# A new perspective on variation of voriconazole steady-state valley concentration in Chinese population: CYP2C19 DNA methylation

Xu Hao<sup>1</sup>, Yinyu Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Boyu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Lei Hu<sup>2</sup>, Fang Liu<sup>2</sup>, Nan Guo<sup>2</sup>, Xiaoyan Nie<sup>2</sup>, Feng Yu<sup>2</sup>, Lin Huang<sup>1</sup>, and Yufei Feng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Peking University People's Hospital <sup>2</sup>Affiliation not available

November 20, 2023

#### Abstract

Background: Voriconazoleis(VRC) often used in complex therapeutic environments for the treatment and prevention of invasive fungal infections. The steady-state valley concentration (Cminss) of VRC not only varies between individuals, but also within individuals, which is difficult to fully explain by pharmacogenomic theory. It is necessary to propose a new perspective to explain the variation of voriconazole steady-state valley concentration. Objectives: Based on the regulation of ADME gene expression by DNA methylation, this study aimed to explore the effect of CYP2C19 DNA methylation level on the VRC Cminss. Methods: In this study, 116 concentration points were divided into low concentration group (Cminss<1.0mg/L), standard concentration group (Cminss = 1.0-5.5mg/L) and high concentration group (Cminss>5.5mg/L) according to Voriconazole Cmin standard range of 1.0-5.5 mg/L. The effect of CYP2C19 DNA methylation level was different between low concentration group and standard concentration group (p=0.047). There was no difference in the CYP2C19 DNA methylation between the high concentration group and the standard concentration group, but there were significant differences in CRP (p<0.001), Alb (p=0.007) and T-BIL (p=0.024) between the high concentration group may be related to the methylation degree of CYP2C19 CpG25 site, while the VRC Cminss in the high concentration group may be unrelated to the methylation degree of CYP2C19 but related to the levels of CRP, Alb and T-BIL.

# A new perspective on variation of voriconazole steady-state valley concentration in Chinese population: *CYP2C19* DNA methylation

# A new perspective on variation of VRC C<sub>min</sub>ss: CYP2C19 DNA methylation

Xu Hao<sup>a,b</sup>, Yinyu Zhao<sup>a, c</sup>, Boyu Liu<sup>a</sup>, Lei Hu<sup>a</sup>, Fang Liu<sup>e</sup>, Nan Guo<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoyan Nie<sup>c</sup>, Feng Yu<sup>d</sup>, Lin Huang<sup>a#</sup>, Yufei Feng<sup>a#</sup>.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmacy, the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

<sup>c</sup>Department of Pharmacy Administration and Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China

<sup>d</sup>School of Basic Medicine and Clinical Pharmacy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, China

<sup>e</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics, School of Chinese Pharmacy, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, 102488, China

## <sup>#</sup> Correspondence:

Lin Huang, PhD. Department of Pharmacy, Peking University People's Hospital, No.11 Xizhimen South Street, Xicheng District, Beijing 100044, China.

Email: huanglin@pkuph.edu.cn.

Tel: +86 (010) 88325750

Yufei Feng, PhD. Department of Pharmacy, Peking University People's Hospital, No.11 Xizhimen South Street, Xicheng District, Beijing 100044, China.

Email: fenyufei@126.com

The authors confirm that the Principal Investigator for this paper is Lin Huang and that she had direct clinical responsibility for patients.

#### Data availability statement

The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Funding statement

This work was supported by the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (grant number 7192218).

#### **Conflicts of Interest Disclosure**

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### What is already known about this subject?

The expression of several key ADME genes has been shown to be regulated by DNA methylation, potentially affecting individual differences in medical treatment. Voriconazole exposure was associated with CYP2C19 expression. It is unclear whether CYP2C19 DNA methylation interferes with voriconazole steady-state valley concentration ( $C_{min}ss$ ) variation.

#### What this study adds?

Voriconazole  $C_{min}$ ss in the low concentration group ( $C_{min}$ ss<1.0mg/L) may be associated with *CYP2C19* DNA methylation, which was not found in the high concentration group ( $C_{min}$ ss>5.5mg/L). CYP2C19 DNA methylation is a new perspective for interpreting voriconazole  $C_{min}$ ss variation between/within individuals.

#### Abstract

**Background:**Voriconazoleis(VRC) often used in complex therapeutic environments for the treatment and prevention of invasive fungal infections. The steady-state valley concentration ( $C_{min}ss$ ) of VRC not only varies between individuals, but also within individuals, which is difficult to fully explain by pharmacogenomic theory. It is necessary to propose a new perspective to explain the variation of voriconazole steady-state valley concentration.

**Objectives:** Based on the regulation of ADME gene expression by DNA methylation, this study aimed to explore the effect of CYP2C19 DNA methylation level on the VRC  $C_{min}ss$ .

**Methods** : In this study, 116 concentration points were divided into low concentration group  $(C_{min}ss < 1.0 mg/L)$ , standard concentration group  $(C_{min}ss = 1.0-5.5 mg/L)$  and high concentration group  $(C_{min}ss > 5.5 mg/L)$  according to Voriconazole Cmin standard range of 1.0-5.5 mg/L. The effect of *CYP2C19* DNA methylation was highlighted by predisposition score matching to exclude other confounding factors.

**Results:** The CYP2C19 CpG25 methylation level was different between low concentration group and standard concentration group (p=0.047). There was no difference in the CYP2C19 DNA methylation between the high concentration group and the standard concentration group, but there were significant differences in CRP (p<0.001), Alb (p=0.007) and T-BIL (p=0.024) between the high concentration group and the standard concentration group.

**Conclusions:** The VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in the low concentration group may be related to the methylation degree of CYP2C19 CpG25 site, while the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in the high concentration group may be unrelated to the methylation degree of CYP2C19 but related to the levels of CRP, Alb and T-BIL.

Key words: Voriconazole, CYP2C19, DNA methylation, Cminss, Chinese population, CRP, Alb, T-BIL

#### Introduction

Invasive fungal infections (IFI) mostly occur in people with low immunity and have high morbidity and mortality<sup>1</sup>. Voriconazole (VRC) is a second-generation triazole broad-spectrum antifungal drug, which has been recommended as a first-line drug for the treatment and prevention of IFI by many guidelines<sup>2,3</sup>. However, VRC is often in a complex therapeutic environment<sup>4</sup>. VRC steady-state valley concentration( $C_{min}$ ss) not only varies between individuals, but also within individuals, which is difficult to be fully explained by pharmacogenomics theories. Previous studies have found that in the case of CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 genotyping, high levels of inflammation may slow down voriconazole metabolism in adult patients, resulting in higher  $C_{min}$ ss <sup>5,6</sup>. It is necessary to explore the new factors affecting VRC in order to promote the personalized medicine of VRC.

Epigenetic regulation is a reversible, heritable change in gene function that ultimately leads to phenotypic change without changing the DNA sequence. More and more studies have found that epigenetic factor is also important reasons for individual differences in drugs<sup>7</sup>. DNA methylation is a key epigenetic mechanism<sup>8</sup>. The DNA methylation level of specific pharmacokinetic gene will affect the its mRNA and protein expression, and affect the disposal and effect of corresponding drugs<sup>9</sup>. CYP2C19 DNA methylation is associated with its mRNA expression<sup>10,11</sup>. However, whether this epigenetic regulation is responsible for individual differences in VRC  $C_{min}$ ss has not been studied. The DNA methylation level of pharmacokinetic genes may also be influenced by some individual factors (e.g. parental exposure, environmental pollutants exposure, obesity and diet, drug, etc.)<sup>12</sup>. This study investigated the effect of *CYP2C19* DNA methylation on the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss by excluding the interference of other factors through propensity score matching (PSM), which is expected to provide a new perspective for the personalized administration of voriconazole.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study design

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University People's Hospital (No. 2019PHB064-01). Patients receiving VRC for the prevention or treatment of IFD aged at least 18 years who had at least one VRC trough concentration determined at our institute between June 2019 and May 2022 were included. Patients were excluded if they were pregnant, were using potentially interacting drugs described in the drug package, had prior severe liver dysfunction, had a *CYP2C19* metabolic phenotype of ultrafast and slow metabolism, and were co-using DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (azacytidine, decitabine). The trough concentration of VRC ( $C_{min}$ ss) is defined as 2 doses after the first loading dose was administered, and 5 doses if no loading dose was given. A loading dose was considered as patients treated with two 400 mg of VRC on the first day and followed 200 mg every 12 h daily. Blood samples were collected just prior to the subsequent dosage when the plasma concentration would be at a steady state.

#### Voriconazole and voriconazole N-oxide assay

Blood samples from patients were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, and separated plasma was stored at -20°C until analysis. The methodology was established with blank plasma of patients and rats. Plasma Voriconazole and voriconazole N -oxide concentrations were measured by HPLC-MS/MS. The linear range of VRC and VNO was 0.01-10.00 µg/ml. The calibration curve showed good linearity, with  $R^2 > 0.99$ . The intra- and inter- day accuracy values, expressed as percent CV, were all within  $\pm$  15 %, and precision values (as percent CV) were all less than 10~% in each calibration curve. Accuracy, method recovery and stability were all met the requirements.

Chromatographic conditions: Column Hypersil Gold C18 ( $1.9\mu$ m,  $2.1\times50$  mm, Thermo Scientific, USA); Mobile phase: deionized water (0.1% formic acid, 10mM ammonium formate, solvent A), Acetonitrile (0.1% formic acid, solvent B); Elution conditions: 0.0-0.5 min, 90% A; 0.5-1.0 min, 90%-5% A; 1.0-3.5 min, 5% A; 3.5-3.6 min, 5%-90% A; 3.6-6.0 min, 90% A; Flow rate:  $0.5\text{mL}^{*}\text{min}^{-1}$ , Column temperature: 50, Automatic sampler temperature: 4, Sample size:  $2\mu$ L.

Mass spectrometry conditions: Mass Ionization was performed using Electrospray Ionization (ESI) and Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) modes. Ion transitions (in m/z) of VRC, VNO, and Voriconazole d3 (internal standard) were 350.2 to 224.2, 366.2 to 224.2 and 353.2 to 224.2, respectively. The declustering voltage and the collision energy were 75V and 25V respectively.

#### 2.3.Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes according to standard procedures using a commercially available kit (E.Z.N.A.TM SQ Blood DAN Kit) following the manufacturer's instructions. Amplification and extension were carried out on the Veriti® PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The amplified PCR product was purified using the shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Fermentas Life Sciences) and ExoI (New England Biolabs). SNaPshot single base extension of the genetic polymorphisms was performed using ABI Prism® SNaPshot Multiplex Kit (Applied Biosystems). The re-purified products underwent capillary electrophoresis in a 96-well plate using an ABI 3730XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Three SNPs within the CYP2C19 were determined. Detailed SNP and primer information is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

#### 2.4 .DNA methylation assay

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes according to standard procedures using a commercially available kit (E.Z.N.A.TM SQ Blood DAN Kit) following the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA is treated with bisulfite using EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit. Multiplex PCR reaction was performed on the DNA after methylation. Primer information was shown in supplementary Table 2. Products were purified using AMPure XP beads. The Qubit 3.0 was used to determine the concentration of the library; Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system was used to determine the length of library fragments. Qualified libraries would be sequenced on an Illumina platform. Thirty-nine CpG loci on *CYP2C19* gene were detected, and the GRCh38.p14 location information of each loci was summarized as shown in supplementary Table 3. The level of methylation (%methylation) is expressed as the beta value, which = methylated cytosine/(methylated cytosine).

#### 2.5 Data collection

Information collected from patients' electronic medical records includes demographic information, medical history, and laboratory parameters. Information collected from a patient's electronic medical record includes demographic information, medical history, and laboratory parameters. Demographic characteristics include age, sex, BMI. The medical history information included the diagnosis and treatment level of IFD, the underlying disease, the route and dose of voriconazole administration, and the combination of medications within one week. Laboratory tests include markers of inflammation (C-reaction protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT)), blood routine parameters (white blood cell count (WBC), neutrophil count (NEUT), red blood cell count (RBC), hemoglobin (RBC), and blood cell count (WBC) respectively. HB), hematocrit (HCT), and platelet count (PLT); Liver function indicators (alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin, T-BIL), albumin (Alb), total protein (TP), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP); Indicators of renal function (serum creatinine (SCr), Urea, estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR)).Methylation degree of CYP2C19 CpG site, voriconazole and voriconazole N-oxide concentration were recorded.

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests was used to assess the normality of continuous variables. Descriptive statistics of normal data were expressed as mean +- standard deviation (SD), and non-normal data were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR). Independent sample T test or Mann-Whitney U test were used to determine the difference in continuous values between the two groups, and one-way ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis H test is used to detect the difference of continuous variables between three groups or more. Categorical data were expressed as frequency and percentage, using  $\chi^2$  tests. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was evaluated on each SNPs using  $\chi^2$  tests. Propensity score matching was used by caliper matching method (caliper value 0.05) to exclude confounding factors other than methylation, and propensity matching score was used to evaluate the balance between the two groups after matching. SPSS 22.0 software was used for statistical analysis, STATA17.0 software was used for PSM, and GraphPad Prism 9 software was used for mapping.. The results with P value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

#### **Patients characteristics**

In this study, 116 concentration points were included, which were divided into three groups according to the standard range of voriconazole  $C_{min}ss$  (1.0-5.5mg/L). There were 31 concentration points in the low concentration group ( $C_{min}ss < 1.0mg/L$ ), 55 concentration points in the standard concentration group ( $C_{min}ss < 1.0mg/L$ ), 55 concentration points in the standard concentration group ( $C_{min}ss > 5.5mg/L$ ). The *CYP2C19* phenotype of the included patients was normal metabolizer (NM) or intermediate metabolizer (IM). The daily dose of voriconazole is 400mg. There were no significant differences in age, sex, BMI, route of administration, purpose of administration, and information related to drug combination among the three groups. In laboratory examination, CRP, Abl and T-BIL were different between the high concentration group and the standard concentration group, while the other indexes were not statistically different between the three groups. The patient characteristics are detailed in Table.1.

#### Distribution and intergroup differences of CYP2C19 activity in NM/IM population

VNO is the main product of voriconazole metabolism by CYP2C19. The ratio of VNO to VRC concentration indicates the activity of CYP2C19. In the NM/IM population, the distribution of CYP2C19 activity is relatively wide (as shown in Fig.1A), and there may be incompatibility between CYP2C19 genotype and phenotype.

As shown in Fig.1B, the activity of CYP2C19 in the low concentration group ( $C_{min}ss<1.0mg/L$ ) was significantly higher than that in the standard concentration group ( $C_{min}ss=1.0-5.5mg/L$ ), and that in the high concentration group ( $C_{min}ss=5.5mg/L$ ) was significantly lower than that in the standard concentration group ( $C_{min}ss=1.0-5.5mg/L$ ). This suggests that *CYP2C19* gene polymorphism may not be used as a factor to explain the VRC  $C_{min}ss$  in all patients in the low concentration group or high concentration group, and there may be other factors affecting the VRC  $C_{min}ss$  in patients.

# Effect of CYP2C19 DNA methylation on VRC $C_{min}ss$

Effect of CYP2C19 DNA methylation on VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in low concentration group

There was no significant difference in patient characteristics between the low concentration group  $(C_{min}ss < 1.0mg/L)$  and the standard concentration group  $(C_{min}ss = 1.0-5.5mg/L)$ . The methylation level of CpG site of CYP2C19 between the two groups is shown in Table2, where the methylation level of CYP2C19 CpG25 is significantly different between the two groups. The methylation level of CYP2C19 CpG25 in the low concentration group was higher than that in the standard concentration group, as shown in Fig.2.

Effect of CYP2C19 DNA methylation on VRC  $\mathrm{C}_{\min}\mathrm{ss}$  in high concentration group

There were statistical differences in CRP, Alb and T-BIL between the high concentration group  $(C_{min}ss>5.5mg/L)$  and the standard concentration group  $(C_{min}ss = 1.0-5.5mg/L)$  (as shown in Table.1). CRP, Alb and T-BIL may influence the VRC  $C_{min}ss$  in high concentration group. In order to exclude the above confounding factors and highlight the effect of *CYP2C19* DNA methylation, Propensity score matching

was performed by caliper matching method. There were no significant differences in CRP, Alb and T-BIL between the two groups after PSM (as shown in Table.3). As shown in Table2.7, there was no significant difference in methylation levels at CpG sites between the two groups after PSM(as shown in Supplementary Table4). This suggests that CYP2C19 DNA methylation levels may not be a factor in VRC C<sub>min</sub>ss in the high concentration group.

## Effect of other factors on VRC C<sub>min</sub>ssin high concentration group

There were statistical differences in CRP, Alb and T-BIL between the high concentration group  $(C_{min}ss>5.5mg/L)$  and the standard concentration  $(C_{min}ss=1.0-5.5mg/L)$  before PSM (as shown in Table.1 and Table.3). These results suggest that CRP, Alb and T-BIL may be the factors influencing the VRC  $C_{min}ss$  in the high concentration group. Compared with the standard concentration group, CRP in the high concentration group was significantly higher (as shown in Fig.3A), Alb was significantly lower in the high concentration group (as shown in Fig.3B), and T-BIL was significantly higher in the high concentration group (as shown in Fig.3C).

#### Discussion

In this study, patients with CYP2C19 normal metabolizer (NM) and intermediate metabolizer (IM) were included, and the effect of CYP2C19 gene polymorphism on voriconazole Cminss was excluded. In the NM/IM population, CYP2C19 activity is widely distributed, and the predicted phenotype of CYP2C19 genotype may not be completely consistent with the measured phenotype. Burns et al. reported that 1/3 of gastrointestinal tumor patients have "dynamic changes" in liver CYP2C19 function, and the enzyme activity loss of the seemingly "poor metabolizer" phenotype is inconsistent with its genotype (*CYP2C19\*2, CYP2C19\*3*)<sup>13</sup>. This divergence between genotype and phenotype of *CYP2C19* has also been reported in solid tumors such as breast cancer, lung cancer, kidney cancer, and ovarian cancer<sup>14</sup> and hematological malignancies<sup>15</sup>. Our research is consistent with that. Compared with standard concentration group ( $C_{min}ss=1.0-5.5mg/L$ ), CYP2C19 activity was significantly higher in patients with low concentration group ( $C_{min}ss<1.0mg/L$ ) and significantly lower in patients with high concentration group ( $C_{min}ss>5.5mg/L$ ). In summary, CYP2C19 activity may be determined by more than its metabolic function.

The expression of CYP2C19 is moderately associated with its activity<sup>16</sup>. The DNA methylation level of specific ADME gene affects its gene expression<sup>9</sup>. This study detected the CYP2C19 DNA methylation level to explore whether it is a factor for the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss. DNA methylation at CYP2C19 CpG25 site was significantly down-regulated in low concentration group  $(C_{\min}ss<1.0mg/L)$  compared with standard concentration group ( $C_{min}ss=1.0-5.5mg/L$ ). In previous studies, the CYP2C19 mRNA expression in primary hepatocytes<sup>10</sup>, HepG2 cells, and HCT116 cells<sup>11</sup> was up-regulated after treatment with DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza-DC. This suggests that there may be a negative correlation between CYP2C19 DNA methylation and mRNA expression. In this study, the decrease of VRC C<sub>min</sub>ss in the low concentration group may be due to the down-regulation of DNA methylation at CYP2C19 CpG25 site, which may lead to the up-regulation of CYP2C19 expression and increase of activity. This is consistent with the relationship between CYP2C19 DNA methylation and its expression in previous studies. A clinical study<sup>17</sup> reported the relationship between CYP2C19 methylation and clopidogrel resistance. When CYP2C19 is hypomethylated, clopidogrel resistance may be due to the reduction of products metabolized by CYP2C19, which seems to be inconsistent with our study. This may be because the CYP2C19 methylation site detected in this study is located in the gene body, while the CYP2C19 methylation site detected in this study is located in the promoter. There was no significant difference in the CYP2C19 DNA methylation level in the high concentration group  $(C_{\min}ss > 5.5mg/L)$  compared with the standard concentration group  $(C_{\min}ss = 1.0-5.5mg/L)$ after PSM. This suggests that CYP2C19 DNA methylation may not be a factor affecting the VRC C<sub>min</sub>ss in the high-concentration group.

There were significant differences in CRP, Alb and T-BIL between the high concentration group  $(C_{min}ss>5.5mg/L)$  and the standard concentration group  $(C_{min}ss=1.0-5.5mg/L)$  before PSM. CRP is a biomarker of inflammatory state<sup>18</sup>. Compared with CRP [31.40 (10.40,71.80) mg/L] in the standard con-

centration group, CRP [100.75 (44.50,175.28) mg/L] in the high concentration group was increased. Most patients were in a state of moderate to severe inflammation  $(CRP[?]40mg/L)^{19}$ . A large number of inflammatory factors (such as IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , etc.) are usually produced in inflammatory states<sup>20</sup>. Some studies have reported that increasing the inflammatory factors concentration will reduce the expression and activity of drug metabolic enzymes<sup>21-23</sup>. Therefore, the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss may be caused by the inflammatory state affecting the expression and activity of VRC metabolic enzymes such as CYP2C19 in the high concentration group. The plasma protein binding rate of VRC is  $58\%^{24}$ , and the main binding protein is albumin<sup>25</sup>. Compared with the standard concentration group  $(34.27 \pm 4.539)$ , the Alb in the high concentration group  $(31.61\pm5.088)$  was significantly decreased, and the free concentration of VRC in the high concentration group may be increased. In addition, it has been reported that the change of Alb may affect the clearance rate of  $VRC^{26}$ . Therefore, the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss may also be due to the effect of Alb level on the distribution and elimination of voriconazole in the body in the high concentration group. T-BIL is one of the indicators indicating liver function. Compared with the T-BIL of the standard concentration group [9.60 (6.20, 14.10) µmol/L], the T-BIL of the high concentration group [11.45 (8.80, 23.80) µmol/L] was significantly higher. The liver function of the high concentration group may be worse than that of the standard concentration group. It has been reported that decreased liver function may affect the expression of drug metabolic enzymes<sup>27</sup>. In addition, T-BIL was found to be related to VRC clearance<sup>28</sup>. Therefore, the VRC C<sub>min</sub>ss in the high concentration group may also be due to the effect of T-BIL level on the metabolism and elimination of VRC. In addition, the down-regulation of Alb and up-regulation of T-BIL may also be affected by inflammatory states<sup>29</sup>. In summary, the VRC  $C_{min}$  s may be related to the up-regulation of CRP, down-regulation of Alb and up-regulation of T-BIL in the high-concentration group.

In this study, factors influencing the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in the low concentration group ( $C_{min}$ ss<1.0mg/L) and the high concentration group ( $C_{min}$ ss>5.5mg/L) were investigated, and the relationship between *CYP2C19* DNA methylation and the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss was investigated for the first time, providing a new perspective for exploring the individual administration of voriconazole. The limitation of this study may be that *CYP2C19*DNA methylation levels in blood are used to replace *CYP2C19* DNA methylation levels in liver, and there may be some differences in DNA methylation levels between blood and liver<sup>30</sup>. At the same time, some studies<sup>17,31,32</sup>used blood to measure the DNA methylation level of ADME gene to explore its role in pharmacokinetics. Due to the rarity of liver samples and the limitation of detection techniques, the use of blood samples instead of liver to explore the role of DNA methylation in pharmacokinetics is also an option to be considered.

#### Conclusion

In this study, the VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in patients in the low concentration group ( $C_{min}$ ss<1.0mg/L) may be related to methylation levels at the *CYP2C19* CpG25 site. Based on the above possible qualitative relationships, it seems possible to obtain a better therapeutic effect of voriconazole by adjusting certain lifestyles associated with DNA methylation. More studies are expected to establish a quantitative relationship between DNA methylation and VRC  $C_{min}$ ss, and it is possible to optimize the dosing regimen by adjusting the degree of DNA methylation in the future. The VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in high concentration group ( $C_{min}$ ss>5.5mg/L) may not be related to *CYP2C19* DNA methylation level. The VRC  $C_{min}$ ss in patients in high concentration group may be related to the levels of CRP, Alb and T-BIL of patients. *CYP2C19* DNA methylation may be influenced by CRP, Alb, and T-BIL, and it is more meaningful to explore the interaction between DNA methylation and some non-genetic factors in the future.

# Ethics approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the People's Hospital of Peking University (2019PHB064-01).

#### Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

#### Availability of data and material

The datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Author Contributions**

Lin Huang and Yufei Feng made contributions to conception and design. Yinyu Zhao and Nan Guo helped with the acquisition of data. Boyu Liu and Lei Hu performed the extraction of genomic DNA and genotyping. Xu Hao performed the statistical analysis, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. Yinyu Zhao drew the figures and tables. Nan Guo helped to revise the manuscript. Fang Liu provided guidance on statistical analysis. Xiaoyan Nie and Feng Yu were involved in revising it critically for important intellectual content. All authors gave final approval of the version to be published.

## References

1. Bassetti M, Garnacho-Montero J, Calandra T, et al. Intensive care medicine research agenda on invasive fungal infection in critically ill patients. *Intensive care medicine*. 2017;43(9):1225-1238.

2. Donnelly JP, Chen SC, Kauffman CA, et al. Revision and Update of the Consensus Definitions of Invasive Fungal Disease From the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America.* 2020;71(6):1367-1376.

3. Chen K, Zhang X, Ke X, Du G, Yang K, Zhai S. Individualized Medication of Voriconazole: A Practice Guideline of the Division of Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, Chinese Pharmacological Society. *Therapeutic drug monitoring*. 2018;40(6):663-674.

4. Cadena J, Thompson GR, 3rd, Patterson TF. Aspergillosis: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment. *Infectious disease clinics of North America*. 2021;35(2):415-434.

5. Veringa A, Ter Avest M, Span LF, et al. Voriconazole metabolism is influenced by severe inflammation: a prospective study. *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy*. 2017;72(1):261-267.

6. Gautier-Veyret E, Bailly S, Fonrose X, et al. Pharmacogenetics may influence the impact of inflammation on voriconazole trough concentrations. 2017;18(12):1119-1123.

7. Ivanov M, Barragan I, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Epigenetic mechanisms of importance for drug treatment. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences. 2014;35(8):384-396.

8. Fisel P, Schaeffeler E, Schwab M. DNA Methylation of ADME Genes. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics.* 2016;99(5):512-527.

9. Hao X, Li Y, Bian J, et al. Impact of DNA methylation on ADME gene expression, drug disposition, and efficacy. *Drug metabolism reviews*. 2022;54(2):194-206.

10. Tang X, Ge L, Chen Z, et al. Methylation of the Constitutive Androstane Receptor Is Involved in the Suppression of CYP2C19 in Hepatitis B Virus-Associated Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals*.2016;44(10):1643-1652.

11. Burns KE, Shepherd P, Finlay G, Tingle MD, Helsby NA. Indirect regulation of CYP2C19 gene expression via DNA methylation. *Xenobiotica; the fate of foreign compounds in biological systems*. 2018;48(8):781-792.

12. Bian J, Zhao J, Zhao Y, et al. Impact of individual factors on DNA methylation of drug metabolism genes: A systematic review. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*. 2023;64(7):401-415.

13. Burns KE, Lo WY, Findlay MP, Sharples K, Laking G, Helsby NA. High CYP2C19 phenotypic variability in gastrointestinal cancer patients. *Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology*. 2015;77(1):195-204.

14. Helsby NA, Lo WY, Sharples K, et al. CYP2C19 pharmacogenetics in advanced cancer: compromised function independent of genotype. *British Journal of Cancer.* 2008;99(8):1251-1255.

15. Burns KE, Goldthorpe MA, Porteus F, Browett P, Helsby NA. CYP2C19 genotype-phenotype discordance in patients with multiple myeloma leads to an acquired loss of drug-metabolising activity. *Cancer chemotherapy and pharmacology.* 2014;73(3):651-655.

16. Clermont V, Grangeon A, Barama A, et al. Activity and mRNA expression levels of selected cytochromes P450 in various sections of the human small intestine. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2019;85(6):1367-1377.

17. Sukmawan R, Hoetama E, Suridanda Danny S, et al. Increase in the risk of clopidogrel resistance and consequent TIMI flow impairment by DNA hypomethylation of CYP2C19 gene in STEMI patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI). *Pharmacology research & perspectives*. 2021;9(2):e00738.

18. van Wanrooy MJ, Span LF, Rodgers MG, et al. Inflammation is associated with voriconazole trough concentrations. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 2014;58(12):7098-7101.

19. Luo X, Li T, Hu L, et al. Differential effects of C-reactive protein levels on voriconazole metabolism at three age groups in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. *Journal of chemotherapy (Florence, Italy).* 2021;33(2):95-105.

20. Netea MG, Balkwill F, Chonchol M, et al. A guiding map for inflammation. *Nat Immunol.* 2017;18(8):826-831.

21. Renton KW. Regulation of drug metabolism and disposition during inflammation and infection. *Expert* opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology. 2005;1(4):629-640.

22. Deb S, Arrighi S. Potential Effects of COVID-19 on Cytochrome P450-Mediated Drug Metabolism and Disposition in Infected Patients. *European journal of drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics*. 2021;46(2):185-203.

23. Aitken AE, Richardson TA, Morgan ET. Regulation of drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters in inflammation. *Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology*. 2006;46:123-149.

24. Roffey SJ, Cole S, Comby P, et al. The disposition of voriconazole in mouse, rat, rabbit, guinea pig, dog, and human. *Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals.* 2003;31(6):731-741.

25. Li J, Ma J, Wagar EA, Liang D, Meng QH. A rapid ultra-performance LC-MS/MS assay for determination of serum unbound fraction of voriconazole in cancer patients. *Clinica chimica acta; international journal of clinical chemistry.* 2018;486:36-41.

26. Chantharit P, Tantasawat M, Kasai H, Tanigawara Y. Population Pharmacokinetics of Voriconazole in Patients With Invasive Aspergillosis: Serum Albumin Level as a Novel Marker for Clearance and Dosage Optimization. *Therapeutic drug monitoring*.2020;42(6):872-879.

27. Zhong XB, Lai Y. Special Section On Drug Metabolism in Liver Injury and Repair-Editorial. Drug metabolism and disposition: the biological fate of chemicals. 2022;50(5):634-635.

28. Tang D, Yan M, Song BL, et al. Population pharmacokinetics, safety and dosing optimization of voriconazole in patients with liver dysfunction: A prospective observational study. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2021;87(4):1890-1902.

29. Liang Z, Yu M, Liu Z, et al. Inflammation Affects Liver Function and the Metabolism of Voriconazole to Voriconazole-N-Oxide in Adult and Elderly Patients. *Frontiers in pharmacology*. 2022;13:835871.

30. Olsson Lindvall M, Angerfors A, Andersson B, et al. Comparison of DNA Methylation Profiles of Hemostatic Genes between Liver Tissue and Peripheral Blood within Individuals. *Thrombosis and haemosta*sis.2021;121(5):573-583.

31. Xu L, Wang Y. Combined influence of ABCB1 genetic polymorphism and DNA methylation on aspirin resistance in Chinese ischemic stroke patients. *Acta neurologica Belgica*. 2021.

32. Li X, Zhao K, Ma N, Sun S, Miao Z, Zhao Z. Association of ABCB1 promoter methylation with aspirin exposure, platelet function, and clinical outcomes in Chinese intracranial artery stenosis patients. *European journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2017;73(10):1261-1269.

| Parameters   | Low concentration<br>$C_{min}ss < 1.0mg/L$ (<br>n=31) | Standard<br>concentration<br>$C_{min}ss=1.0-$<br>5.5mg/L<br>(n=55) | High concentration<br>C <sub>min</sub> ss>5.5mg/L<br>(n=30)                   | P value  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Voriconazole   |   |  |   |  |
| C <sub>min</sub> ss (n)<br><b>Demographics</b>               | <i>.</i>  |  |   |  |
| Age <sup>a</sup> (yr)<br>Sex                                 | 56.00(43.00, 62.00)                                   | $51.91 \pm 16.025$   | $54.33 \pm 17.810$  | 0.535  |
| ${ m Male}^{ m b}$<br>Female <sup>b</sup>                    | $26(83.9\%) \ 5(16.1\%)$                              | $38(69.1\%)\ 17(30.9\%)$   | $21(70.0\%) \ 9(30.0\%)$  | 0.296  |
| $BMI^{a}$  | $22.84 \pm 3.727$                                     | $23.71 \pm 3.381$  | $23.12 \pm 4.103$   | 0.548  |
| ${f Administration} \ {f route}^{f b}$                       |   |  |   |  |
| Intravenous<br>Oral<br><b>Concomitant</b>                    | 22(71.0%)<br>9(29.0%)                                 | 43(78.2%)<br>12(21.8%)   | $25(83.3\%) \ 5(16.7\%)$  | 0.506  |
| <b>medications<sup>b</sup></b><br>PPI<br>GC<br>Carbapenems   | $9(29.0\%) \\ 9(29.0\%) \\ 15(48.4\%)$                | $14(25.5\%) \\ 13(23.6\%) \\ 29(52.7\%)$                           | 12(40.0%)<br>4(13.3%)<br>21(70.0%)  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.372 \\ 0.325 \\ 0.187 \end{array}$ |
| SMZ/TMP<br>Indication for<br>VRC <sup>b</sup>                | 6(19.4%)  | 13(23.6%)  | 5(16.7%)  | 0.733  |
| Therapy of<br>probable                                       | 4(12.9%)  | 5(9.1%)  | 5(16.7%)  | 0.858  |
| Diagnostic-driven<br>therapy of<br>undefined and<br>possible | 25(80.6%)   | 42(76.4%)  | 21(70.0%)   |  |
| Empirical antifungal<br>therapy of febrile<br>neutropenia    | 1(3.2%)   | 5(9.1%)  | 3(10.0%)  |  |
| Prophylaxis<br>Laboratory<br>parameter <sup>a</sup>          | 1(3.2%)   | 3(5.5%)  | 1(3.3%)   |  |
| CRP (mg/L)<br>PCT (ng/ml)                                    | 20.13(7.80,59.00)<br>0.23(0.17,2.00)                  | 31.40(10.40,71.80)<br>0.25(0.16,2.39)                              | $\begin{array}{c} 115.35 {\pm} 82.967^{***} \\ 0.34 (0.16, 6.28) \end{array}$ | j0.001<br>0.554  |

| Parameters           | Low concentration $C_{min}ss{<}1.0mg/L$ ( $n{=}31$ ) | Standard<br>concentration<br>$C_{min}ss=1.0-$<br>5.5mg/L<br>(n=55) | High concentration<br>C <sub>min</sub> ss>5.5mg/L<br>(n=30) | P value |
|----------------------|--|--|---|---------|
| Alb (g/L)            | $34.36{\pm}4.069$                                    | $34.27 {\pm} 4.539$  | $31.85(28.10, 33.83)^{**}$                                  | 0.007   |
| TP (g/L)             | $66.84{\pm}7.174$                                    | $63.87 {\pm} 8.865$  | 62.95(59.76, 67.83)   | 0.137   |
| ALT (U/L)            | $30.10{\pm}30.486$                                   | 19.00(12.00, 34.00)  | 16.00(6.75, 28.00)  | 0.264   |
| AST (U/L)            | 18.00(10.25, 30.75)                                  | 21.00(13.00, 36.00)  | 24.00(13.75, 35.50)   | 0.296   |
| ALP(U/L)             | $116.00 \pm 65.077$                                  | 102.00(78.00, 151.00)  | 83.50(68.50,130.00)   | 0.318   |
| T-BIL (umol/L)       | 9.00(7.50, 11.00)                                    | 9.60(6.20, 14.10)  | $11.45(8.80,23.80)^*$                                       | 0.024   |
| SCr (umol/L)         | 60.00(49.00,77.00)                                   | 59.00(53.00,73.00)   | 67.00(52.50, 77.75)   | 0.631   |
| GFR                  | $102.59 \pm 31.807$                                  | 110.10(93.48,120.19)   | 103.15(95.06,120.78)  | 0.6     |
| $(ml/(min*1.73m^2))$ |  |  |   |         |

Table2. Methylation level of CYP2C19 CpG sites in the low concentration group and the standard group

| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | CpG sites | Low concentration<br>( $C_{min}$ ss <1.0mg/L)<br>( n=31) | Standard<br>concentration<br>$(C_{min}ss$<br>=1.0-5.5mg/L)<br>(n=55) | Standard<br>concentration<br>$(C_{min}ss$<br>=1.0-5.5mg/L)<br>(n=55) <i>P</i> value |       |
|--|-----------|--|--|---|-------|
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   | CpG1      | $96.74{\pm}1.670$  |  | 0.331   | 0.331 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |           |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   | CpG2      | $96.07 \pm 1.527$  |  | 0.538   | 0.538 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CnG3      | $93.04 \pm 2.956$  |  | 0 711   | 0.711 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | -         |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | -         |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG6      |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   | 1         |  | . (, •••)  | -   |       |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG8      | ,  | $71.76{\pm}6.961$  | 0.102   | 0.102 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG9      |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | CpG10     |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   | CpG11     | 96.34  | 96.20(95.38, 96.66)  | 0.432   | 0.432 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |           | (95.38, 97.15)   | . ,  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   | CpG12     | $84.24 \pm 7.747$  | 87.39(81.95, 89.39)  | 0.532   | 0.532 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG13     | 97.18(95.70, 97.49)                                      | 96.77(96.02, 97.49)  | 0.848   | 0.848 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG14     |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG15     | 93.06(92.19, 94.17)                                      |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | CpG16     |  |  |   |       |
| $ \begin{array}{cccc} \hat{CpG19} & 45.21 \pm 7.266 & 45.39 \pm 8.758 & 0.924 & 0.924 \\ CpG20 & 51.34 \pm 7.785 & 52.10(50.46,56.31) & 0.624 & 0.624 \\ CpG21 & 73.28(71.65,74.86) & 73.71(71.01,75.60) & 0.847 & 0.847 \\ CpG22 & 63.26 \pm 6.653 & 63.95(61.08,66.91) & 0.840 & 0.840 \\ CpG23 & 93.89 \pm 2.482 & 93.57 \pm 4.182 & 0.710 & 0.710 \\ \end{array} $ | CpG17     |  |  |   |       |
| $ \begin{array}{cccc} \hat{\rm Cp}G20 & 51.34{\pm}7.785 & 52.10(50.46,56.31) & 0.624 & 0.624 \\ \bar{\rm Cp}G21 & 73.28(71.65,74.86) & 73.71(71.01,75.60) & 0.847 & 0.847 \\ \bar{\rm Cp}G22 & 63.26{\pm}6.653 & 63.95(61.08,66.91) & 0.840 & 0.840 \\ \bar{\rm Cp}G23 & 93.89{\pm}2.482 & 93.57{\pm}4.182 & 0.710 & 0.710 \\ \end{array} $                            | CpG18     |  |  |   |       |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} CpG21 & 73.28(71.65,74.86) & 73.71(71.01,75.60) & 0.847 & 0.847 \\ CpG22 & 63.26\pm 6.653 & 63.95(61.08,66.91) & 0.840 & 0.840 \\ CpG23 & 93.89\pm 2.482 & 93.57\pm 4.182 & 0.710 & 0.710 \\ \end{array}$   | CpG19     |  |  |   |       |
| CpG22 $63.26\pm 6.653$ $63.95(61.08, 66.91)$ $0.840$ $0.840$ CpG23 $93.89\pm 2.482$ $93.57\pm 4.182$ $0.710$ $0.710$   | CpG20     |  |  |   |       |
| CpG23 93.89±2.482 93.57±4.182 0.710 0.710  | CpG21     |  |  |   |       |
|  | -         |  |  |   |       |
| $\Box p G24 \qquad 92.16(47.00,96.31) \qquad 91.26(47.77,95.54) \qquad 0.967 \qquad 0.967$   |           |  |  |   |       |
|  | CpG24     | 92.16(47.00, 96.31)                                      | 91.26(47.77, 95.54)  | 0.967   | 0.967 |

| CpG sites | Low concentration<br>( $C_{min}ss < 1.0mg/L$ )<br>( n=31) | Standard<br>concentration<br>$(C_{min}ss$<br>=1.0-5.5mg/L)<br>(n=55) | Standard<br>concentration<br>$(C_{min}ss$<br>=1.0-5.5mg/L)<br>(n=55) | P value |
|-----------|---|--|--|---------|
| CpG25     | $43.75 \pm 24.311$  | 60.55(36.05, 73.57)  | 0.047  | 0.047   |
| CpG26     | $83.28 {\pm} 7.006$                                       | 84.67(79.42, 87.35)  | 0.949  | 0.949   |
| CpG27     | $78.40 {\pm} 8.888$                                       | $78.58 {\pm} 8.532$  | 0.925  | 0.925   |
| CpG28     | $86.82 {\pm} 4.007$                                       | 87.66(85.46, 89.63)  | 0.837  | 0.837   |
| CpG29     | $86.67 {\pm} 9.684$                                       | 88.52(86.46,90.13)   | 0.862  | 0.862   |
| CpG30     | $80.82 \pm 3.106$   | $80.92 {\pm} 9.890$  | 0.955  | 0.955   |
| CpG31     | $63.86{\pm}1.736$   | $63.24{\pm}3.168$  | 0.323  | 0.323   |
| CpG32     | 95.34(94.24, 96.85)                                       | 94.89(91.83, 96.29)  | 0.165  | 0.165   |
| CpG33     | 96.06(95.44,96.83)  | $96.19{\pm}1.698$  | 0.988  | 0.988   |
| CpG34     | 95.05(92.89,95.81)  | $94.58{\pm}2.307$  | 0.474  | 0.474   |
| CpG35     | 85.31±6.200   | $83.72 {\pm} 9.092$  | 0.411  | 0.411   |
| CpG36     | $89.87 {\pm} 4.369$                                       | $88.52 {\pm} 6.921$  | 0.350  | 0.350   |
| CpG37     | $68.25 \pm 12.300$  | $69.23{\pm}10.440$   | 0.712  | 0.712   |
| CpG38     | $57.63 {\pm} 6.625$                                       | 55.12(51.72, 59.53)  | 0.170  | 0.170   |
| CpG39     | $82.39{\pm}5.093$   | 83.66(80.92,85.72)   | 0.395  | 0.395   |

# Table.3 CRP, Alb and T-BIL were in the standard group and the high concentration group before and after propensity score matching.

| Parameters<br>Voriconazole<br>C <sub>min</sub> ss (n) | before<br>propensity<br>score matching                                  | before<br>propensity<br>score matching <i>P</i> value                                 | after<br>propensity<br>score matching                                   | after<br>propensity<br>score matching $P$ value              |
|---|---|---|---|--|
|   | Standard<br>concentra-<br>tion<br>$C_{min}ss=1.0-$<br>5.5mg/L<br>(n=55) | High con-<br>centration<br>$C_{min}ss$<br>>5.5mg/L<br>(n=30)                          | Standard<br>concentra-<br>tion<br>$C_{min}ss=1.0-$<br>5.5mg/L<br>(n=42) | High con-<br>centration<br>$C_{min}ss$<br>>5.5mg/L<br>(n=22) |
| CRP (mg/L)  | 31.40(10.40,71.8  | 0)100.75(44.50,175.28)000   | $\begin{array}{c} 46.25 \\ (22.92,77.40) \end{array}$                   | 89.24±65.590 0.098   |
| Alb (g/L)<br>T-<br>BIL(umol/L)                        | $34.27 \pm 4.539$<br>9.60(6.20, 14.10)                                  | $\begin{array}{ccc} 31.61{\pm}5.088 & 0.003 \\ 11.45(8.80,23.80) & 0.023 \end{array}$ | $33.02 \pm 3.544$<br>10.65<br>(6.88,14.90)                              | 32.10(29.80, 33.83)0.141<br>10.95(8.63, 22.53) 0.333         |

# Hosted file

Figures .docx available at https://authorea.com/users/423778/articles/688089-a-new-perspective-on-variation-of-voriconazole-steady-state-valley-concentration-in-chinese-population-cyp2c19-dna-methylation