## Still no clarity on parity: A survey accessing interpretation of the word parity amongst obstetricians and midwives and a literature review.

Hemant Maraj<sup>1</sup> and Sujatha Kumari<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wrexham Maelor Hospital

January 30, 2024

## Abstract

Objectives: We assessed clinical interpretation of the word parity amongst obstetricians and midwives in Wales and performed a literature review to identify a standardised definition. Design: A survey was conducted by electronic questionnaire followed by a literature review using dictionaries, standard texts, professional bodies and websites. Setting: Obstetricians across Wales and midwives across North Wales. References were obtained for the UK, USA, India, Malaysia and West Indies. Methods: The questionnaire was distributed to obstetricians using the Wales Information System. Midwives were surveyed using a health board wide distribution list. Results: There were 143 responses from 63 doctors and 80 midwives. 5% of doctors and 49% of midwives did not include stillbirths after 24 completed weeks in their definition of parity. 84% of all surveyed described having a previous twin delivery as Para 2. 23 references were obtained for a definition of parity. Parity was variability defined as the number of conceptions, pregnancies, births, and babies. Only 12 sources offered a definition in reference to multiple pregnancy. Of these, 8 sources defined multiple births as a single parous event. Conclusions: There are variations in definitions for the term parity from referenced sources and variation in understanding amongst staff surveyed. We recommend UK professional bodies take into consideration the findings of this study and provide a standard consensus definition of parity.

## Hosted file

BJOG No Clarity on Parity Manuscript.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/468754/ articles/709022-still-no-clarity-on-parity-a-survey-accessing-interpretation-of-theword-parity-amongst-obstetricians-and-midwives-and-a-literature-review