Excel-based chemotherapy templates, follow-up and ordering process: safe, fast, time-saving, guiding, remove hesitation

Ali Aycicek¹

¹Harran University Medical Faculty

April 16, 2024

Abstract

In children, all medications and fluids are calculated by body weight or surface area, mostly by mobile phones, written on paper or a pre-filled form, or entered into the hospital information system. The complexity and risks of chemotherapy forces healthcare professionals to follow standard practices. In medical procedures, Microsoft Excel is generally used for data collection and statistical calculation. In this article, we aimed to introduce the use of Excel to facilitate cancer treatment and followup procedures, save on time, increase consistency, minimize errors, and eliminate hesitations and contribute to the scientific community.

Introduction

While many drugs are given in standard doses in adults, individual dosing of anticancer drugs according to the body surface is an important part of personalized treatment. In children, all drugs are given according to either body weight or body surface area. When calculating individual drug doses, the result, which are usually referenced to the calculator on mobile phones, are written either on paper or a prefilled form or entered a hospital information system. Doses are computed based on body weight or other factors and are usually adapted to the body surface area or blood cell levels of patients. It is inevitable that chemotherapy is delayed or coincides with the night and public holidays. Furthermore, chemotherapy is a treatment in which more than one drug is given on the same day for a certain period and duration and in a certain order. The complexity and risks of chemotherapy can force healthcare professionals to follow standard practices.

Although standardized chemotherapy protocols are a well-known method of treatment, chemotherapy errors potentially pose a risk of serious harm to patients. Possible errors include underdosing and overdosing, timing and scheduling errors, administering incorrect drugs or pre- and post-hydration, preparing drugs incorrectly, and giving chemotherapy to the wrong patient . These errors are also widespread in the current system of electronic prescribing, although technology has introduced kinds of error different from those found in traditional preprinted or handwritten orders . Most chemotherapy order errors in a computerized physician order entry have been reported to be caused by a programming error in which incorrect chemotherapy orders were included in the standardized protocols.

In medical procedures, Microsoft Excel is generally used for data collection and statistical calculations. In this article, to introduce the use of Excel to facilitate cancer treatment and follow-up procedures, save time, increase consistency, minimize errors, and eliminate hesitations and contribute to the scientific community.

Beginning and progression

Chemotherapy templates were first prepared with pre-printed Microsoft Word. In 2009, existing patterns were transferred to Excel files and calculations based on according to the body surface or body weight were made quickly and reliably by software and over time were rearranged according to new needs. It was moved

to the next stage with patient-specific Excel using international drug abbreviations, maximum dose, cell and sheet protection, placing warning notes where necessary. Also, standard treatment patterns were created by saving the calculated drug doses to cover more than one day, including the application method, duration, order, and the supplement fluids to be given as A4 paper size pdf output.

The accuracy of the doses calculated with the drug doses on the chemotherapy follow-up pages was entered as 27.7 kg body weight, the body surface was calculated by Excel as 1 m^2 based on this weight, and the accuracy of the patient-specific doses was ensured with this method. By keeping patient-specific Excel files on the hospital server and computer network, easily access from multiple points. Templates for acute lymphoblastic leukemia are presented below (Figure 1-3). Similar designs were created for other cancer types used in the clinic.

Discussion

Electronic chemotherapy ordering ensures accurately spelled and legible medications, correct time, proper drug order, infusion rate and duration, dose, appropriate fluids or diluents, and nursing instructions. For all these, it is obvious that a standardized, fast, safe, and sustainable system should be established from the doctor who wrote the drugs to the pharmacist to the nurse who applied it.

It is recommended that drug prescribing, preparation, distribution, and administration be standardized as far as possible. In addition, patient care facilities should develop and use standardized preprinted drug order forms or forms that can be retrieved from a computerized database to request chemotherapy treatments used and treatment-related services. Well-designed, standardized, regimen-specific drug order forms organize treatment information in a clear, consistent, and uniform format, reducing potential errors. The created Excel sheets provide a well-designed standard, specific order treatment form with annotations in pop-up windows when the mouse is passed over, while increasing safety to a much higher level by calculating the drug doses individually for each patient.

Aware of the chemotherapy order complexity and sequencing of risk, healthcare professionals, among other aspects of chemotherapy practice, have set standards for chemotherapy ordering. Although there is a decrease in chemotherapy order errors with the computerized physician order entry, dose calculations must be performed externally for these entries, or the computer software must be adapted to these calculations. In the first, mistakes are inevitable and, in the second, an enormous technical team and support are required. There are very few chemotherapy centers in the world where they are found together. On the other hand, preprinted order sets still require doctors to complete the specification of the order and perform all calculations. The checking of these means an additional effort and loss of time by both the writer and the supervisor. These aspects continue to generate both problems (12.6%) and errors (2.2%).

From the planning of the treatment of patients to the continuation of all stages of the treatment with confidence, to adding important notes and adding pictures, to the post-treatment follow-up, without the need for paper files, which saves the troubles experienced due to the falling of the papers, their loss, bringing the file back and forth, if desired, each page can be printed on A4 size paper. We think that it is a candidate to be a contemporary hematology oncology treatment and follow-up program with documentable and instant access to information.

Weaknesses:

Cluttered look for first users, inadvertent deletions or change of records later in areas without cell protection, incorrect or other patient's data entry, overlooked formula errors, deletion of files on the server, and the need to re-enter patient data in order for updates to become active. Also, the requirement of Microsoft Excel on all computers.

In order for the scientific community to reach better ones, we are sending the Excel templates we have free of charge to those who want it.

Conclusion: We can say that Excel-based chemotherapy templates are contemporary, safe, fast, time-saving,

guiding, and candidates for eliminating hesitation in the follow-up and ordering process.

Acknowledgements: I would like to express my gratitude to all the Pediatric Hematology Oncologists, fellows, and nurses working in our clinics who contributed to the improvement of these Excel-based templates.

References

1. Kraff S, Lindauer A, Joerger M, Salamone SJ, Jaehde U. Excel-Based Tool for Pharmacokinetically Guided Dose Adjustment of Paclitaxel. Ther Drug Monit. 2015;37:725-32.

2. Cheng CH, Chou CJ, Wang PC, Lin HY, Kao CL, Su CT. Applying HFMEA to prevent chemotherapy errors. J Med Syst. 2012;36:1543-51.

3. Weingart SN, Zhang L, Sweeney M, Hassett M. Chemotherapy medication errors. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19:e191-e199.

4. Stewart DA, Boudreault JS, Maturi B, Boras D, Foley R. Evaluation of subcutaneous rituximab administration on Canadian systemic therapy suites. Curr Oncol. 2018;25:300-306.

5. Meisenberg BR, Wright RR, Brady-Copertino CJ. Reduction in chemotherapy order errors with computerized physician order entry. J Oncol Pract. 2014;10:e5-9.

6. Goldspiel B, Hoffman JM, Griffith NL, Goodin S, DeChristoforo R, Montello CM, Chase JL, Bartel S, Patel JT. ASHP guidelines on preventing medication errors with chemotherapy and biotherapy. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 2015;72:e6-e35.

Figure 1. The 'Treatment Plan' page for a patient being treated for acute lymphoblastic leukemia.

Figure 2. 'High risk 1' block treatment template.

Figure 3. 'High risk 1' block drug order page as a PDF file.



/9.1		ALL IC 09 Pilot					3,3 yr Diagnosis: Standard				C	ay 8.	Star	dard	risk	18,0 kg Day15. Medium risk	0,73 m² Final: High r
ALL IC BFM 09 HR1-I Block								1	2	3	4	5	6	7		To get started with HR1: I. Good general condition	
Concolidation Therapy: all HR								2021							later	II. No sever infection III. Creatinine normal limits	
008	Drug	Dose	metric	Method of application		Dose	të mg	17.08.7	x DEX	X DEX	EX DEX				14 days	IV. ALT/AST ≤ 5 ×N (<200 IU/L) V. Billrubin ≤ 3 ×N (<3 mg/dL) VI. aPTT ≤ 1.6 ×N	
EX	Dexamethasone	20,0	mg/m2/day	Per-oral, in 3 dose, PPI + milk / dairy products. 3,7 4mgtb	/day	14,6		DEX				DEX				VII. AT3 ≥ x0.75 by age. VIII. Neu ≥ 0.2; plt ≥ 50	
CR	Vincristine	1,5	mg/m2/day	10 mL NaCl 0.9 % slowly i.v then 10 mL %0.9 NaCl.	ι.	1,1	mg	VCR					VCR			IX. Neu and plt should tend to increase. X. Pulse ile O2 saturation ≥ %94	
тх	Methotrexate	5.000	mg/m2/day	50 mL NaCl 0.9% 1/10 0,5 9/10 23.5 h in hidr. sol I.V. inf.	h;	3.657	mg	мтх									
NS	965D 960.45 NaCl	3.000	mL/m2/day	Her 1.000 mL içine 60 mL NaCHO3+ KCl 24 sa I.V. inf.	30 mL	2.194	mL	DNS	DNS	DNS	DNS	DNS				 It should be 12 hours between VCR and ASP (ASP after Peg-ASP 2.500 U/m2, 1 hf IV single dose, 	ASP after 12 hou
cv	Calsium Folinate	15	mg/m2/dose	i.v. at 42 h, 48 h, 54 h.		11	mg		LCW	LCW						Erwinia ASP 10.000 U/m2 IM every other day 3	dose.
РМ	Cyclophosphamide	200,0	mg/m2/dose	50 mL NaCl 0.9% p.i (1 h). 1. dose on day 2 (21:00) (protect l	ight)	146	mg		СРМ	СРМ СРМ	CPM CPM						
IES	Mesna	200,0	mg/m2/dose	in CPM fluid.		146	mg		MES	MES MES	MES MES						
RC	Cytarabine	2.000	mg/m2/dose	250 mL G 5% p.i (3 h) 2 doses 12 h apat (9:00 + 20:00)	1.463	mg					ARC ARC					
SP	L-Asparaginase	25.000	IU/m2/day	250 mL NaCl 0.9% p.i (2 h) or i.m.		18.287	IJ						ASP*				
LG	Filgrastim	5	µg/kg/ day	until ANC > 5.000/mm3.		90	μg							FLG	FLG		
ИР	Trimetoprim- Sulphametaxazole	5	mg/kg/day	in 2 doses, 3 day a wk, every w	k.	90	mg					тмр	TMP	TMP			
ND	Ondansetron	0,15	mg/kg/dose	1/2-1 h before first dose KT, I.v. necessary 3 doses.	if	2,7	mg	OND	OND	OND	OND	OND					
AN	Pantoprazol	0,4	mg/kg/day	10 mL NaCl 0.9% 2 min i.v. or per-oral, a stomach.	empty	7	mg	PAN	PAN	PAN	PAN	PAN				_	
π	Dekamethasone 4 mg	; Meto	trexate 12	mg; Cytarabine 30 mg; NaCl 0.9%	, total	12	mL	π								HDT for 2 hours after intrathecal (IT), without	pillow,
	Bone marrow asp.	IA	→IB→HR1→	HR2→HR3→(BMT)→ HR1 →HR2→H	R3→II→	Maint.		BM								The patient should lie on a 6% inclined bed, he	ad down tilt (HD1
haly	ses:							0,1					0,3				

Health Sciences University Basaksehir Cam and Sakura City Hospital

Pediatric Hematology Oncology Clinics

V9.1	ALL IC BI	FM 09 HR1-I Block		Dru	g Orde	r		High risk					
		ALL IC 09 Pilot	e: 3,3	MTX yr	start date: 0	1.04.20	021 h:	13:00	15:00	-	18,0 kg	0,7	3 m2
0	1.04.2021		General condition and vital signs follow-up x 4; oral care x 3 (bicarbonate + mycostatin)										
Time	Hour	Dexamethason 3 x	4,9	mg	Per-oral, in 3	dose, I	PPI + milk /	dairy p	roduct.				
		Pantoprazol 🚬 2 x	4	mg	10 mL NaCl 0).9% 2 r	nin i.v. or p	per-oral,	empty	stom	ach.		
-4	09:00	%5D %0.45 NaCl	366	mL	NaCHO3	29	mL + KCl	7 n	nL :	107	mL/h, 4 h pi	re-MTX hidration	
-1	12:00	Ondansetron	2,7	mg	1/2-1 h befo	re first o	lose KT, I.v	. if nece	ssary 3 i	doses			
-1	12:00	Vincristine	1,1	mg	NaCl	10	mL slow i.	v. then	10 mL %	60.9 N	IaCl.		1
-1	12:00	Distilated water	36	mL	NaCHO3	36	mL	72 n	nL/h, 1 ł	h p.i (i	furine pH <	6.5).	
0	13:00	Methotrexate	366	mg	NaCl	50	mL	116 n	nL/h 1/2	2 h 1/:	LO loading d	dose p.i (30 min).	1
+0,5	13:30	Methotrexate	3.292	mg	in hydration	fluid.							
+0,5	13:30	%5D %0.45 NaCl	2.194	mL	NaCHO3	132	mL + KCl	66 n	nL :	108	mL/h 23,5 h	n hydration fluid p	.i. 2,3,
+2	15:00	Sitarabin (Arc)	30	mg	Intrathec Arc	:+Mtx·	+ Dex + Na	CI 0.9%	total 1	.2 ml	. prepared i	n a single injector	
+2	15:00	Methotrexate (Mtx)	12	mg	Intrathecal								
+2	15:00	Dexamethazone (De>	4	mg	Intrathecal								
0	2.04.2021	Dexamethason⊧ 3 x	4,9	mg	General cond Per-oral, in 3	dition ai 8 dose, I	nd vital sig PPI + milk /	ns follov ′ dairy pi	v-up x 4 roduct.	; oral	care x 3 (bio	carbonate + mycc	statin)
		Pantoprazol 2 x	4	mg	10 mL NaCl 0	0.9% 2 r	nin i.v. or p	per-oral,	empty	stom	ach.		
+24	15:00	%5D %0.45 NaCl	2.194	mL	NaCHO3	132	mL + KCl	66 n	nL :	104	mL/h 24 p.i.		5
+30	22:00	Ondansetron	2,7	mg	1/2-1 h befo	re first o	lose KT, I.v	. if nece	ssary 3 i	doses			
+30	22:00	Cyclophospham 2 x	146	mg	%0.9 NaCl	50	mL	83	mL/h,	p.i (1	h), protect f	from light.	
+30	22:00	Mesna	146	mg	in CPM fluid.								
					Warning to t	he phar	macist: Pre	epare th	e cyclop	hosp	namide at 1	0:00 of the next (day!