheng <sup>1, 2, 3</sup> , Jianrong Zhu <sup>2</sup> , Shenliang Chen <sup>2</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Shanghai National Engineering Research Center of Urban Water Resources Co., Ltd.,
6	Shanghai, 200082, P. R. China
7	<sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal
8	University, Shanghai, 200241, P. R. China
9	<sup>3</sup> College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai,
10	200092, P. R. China
11	
12	Corresponding author: Jianrong Zhu (jrzhu@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn)
13	
14	Key points:
15	• Tidal forcing enhances the subtidal downstream transport of water and sediments
16	off the Yellow River mouth into the Laizhou Bay
17	• The wave-induced bottom shear stress plays a more important role in sediment
18	resuspension than tide-induced bottom shear stress in winter
19	• Tidal mixing strengthens the upward diffusion of bottom suspended sediments
20	

#### 22 Abstract

23 The fresh water and sediments transport from the Yellow River mouth 24 downstream along the coast into the Laizhou Bay under the northeasterly wind in winter. The sediment transport is convergence in the river mouth, divergence in the 25 26 downstream area, and convergence in the north of Laizhou Bay. Tide and wave are the 27 two main forcings affecting the transport of water and sediments off river mouths. For 28 the high-turbidity Yellow River mouth and the adjacent sea, tidal forcing enhances the 29 subtidal downstream transport of water and sediments off the river mouth into the 30 Laizhou Bay, whereas wave forcing has little effect on the advection of water and 31 sediments. The sediment resuspension is controlled by the bottom shear stress induced 32 by tide and wave. The tide-induced bottom shear stress is higher in the north of 33 Laizhou Bay and south of Bohai Bay due to the stronger bottom tidal current. The 34 wave-induced bottom shear stress plays a more important role in sediment 35 resuspension, which is higher in the nearshore region along the Yellow River Delta 36 away from the coast to some extent on account of the maximum near-bottom wave 37 orbital velocity. Tidal mixing strengthens the upward diffusion of bottom suspended 38 sediments. Without tidal forcing, the decreased bottom shear stress suspends less 39 sediment above bed. On the other hand, the enhanced stratification hinders the upward 40 diffusion of the bottom sediment due to the lack of tidal mixing, resulting in higher 41 suspended sediment concentration (SSC) in the bottom layer in the offshore region. Key words: Water and sediment transport; suspended sediment; bottom shear stress; 42

43 tide; wave

#### 44 Plain Language Summary

45 The Yellow River is well-known for its high sediment concentration, resulting in a rapid erosion-accretion pattern in the Yellow River Delta. Remote sensing images 46 47 show that high-turbidity water appears from the Yellow River mouth to the north of 48 Laizhou Bay, and in the south of Bohai Bay. The area is larger in winter and smaller 49 in summer. The fresh water from the Yellow River mainly transports southward into 50 Laizhou Bay. Most of the river sediment deposits in the river mouth, while part of it is 51 carried southward. Wind waves induces high turbidity in the coastal sea in winter. 52 Numerical experiment results show that tidal forcing also plays a role in sediment 53 resuspension and more importantly in water and sediment transport in the horizontal 54 direction. In addition, the stratification in the water column is highly controlled by 55 tidal mixing rather than wave mixing. This paper discusses the effect of tide and wave 56 on the transport of water and sediment in the Yellow River mouth and adjacent sea in 57 winter. Three numerical experiments with or without tide/wave are implemented and 58 cross-section fluxes are calculated to demonstrate the direction and magnitude of the transport. 59

60

## **1. Introduction**

62	The Bohai Sea is a shallow semi-enclosed marginal sea in West Pacific, and the
63	only inner Sea in China (Figure 1). The Bohai Sea receives about 1.5 $\times$ $10^{10}\text{m}^3$
64	freshwater and $6.9 \times 10^8$ t sediment annually from the Yellow River (Cheng et al.,
65	2021a), the second largest river in China, which is famous for its high sediment
66	concentration. The water and sediment discharge of the Yellow River varies
67	seasonally. Due to the frequent rainfall in flood season (July to October), the
68	discharge is higher in flood season and lower in dry season (Wang et al., 2007; Yu et
69	al., 2013). About 30 $\sim$ 40% sediment from the Yellow River trapped in the river
70	mouth, forming the Yellow River Delta (Li et al., 1998a), which is well-known for its
71	rapid erosion-deposition variation (Cui and Li, 2011). The Yellow River Delta and
72	adjacent sea are of great socio-economic importance and rich in biological resources,
73	which are highly influenced by the water and sediment transport of the Yellow River
74	(Kong et al., 2015).



75

Figure 1. Topography of the Bohai Sea. The black contours are water depth, unit m; the red solid
circle denotes the location of the Lijin hydrologic station; and the red triangles signify the
locations of anchored ship measurement sites.

79 The transport of water and sediments off river mouth is influenced by river discharge, tide, wave, topography, temperature, salinity, etc (Fettweis et al., 1998). 80 81 Among those factors, data showed that wave and tidal currents are the two dominant 82 ones affecting the transport of water and sediment, and further influence the 83 suspended sediment concentration (SSC) in the river mouth (Chen, 2001). Wolanski et 84 al. (1995) studied the sediment transport in the Fly River estuary and found that at 85 least three-quarters of the sediment from the river settled in the estuary. Numerical 86 results showed that the turbidity maximum is caused by the simultaneous influence of the baroclinic circulation and the tidal pumping, resulting in the turbidity maximum in the Fly River estuary existing only at spring tides. The vertical stratification caused by the residual baroclinic circulation driven by the along-channel density gradient plays an important role in trapping sediment in the turbidity maximum. As a result, the turbidity maximum is often located at the upstream limit of the salt intrusion (Lin and Kuo, 2001).

93 The sediment transport shows flood and ebb variability. The eddy viscosity is 94 higher during flood tide and lower during ebb tide in a partially mixed estuarine 95 channel. This strong tidal asymmetry in turbulent mixing due to tidal straining 96 induces more sediment resuspended during flood tide. As a result, there is an 97 up-estuary pumping of sediment despite a net down-estuary advective flux (Geyer, 98 1993; Scully and Friedrichs, 2003; 2007; Simpson et al., 1990). For fine cohesive 99 sediments, the stronger turbulent mixing during flood tide plays a role in break-up of 100 aggregated flocs, resulting in the change of settling velocity (Traykovski et al., 2004; 101 van Leussen, 1988).

There exists a shear front zone off the Yellow River mouth, where sediment is accumulated as a result of low velocity between flood and ebb (Li et al., 2001). Most of the river-laden sediment deposit inside the shear front with a high accumulation rate, while erosion is dominant outside the shear front due to the lack of sediment supply (Wang et al., 2007). Qin and Li (1983) found that about 80% of the sediment deposited in the region less than 30 km away from the Yellow River mouth. Only less than 2% of the sediment can transport to the Yellow Sea through Bohai Strait (Martin 109 et al., 1993).

110 Surface waves are assumed to be the major cause of sediment resuspension by 111 influencing the bottom shear stress in shallow waters, especially during significant wind events (Luettich et al., 1990). Wave induced sediment resuspension is 3 - 5112 113 times higher than tide induced resuspension in upper Chesapeake Bay (Sanford, 1994). 114 The SSC in the bottom layer increases with both wave height and wave bottom orbital 115 velocity (Liu and Cai, 2019). Sediments are resuspended mostly during flood tides 116 that followed wave events during low water in the shallow waters of South San 117 Francisco Bay. However, the strong sediment transport is a result of the nonlinear 118 interaction of wind waves and the tidal currents (Brand et al., 2010).

119 The tidal regime off the Yellow River mouth is irregular semidiurnal tides and 120 the average tidal range is 0.6-1.0 m (Pang and Si, 1979). There is an amphidromic 121 points of M<sub>2</sub> tidal constituent near Dongying station, north of the current Yellow River 122 mouth. The tidal currents are rectilinear along the Yellow River Delta and rotary in the 123 central Bohai Sea (Fan and Huang, 2005; Li et al., 1998b). The flood current usually 124 flows SSE, while the ebb current directs NNW around the Yellow River mouth (Fan et 125 al., 2006). The prevailing wind in Bohai Sea is northerly wind with a speed of  $5 \sim 10$ 126 m/s in winter and southerly wind with a lower speed of  $1 \sim 3$  m/s in summer 127 influenced by the East Asian Monsoon (Bian et al., 2013). As a result, the wave is 128 stronger in winter and weaker in summer. The significant wave height and wave 129 period are higher in the central Bohai Sea, and decrease shoreward (Lv et al., 2014).

130 Previous studies have done a lot of work explaining the dynamics of water and

131 sediment transport in the river mouths. However, the dynamic mechanism of water 132 and sediment transport in the Yellow River mouth and adjacent seas is little-known, 133 especially the responses to tide and wave. This paper explores the influence of tide 134 and wave to the transport of water and sediment in the Yellow River mouth and the 135 adjacent sea in winter, by using a 3-D high-resolution numerical model. The detailed 136 model description and validation are presented in section 2. The results of numerical 137 experiments and the dynamics of water and sediment transport are analyzed in section 138 3. The responses of water and sediment transport to tide and wave are discussed in 139 section 4. Finally, the conclusions are presented in section 5.

#### 140 **2. Methods**

#### 141 **2.1. Numerical model**

#### 142 **2.1.1. Hydrodynamic model**

143 The 3-D hydrodynamic numerical model is based on the ECOM-si (Estuarine, 144 Coastal and Ocean Model semi-implicit) (Blumberg, 1994), which is developed from 145 Princeton Ocean Model (POM) (Blumberg and Mellor, 1987), and later improved by 146 Zhu (2003) and Chen et al. (2004). The model adopts the "Arakawa C" grid 147 difference scheme (Arakawa and Lamb, 1977) and non-orthogonal curvilinear grids in 148 the horizontal direction. In the vertical direction, the model uses  $\sigma$  coordinate system. 149 The vertical eddy viscosity and diffusivity coefficients are calculated by the modified 150 Mellor and Yamada level 2.5 turbulence closure scheme (Mellor and Yamada, 1974;

151 1982). The horizontal mixing processes are computed by the parameterization of 152 Smagorinsky's scheme (Smagorinsky, 1963). The transport equations are solved by 153 the third-order spatial interpolation at a moderate temporal resolution coupled with a 154 TVD limiter (HSIMT-TVD) advection scheme to prevent numerical oscillations and 155 reduce numerical dissipation (Wu and Zhu, 2010).

The model domain covered the entire Bohai Sea and part of the north Yellow Sea 156 157 (Figure 2). The model grid consisted of  $381 \times 335$  cells in the horizontal dimension. 158 The vertical direction was divided by ten  $\sigma$  layers. The model time step was variable 159 based on the CFL (Courant, Friedrichs and Lewyt) criterion instead of using a 160 constant value. A wet/dry scheme describing the intertidal flat with a critical depth of 161 0.2 m was included in the model. The upstream river boundary was set at Lijin 162 hydrological station and in situ water and sediment discharge were set as boundary 163 condition. The open sea boundary in the north Yellow Sea was driven by sixteen 164 astronomical tidal constituents: M<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>1</sub>, O<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>1</sub>, MU<sub>2</sub>, NU<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, L<sub>2</sub>, 2N<sub>2</sub>, 165  $J_1$ ,  $M_1$ , and  $OO_1$ , which were derived from the NaoTide dataset 166 (http://www.miz.nao.ac.jp/). The sea surface wind field was from the European Center 167 for Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) reanalysis dataset with a spatial 168 resolution of  $0.125^{\circ} \times 0.125^{\circ}$  and a temporal resolution of 6 h. The wave boundary 169 conditions were calculated by the Simulating Waves Nearshore (SWAN) model.



171 Figure 2. Model domain and grids

#### 172 2.1.2. Sediment module

170

173 The sediment transport equation in the horizontal non-orthogonal curvilinear and

174 vertical  $\sigma$  coordinate system can be written as

$$\frac{\partial DJC_{sed}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial DJ\widehat{U}C_{sed}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial DJ\widehat{V}C_{sed}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial J(\omega - \omega_{sed})C_{sed}}{\partial \sigma}$$

$$= \frac{1}{D}\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left( K_{h} \frac{\partial JC_{sed}}{\partial \sigma} \right) + DJF_{sed}$$
(1)

175 where  $C_{sed}$  is SSC.  $\omega_{sed}$  is sediment settling velocity, which is calculated as follows

176 (Mehta and McAnally, 2008):

$$\omega_{\text{sed}} = \begin{cases} \omega_0 & C_{\text{sed}} \le C_{\text{sed}0} \\ \frac{m_1 C_{\text{sed}}^{n_1}}{\left(C_{\text{sed}}^2 + m_2^2\right)^{n_2}} & C_{\text{sed}} > C_{\text{sed}0} \end{cases}$$
(2)

177  $C_{sed0}$  is the critical sediment concentration for flocculation. According to Huang et al. 178 (1980),  $C_{sed0} = 0.2 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ . The empirical coefficients m<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>1</sub>, m<sub>2</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> are set as

179 0.012, 2.2, 1.7 and 2.8.  $\omega_0$  is the free settling velocity.

180 The SSC initial condition is set as a homogeneous constant value. Ignoring the181 surface sediment flux, the sea surface boundary is calculated as:

$$\left(\omega_{\text{sed}}C_{\text{sed}} + \frac{K_{\text{v}}}{D}\frac{\partial C_{\text{sed}}}{\partial\sigma}\right)\Big|_{\sigma=0} = 0$$
(3)

182 the sea bottom boundary is calculated as:

$$\left(\omega_{\text{sed}}C_{\text{sed}} + \frac{K_{v}}{D}\frac{\partial C_{\text{sed}}}{\partial\sigma}\right)\Big|_{\sigma=-1} = q_{\text{dep}} - q_{\text{ero}}$$
(4)

183 where  $q_{dep}$  and  $q_{ero}$  are the bottom sediment flux due to deposition and erosion,

184 respectively, which can be calculated as follows (Cao and Wang, 1994):

$$q_{dep} = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_b > \tau_d \\ \alpha \,\omega_{sed} C_{sed} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau_b}{\tau_d} \right), \tau_b \le \tau_d \end{cases}$$
(5)

$$q_{ero} = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_b < \tau_e \\ M\left(\frac{\tau_b}{\tau_e} - 1\right), \tau_b \ge \tau_e \end{cases}$$
(6)

185 where  $\tau_b$  is the simulated bottom shear stress.  $\tau_e$  and  $\tau_d$  are the critical shear 186 stresses for erosion and deposition, respectively.  $\alpha'$  is the deposition coefficient, 187 which is generally set as 0.67~0.84; M is the erosion coefficient, which generally 188 ranges from  $1 \times 10^{-5} \sim 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ .

189 The bottom shear stress under the influence of wave-current interaction is190 expressed as (Liang et al., 2008):

$$\tau_{b} = |\tau_{wm} + \tau_{c}|$$

$$= \sqrt{\tau_{wm} + \tau_{c} |\cos \Phi_{wc}|^{2} + \tau_{c} \sin \Phi_{wc}^{2}}$$

$$= \tau_{wm} \sqrt{1 + 2 \frac{\tau_{c}}{\tau_{wm}} |\cos \Phi_{wc}| + \left(\frac{\tau_{c}}{\tau_{wm}}\right)^{2}}$$
(7)

191 where  $\tau_{wm}$  is the maximum wave bed shear stress.  $\tau_c$  is the current shear stress. 192  $\Phi_{wc}$  is the angle between wave propagation and the current.

193 The algorithm of wave bed shear stress is:

$$\tau_{\rm wm} = \frac{1}{2} \rho f_{\rm cw} u_{\rm bm}^2 \tag{8}$$

194 where  $\rho$  is the water density.  $f_{cw}$  is the wave fiction factor, which can be evaluated 195 with the empirical relations according to Signell et al. (1990).  $u_{bm}$  is the maximum 196 near-bottom wave orbital velocity, which can be calculated as:

$$u_{\rm bm} = \frac{0.5 \rm H\omega}{\sinh \rm kh} \tag{9}$$

197 where k is the wave number. h is the water depth.  $H = H_{rms} = H_s/\sqrt{2}$ ;  $\omega =$ 198  $2\pi/T$ . H<sub>s</sub> is the significant wave height. T is the significant wave period.

199 The current shear stress  $\tau_c$  is related to bottom current velocity  $u_c$ :

$$\tau_{\rm c} = \rho C_{\rm D} u_{\rm c}^2 \tag{10}$$

where  $C_D$  is the bottom drag coefficient under the influence of wave-current interaction, which is solved by an iterative procedure. Additional details about the calculation procedure can be found in Liang et al. (2008).

The critical shear stress is an important parameter to calculate the bottom sediment flux. The equation of Dou (Dou, 1999) considered the influence of sediment diameter and water depth to the sediment viscosity, which is suitable for areas with significant water depth variance. First, we collected the distribution of median particle 207 diameter  $D_{50}$  and water content W of surface bed sediment in the Bohai Sea. And 208 then, the critical shear stress for erosion  $\tau_e$  is calculated by the equation of Dou:

$$\tau_{e} = k^{2} \rho_{w} \left(\frac{d'}{d^{*}}\right)^{1/3} \left(3.6 \frac{\rho_{s} - \rho_{w}}{\rho_{w}} g D_{50} + \left(\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\gamma_{0}^{*}}\right)^{5/2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{0} + g h \delta(\delta/D_{50})^{1/2}}{D_{50}}\right)\right)$$
(11)

209 generally, the parameter k is set as 0.128. Water density  $\rho_w = 1025 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . 210 Sediment density  $\rho_s = 2650 \text{ kg/m}^3$ .  $\varepsilon_0$  is the viscosity parameter, which is usually 211 set as 1.75.  $\delta$  is the thickness of pellicular water, which is measured as  $2.31 \times 10^{-5}$  cm 212 in the laboratory. d' = 0.5 mm is the height of roughness. d\* = 10 mm.  $\gamma_0$  is the 213 sediment dry density, whereas  $\gamma_0^*$  is the stable dry density, the ratio of which 214 represents the compaction rate of bed sediment. The value of  $\gamma_0^*$  refers to Han (1997). 215 The sediment dry density is calculated as:

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{\rho_w}{W + \frac{\rho_w}{\rho_s}} \tag{12}$$

216 The component of bed sediment is variable in the Yellow River mouth and the 217 adjacent sea, including grit, fine sand, silt, clay, etc. The sediment diameter varies 218 between  $0.005 \sim 0.08$  mm (Sun, 2013). The water content of bed sediment is lower 219  $(50 \% \sim 60 \%)$  in the north side of the current Yellow River mouth and higher (about 220 80 %) in the south side. According to the sediment diameter, water content and water 221 depth, the calculated critical shear stress for erosion in the Bohai Sea by the equation 222 of Dou is shown in Figure 3. The critical shear stress for erosion along the Yellow River Delta is lower than  $0.5 \text{ N/m}^2$ ; while in the northeast side of the current Yellow 223 River mouth, the critical shear stress for erosion is about 0.8 N/m<sup>2</sup>. The critical shear 224

stress in some areas of north of Laizhou Bay is higher because these areas are dominated by fine cohesive sediment. The critical shear stress for deposition  $\tau_d$  is calculated as (Cao and Wang, 1994):

$$\tau_{\rm d} = \frac{4}{9} \tau_{\rm e} \tag{13}$$

Based on the calculated critical shear stress for erosion and deposition, the model was calibrated and validated using measured and remote sensing retrieval SSC data to get a better distribution of critical shear stress suitable for the current Yellow River mouth and adjacent sea.





Figure 3. Critical shear stress of bed sediment for erosion in the Bohai Sea

#### 234 2.2. Model validation

The model has been well calibrated and verified many times for elevation, current velocity and salinity in previous studies (Cheng et al., 2021a; 2021b). This study further validated the model with current, salinity, SSC and wave for SWAN model. The following three skill assessments were used to quantify the validations: 239 correlation coefficient (CC), root mean square error (RMSE), and skill score (SS)

240 (Murphy, 1988; Ralston et al., 2010):

241 
$$CC = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{mod} - \bar{X}_{mod}) (X_{obs} - \bar{X}_{obs})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{mod} - \bar{X}_{mod})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{obs} - \bar{X}_{obs})^2)^{1/2}}$$
(14)

242 
$$RMSE = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(X_{mod} - X_{obs})^2}{N})^{1/2}$$
(15)

243 
$$SS = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{mod} - X_{obs})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{obs} - \bar{X}_{obs})^2}$$
(16)

where X is the variable of interest and  $\overline{X}$  is the time-averaged value. The agreement between the modeled results and observed results is assessed as follows by SS: >0.65 excellent; 0.65-0.5 very good; 0.5-0.2 good; and <0.2 poor (Liu et al., 2009; Maréchal, 2004).

#### 248 2.2.1. Current, salinity and SSC

We used the *in situ* water velocity, salinity and SSC data at the anchored ship stations (labeled in Figure 1) to validate the model. Site DK2 was measured from 29 to 30 August 2018; site E1 was measured from 11 to 12 October 2009; site 2# was measured from 6 to 7 August 2017. The mean water depths at sites DK2, E1 and 2# were 9.3, 6.91 and 5.7 m, respectively.

The comparisons between the observed data and the simulated results are shown in Figure 4. At the measured site DK2, the current was rectilinear, the flood current velocity was almost the same as the ebb current velocity, and the bottom velocity was smaller than the surface velocity due to bottom friction. The salinity was approximately 30.5 at the surface layer and 30.5 at the bottom layer with almost little temporal variation. The SSC varied with tide, with maximum value 0.15 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at

260	surface and 0.2 kg/m $^3$ at bottom. At the measured site E1, the water velocity was
261	smaller than DK2 and 2# due to the artificial dams nearby. The maximum surface
262	water velocity was only 0.6 m/s. The surface salinity was approximately 27.5 and the
263	bottom salinity was about 28 with a little temporal variation. The maximum SSC was
264	0.12 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at the surface layer and 0.17 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at the bottom layer with a downtrend.
265	At the measured site 2#, the maximum water velocity was 0.9 m/s at the surface layer
266	and 0.6 m/s at the bottom layer. The salinity had a semidiurnal variation with a
267	minimum salinity of 5.1 and maximum salinity of 29.5 at the surface layer. The
268	maximum SSC was 0.25 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at the surface layer and 0.26 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at the bottom
269	layer.



270

Figure 4. Comparisons between the observed data (red dots) and the simulated results (black line).
The left column represents Site DK2, the middle column represents Site E1 and the right column
represents Site 2#. (a, b, c): surface velocity; (d, e, f): bottom velocity; (g, h, i): surface direction;
(j, k, l): bottom direction; (m, n, o): surface salinity; (p, q, r): bottom salinity; (s, t, u): surface SSC;
and (v, w, x): bottom SSC.

The CC, RMSE and SS for comparison of modeled and observed water velocity and salinity at the measured sites are shown in Table 1. The mean CC, RMSE and SS were 0.79, 0.14 m/s, and 0.57 for the surface water velocity, respectively, 0.84, 0.09

279	m/s, and 0.61 for the bottom water velocity, respectively, and 0.82, 0.12 m/s, and 0.59
280	for the vertically averaged water velocity, respectively. The mean CC, RMSE and SS
281	were 0.76, 2.04, and 0.45 for the surface salinity, 0.88, 0.13, and 0.64 for the bottom
282	salinity, respectively, and 0.82, 1.09, and 0.55 for the vertically averaged salinity,
283	respectively. The mean CC, RMSE and SS were 0.61, 0.04 $\ensuremath{\text{kg/m}^3}\xspace$ , and 0.31 for the
284	surface SSC, 0.62, 0.04 kg/m <sup>3</sup> , and 0.35 for the bottom SSC, respectively, and 0.62,
285	$0.04 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , and $0.33$ for the vertically averaged SSC, respectively. Generally, the
286	model reproduced the processes of the water current, salinity and SSC well and can be
287	used to study the hydrodynamics and sediment transport in the Bohai Sea.

288

Table 1. CC, RMSE, and SS for comparison of modeled and observed water velocity, salinity and 289

Station	DK2		E1			2#			
skill assessment	CC	RMSE	SS	CC	RMSE	SS	CC	RMSE	SS
Surface velocity (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.71	0.19	0.37	0.91	0.07	0.82	0.74	0.16	0.53
Bottom velocity (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	0.83	0.13	0.50	0.90	0.05	0.82	0.80	0.10	0.50
Surface salinity	0.87	0.11	0.64	0.78	0.30	0.36	0.64	5.70	0.36
Bottom salinity	0.97	0.04	0.93	0.86	0.12	0.72	0.80	0.22	0.28
Surface SSC (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.61	0.03	0.34	0.60	0.02	0.30	0.61	0.06	0.28
Bottom SSC (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.59	0.04	0.32	0.64	0.03	0.38	0.62	0.05	0.36

290 SSC at the measured stations.

#### 292 2.2.2. Remote sensing retrieval and validation

293 The sea surface SSC was validated using remote sensing retrieval in winter and 294 summer of Landsat 8 OLI (Operational Land Imager imagery), which was 295 downloaded from USGS (http://glovis.usgs.gov/). The remote sensing image was 296 captured in March and August 2018 (Figure 5). Studies show that the spectral 297 reflectance of 700-900 nm in the image is more sensitive to the variation of sea 298 surface SSC (Doxaran et al., 2002). The green or blue band combined with 299 near-infrared band are more suitable for the retrieval of high turbidity water near the 300 Yellow River mouth (Long and Pavelsky, 2013). Therefore, the SSC retrieval formula 301 of Zhan et al. (2017) was adopted, which is given by:

$$SSC = 1622.6X^3 - 3518.7X^2 + 3180.8X - 544.7$$
(17)

302 where the unit of SSC is mg/L;  $X = R_{rs}(820)/R_{rs}(490)$ ,  $R_{rs}(820)$  and  $R_{rs}(490)$ 





304

305 Figure 5. Remote sensing images of Landsat 8 OLI in the sea near the Yellow River mouth in

<sup>306</sup> March (a) and August (b) 2018.

307 The remote sensing retrieval and validation of sea surface SSC is shown in 308 Figure 6. The sea surface SSC is higher in winter and lower in summer. In winter, the 309 sea surface SSC is higher near the Yellow River mouth, north of Laizhou Bay and 310 south of Bohai Bay. The retrieval SSC corresponds to the remote image. In summer, 311 the sea surface SSC is higher in the Yellow River mouth and north of Laizhou Bay, 312 with the highest value lower than  $1 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . The simulated sea surface SSC is close to 313 the retrieval result. The simulated high SSC areas correspond to the retrieval, but the 314 magnitude of SSC is a little higher than the retrieval SSC. Generally, the remote 315 sensing retrieval and model validation results are well. Therefore, the model can be 316 used to study the SSC variation in the Yellow River mouth and adjacent sea.



- 318 Figure 6. Comparisons between the remote sensing retrievals of sea surface SSC from Landsat 8
- 319 images (a, b) and model results (c, d) in March (a, c) and August (b, d) 2018.

### 320 **2.2.3.** Wave

321	The wave parameters are simulated by the SWAN model. The model is validated
322	using measured significant wave height and period of 9-22 November 2012 in site
323	KD47 (labeled in Figure 1) (data from Wang (2014)). As shown in Figure 7, the
324	variation of simulated significant wave height and period correspond to the measured
325	data. However, the simulated crest of significant wave height is lower than measured
326	data, which might be the result of low time resolution of wind data. Generally, the
327	SWAN model simulated the wave parameters well. And therefore, it is able to provide
328	the wave boundary condition in the ECOM-si model.



329

Figure 7. The wind speed (a), significant wave height (b), and period (c) from 9 to 22 November
2012 at Site KD47 (the line in (a) denotes ECMWF data, the lines in (b) and (c) denote SWAN
model result, and the points in (a), (b), and (c) denote observed data).

#### 333 2.3. Numerical experiment settings

Three numerical experiments were set to study the water and sediment transport off the Yellow River mouth in winter and the response to tide and wave (Table 2). Exp 0 is the control experiment, which considered river discharge, tide, wave, etc. Exp 1 excluded the influence of tide in order to study the effect of tide on the water and sediment transport. Exp 2 excluded the influence of wave in order to study the effect of wave by comparing with Exp 0. The model ran from January to December, and the subtidal results during spring tide in December were analyzed. The river discharge is the monthly-mean data recorded in Lijin hydrologic station. The wind data is from ECMWF with a time resolution of 6 h. The wave boundary condition is the simulated results of SWAN model. The residual sediment flux is used to represent the sediment transport in the shallow sea with tidal rise and fall. This flux is calculated as follows:

$$\overrightarrow{F_{C}} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{h_{1}}^{h_{2}} \overrightarrow{V} \cdot C_{sed} dz dt$$
(18)

where T is the averaged time of 6 tidal periods, which is approximately 3 days;  $h_2$  and  $h_1$  are the top and bottom depths of the water layer, respectively;  $C_{sed}$  is SSC; and  $\vec{V}$  is the horizontal velocity.



Table 2. Setup of the different experiments

Experiment	Tide	Wave
0	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
1	×	$\checkmark$
2	$\checkmark$	×

The convergence and divergence of sediment transport (condiv) is used to reflect

350 the sediment transport condition. The formula is given by:

condiv = 
$$\frac{\partial uC_{sed}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial vC_{sed}}{\partial y}$$
 (19)

where x and y are the east and north direction respectively; u and v are the velocity in the east and north direction. The variation of SSC in the water column induced by the horizontal sediment transport is calculated by integrating the horizontal sediment transport term vertically. The convergence of sediment transport means the SSC increased locally, while divergence means the SSC decreased locally. 356 **3. Result** 

357 The prevailing wind above the Bohai Sea is northeasterly during spring tide in 358 December 2012, with the values of  $5 \sim 7$  m/s. The northeasterly wind induced a 359 northwestward Ekman transport. As a result, the residual water fluxes in the Bohai 360 Sea in the surface layer are mostly westward/northwestward (Figure 8a). The fresh 361 water off the Yellow River flows downstream (the direction in which a Kelvin wave 362 propagates) into the Laizhou Bay, resulting in a lowest salinity value of about 25 in 363 Laizhou Bay. The residual water fluxes in Laizhou Bay are lower than 0.01 m<sup>3</sup>/s due 364 to the weak salinity gradient. The salinity gradient is higher to the east of Laizhou Bay, 365 due to the stronger residual water flux from the coast to the northwest induced by the 366 Ekman transport. The salinity distribution in the bottom layer is close to the surface 367 layer as a result of the strong vertical mixing in winter (Figure 8b). The bottom 368 salinity to the east of Laizhou Bay is higher than the surface layer, where the bottom 369 residual water fluxes are southward across the salinity gradient induced by the baroclinic gradient force, with the values of  $0.04 \sim 0.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ . The bottom residual 370 371 water fluxes in the central area of Bohai Sea are northeastward due to the compensational transport for water conservation with the values lower than  $0.04 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ . 372 373 However, the water transports in the south of Bohai Bay and the head of Laizhou Bay 374 are weak due to the homogeneous salinity distribution.

The strong wind in winter induces strong wave effect along the coast. As a result, the bottom shear stress induced by wave is higher in the shallow water along the coast of Yellow River Delta (Figure 9b). The bottom shear stress induced by tide is higher

378	in the north of Laizhou Bay and south of Bohai Bay due to the larger water velocities.
379	The total bottom shear stress is strong along the coast of Yellow River Delta, with the
380	maximum values in the north of Laizhou Bay and south of Bohai Bay. The total
381	bottom shear stress suspends the bed sediment to the upper layer. As a result, the
382	bottom SSC is higher than $2 \text{ kg/m}^3$ along the coast of Yellow River Delta, whereas the
383	surface SSC is higher in the north of Laizhou Bay and south of Bohai Bay, which
384	corresponds to the bottom shear stress induced by tide. The directions of residual
385	water velocities are similar to the residual water fluxes (Figure 8c, d). The water from
386	the Yellow River flows downstream along the coast, carrying the river sediment into
387	Laizhou Bay. The residual water velocities are 0.05 $\sim$ 0.15 m/s and the residual
388	sediment fluxes are 0.15 $\sim$ 0.2 kg/s in the surface layer to the downstream of the
389	Yellow River mouth (Figure 8e). In the bottom layer, the residual water velocities and
390	sediment fluxes are in the same direction as surface layer, but weaker than surface.
391	Therefore, the sediment sources of this area are Yellow River and local bottom
392	sediment resuspension. In the north side of the Yellow River mouth, the surface
393	residual water velocities and sediment fluxes are upstream, but a lot weaker than the
394	south of river mouth. Thereby, the surface SSC in the north side of the river mouth is
395	lower than 0.5 kg/m <sup><math>3</math></sup> . In the south of Bohai Bay, the water and sediment transports are
396	westward. The residual water velocities are $0.02 \sim 0.05$ m/s and the residual sediment
397	fluxes are $0.05 \sim 0.3$ kg/s. The SSC in the south of Bohai Bay is higher at bottom and
398	lower at surface. However, the sediment transport is stronger at surface and weaker at
399	bottom. The strong bottom shear stress in the south of Bohai Bay and the far distance



401 sediment resuspension.



403 Figure 8. Distributions of residual unit width water flux and salinity (a, b), residual water velocity
404 and SSC (c, d), residual unit width sediment flux (e, f) at surface layer (left panel) and bottom
405 layer (right panel) during spring tide in December 2012 in Exp 0 (arrows only signify direction,
406 color signify the value of residual sediment flux in e and f).

407 The sediment transport converges in the Yellow River mouth with sediment 408 fluxes of about 0.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/s as a result of river sediment deposition (Figure 9d). There is

409	a divergence area on the east of the convergence area, which means that part of the
410	sediment transport landward to the river mouth. The sediment transport is divergence
411	to the downstream of the river mouth with sediment fluxes of about 0.08 $g/m^2/s$ ,
412	whereas in the adjacent north of Laizhou Bay, the sediment transport converges with
413	fluxes of 0 $\sim$ 0.06 g/m²/s. It is presumable that the sediment from the Yellow River
414	transport downstream along the coast and mainly deposit in the north of Laizhou Bay.
415	To the upstream of the Yellow River mouth, the sediment transport is divergence
416	nearshore and convergence offshore, indicating that sediment transport from the coast
417	to the sea. In the south of Bohai Bay, the sediment transport is divergence at the east
418	side and convergence at the west side. The residual sediment fluxes are about 0.04 $\sim$
419	$0.06 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{s}$ . The sediment transport in the south of Bohai Bay is westward, which
420	corresponds to the diagram of residual sediment flux (Figure 8e, f).



Figure 9. Distributions of total bottom shear stress (a), bottom shear stress induced by wave (b),
bottom shear stress induced by tide (c), and sediment convergence and divergence (d) during spring
tide in December 2012 in Exp 0 (positive value and red color indicate convergence, negative value
and blue color indicate divergence in d).

421

426 Sec 1 is located at the south side of the Yellow River mouth (labelled in Figure 1). 427 The residual water currents along Sec 1 are mainly landward in the surface layer 428 driven by the westward Ekman transport induced by the northeasterly wind. The 429 surface residual water velocities along Sec 1 are about  $1 \sim 8$  cm/s. In the bottom layer, 430 the along-section residual water currents are also landward due to the baroclinic 431 gradient force. However, the velocities are much smaller than surface layer, with 432 values lower than 1 m/s. The strong landward surface currents induce an upwelling at 433 about 28 km away from the coast and a downwelling at 10 km away from the coast,

434 which is suggested to be the result of the convergence and divergence of Ekman 435 transport in the bottom boundary layer during the trapping of the river plume front 436 (Chapman and Lentz, 1994; Cheng et al., 2021a; Wu and Wu, 2018). As for the 437 cross-section currents, the residual water flows downstream within 8 km away from 438 the coast with the velocities of larger than 6 cm/s. At the seaward side of Sec 1, the 439 residual water flows upstream in the surface layer due to the Ekman transport and 440 downstream in the bottom layer as compensational flow across the section. The 441 maximum cross-section water velocities are higher than 6 cm/s at surface and lower 442 than 4 cm/s at bottom.

443 The strong wind wave in winter induces strong vertical mixing in the shallow 444 water. As a result, the salinity is well mixed at the nearshore side of Sec 1 (Figure 445 10b). Within 4 km away from the coast, the salinity in the surface layer is about 23 as 446 a result of the fresh water flowing downstream across Sec 1. At the seaward side of 447 the section, the salinity is stratified in the water column due to the downstream 448 transport of saline water in the bottom layer induced by the baroclinic gradient force, 449 with surface salinity lower than 29 and bottom salinity close to 30. The SSC profile 450 indicates that high SSC area is located with 10 km away from the coast. The SSC in 451 the water column is stratified within 5 km and mixed out of 5 km away from the coast. The maximum SSC along Sec 1 is about 5 kg/m<sup>3</sup> in the near-bed layer at about  $1 \sim 3$ 452 km away from the coast, and decreasing upward to about  $3 \sim 4 \text{ kg/m}^3$  in the surface 453 454 layer. It is predictable that the nearshore high SSC is mainly derived from bottom 455 sediment resuspension rather than along-shelf sediment transport.

456	The water flux and salinity flux across Sec 1 show similar temporal variation
457	(Figure 11a, b). The water and salt transport are mainly downstream in December
458	2012, especially in early of the month. During spring tide, the total water and salt flux
459	across Sec 1 are firstly upstream, and then turn to downstream, and once again divert
460	to upstream. The maximum water flux is about $0.8 \times 10^4$ m <sup>3</sup> /s and the maximum salt
461	flux is about 200 kg/s, both in the downstream direction. However, the sediment flux
462	across Sec 1 is mainly in the downstream direction in December because the sediment
463	from Yellow River transport downstream across the section. During spring tide,
464	sediment transport downstream across Sec 1 with fluxes of about $0 \sim 1 \times 10^4$ kg/s.



465

466 Figure 10. Vertical profile distributions of residual velocity (a), salinity (b) and SSC (c) along Sec
467 1 in Exp 0 during spring tide in December 2012 (arrows in (a) signify current vectors along
468 section, and the contours signify current velocities perpendicular to the section. positive values
469 indicate downstream current).





471 Figure 11. Temporal variations in water flux (a), salt flux (b) and sediment flux (c) across Sec 1 in
472 December 2012 in Exp 0 (positive values indicate downstream transport; the left shadow indicates
473 duration of spring tide, and the right shadow indicates duration of neap tide).

474

### 475 **4. Discussion**

#### 476 4.1 The effect of tide on the transport of water and sediments

477 Exp 1 excluded tide from the driving forces and the results were compared with 478 Exp 0. Without tidal forcing, the surface water currents are mainly driven by the 479 northwestward Ekman transport induced by the northeasterly wind in winter. The 480 residual water fluxes are larger in the central of Bohai Sea with values of  $0.2 \sim 0.3$ 481 m<sup>3</sup>/s, while smaller in the Laizhou Bay with values lower than 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>/s due to the

482 special shoreline and topography. The low-salinity water transport upstream to some extent. However, the majority of low-salinity water penetrates downstream along the 483 484 coast due to the geostrophic adjustment. In the bottom layer, the residual water fluxes 485 are mainly downgradient of salinity induced by the baroclinic pressure gradient. The 486 salinity is higher than surface layer and low-salinity water mostly transports 487 downstream rather than upstream. The difference between Exp 0 and Exp 1 indicates 488 the effect of tide. The tide induces downstream residual water fluxes along the coast 489 of Yellow River Delta in the surface layer, and northward water fluxes in the central 490 of Bohai Sea in the bottom layer. The salinity differences between Exp 0 and Exp 1 491 are positive in the surface layer near the Yellow River mouth especially in the north 492 side, with values of  $0 \sim 3$ , higher in the river mouth and decreasing offshore. This is 493 induced by the combination effect of vertical mixing and more fresh water 494 transporting downstream due to the tidal forcing. As a result, the salinity differences 495 are negative in Laizhou Bay and extend northeast along the coast in both surface layer 496 and bottom layer due to the shallow depth in Laizhou Bay (Figure 12c, d).

Without tidal forcing, the SSC decreases a lot in the surface layer, especially in the south of Bohai Bay and to the downstream of Yellow River mouth (Figure 12g). The maximum SSC in the surface layer is about 1.5 kg/m<sup>3</sup> in the river mouth. And in other areas along the Yellow River Delta with suspended sediments in the surface layer, the SSCs are about  $0 \sim 1 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . The bottom shear stress induced by wave remains unchanged, whereas the bottom shear stress induced by tide decreases about  $0 \sim 0.5 \text{ N/m}^2$  in the south side of the Yellow River mouth, the east head of Laizhou

504	Bay, and the south of Bohai Bay (Figure 13). The bottom shear stress induced by tide
505	is lower than 0.2 $\text{N/m}^2,$ as a result, the total bottom shear stress is 0 $\sim$ 1 $\text{N/m}^2$ along
506	the Yellow River Delta mainly induced by wave. The lower bottom shear stress
507	resuspends less sediment above bed. In the bottom layer, the SSCs are higher than 2
508	kg/m <sup>3</sup> along the Yellow River Delta. Compared with Exp 0, the bottom SSCs decrease
509	in the nearshore region, while increase in the offshore region. This is because
510	stratification hindered the upward diffusion of bottom suspended sediment due to the
511	lack of tidal mixing. As a result, most of the sediment can only be advected in the
512	horizontal direction. The sediments in the surface layer transport upstream with fluxes
513	of 0.05 $\sim 0.1$ kg/s and downstream with fluxes lower than 0.05 kg/s from the Yellow
514	River mouth. The surface sediment fluxes in the south of Bohai Bay are westward
515	with fluxes of 0 $\sim$ 0.05 kg/s. In the bottom layer, the sediments transport onshore in
516	the north side of the Yellow River mouth, downstream in the northwest of Laizhou
517	Bay, and westward in the south of Bohai Bay. More sediments transport upstream
518	without tidal forcing than with tidal forcing.



Figure 12. Distributions of residual unit width water flux and salinity (a, b), residual water velocity
and SSC (e, f), residual unit width sediment flux (i, j) in Exp 1, and differences of residual unit width
water flux and salinity (c, d), residual water velocity and SSC (g, h) of Exp 0 (control run) – Exp 1
(without tide) at surface layer (left panel) and bottom layer (right panel) during spring tide in
December 2012 (arrows only signify direction, color signify the value of residual sediment flux in i



527 Figure 13. Distributions of total bottom shear stress (a), bottom shear stress induced by wave (b),
528 bottom shear stress induced by tide (c) in Exp 1, and differences of total bottom shear stress (d),
529 bottom shear stress induced by wave (e) and bottom shear stress induced by tide (f) of Exp 0
530 (control run) – Exp 1 (without tide) during spring tide in December 2012.

The residual water from the Yellow River mouth flows downstream across Sec 1 in the upper layer within 7 km away from the coast with velocities of about  $0 \sim 8$  m/s (Figure 14a). Out of 7 km, the residual water transports upstream in the upper layer and downstream in the lower layer. The surface along-section currents are shoreward with velocities of 5 ~ 8 m/s driven by the northwestward Ekman transport induced by 536 the northeasterly wind. The bottom currents flow onshore along Sec 1 driven by the 537 baroclinic gradient force. The low-salinity water transports downstream to Sec 1, 538 forming a salinity variance of  $17 \sim 31$ . The water column is well-mixed in the surface 539 layer due to the wave mixing and stratified in the middle and bottom layer due to the 540 lack of tidal mixing. The difference between Exp 0 and Exp 1 indicates the effect of 541 tide. Tidal forcing induces more residual water transports downstream across Sec 1 542 within 28 km away from the coast. As a result, the salinity of Exp 0 in most areas 543 along Sec 1 especially in the bottom layer is lower than Exp 1. However, in the upper 544 layer, the salinity of Exp 0 with tidal forcing is higher than Exp 1 without tidal forcing. 545 This is caused by the mixing of bottom saline water with surface fresh water induced 546 by the tidal mixing. The salinity differences of Exp 0 and Exp 1 are about  $0 \sim 4$  in the 547 upper layer within 26 km and  $-2 \sim 0$  in the bottom layer along Sec 1.

The SSCs along Sec 1 are about  $0 \sim 3 \text{ kg/m}^3$  within 10 km away from the coast with higher values in the bottom and declining upward. Due to the decrease of bottom shear stress induced by tide, the SSCs of Exp 1 are reduced for about  $0 \sim 2.5 \text{ kg/m}^3$ compared with Exp 0. The reduction of SSC is highest in the middle layer at about 3 km away from the coast as a result of the lack of tidal mixing and tide-induced sediment resuspension.



Figure 14. Vertical profile distributions of residual velocity (a), salinity (c) and SSC (e) in Exp 1,
and differences of residual velocity (b), salinity (d) and SSC (f) of Exp 0 (control run) – Exp 1
(without tide) along Sec 1 during spring tide in December 2012 (arrows in (a, b) signify current
vectors along section, and the contours signify current velocities perpendicular to the section
(positive values indicate downstream current)).

#### 560 4.2 The effect of wave on the transport of water and sediments

Exp 2 considered the effect of tide and excluded the wave forcing. The residual water velocities and fluxes are similar to Exp 0. Except for the central area of Bohai Sea, where wave induces northwestward transport in the surface layer, carrying more low-salinity water from the coast to the sea. As a result, the salinity of Exp 0 is about 565  $0 \sim 1$  lower than Exp 2. The salinity differences between Exp 0 and Exp 2 are higher 566 than 3 near the Yellow River mouth in the whole water column due to the mixing of 567 surface fresh water with bottom saline water induced by wave. As a result, more fresh 568 water extends upstream and downstream, resulting in the lower salinity in the 569 northwest side of the Yellow River mouth and in the center and on the northeast of 570 Laizhou Bay (Figure 15c, d).

571 Without wave forcing, the bottom shear stress induced by wave is zero (Figure 16b). The reduction of bottom shear stress is about  $0 \sim 1 \text{ N/m}^2$  along the Yellow River 572 Delta. The maximum total bottom shear stress is about  $0.2 \text{ N/m}^2$  near the Yellow 573 River mouth and on the downstream side, and about 0.4 N/m<sup>2</sup> in the south of Bohai 574 Bay. The bottom shear stress induced by tide also decreases for about  $0 \sim 0.2$  in the 575 576 south of Bohai Bay, from the Yellow River mouth downstream to the north of Laizhou 577 Bay, and in the east head of Laizhou Bay, as a result of the change of bottom water 578 current. The weaker bottom shear stress resuspends less sediment above bed. The bottom SSCs decrease more than 2 kg/m<sup>3</sup> along the Yellow River Delta and the 579 surface SSCs decrease about 2 kg/m<sup>3</sup> from the Yellow River mouth downstream to the 580 581 north of Laizhou Bay and in the south of Bohai Bay (Figure 15g, h). As a result, the 582 suspended sediments are mostly in the south of Bohai Bay and from the Yellow River mouth downstream to the north of Laizhou Bay with values of  $0 \sim 1.5 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . The 583 surface SSC is slightly lower than bottom SSC because tidal mixing enhances the 584 585 upward diffusion of bottom suspended sediments.



Figure 15. Distributions of residual unit width water flux and salinity (a, b), residual water velocity
and SSC (e, f), residual unit width sediment flux (i, j) in Exp 2, and differences of residual unit width
water flux and salinity (c, d), residual water velocity and SSC (g, h) of Exp 0 (control run) – Exp 2
(without wave) at surface layer (left panel) and bottom layer (right panel) during spring tide in
December 2012 (arrows only signify direction, color signify the value of residual sediment flux in i



Figure 16. Distributions of total bottom shear stress (a), bottom shear stress induced by wave (b),
bottom shear stress induced by tide (c) in Exp 2, and differences of total bottom shear stress (d),
bottom shear stress induced by wave (e) and bottom shear stress induced by tide (f) of Exp 0
(control run) – Exp 2 (without wave) during spring tide in December 2012.

The SSCs are low, resulting in the weak sediment transport along the Yellow River Delta. The sediments from the Yellow River mouth transport downstream into Laizhou Bay with largest fluxes of 0.05 kg/s in the surface layer and 0.02 kg/s in the bottom layer. In the south of Bohai Bay, the sediment fluxes are westward with largest values of 0.06 kg/s in the surface layer and 0.03 kg/s in the bottom layer. Unlike Exp 603 1, the sediment fluxes in the surface layer are larger than in the bottom layer. Because
604 the surface SSCs are similar to the bottom SSCs and the surface water transport is
605 stronger than the bottom layer.

606 Without tidal forcing, the sediment transport of Exp 1 is weaker than Exp 0 with 607 tidal forcing. The sediment transport converges in the Yellow River mouth due to the 608 river sediment deposition. A part of river sediment transports downstream and settles 609 in the north of Laizhou Bay, forming a divergence area in the south side of river 610 mouth and a convergence area in Laizhou Bay (Figure 17a). The downstream 611 sediment transport weakened and the upstream sediment transport strengthened 612 without tidal forcing compared with Exp 0. Therefore, the sediment converges in the 613 north side of the river mouth with fluxes of 0.1 g/m<sup>2</sup>/s. In the south of Bohai Bay, the 614 coastal sediments transport seaward and the marine sediments transport landward. As 615 a result, the sediment transport shows a divergence-convergence-divergence pattern with fluxes of  $0 \sim 0.05$  g/m<sup>2</sup>/s from the coast to the sea. When the tidal forcing is 616 617 considered and wave forcing is excluded, the bottom shear stress decreases a lot and 618 less sediment suspends. As a result, the sediment transport weakens, except for the 619 river sediment deposition in the Yellow River mouth (Figure 17b). In other areas 620 along the Yellow River Delta, the sediment fluxes are lower than  $0.06 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{s}$ .



Figure 17. Distributions of sediment convergence and divergence during spring tide in December
2012 in Exp 1 (a) and Exp 2 (b) (positive value and red color indicate convergence, negative value
and blue color indicate divergence).

625 The wave induces a downstream transport of surface water within 5 km away 626 from the coast and an upstream transport between  $5 \sim 10$  km across Sec 1 (Figure 627 18b). Without wave forcing, the water column is well-mixed in the near-shore region 628 and stratified in the offshore area. The salinity within 8 km away from the coast is 629 lower than Exp 0 with wave forcing, meaning that the surface fresh water is mixed 630 with the bottom saline water due to the wave mixing. The water column of Sec 1 in 631 Exp 2 is vertically more mixed than Exp 1, indicating that tidal mixing is stronger 632 than wave mixing (Figure 18c). Due to the weak bottom shear stress in the absence of 633 wave forcing, the SSCs in Sec 1 decrease about 5 kg/m<sup>3</sup> in the nearshore bottom. The maximum residual SSC in Sec 1 is higher than  $0.5 \text{ kg/m}^3$  lower than  $1 \text{ kg/m}^3$  between 634 635  $2 \sim 7$  km away from the coast in the bottom layer (Figure 18e).



Figure 18. Vertical profile distributions of residual velocity (a), salinity (c) and SSC (e) in Exp 2,
and differences of residual velocity (b), salinity (d) and SSC (f) of Exp 0 (control run) – Exp 2
(without wave) along Sec 1 during spring tide in December 2012 (arrows in (a, b) signify current
vectors along section, and the contours signify current velocities perpendicular to the section
(positive values indicate downstream current)).

The water, salt and sediment fluxes across Sec 1 in Exp 0, Exp 1 and Exp 2 are mainly in the downstream direction, especially in the beginning and ending of December 2012 (Figure 19). The water and salt transports of with and without wave are similar. However, the tidal forcing plays an important role in changing the water and salt fluxes. In Exp 1, the water and salt fluxes across Sec 1 enlarge due to the stratification in the water column without tidal mixing. During spring tide, the net

water fluxes in the upstream direction increase from  $1.3 \times 10^8$  m<sup>3</sup> to  $1.9 \times 10^9$  m<sup>3</sup>, 648 while the net salt fluxes increase from  $5.0 \times 10^6$  kg to  $5.4 \times 10^7$  kg, both rise one order 649 650 of magnitude when removing tidal forcing from the experiment (Table 3). On the 651 other hand, the wave forcing strengthens the net upstream transport of water and salt 652 during spring tide. Without tidal forcing, the decreased bottom shear stress resuspends 653 less sediment, resulting in weaker sediment transport across Sec 1. The net sediment flux across Sec 1 in Exp 0 during spring tide is  $1.3 \times 10^9$  kg in the downstream 654 direction. Without tidal forcing, the sediment flux decreases to  $1.9 \times 10^8$  kg and turns 655 to upstream direction. Without wave forcing, the sediment transport is weaker than 656 657 without tidal forcing due to the lower bottom shear stress. Compared with Exp 0, the 658 net sediment flux across Sec 1 in Exp 2 is in the same downstream direction and reduces to  $3.7 \times 10^8$  kg during spring tide . 659



660

Figure 19. Temporal variations in water flux (a), salt flux (b) and sediment flux (c) across Sec 1 in December 2012 in Exp 0 (red line), Exp 1 (black line) and Exp 2 (blue line); (positive values indicate downstream transport; the left shadow indicates duration of spring tide, and the right shadow indicates duration of neap tide).

665

**Table 3.** The net water flux (m<sup>3</sup>), net salt flux (kg) and net sediment flux (kg) across Sec 1 during

667	spring tide in December	2012 in experiments	(positive values indicate downs	tream transport)
-----	-------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------	------------------

	Exp 0	Exp 1	Exp 2
Net water flux (m <sup>3</sup> )	$-1.3 \times 10^{8}$	$-1.9 \times 10^{9}$	$7.2 \times 10^{7}$
Net salt flux (kg)	$-5.0 \times 10^{6}$	$-5.4 \times 10^{7}$	$-4.8 \times 10^{5}$
Net sediment flux (kg)	$1.3 \times 10^{9}$	$-1.9 \times 10^{8}$	$3.7 \times 10^{8}$

### 668 **5.** Conclusions

In this paper, the water and sediment transports from the Yellow River mouth are studied and the effects of tide and wave are discussed using a 3-D numerical model coupled with hydrodynamic and sediment module. The critical shear stress for erosion is calculated by the equation of Dou and later calibrated using measured and remote sensing retrieval SSC data. The model is validated with current, salinity, measured and remote sensing retrieval SSC data. The wave parameters simulated by SWAN model are validated with measured significant wave height and period data.

The water and sediment transports under the northeasterly prevailing wind in the Bohai Sea during spring tide in December 2012 are simulated. The fresh water off the Yellow River flows downstream, carrying the river sediment and suspended sediment into the Laizhou Bay. The bottom shear stress induced by wave is higher in the shallow water along the coast of Yellow River Delta. The bottom shear stress induced by tide is higher in the north of Laizhou Bay and south of Bohai Bay due to the larger water velocities.

Tidal forcing induces more fresh water transport downstream along the coast of Yellow River Delta. Without tidal forcing, the bottom shear stress is weak, resulting in less sediment resuspension in the bottom. The stratification in the water column hinders the upward diffusion of bottom suspended sediment due to the lack of tidal mixing. The wave forcing has little impact on the water transport. However, without wave forcing, the bottom shear stress decreases a lot, causing less suspended sediment along the Yellow River Delta, which also weakens the sediment transport. The wave mixing is weaker than tidal mixing in the vertical direction. This paper explains the
effects of tide and wave on the transport of water and sediments off the Yellow River
mouth in winter.

693

#### 694 **Declaration of competing interest**

695The authors declare no competing interests.

696

#### 697 Acknowledgments

698 This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development 699 Program of China (No. 2017YFC0405503), and the National Natural Science 700 Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. U1706214). We also acknowledge the anonymous 701 reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions. The sea surface wind data 702 obtained from ECMWF are available at http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/. Open ocean 703 provided boundary water flux. salinity data are by SODA at 704 http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/.CARTON-GIESE/.SODA/.v2p0p2-4/. 705 Tidal constituents are obtained from the NaoTide data set (http://www.miz.nao.ac.jp/). 706 The topographic data of the Bohai Sea are observational data from the Yellow River 707 Water Commission. The remote sensing images of Landsat 8 OLI are downloaded 708 from USGS (http://glovis.usgs.gov/). 709

## 710 **References**

711	Arakawa, A., and V. R. Lamb (1977), Computational design of the basic dynamical
712	processes of the UCLA general circulation model, General Circulation Models of
713	the Atmosphere, 17, 173-265.
714	Bian, C., W. Jiang, and R. J. Greatbatch (2013), An exploratory model study of
715	sediment transport sources and deposits in the Bohai Sea, Yellow Sea, and East
716	China Sea, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 118, 5908–5923.
717	Blumberg, A. F. (1994), A primer for ECOM-si, Technical Report of HydroQual,
718	Mahwah, New Jersey, 66.
719	Blumberg, A. F., and G. L. Mellor (1987), A description of a threedimensional coastal
720	ocean circulation model, In: Heaps, N.S. (ed.), Coastal and Estuarine Science,
721	Volume 4, Three-Dimensional Coastal Ocean Models. Washington, DC:
722	American Geophysical Union, 1-16.
723	Brand, A., J. R. Lacy, K. Hsu, D. Hoover, S. Gladding, and M. T. Stacey (2010),
724	Wind-enhanced resuspension in the shallow waters of South San Francisco Bay:
725	Mechanisms and potential implications for cohesive sediment transport, Journal
726	of Geophysical Research, 115, C11024.

- Cao, Z., and Y. Wang (1994), *Hydrodynamic and sediment transport numerical simulation (in Chinese)*, Tianjin University Press, Tianjin, China.
- Chapman, D. C., and S. J. Lentz (1994), Trapping of a coastal density front by the
  bottom boundary layer, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *24*(7), 1464-1479.
- 731 Chen, C., J. Zhu, L. Zheng, E. Ralph, and J. W. Budd (2004), A Non-orthogonal

732	Primitive	Equation	Coastal	Ocean	Circulation	Model:	Application	to	Lake
733	Superior, .	Journal of	Great La	ikes Res	earch, 30(suj	op-S1), 4	1-54.		

- 734 Chen, S. (2001), Seasonal, neap-spring variation of sediment concentration in the
- 735 joint area between Yangtze Estuary and Hangzhou Bay, Science in China Series
- 736 *B: Chemistry*, 44, 57-62.
- 737 Cheng, X., J. Zhu, and S. Chen (2021a), Dynamics of the extension of the Yellow
- River plume in the Bohai Sea, *Continental Shelf Research*, 222(9), 104438.
- 739 Cheng, X., J. Zhu, and S. Chen (2021b), Extensions of the river plume under various
- Yellow River courses into the Bohai Sea at different times, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *249*(107092).
- Cui, B. L., and X.-Y. Li (2011), Coastline change of the Yellow River estuary and its
  response to the sediment and runoff (1976–2005), *127*(1-2), 0-40.
- 744 Dou, G. (1999), Incipient Motion of Coarse and Fine Sediment (in Chinese), Journal
- 745 of Sediment Research, 6, 1-9.
- 746 Doxaran, D., J. M. Froidefond, and P. Castaing (2002), A reflectance band ratio used
- to estimate suspended matter concentrations in sediment-dominated coastal
  waters, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(23), 5079–5085.
- Fan, H., and H. Huang (2005), Changes in Huanghe (Yellow) River estuary since
  artificial re-routing in 1996, *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology*,
  23(3), 299-305.
- 752 Fan, H., H. Huang, T. Q. Zeng, and K. Wang (2006), River mouth bar formation,
- riverbed aggradation and channel migration in the modern Huanghe (Yellow)

- River delta, China, *Geomorphology*, 74, 124–136.
- 755 Fettweis, M., M. Sas, and J. Monbaliu (1998), Seasonal, Neap-spring and Tidal
- 756 Variation of Cohesive Sediment Concentration in the Scheldt Estuary, Belgium,
- *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 47, 21-36.*
- 758 Geyer, W. R. (1993), The importance of suppression of turbulence by stra tification on
- the estuarine turbidity maximum, *Estuaries*, 16, 113 125.
- Han, Q. (1997), The distribution and application of sediment dry density (in Chinese),
- *Journal of Sediment Research*, *2*, 10-16.
- 762 Huang, S., N. Han, and X. Zhong (1980), Analysis of siltation at mouth bar of the
- 763 Yangtze River estuary, Proceedings of the International Symposium on River
- 764 Sedimentation, Paper C6, Chinese Society of Hydraulic Engineering, Beijing,
  765 China.
- 766 Kong, D., C. Miao, A. G. L. Borthwick, Q. Duan, H. Liu, Q. Sun, A. Ye, Z. Di, and W.
- Gong (2015), Evolution of the Yellow River Delta and its relationship with
  runoff and sediment load from 1983 to 2011, *Journal of Hydrology*, *520*,
  157-167.
- Li, G., Z. Tang, S. Yue, K. Zhuang, and H. Wei (2001), Sedimentation in the shear
  front off the Yellow River mouth, *Continental Shelf Research*, *21*, 607-625.
- Li, G., H. Wei, Y. Han, and Y. Chen (1998a), Sedimentation in the Yellow River delta,
- part I: flow and suspended sediment structure in the upper distributary and the
  estuary, *Marine Geology*, *149*, 93-111.
- Li, G., H. Wei, S. Yue, Y. Cheng, and Y. Han (1998b), Sedimentation in the Yellow

- River delta, part II: suspended sediment dispersal and deposition on the
  subaqueous delta, *Marine Geology*, *149*, 113-131.
- Liang, B., H. Li, and D. Lee (2008), Bottom shear stress under wave-current
  interaction, *Journal of Hydrodynamics*, 20(1), 88-95.
- Lin, J., and A. Y. Kuo (2001), Secondary turbidity maximum in a partially mixed
  microtidal estuary, *Estuaries*, 24, 707 720.
- 782 Liu, G., and S. Cai (2019), Modeling of suspended sediment by coupled wave-current
- model in the Zhujiang (Pearl) River Estuary, *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*, 38(7),
  22-35.
- Liu, Y., P. Maccready, B. M. Hickey, E. P. Dever, and N. S. Banas (2009), Evaluation
  of a coastal ocean circulation model for the Columbia River plume in summer
  2004, *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*.
- 788 Long, C. M., and T. M. Pavelsky (2013), Remote sensing of suspended sediment
- 789 concentration and hydrologic connectivity in a complex wetland environment,
  790 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *129*, 197–209.
- 791 Luettich, R. A. J., D. R. F. Harleman, and L. Somlyódy (1990), Dynamic behavior of
- suspended sediment concentrations in a shallow lake perturbed by episodic wind
  events, *Limnology and Oceanography*, *35*(5), 1050-1067.
- Lv, X., D. Yuan, X. Ma, and J. Tao (2014), Wave characteristics analysis in Bohai Sea
  based on ECMWF wind field, *Ocean Engineering*, *91*, 159-171.
- 796 Maréchal, D. (2004), A soil-based approach to rainfall-runoff modeling in ungauged
- 797 catchments for England and Wales (PhD thesis), Cranfield, UK: Cranfield

798 University.

799 Martin, J. M., J. Zhang, M. C. Shi, and Q. Zhou (1993), Actual flux of the Huanghe

(Yellow River) sediment to the western Pacific Ocean, Journal of Sea Research,

- 801 31(93), 243-254.
- 802 Mehta, A. J., and W. H. McAnally (2008), Fine grained sediment transport, 803 Sedimentation Engineering: Processes, Management, Modeling, and Practice, 804 253-307.
- 805 Mellor, G. L., and T. Yamada (1974), A Hierarchy of Turbulence Closure Models for
- Planetary Boundary Layers, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 31(7), 806 807 1791-1806.
- 808 Mellor, G. L., and T. Yamada (1982), Development of a turbulence closure model for 809 geophysical fluid problems, Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics, 20(4), 810 851-875.
- 811 Murphy, A. H. (1988), Skill Scores Based on the Mean Square Error and Their 812 Relationships to the Correlation Coefficient, 116(12), 990-991.
- 813 Pang, J. Z., and S. H. Si (1979), Evolution of the Yellow River mouth: I. Historical 814 shifts, Oceanologia Et Limnologia Sinica, 10(2), 136–141.
- 815 Qin, Y. S., and F. Li (1983), Study of influence of sediment loads discharged from
- 816 Huanghe River on sedimentation in Bohai Sea and Huanghai Sea., paper
- 817 presented at International Symposium on Sedimentation on the Continental Shelf,
- 818 with Special Reference to East China Sea, Hangzhou, China.
- 819 Ralston, D. K., W. R. Geyer, and J. A. Lerczak (2010), Structure, variability, and salt

800

- 820 flux in a strongly forced salt wedge estuary, *Journal of Geophysical Research*821 *Atmospheres*, 115(C6).
- Sanford, L. P. (1994), Wave-forced resuspension of upper Chesapeake Bay muds, *Estuaries Coasts*, 17(1), 148-165.
- 824 Scully, M. E., and C. T. Friedrichs (2003), The influence of asymmetries in overlying
- stratification on near-bed turbulence and sediment suspension in a
  partially-mixed estuary, *Ocean Dynamics*, 53, 208 218.
- 827 Scully, M. E., and C. T. Friedrichs (2007), Sediment pumping by tidal asymmetry in a
- partially mixed estuary, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *112*, C07028.
- 829 Signell, R. P., R. C. Beardsley, H. C. Graber, and A. Capotondi (1990), Effect of
- wave-current interaction on wind-driven circulation in narrow, shallow
  embayments, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *95*, 9671-9678.
- 832 Simpson, J. H., J. Brown, J. Matthews, and G. Allen (1990), Tidal straining, density
- 833 currents, and stirring in the control of estuarine stratification, *Estuaries*, 13,
  834 125-132.
- 835 Smagorinsky, J. (1963), General circulation experiments with the primitive equations:
- 836 I. The basic experiment, *Monthly Weather Review*, 91(3), 99-164.
- Sun, X. (2013), The impact of wave on the sediment erosion and deposition near the
  Yellow River mouth, Ocean University of China, Qingdao.
- 839 Traykovski, P., W. R. Geyer, and C. Sommerfield (2004), Rapid sediment deposition
- and fine-scale strata formation in the Hudson estuary, Journal of Geophysical
- 841 *Research*, *109*, F02004.

842	van Leussen, W. (1988), Aggregation of particles, settling velocity of mud flocs: A
843	review, Physical Processes in Estuaries, edited by J. Dronkers and W. van
844	Leussen, 347–403.
845	Wang, H., Z. Yang, Y. Li, Z. Guo, X. Sun, and Y. Wang (2007), Dispersal pattern of

- 846 suspended sediment in the shear frontal zone off the Huanghe (Yellow River)847 mouth, *Continental shelf research*, 27, 854-871.
- Wang, N. (2014), Sedimentary dynamics process and topographic evolution in the
  modern Yellow River Mouth, Ocean University of China, Shandong.
- Wolanski, E., B. A. King, and D. Galloway (1995), Dynamics of the turbidity
  maximum in the Fly River estuary, Papua New Guinea, *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 40(3), 321-337.
- 853 Wu, H., and J. Zhu (2010), Advection scheme with 3rd high-order spatial
- 854 interpolation at the middle temporal level and its application to saltwater
  855 intrusion in the Changjiang Estuary, *Ocean Modelling*, *33*(1-2), 33-51.
- 856 Wu, T., and H. Wu (2018), Tidal Mixing Sustains a Bottom-Trapped River Plume and
- Buoyant Coastal Current on an Energetic Continental Shelf, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *123*(11), 8026-8051.
- Yu, Y., H. Wang, X. Shi, X. Ran, T. Cui, S. Qiao, and Y. Liu (2013), New discharge
  regime of the Huanghe (Yellow River): Causes and implications, *Continental Shelf Research*, 69, 62-72.
- 862 Zhan, C., J. Yu, Q. Wang, Y. Li, D. Zhou, Q. Xing, and X. Chu (2017), Remote
- 863 Sensing Retrieval of Surface Suspended Sediment Concentration in the Yellow

- River Estuary, *Chinese Geographical Science*, 27(6), 934-947.
- 865 Zhu, J. (2003), Ocean numerical calculation method and numerical model, China
- 866 *Ocean Press, Beijing*, (in Chinese with English Abstract).
- 867