Climate warming and selective adaptation to thermal refugia

Taranjot Kaur¹, Smita Deb², and Partha Sharathi Dutta³

¹UC Davis ²IIT Ropar ³Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, Rupnagar

June 27, 2023

Abstract

The impact of climate warming on biodiversity loss is exacerbated not only by changes in mean but also by spatio-temporal variability in temperature. Access to refugia can mitigate the impact of thermal fluctuations amongst species. The effectiveness of refugia during periods of adverse warming scenarios, i.e., seasonal fluctuations, hotter-than-average summers, and warmer-than-average winters remains largely unexplored. Here, we study a bio-energetic consumer-resource model and identify the mixed success of refugia in maintaining species persistence and stability, depending on the amplitude of fluctuations, diverse warming scenarios, and species body size. Whilst refugia withhold otherwise inevitable extinction at high amplitude fluctuations in all the warming scenarios, at lower amplitudes, they may not provide similar benefits. This arises due to non-monotone thermal responses of their foraging efforts and monotonically increasing metabolic requirements. The qualitative difference among thermal responses leads to more energy losses rather than gains at low amplitudes. We find that refugia can be more favourable to species in temperate and Mediterranean regions, unlike those inhabiting tropical regions. We also consider an extreme heat wave event and observe that small-bodied species can counteract their negative effects by seeking refuge at low amplitudes. Overall, our work hints at selective adaptation to refugia - conditioned on the aggregated effect of thermal conditions of the local habitat and species body size - as a mechanism for biodiversity maintenance.

Climate warming and selective adaptation to thermal refugia †

[†]This article contains supplementary materials.

¹ Abstract

The impact of climate warming on biodiversity loss is exacerbated not only by changes in 2 mean but also by spatio-temporal variability in temperature. Access to refugia can mitigate 3 the impact of thermal fluctuations amongst species. The effectiveness of refugia during peri-4 ods of adverse warming scenarios, i.e., seasonal fluctuations, hotter-than-average summers, 5 and warmer-than-average winters remains largely unexplored. Here, we study a bio-energetic 6 consumer-resource model and identify the mixed success of refugia in maintaining species 7 persistence and stability, depending on the amplitude of fluctuations, diverse warming sce-8 narios, and species body size. Whilst refugia withhold otherwise inevitable extinction at 9 high amplitude fluctuations in all the warming scenarios, at lower amplitudes, they may not 10 provide similar benefits. This arises due to non-monotone thermal responses of their forag-11 ing efforts and monotonically increasing metabolic requirements. The qualitative difference 12 among thermal responses leads to more energy losses rather than gains at low amplitudes. 13 We find that refugia are most beneficial during hotter summers and least during typical sea-14 sonal fluctuations. Our results also suggest that refugia can be more favourable to species 15 in temperate and Mediterranean regions, unlike those inhabiting tropical regions. We also 16 consider an extreme heat wave event and observe that small-bodied species can counteract 17 their negative effects by seeking refuge at low amplitudes. Overall, our work hints at selec-18 tive adaptation to refugia - conditioned on the aggregated effect of thermal conditions of the 19 local habitat and species body size - as a mechanism for biodiversity maintenance. 20

Keywords: thermal fluctuations, refugia, warming scenarios, extreme events, species persistence
 tence

23 Introduction

Climate change is one of the pivotal subjects of our time. Reportedly, phenology, distribution, 24 and many other determinants of species interactions are constrained by global climate change 25 (Parmesan and Yohe 2003, Deutsch et al 2008). Amongst numerous components of climate, 26 the increasing temperature is one of the major abiotic factors leading to global warming, 27 and threatening resource conservation and management (Hughes 2000, Walther et al 2002, 28 Vasseur and McCann 2005, Tylianakis et al 2008, Rall et al 2010, Binzer et al 2012, Kaur 29 and Dutta 2020, 2022). Importantly, climate warming along with changes in the long-term 30 mean temperature is accompanied by irregular patterns of thermal fluctuations, across space 31 and time (Chen et al 1999, Vasseur et al 2014, Lawson et al 2015). Spatial variability in 32 temperature is an important driver that can lead to shifts in species' ecological niches (Sinervo 33 et al 2010, Chapperon and Seuront 2011). However, there exists a paucity of comprehensive 34 understanding regarding the role of thermal variability across diverse regions in facilitating 35 species persistence and enabling effective climate change adaptation. In general, adaptation 36 to climate warming has been prevalent in several species in nature through movement for 37 a period ranging from a day to months, to a habitable location termed as thermal refugia 38 (Ashcroft 2010, Keppel et al 2012). 39

Refugia have been distinguished as regions or habitats that can support populations, par-40 ticularly, to which species can withdraw, persevere in, and in this way sustain, under changing 41 environmental circumstances (Ashcroft 2010, Keppel et al 2012, Keppel and Wardell-Johnson 42 2012). The movement to thermal refugia has been studied for species whose body tempera-43 ture is often directly linked to vital rates at the organismal and population levels. Thermal 44 refugia can provide a feasible habitat to thermo-regulatory species in either way: species 45 may relocate themselves to a cool territory to reduce their body temperatures (Scheffers 46 et al 2014) or to warm regions (basking arena) to increase thermo-regulation during outra-47 geous climatic circumstances (Sears and Angilletta Jr 2015). Therefore, refugia can expand 48 the exhibition of a life form by permitting admittance to areas that enhance individual 49

environmental and physiological capacities (Li et al 1995, Stewart and Lister 2001). For 50 example, endangered Egyptian tortoise (*Testudo kleinmanni*) abode larger shrubs during 51 the activation season to ameliorate fluctuating environments (Attum et al 2013). While 52 many temperate species encountered extinctions and Southwards range shifts during the 53 Quaternary glacial periods, geographic distributions indicated the persistence of western 54 European species in refugia (Culling et al 2006). Since t al (2010) demonstrates that in 55 an endeavour to stay away from infeasible thermal variability, Sceloporus reptiles retreat to 56 cool refugia. Despite beneficial allocation to refugia reported in the aforementioned studies, 57 it is imperative to understand how movements to refugia under spatial thermal variability 58 regulate species abundance subject to different warming conditions. 59

To comprehend how changes in temperature fluctuations affect populations in various 60 habitats, it is important to examine how these changes impact the growth and survival 61 of species. These temperature variations can either work together with or independently 62 from the mean temperature, making it crucial to investigate their interplay and potential 63 consequences. For instance, the effect of changing mean temperature on the physiological 64 performance of terrestrial species is aggravated by irregular thermal fluctuations (Deutsch 65 et al 2008, Paaijmans et al 2013, Clusella-Trullas et al 2011). Cabrerizo and Marañón (2021) 66 have shown that thermal variations might suppress the effect of changing mean temperature 67 on cellular organisms' ability to store nutrients. While in some instances, fluctuations in 68 population abundance may be amplified irrespective of changes in the mean temperature, 69 leading to increased risks of species extirpation. (Bjørnstad and Grenfell 2001, Boyce et al 70 2006, Ovaskainen and Meerson 2010). Therefore, knowledge of the mean-variance interplay 71 of temperature is vital for understanding species' biological processes with access to thermal 72 refugia. 73

Further, it is important to map various climatic scenarios associated with changing warmrs ing conditions upon ecological interactions. A recent report (Change 2018) highlights that climate warming leads to warmer-than-average winters and hotter-than-average summers.

Evidently, there are still a few gaps in the understanding of the climate warming scenarios 77 and adaptability to refugia. First, past studies investigating functional stability and bio-78 diversity of consumer-resource systems did not incorporate thermal variability accounting 79 for these projected climate change scenarios. Second, understanding the impact of different 80 climate scenarios on species persistence is equally important. It is majorly unknown how 81 variation in warming conditions determines the usefulness of retreating habitats/refugia in 82 promoting species persistence. Third, recent studies have discussed the consequences of ma-83 rine heat waves on refugia (Mo et al 2022, Verdura et al 2021), while others have anticipated 84 the loss of refugia in marine ecosystems with increasing global warming by the end of the 85 century (Dixon et al 2022, Oliver et al 2019). However, studies concerning the impacts of 86 high thermal stress on consumer-resource interaction and their refugia are lacking. Par-87 ticularly, the utility of thermal refugia also needs to be investigated in periods of extreme 88 thermal stress, i.e., heat wave events (Kunze et al 2022). Identifying thermal refugia amidst 89 periods of climate-induced stress can have pragmatic biodiversity management implications. 90 In this study, we consider a bio-energetic model of consumer-resource interactions inhab-91 iting thermally varying conditions, viz., typical seasonal fluctuations, hotter summers, and 92 warmer winters. We incorporate a mechanistic explanation of how species' biological traits 93 shape their response towards warming and the consequent impact of refugia in each of the 94 warming conditions. We find that the type of warming scenarios, the amplitude of temper-95 ature fluctuations (classified as low, intermediate, and high), and the species body size are 96 crucial factors in determining the effectiveness of refugia as retreat habitats that mitigate 97 the impact of warming. While relocating to thermal refugia can maintain biodiversity at 98 high amplitudes of fluctuations and low mean temperatures, its potency at intermediate and 99 lower amplitude decreases, subject to the warming scenarios. At intermediate amplitudes 100 of temperature fluctuations, relocating to refugia is more beneficial to enhance the persis-101 tence of species experiencing hotter summers, than warmer winters and typical fluctuations. 102 Consequently, the effectiveness of refugia also varies based on the latitude of the species? 103

habitat, with temperate and Mediterranean regions characterized by greater temperature
fluctuations and lower mean temperatures, exhibiting a higher utility of refugia compared
to tropical regions.

¹⁰⁷ Materials and Methods

¹⁰⁸ Projected climate warming scenarios

Global warming leads to an elevation in the mean annual temperature and alterations in 109 the seasonal thermal fluctuations (Change 2018). In this work, we consider three distinct 110 thermal scenarios: (I) Typical seasonal fluctuations; where the daily mean and amplitude 111 of temperature remain unchanged, (II) Warmer winters; this scenario represents that the 112 daily increase in the minimum temperature is faster than the daily increase in maximum 113 temperature, hence mean increases over time but amplitude decreases, and (III) Hotter 114 summers; when both mean and amplitude increase over time because an increase in the 115 maximum temperature is faster than an increase in the minimum temperature. 116

¹¹⁷ A temperature-dependent consumer-resource model

We study the dynamics of a bio-energetic consumer-resource (C-R) model (Yodzis and Innes 1992) that incorporates temperature-dependent phenotypical responses when exposed to the above-mentioned warming scenarios. The model is given below:

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = r(T_R)R\left(1 - q(T_R)R\right) - a_C(T_C)\frac{RC}{R + R_h},\tag{1a}$$

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \left(e_C a_C(T_C)\frac{R}{R+R_h} - m_C(T_C)\right)C,\tag{1b}$$

where the basal resource (R) exhibits logistic growth with per-capita intrinsic growth rate r and intraspecific competition $q = \frac{1}{K}$, as a function of the resource body temperature T_R , where K is the carrying capacity. The consumer's phenotypical parameters, i.e., the attack rate a_C , and metabolic rate m_C depend upon its body temperature T_C (see Supplementary Information SI-1.1, Fig. SI-1.1). C follows the Holling Type-II functional response (Murdoch



Figure 1. Consumer-resource interactions in habitats with the thermally variable environment. (A)-(B) A static habitat where the temperature in the feeding region (T_F) remains constant, (C) temporal habitat, and (D) spatio-temporal habitat. Temperature profiles in the habitats experiencing (E) typical seasonal fluctuations, (F) warmer-than-average winters, (G) hotter-than-average summers, and (H) a heat wave event of 5 days generated when $\sigma_F = 5$ °C (amplitude of fluctuation). (I) The refugium allows heterogeneity in the thermal fluctuations (T_g) experienced by the consumer and the resource. The solid line in the sub-figures ((E), (F), (G), and (I)) represents the mean temperature, while the shaded region depicts the maxima and minima of the thermal fluctuations. The orange box encloses all the combinations of habitat type and warming condition we have studied in this work. In (A), (C) and (D), arrows between R and C determine the direction of energy flow from the resource to the consumer. Parameter values: $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 6$ for warmer winters, and $\alpha = 6$ and $\beta = 4$ for hotter summers. All the other parameter values are obtained from Table 1 (see supplementary).

- et al 2003) having half-saturation constant R_h . Following (Vasseur and McCann 2005, Ama-
- rasekare and Coutinho 2014, Uszko et al 2017, Custer 2005), in our model the conversion
- efficiency e_C and the half-saturation constant R_h remain unaltered by temperature.
- Here, the consumer and the resource, at first, reside in the feeding area with a time-

dependent temperature profile $T_F(t)$ such that:

$$T_F(t) = (N_F + mt) + (\sigma_F + at) \sin\left(\frac{t}{p}\right), \qquad (2)$$

where N_F denotes the mean habitat temperature of the feeding area having an amplitude of the fluctuations as σ_F . p scales the recurrence of the thermal fluctuations. The different warming scenarios are incorporated in the feeding area by modulating the values of daily variation in mean m and amplitude a. Here, $m = \frac{\text{Max}_T + \text{Min}_T}{2}$, and $a = \frac{\text{Max}_T - \text{Min}_T}{2}$. The quantities $\text{Max}_T = \frac{\alpha}{365 \times n}$ and $\text{Min}_T = \frac{\beta}{365 \times n}$, respectively, show the degrees by which the maximum and the minimum temperatures have risen over n years (1 year=365 days) (Amarasekare 2019).

Depending upon the temperature profile T_F (Eqn. (2)) and the accessibility to a refugium arena, the feeding arena is classified as static, temporal, and spatio-temporal. Static conditions reveal no temporal variation in T_F (i.e., m = 0 and a = 0) and the consumer does not move to the refugium (see Figs. 1(A)-1(B)). Temporal and spatio-temporal conditions are characterised by thermal variability across time (non-zero m and a) (see Figs. 1(E)-1(G)). However, the spatio-temporal habitat in addition allows the consumer to relocate into a refugium arena (see Fig. 1(D)) having temperature profile T_g as below:

$$T_g(t) = N_F + \sigma_F A_g \sin\left(\frac{t}{p}\right) + N_g,\tag{3}$$

where, N_g moves the temperature of the refugium above or beneath the temperature of the feeding arena. $0 \le A_g \le 1$ is a scaling factor demonstrating the sufficiency of the refugium via amplitude of temperature oscillations in the feeding region (Fey and Vasseur 2016).

Frequently, refugia exist in territories with poor or no food assets, like underground passages. For example, lizards access refugia from hot and dry environments by covering themselves underground to the detriment of not consuming prey (Rohr and Palmer 2013). Likewise, cold-intolerant Florida manatee (Trichechus manatus latirostris) forsake scavenging during cold water temperatures (Haase et al 2020), and Bevelhimer and Adams (1993) demonstrated that kokanee salmon accomplish cooler temperature levels to the detriment of being spatially isolated from their resources. Therefore, the resource remains stationary and equilibrates quickly with the surrounding temperature, that is:

$$T_R = T_F,\tag{4}$$

¹⁵⁶ but the consumer compromises between resource acquisition and performance optimisation ¹⁵⁷ while accessing the refugia. Particularly, as long as the consumer maintains its body tem-¹⁵⁸ perature (T_C) below an upper threshold T_U , it forages in the feeding area. Beyond T_U , the ¹⁵⁹ consumer retreats to the refugium until the temperature drops to the lower limit, T_L , followed ¹⁶⁰ by which it returns to the feeding area and resumes foraging there (Stevenson 1985, Cowles ¹⁶¹ and Bogert 2006). Therefore, the consumer body temperature depends upon its location ¹⁶² (L_t) and the temperature profile of that location, determined by Newton's law of cooling as:

$$\frac{dT_C}{dt} = \frac{1}{Q} \left(L_t T_F + (1 - L_t) T_g - T_C \right),$$
(5)

where L_t is a step function taking value 1 when the consumer is in the feeding area, and 0 if it retreats to the refugium. Therefore, L_t is always 1 in the case of static and temporal habitats. Nonetheless, in the case of the spatio-temporal condition, the consumer may regulate its body temperature by switching L_t from 1 to 0 (or vice-versa) and experience temperature fluctuations in the refugium arena with the same frequency but varying amplitude than the feeding area. Q is the thermal time constant which depends upon the consumer body size (M_C) as $Q = \exp^{0.72} M_C^{0.36}$ (Grigg et al 1979).

The minimum and maximum temperatures in the static habitat coincide with the mean temperatures and vary from 25 °C to 35 °C along variations in σ_F (Fig. 1(B)). The mean temperatures in typical seasonal fluctuations are low (changes from 25 °C to 23 °C, along with increasing σ_F (Fig. 1(E)). Nonetheless, warmer winters and hotter summers, respectively lead to higher mean temperatures ranging from 30 °C to 28 °C (Figs. 1(F)-1(G)). The refugium, on the other hand, retreats the consumer to a cooler temperature regime with a mean of ≈ 25 °C and no fluctuations to diel fluctuations between 24 °C to 26 °C (Fig. 1(I)).

177 Extreme heat wave condition

We also explore the utility of thermal refugia on species persistence during periods of extreme 178 heat wave events. A heat wave condition is characterized by the mean value of the habitat 179 temperature crossing a threshold temperature for 3 or more number of days consecutively 180 (Kovats and Hajat 2008, Kunze et al 2022). Figure 1(H) demonstrates that during a heat 181 wave event, the feeding region exhibits no temporal thermal variability (as in the static 182 case). Rather, experiences a temperature pulse at a difference of 6 $^{\circ}C$, for 5 consecutive 183 days (Kunze et al 2022), and for each amplitude of fluctuation. To examine the importance 184 of the refugium arena during heat stress periods on consumer-resource dynamics, we consider 185 cases: (i) without refugium; where the consumer cannot relocate to a cooler habitat, and (ii) 186 with refugium. More explicitly, we investigate the impact of a heat wave on small-body-sized 187 and large-body-sized organisms. 188

We simulate population time series for 100 years and record population dynamics in 189 the final 3 years for each amplitude of fluctuation (σ_F) varying in the range 0 - 10 °C. 190 For the sake of simplicity, we define the amplitude of fluctuations $\sigma_F \approx 4$ °C and below 191 as low, σ_F in the range $\approx 4 - 7$ °C as intermediate, and $\sigma_F \approx 7$ °C and above as high. 192 To set a frame of reference, we first study the population dynamics under static habitat (no 193 temporal variability and no access to refugia). We then comprehend how variations in species? 194 thermal regimes and access to the refugium arena during infeasible warming conditions 195 impact the stability and persistence of the considered ecosystem. We use an aggregate 196 approach which is based on evaluating individual-level parameters governing energy flux 197 within an interaction to determine population-level measures (Yodzis and Innes 1992) (see 198 Supplementary Information SI-1.2, Eqns. S.3-S.4). In addition, we perform stability analyses 199 to comprehend how identifying thermal refugia can impact system's ability to recover from 200

tiny perturbations. Followed by which we measure the robustness of our outcome for different system parameters through sensitivity analyses.



Figure 2. Thermal response of species physiological traits governing energy flux within the interaction as a function of the amplitude of fluctuation (σ_F) . Each panel from left to right corresponds to the $\frac{m_C(T_C)}{r(T_R)}$, $\frac{R_h}{K(T_R)}$, Interaction strength (B_{CR}) , and the gain-to-loss ratio (ρ) for (A)-(D) static habitats. Also for temporal and spatio-temporal habitats experiencing (E)-(H) typical seasonal fluctuations, (I)-(L) warmer-than-average winters, and (M)-(P) hotter-than-average summers. The parameter values are the same as in Fig. 1.

202

$_{203}$ Results

Considering the thermal response functions of species across combinations of the 3 different thermal habitats and the 3 different warming scenarios (see Fig. 2), we study how the amplitude of fluctuations (σ_F) affect species persistence, and the role of refugia, if any, in enhancing it. We observe that the consumer abundance in the static habitat declines along the increase in the amplitude irrespective of the warming scenarios (Figs. 3(A), 3(D), and 3(G)). This arises due to the monotonic response of its metabolic needs (Fig. 2(A)), depleting interaction strength (B_{CR}) between the species, and decline in attacking efficiencies of the consumer to gain energy from the resource (Figs. 2(B) and 2(D)). Meanwhile, the resource abundance is elevated at high temperature fluctuations on account of reduced resource accumulation by the consumers (Figs. 3(B), 3(E), and 3(H)). In the next sections, we find the conditions under which retreating to refugia is practical for species across thermally varying warming scenarios and provide a rationale for the same.



Figure 3. Impact of thermal variability on the consumer-resource dynamics experiencing different warming scenarios and habitat conditions. For each climate warming scenario (A), (D) and (G) depict the mean of the consumer abundance, (B), (E) and (H) represent the mean of the resource abundance, and (C), (F) and (I) is the standard deviation (S.D.) of fluctuations in consumer abundance. Dashed red lines correspond to the static habitat, and dotted yellow lines and solid blue lines correspond to the temporal and spatio-temporal habitats, respectively. The shaded region depicts the range of σ_F for which moving to the refugium is most beneficial in maintaining consumer abundance.

²¹⁶ Significance of refugia when the feeding region experiences typical seasonal fluctuations

At low σ_F , the aggregate phenotypes within the consumer-resource interaction depict similar responses in each of the temporal and spatio-temporal habitats, as observed in the static case (Figs. 2(A)-2(H)). Therefore, thermal variability and access to refugia at low amplitudes of fluctuations do not significantly impact species persistence. In comparison to the static, both temporal and spatio-temporal conditions at ≈ 5 °C lead to higher attacking efficiency (Fig. 2(F)) of the consumer accompanied by its stronger interaction with the resource. This provides energetic gains to the consumer and thus lowers the resource abundance at temperatures ≈ 24.8 °C-25 °C (Fig. 3(B)).

However, further elevation in σ_F leads to a decrease in the interaction strength (Fig. 2(G)), 225 yet the resource enrichment ratio increases (Fig. 2(F)). Thereupon, at high amplitudes re-226 source abundance increases and consumer abundance declines. Notably, at intermediate 227 values of σ_F , the temporal case maintains the highest consumer abundance followed by the 228 spatio-temporal and static counterparts. The consumer is most abundant in the spatio-229 temporal case only at higher values of $\sigma_F ~(\approx 9 \ ^\circ \text{C})$. In addition, the consumer relocating 230 in the refugium arena experiences high fluctuations in its abundance as compared to the 231 case without the refugium (Fig. 3(C)). Thus access to refugia does not significantly enhance 232 species persistence, unless at very high thermal variability. 233

²³⁴ Significance of refugia during warmer winters in the feeding region

When the feeding region experiences warmer-than-average winters, the consumer experiences higher body temperatures than in the seasonal environment. Thus, in comparison to seasonal fluctuations, here, the consumer exhibits an increase in energetic losses to its metabolic requirements (Fig. 2(I)) and perceives lesser resource due to a declining resource enrichment ratio (Fig. 2(J)).

At low values of σ_F , therefore, a static environment is most profitable to sustain consumer 240 abundance at low amplitudes (Fig. 3(D)). Increasing σ_F decreases the mean abundance of 241 the consumer such that the temporally variable habitat are better (in terms of maintaining 242 community ratio) than its spatio-temporal counterpart with access to the refugium, followed 243 by the static habitat. Further, the difference in the ratio $\frac{m_C(T_C)}{r(T_R)}$ for temporal and spatio-244 temporal habitats increases significantly along σ_F , revealing higher metabolic requirements 245 in the temporal case (Fig. 2(I)). Simultaneously, the consumer's energetic gain is high while 246 it can move between the feeding region and the refugium (Fig. 2(L)). Consequently, for high 247 thermal fluctuations at the feeding area, moving to the refugium can be beneficial to the 248

²⁴⁹ consumer population.

²⁵⁰ Significance of refugia during hotter summers in the feeding region

The mean temperatures during the hotter-than-average summers are similar to the mean 251 habitat temperatures during warmer winters. Nonetheless, in this case, the increase in daily 252 mean and daily amplitude of fluctuations together depicts the reduced abundance of the con-253 sumer (Fig. 3(G)). Unlike the aforementioned thermal scenarios, here, the static environment 254 is better in maintaining species abundance than the other two habitat conditions even at 255 intermediate values of σ_F (Fig. 3(G)). Because of elevated metabolic demands (Fig. 2(M)), 256 lowered energetic gains (Fig. 2(P)), and attacking efficiency (Fig. 2(N)) in temporal and 257 spatio-temporal case, the consumer abundance declines even in the presence of the refugium 258 arena. The overall community ratio is also disrupted at higher temperature fluctuations. 259 Thus, relocating to cooler habitats when the feeding arena exhibits low amplitude of fluctu-260 ations may impede species persistence. 261

On the other hand, on adapting to the thermal refugium in the intermediate range 262 of thermal fluctuations, the consumer attains lower metabolic requirements and obtains 263 increased net energetic gain. At $\sigma_F \approx 10$ °C (Fig. 3(G)), temporal thermal variability in 264 the feeding region leads to the consumer extirpation and resource reaches its self-limitation 265 (Fig. 3(H)). This however is buffered when the consumer switches between the feeding region 266 and the refugium. The fluctuation in species abundance decreases (increases) along σ_F , in 267 temporal (spatio-temporal) regions (Fig. 3(I)). Identifying retreating habitats during hotter 268 summers is, therefore, crucial for species persistence. 269

²⁷⁰ Consumers' performance and resource acquisition trade-off

On retreating to the refugium, the consumer attains lower metabolic requirements, however, at the cost of resource unavailability. This performance-acquisition trade-off due to the movement of the consumer is a keystone for community persistence. The consumer spends nearly 20% of its time in the refugium arena during a typical seasonal environment, at high



Figure 4. The impact of warming scenarios towards accessibility to the refugium. (A) The percentage of the time spent by the consumer in the thermally retreating habitat, and (B) the count of commutation/switching by the consumer between the feeding region and the refugium.

amplitudes of fluctuations. The amount of time spent by the consumer is $\approx 23\%$ in the 275 case of warmer winters. Increased duration in the refugium arena leads to the reduced net 276 flux of energy from the resource to the consumer, thus leading to decreased abundance. 277 This explains the reason why warmer winters are more detrimental than the typical seasonal 278 scenario. A similar argument follows for the hotter summers, as the time spent in this case is 279 $\approx 30\%$ (see Fig. 4). An increase in the time spent by the consumer in the refugia detriments 280 the consumer from energetic gains and can lead to its extinction. Therefore, the consumer 281 must reside in the feeding region for sufficient time to buffer the thermal fluctuations in the 282 feeding region along with optimisation of its performance and resource acquisition. 283

²⁸⁴ The impact of extreme heat wave event on the C-R interaction

We observe that the effectiveness of refugia during heat wave events at low amplitudes is contingent upon the body size of the species. The impact of stress caused by a heat wave event is more severe for the consumer with smaller body size, and access to refugia can propitiously act as an escape (Fig. 5(A)). Howbeit, the larger consumer do not experience notable advantages in relocating to thermal refugia during these events (Fig. 5(D)). The benefit obtained by small body-sized species is that small body-sized species have lower



Figure 5. Impact of thermal variability on the consumer-resource dynamics experiencing a heat pulse for 5 consecutive days. Panels correspond to the species mean abundance, the community ratio and the standard deviation (S.D.) in their abundance for the (A)-(C) small body-sized ($M_C = 50$), and (D)-(F) large body-sized ($M_C = 500$) consumer. The blue shaded region corresponds to the amplitude range for which the refugium maintains higher consumer abundance. The grey shaded region corresponds to the amplitude range where the consumer undergoes extinction.

metabolic requirements. Therefore, it trades off between the metabolic demands and the 291 resource accumulation such that the energetic losses are suppressed by the energetic gains. As 292 the amplitude of thermal fluctuations increases (beyond ≈ 7 °C), the consumer irrespective 293 of its body size gains no significant benefit of accessibility to the refugium. This is mainly 294 because, at high amplitudes, due to the monotonic temperature response of metabolism and 295 unimodal temperature response of the attack rate, the consumer exhibits lower attacking 296 capabilities but higher energetic requirements. This further leads to the annihilation of 297 the consumer population due to starvation at high temperatures, followed by which the 298 resource abundance reaches its carrying capacity (Figs. 5(B) and 5(E)). We also note that 299 the fluctuations in abundance of the small body-sized consumer are lower when they can move 300 to the refugium as compared to the case without the refugium (Fig. 5(C)). Accessibility to 301 the refugium, however, depicts the negligible difference in fluctuations in the abundance of 302 the large-sized consumer (Fig. 5(F)). In all, movement to a thermal refugium during the 303

³⁰⁴ period of heat waves can benefit the persistence of small-sized species at low to intermediate
³⁰⁵ amplitudes of thermal fluctuations. However, it may not buffer the detrimental impact of
³⁰⁶ warming at the higher amplitude of fluctuations for small-bodied as well as large-bodied
³⁰⁷ species.

308 Sensitivity analysis

In this section, we analyse our outcome for varying sets of ecological parameters. We find that our outcome is robust to changes in the individual-level phenotypical parameters (see Supplementary Information SI-1.3, Figs. SI-1.2-SI-1.9).



Figure 6. Robustness of consumer-resource dynamics along variations in the daily minima and maxima of the warming scenarios (warmer winters and hotter summers). In all the panels, $(\tilde{W}_2, \ldots, \tilde{W}_6)$ correspond to the daily changes in the minimum and maximum temperatures. For instance \tilde{W}_i corresponds to $\alpha = i, \beta = i + 1$ when experiencing warmer winters. \tilde{W}_i corresponds to $\alpha = i + 1, \beta = i$ when experiencing hotter summers. The bars corresponding to the downward arrow give the mean abundance under warmer winters. The bars corresponding to the upward arrow represent the mean abundance under hotter summers. Cases marked with E depict the warming-driven extinction of the consumer.

311 312

When the daily change in the minimum and maximum (Min_T and Max_T , respectively)

temperature is low $(\tilde{W}_2, \tilde{W}_3, \tilde{W}_4)$, the consumer abundance at low σ_F is same irrespective of 313 the habitat as well as the climate warming scenario (Figs. 6(A)-6(C)). Therefore, suggesting 314 no significant contribution of relocating to cooler habitats towards community abundance. Of 315 note, at low amplitudes, access to the refugium depicts low consumer abundance with static 316 habitat being most beneficial (Figs. 6(A)-6(J)). However, as the amplitude of fluctuation 317 increases, the refugium appears to be most profitable in sustaining consumer abundance, 318 followed by temporal and static conditions (Figs. 6(K)-6(T)). This result holds for changes 319 in the daily minima and maxima ranging from the case \tilde{W}_1 to \tilde{W}_6 . At $\sigma_F \approx 10$ °C, while 320 consumers do not persist in the static conditions, temporal habitat leads to a decline in 321 consumer abundance along changing values Min_T and Max_T . However, movement to refugia 322 promotes species persistence and buffers the impact of warming. Therefore, our results hold 323 good for varying ranges of Min_T and Max_T . 324

325 Stability of the C-R dynamics under varying thermal regimes

In addition, to comprehend species' persistence through changes in their abundance, it is 326 fundamental to study system dynamics and its resilience. The resilience of a system is a 327 measure of its stability, which asserts that a system, if stable, will always return to its initial 328 stable condition on being perturbed by tiny external disturbances (Recknagel 1985). We 329 now study the stability of the C-R dynamics in the presence of the thermal refugium, and 330 varying warming scenarios. In the presence of the temperature-dependent parameters, the 331 C-R interaction (Eqns. (1)) can be expressed as a piecewise affine "switching" system, as 332 below: 333

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}, t) = \begin{cases} A_1 \mathbf{X}(t), & \text{for } L_t = 1\\ A_2 \mathbf{X}(t), & \text{for } L_t = 0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $\mathbf{X} = [R \ C]'$ (with ' is the notation indicating the transpose operation) is a 2 × 1 vector in the state space, and the 2 × 2 matrices A_1 and A_2 define interaction sub-systems 1 and 2 $_{336}$ (from Eqns. (1)-(5)), respectively, such that:

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} r(T_{R}) \left(1 - Rq(T_{R})\right) & -\frac{a_{C}(T_{C})R}{R+R_{h}} \\ \frac{e_{C}a_{C}(T_{C})C}{R+R_{h}} & -m_{C}(T_{C}) \end{bmatrix},$$
(7a)

337 and

$$A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} r(T_{R}) \left(1 - Rq(T_{R})\right) & 0\\ 0 & -m_{C}(T_{C}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (8a)

Particularly, A_1 corresponds to the consumer-resource dynamics when the consumer inhabits the feeding area. A_2 represents C-R dynamics when the consumer retreats to the refugium. Thus, the C-R interaction switches between the vector field characterised by A_1 and A_2 depending upon the location and body temperature of the consumer.

Let us consider that a periodic orbit starts at time $t_o = \tau_o$, exhibits N number of switchings obtained at time $t_1 = \tau_1, t_2 = \tau_2, \dots, t_N = \tau_N$ to complete one cycle at $t_{N+1} = \tau$, such that $\mathbf{X}(\tau) = \mathbf{X}(\tau_o)$. We study period-to-period decrease or increase in the perturbation around the periodic orbit by calculating fundamental solution matrix/Monodromy matrix (Giaouris et al 2008, Klausmeier 2008):

$$\Phi(\tau_o + \tau, \tau_o, \mathbf{X}(\tau_o)) = \Phi(\tau_o + \tau, \tau_{N^+}, \mathbf{X}(\tau_{N^+})) \mathbf{S} \Phi(\tau_{N^-}, \tau_{N-1^+}, \mathbf{X}(\tau_{N-1^+})) \mathbf{S} \dots$$
$$\dots \Phi(\tau_{2^-}, \tau_{1^+}, \mathbf{X}(\tau_{1^+})) \mathbf{S} \Phi(\tau_{1^-}, \tau_o, \mathbf{X}(\tau_o)).$$
(9)

Here, τ_i – denotes the time instant just before switching, and τ_i + is the time instant just after the switching. Since the system is non-autonomous, we obtain the fundamental matrix between any time interval $(t_i \ t_j)$ by solving the matrix differential equation:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{\Phi}(t_j, t_i, \mathbf{X}(t_j))}{dt} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{t})\mathbf{\Phi}(t_i, t_j, \mathbf{X}(t_j)),$$
(10)

with initial condition $\Phi(t_o, t_o, \mathbf{X}(t_o)) = \mathbf{I}$, and $\mathbf{A}(A_1 or A_2)$ is the subsystem defined within 350 the time interval. S is the saltation/jump matrix evaluated at the switching instant τ_i , i =351 $1, 2, \ldots, N$. The eigenvalues of the fundamental solution matrix are termed as Floquet 352 multipliers essentially determining the time evolution of the perturbation around periodic 353 orbits (Klausmeier 2008). Importantly, to calculate Floquet multipliers it is important to 354 consider the change in the vector field at a switching event. In context, saltation/jump 355 matrices represent a jump in the system from one vector field to another. Let at the switching 356 instant, the periodic orbit passes from a subsystem with a given vector field $\mathbf{f}_{-}(\mathbf{X}(t))$ to the 357 subsystem having vector field $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{X}(t))$, the saltation matrix is given by: 358

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I} + \frac{(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{X}(t)) - (\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{X}(t))\mathbf{n}'}{\mathbf{n}'\mathbf{f}_{+}},\tag{11}$$

with $\mathbf{n}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ as the vector normal to the switching surface (with ' is the notation indicating the transpose operation). The vector field evaluated on one side of the switching manifold, i.e., $\lim_{t\uparrow\tau_i} \mathbf{f}_-$ ($\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t})$), is abbreviated as \mathbf{f}_- and $\lim_{t\downarrow\tau_i} \mathbf{f}_+$ ($\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{t})$), is abbreviated as \mathbf{f}_+ . Thus, when the system switches from the feeding arena to the refugium arena, we have

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-a_C(T_C)C}{r(T_R)(R+R_h)(1-Rq(T_R))} & 0\\ \frac{ea_C(T_C)C}{r(T_R)(R+R_h)(1-Rq(T_R))} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
(12a)

and if the system switches its dynamics from the refugium to the feeding arena, we have

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_C(T_C)C}{(R+R_h)(r(T_R)(1-Rq(T_R)) - \frac{a_C(T_C)C}{R+R_h})} & 0\\ \frac{-ea_C(T_C)C}{(R+R_h)(r(T_R)(1-Rq(T_R)) - \frac{a_C(T_C)C}{R+R_h})} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (13a)

We find that the Floquet multipliers for each of the thermal regimes lie within the unit circle, thereby depicting the existence of stable oscillatory solutions of the C-R system. The access to the refugium in each thermally variable scenario depicts higher resilience of the system



Figure 7. Stability analysis of the consumer-resource system for varying habitat as well as thermal variability conditions. (A), (D), (G) Loci for the Floquet multipliers for temporal and spatio-temporal cases. (B), (E), (H) Dominant eigenvalues of the Monodromy matrix representing Floquet exponents along the amplitude gradient, and (C), (F), (I) Return times of the consumer-resource system estimated as the reciprocal of the dominant eigenvalue of the Monodromy matrix. It demonstrates the time required for the system to reach $\approx 37\%$ of the initial perturbation displacement.

as compared to the temporal case (Fig. 7). In the typical seasonal fluctuations, the *C-R* periodic orbits are more stable (minimum return time) at low and high (extreme) values of σ_F (Figs. 7(A)-7(C)). Contrasting to it, the system equilibrates more quickly following a perturbation at the intermediate amplitude of thermal fluctuations for the other two warming scenarios (Figs. 7(E)- 7(F), and Figs. 7(H)-7(I)). The return time for the temporal habitat, in each of the warmer winters and hotter summers, is higher as compared to the spatio-temporal habitat (Figs. 7(F)-7(I)). It, therefore, highlights that thermal refugia during hotter summers can be relatively more beneficial than during warmer winters and typical fluctuations, for ecological interactions both in terms of species persistence and functional stability.

376 Discussion

Identification and management of thermal refugia to support the persistence of species ex-377 posed to rapid climate warming has gained attention lately (Keppel et al 2012, Ashcroft 378 2010). Yet, the usefulness of thermal refugia under different warming scenarios is often 379 overlooked. Here, we evaluate the reliability of refugia to enhance population persistence 380 under changing warming conditions. We find that refugia are more effective in promoting 381 species persistence and maintaining community functioning, specifically under high ampli-382 tude thermal fluctuations. Our result corroborates with a recent empirical investigation 383 (Storlazzi et al 2020) examining the phenomenon of internal tides as thermal refugia for 384 corals. The study incorporates diurnal temperature fluctuations, similar to the higher am-385 plitudes observed in our own work, and identifies a delay in coral bleaching when thermal 386 refugia are present. However, this contrasts with a previous study that suggests access to 387 refugia enhances consumer abundance (Fey and Vasseur 2016), regardless of the amplitude 388 of thermal fluctuations. Our finding is an aftermath of the unimodal thermal response curves 389 of species foraging behaviour. Particularly, when temperature fluctuations reach high am-390 plitudes, the attack rate of the consumer in its feeding habitats decreases significantly while 391 metabolic demands increase exponentially. Consequently, consumers experience starvation 392 in their feeding regions coupled with greater metabolic needs. However, refugia provide 393 favourable conditions that mitigate the impacts of warming, allowing consumers to alleviate 394 these challenges. Given that thermal refugia play a pivotal role in balancing the trade-off 395 between maximizing resource acquisition and performance, it is imperative for consumers to 396 relocate to refugia for an adequate duration in order to optimize this trade-off, especially in 397 the presence of high amplitude temperature fluctuations. 398

³⁹⁹ The significance of refugia decreases at habitats with lower amplitude of thermal fluctu-

ations and high mean temperatures (see Fig. 3). At lower amplitudes the metabolic needs of 400 the consumer in the feeding region are low, and it has an added energetic benefit due to the 401 availability of the resource. On switching to the refugium with similar metabolic demands, 402 its performance reduces due to no resource acquisition. Ebersole et al (2001) reports the 403 potential impact of warm stream temperatures on the distribution and abundance of Pacific 404 salmon, specifically rainbow trout. They emphasised that while the refugia offer some relief 405 and allow rainbow trout to persist, their overall benefits may be reduced in streams experi-406 encing high mean temperatures. In a similar line, we report that switching to refugia may 407 not be advantageous for population abundance under projected climate change conditions, 408 particularly at high mean temperatures and low amplitude fluctuations. 409

Furthermore, research suggests the prevalence of hotter weather conditions on the Earth's 410 surface with long summers (Pfleiderer et al 2019). We find that hotter summers are more 411 detrimental to species persistence than the warmer winters and typical seasonal fluctuations. 412 Therefore, while we uncover the effects of the amplitude of fluctuation on the usefulness of 413 refugia, the role of different climate scenarios is equivalently important. We show that refugia 414 are most advantageous in regions characterized by hotter summers, where they provide 415 substantial benefits. In contrast, in regions with typical seasonal fluctuations and warmer 416 winters, the cost associated with shifting to refugia may outweigh the thermal stress relief it 417 offers. These outcomes result in a distinction in the utility of refugia based on the latitudinal 418 variation of species. Specifically, temperate and Mediterranean species have evolved to thrive 419 in areas with pronounced thermal fluctuations and low mean temperatures, whereas tropical 420 species have adapted to function optimally under conditions of weak temperature fluctuations 421 but with high mean values (Tewksbury et al 2008, Amarasekare and Johnson 2017). As a 422 result, refugia may confer benefits to temperate and Mediterranean species, but they may not 423 be effective for species evolving in tropical regions. This finding presses upon the necessity 424 for additional strategies and measurements, beyond the preservation of refugia, to mitigate 425 the impact of ongoing climatic conditions on the persistence of species. 426

We also perform our analyses for variations in the refugium quality (see Supplementary 427 Information SI-1.4, Fig. SI-1.10), the time-scale of ecological dynamics (see Supplementary 428 Information SI-1.5, Figs. SI-1.11–SI-1.13). Additionally, refugia can also be characterised 429 by regions where species may relocate to increase their body temperatures during low mean 430 temperatures, termed basking areas (see Supplementary Information SI-1.6, Fig. SI-1.14). 431 Our results are consistent with selective adaptation to refugia in each of these cases, high-432 lighting the role of variations in thermal conditions towards identification and management 433 of such habitats. 434

Another applicability of refugia is their utility during extreme events, such as periods 435 of heat waves, storms, etc (Kunze et al 2022). We find that during extreme weather con-436 ditions, the advantage of species colonisation or habitat selection into refugia is subject to 437 species body size (Fig. 5). Particularly, for small-sized species, movement to refugia elevates 438 consumer abundance at low amplitudes, which is not observed for larger organisms. At high 439 amplitude of fluctuations, however, species undergo extirpation irrespective of the movement 440 to refugia as well as their body size. Thereby, challenging the usefulness of identifying and 441 adapting to thermally retreating habitats. If estimating the future loss of refugia and de-442 veloping management strategies to preserve it is an important practice (Dixon et al 2022, 443 Kurylyk et al 2015), it is equally vital to find when and where refugia appear beneficial 444 for populations from a biodiversity conservation perspective. In all, we find that relocation 445 to refugia might not always be a key strategy to adapt to climate change, but selective 446 adaptation to habitats with thermal heterogeneity can overcome the impacts of warming. 447 Consequently, when devising management strategies in regulating climatic conditions, trends 448 in the mean and amplitudes of thermal fluctuations demand consideration, rather than the 449 magnitude alone. 450

Further, stability analysis of the system through the derivation of Floquet multipliers (see Fig. 7) shows that the existence of refugia increases the resilience of species towards warming. It is yet to be shown that refugia access is the optimal adaptation strategy for

preserving biodiversity in general. Our study is among the first few to discuss consumer-454 resource dynamics and the impacts of refugia access across disparate climate regimes. Our 455 results have implications on discerning environmental conditions and warming regimes which 456 require refugia access. It is left for policymakers to culminate from theoretical findings and 457 real observations whether to identify refugia in a highly fluctuating environment or switch 458 to alternative cost-effective strategies, if any, for safeguarding vulnerable species. Refugia 459 lacking resources are practical when consumers lodge for short spans in the refugia and 460 switch to feeding arena in regular intervals (Rohr and Palmer 2013, Bevelhimer and Adams 461 1993). Whilst this is true, identifying natural refugia enabled with resources might aid 462 in expanding its utility to even larger body-sized organisms in periods of extreme events. 463 We present here a premier study investigating the significance of refugia on the persistence 464 of a resource-consumer system, while species dwell in a community interacting with other 465 individuals of the same or different taxa. A study on the effectiveness of refugia in a network 466 of resource-consumer competing for food and space is a promising future direction. 467

468 References

- ⁴⁶⁹ Amarasekare P (2019) Effects of climate warming on consumer-resource interactions: a lat⁴⁷⁰ itudinal perspective. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 7:146
- Amarasekare P, Coutinho RM (2014) Effects of temperature on intraspecific competition in
 ectotherms. The American Naturalist 184(3):E50–E65
- Amarasekare P, Johnson C (2017) Evolution of thermal reaction norms in seasonally varying
 environments. The American Naturalist 189(3):E31–E45
- ⁴⁷⁵ Ashcroft MB (2010) Identifying refugia from climate change. Journal of Biogeography
 ⁴⁷⁶ 37(8):1407–1413
- Attum O, Kramer A, El Din SMB (2013) Thermal utility of desert vegetation for the egyptian
 tortoise and its conservation implications. Journal of Arid Environments 96:73–79

- ⁴⁷⁹ Bevelhimer MS, Adams SM (1993) A bioenergetics analysis of diel vertical migration by
 ⁴⁸⁰ kokanee salmon, oncorhynchus nerka. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences
 ⁴⁸¹ 50(11):2336-2349
- ⁴⁸² Binzer A, Guill C, Brose U, Rall BC (2012) The dynamics of food chains under climate change
 ⁴⁸³ and nutrient enrichment. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
 ⁴⁸⁴ Sciences 367(1605):2935–2944
- Bjørnstad ON, Grenfell BT (2001) Noisy clockwork: time series analysis of population fluctuations in animals. Science 293(5530):638–643
- ⁴⁸⁷ Boyce MS, Haridas CV, Lee CT, Group NSDW, et al (2006) Demography in an increasingly
 ⁴⁸⁸ variable world. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21(3):141–148
- Cabrerizo MJ, Marañón E (2021) Temperature fluctuations in a warmer environment: impacts on microbial plankton. Faculty Reviews 10(9)
- ⁴⁹¹ Change PC (2018) Global warming of 1.5 °C. World Meteorological Organization: Geneva,
 ⁴⁹² Switzerland
- ⁴⁹³ Chapperon C, Seuront L (2011) Space-time variability in environmental thermal properties
 ⁴⁹⁴ and snail thermoregulatory behaviour. Functional Ecology 25(5):1040-1050
- ⁴⁹⁵ Chen J, Saunders SC, Crow TR, Naiman RJ, Brosofske KD, Mroz GD, Brookshire BL,
 ⁴⁹⁶ Franklin JF (1999) Microclimate in forest ecosystem and landscape ecology: variations
 ⁴⁹⁷ in local climate can be used to monitor and compare the effects of different management
 ⁴⁹⁸ regimes. BioScience 49(4):288–297
- ⁴⁹⁹ Clusella-Trullas S, Blackburn TM, Chown SL (2011) Climatic predictors of temperature

⁵⁰⁰ performance curve parameters in ectotherms imply complex responses to climate change.

The American Naturalist 177(6):738–751

- ⁵⁰² Cowles RB, Bogert CM (2006) Preliminary study of the thermal requirements of desert
 ⁵⁰³ reptiles. Iguana 13(1):53–60
- ⁵⁰⁴ Culling MA, Janko K, Boron A, VASIL'EV VP, Cote IM, Hewitt GM (2006) European
 ⁵⁰⁵ colonization by the spined loach (cobitis taenia) from ponto-caspian refugia based on
 ⁵⁰⁶ mitochondrial dna variation. Molecular Ecology 15(1):173–190
- ⁵⁰⁷ Custer AV (2005) Stoichiometric estimates of the biochemical conversion efficiencies in tsetse
 ⁵⁰⁸ metabolism. BMC Ecology 5:6
- ⁵⁰⁹ Deutsch CA, Tewksbury JJ, Huey RB, Sheldon KS, Ghalambor CK, Haak DC, Martin PR
- (2008) Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. Proceedings
 of the National Academy of Sciences 105(18):6668–6672
- ⁵¹² Dixon AM, Forster PM, Heron SF, Stoner AM, Beger M (2022) Future loss of local-scale
 ⁵¹³ thermal refugia in coral reef ecosystems. PLoS Climate 1(2):e0000,004
- Ebersole J, Liss W, Frissell C (2001) Relationship between stream temperature, thermal refugia and rainbow trout oncorhynchus mykiss abundance in arid-land streams in the northwestern united states. Ecology of freshwater fish 10(1):1–10
- Fey SB, Vasseur DA (2016) Thermal variability alters the impact of climate warming on consumer-resource systems. Ecology 97(7):1690–1699
- Giaouris D, Banerjee S, Zahawi B, Pickert V (2008) Stability analysis of the continuousconduction-mode buck converter via filippov's method. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers 55(4):1084–1096
- Grigg GC, Drane CR, Courtice GP (1979) Time constants of heating and cooling in the eastern water dragon. physignathus lesueurii and some generalizations about heating and cooling in reptiles. Journal of Thermal Biology 4(1):95–103

- Haase CG, Fletcher Jr RJ, Slone DH, Reid JP, Butler SM (2020) Traveling to thermal refuges
 during stressful temperatures leads to foraging constraints in a central-place forager. Journal of Mammalogy 101(1):271–280
- Hughes L (2000) Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already apparent?
 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 15(2):56–61
- Kaur T, Dutta PS (2020) Persistence and stability of interacting species in response to
 climate warming: the role of trophic structure. Theoretical Ecology 13(3):333–348
- ⁵³² Kaur T, Dutta PS (2022) Critical rates of climate warming and abrupt collapse of ecosystems.
- Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences
 478(2264):20220,086, DOI 10.1098/rspa.2022.0086
- Keppel G, Wardell-Johnson GW (2012) Refugia: keys to climate change management. Global
 Change Biology 18(8):2389–2391
- ⁵³⁷ Keppel G, Van Niel KP, Wardell-Johnson GW, Yates CJ, Byrne M, Mucina L, Schut AG,
- Hopper SD, Franklin SE (2012) Refugia: identifying and understanding safe havens for
 biodiversity under climate change. Global Ecology and Biogeography 21(4):393–404
- Klausmeier CA (2008) Floquet theory: a useful tool for understanding nonequilibrium dynamics. Theoretical Ecology 1(3):153–161
- Kovats RS, Hajat S (2008) Heat stress and public health: a critical review. Annu Rev Public
 Health 29:41–55
- Kunze C, Luijckx P, Jackson AL, Donohue I (2022) Alternate patterns of temperature variation bring about very different disease outcomes at different mean temperatures. Elife
 11:e72,861
- 547 Kurylyk BL, MacQuarrie KT, Linnansaari T, Cunjak RA, Curry RA (2015) Preserving,

- augmenting, and creating cold-water thermal refugia in rivers: Concepts derived from
 research on the miramichi river, new brunswick (canada). Ecohydrology 8(6):1095–1108
- Lawson CR, Vindenes Y, Bailey L, van de Pol M (2015) Environmental variation and population responses to global change. Ecology Letters 18(7):724–736
- Li HW, Currens K, Bottom D, Clarke S, Dambacher J, Frissel C, Harris P, Hughes R,
 McCullough D, McGie A, et al (1995) Safe havens: refuges and evolutionarily significant
 units. In: American Fisheries Society Symposium[AM. FISH. SOC. SYMP.]. 1995.
- Mo S, Chen T, Chen Z, Zhang W, Li S (2022) Marine heatwaves impair the thermal refugia
 potential of marginal reefs in the northern south china sea. Science of The Total Environ ment 825:154,100
- Murdoch WW, Briggs CJ, Nisbet RM (2003) Consumer-resource dynamics. Princeton Uni versity Press, Princeton, NJ
- Oliver EC, Burrows MT, Donat MG, Sen Gupta A, Alexander LV, Perkins-Kirkpatrick
 SE, Benthuysen JA, Hobday AJ, Holbrook NJ, Moore PJ, et al (2019) Projected marine
 heatwaves in the 21st century and the potential for ecological impact. Frontiers in Marine
 Science 6:734
- ⁵⁶⁴ Ovaskainen O, Meerson B (2010) Stochastic models of population extinction. Trends in
 ⁵⁶⁵ Ecology & Evolution 25(11):643-652
- Paaijmans KP, Heinig RL, Seliga RA, Blanford JI, Blanford S, Murdock CC, Thomas MB
 (2013) Temperature variation makes ectotherms more sensitive to climate change. Global
 Change Biology 19(8):2373–2380
- Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across
 natural systems. Nature 421(6918):37–42

- ⁵⁷¹ Pfleiderer P, Schleussner CF, Kornhuber K, Coumou D (2019) Summer weather becomes
 ⁵⁷² more persistent in a 2° c world. Nature Climate Change 9(9):666–671
- ⁵⁷³ Rall BC, VUCIC-PESTIC O, Ehnes RB, Emmerson M, Brose U (2010) Temperature,
 ⁵⁷⁴ predator-prey interaction strength and population stability. Global Change Biology
 ⁵⁷⁵ 16(8):2145-2157
- Recknagel F (1985) Analysis of structural stability of aquatic ecosystems as an aid for ecosystems as an aid for ecosystem control. Ecological Modelling 27(3-4):221–234
- ⁵⁷⁸ Rohr JR, Palmer BD (2013) Climate change, multiple stressors, and the decline of ec-⁵⁷⁹ totherms. Conservation Biology 27(4):741–751
- Scheffers BR, Edwards DP, Diesmos A, Williams SE, Evans TA (2014) Microhabitats reduce
 animal's exposure to climate extremes. Global Change Biology 20(2):495–503
- Sears MW, Angilletta Jr MJ (2015) Costs and benefits of thermoregulation revisited: both
 the heterogeneity and spatial structure of temperature drive energetic costs. The American
 Naturalist 185(4):E94–E102
- Sinervo B, Mendez-De-La-Cruz F, Miles DB, Heulin B, Bastiaans E, Villagrán-Santa Cruz
 M, Lara-Resendiz R, Martínez-Méndez N, Calderón-Espinosa ML, Meza-Lázaro RN, et al
 (2010) Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change and altered thermal niches. Science
 328(5980):894–899
- Stevenson R (1985) The relative importance of behavioral and physiological adjustments con trolling body temperature in terrestrial ectotherms. The American Naturalist 126(3):362–
 386
- Stewart JR, Lister AM (2001) Cryptic northern refugia and the origins of the modern biota.
 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16(11):608–613

- Storlazzi CD, Cheriton OM, Van Hooidonk R, Zhao Z, Brainard R (2020) Internal tides
 can provide thermal refugia that will buffer some coral reefs from future global warming.
 Scientific reports 10(1):13,435
- ⁵⁹⁷ Tewksbury J, Huey R, Deutsch C (2008) Climate warming puts the heat on tropical ec-⁵⁹⁸ totherms. Science 320:1296–1297
- Tylianakis JM, Didham RK, Bascompte J, Wardle DA (2008) Global change and species
 interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecology Letters 11(12):1351–1363
- ⁶⁰¹ Uszko W, Diehl S, Englund G, Amarasekare P (2017) Effects of warming on predator–
 ⁶⁰² prey interactions-a resource-based approach and a theoretical synthesis. Ecology Letters
 ⁶⁰³ 20(4):513-523
- ⁶⁰⁴ Vasseur DA, McCann KS (2005) A mechanistic approach for modeling temperature ⁶⁰⁵ dependent consumer-resource dynamics. The American Naturalist 166(2):184–198
- Vasseur DA, DeLong JP, Gilbert B, Greig HS, Harley CD, McCann KS, Savage V, Tunney TD, O'Connor MI (2014) Increased temperature variation poses a greater risk to
 species than climate warming. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281(1779):20132,612
- Verdura J, Santamaría J, Ballesteros E, Smale DA, Cefalì ME, Golo R, de Caralt S, Vergés
 A, Cebrian E (2021) Local-scale climatic refugia offer sanctuary for a habitat-forming
 species during a marine heatwave. Journal of Ecology 109(4):1758–1773
- ⁶¹³ Walther GR, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, Beebee TJ, Fromentin JM, Hoegh⁶¹⁴ Guldberg O, Bairlein F (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature
 ⁶¹⁵ 416(6879):389–395
- Yodzis P, Innes S (1992) Body size and consumer-resource dynamics. The American Naturalist 139(6):1151–1175