

# Network and parasitological analyses reveal latitudinal gradient in bats-ectoparasitic flies interactions across the Neotropic

Luana da Silva Biz<sup>1</sup>, Vinicius Bastazini<sup>2</sup>, Fernando Carvalho<sup>3</sup>, and Maria Ramos Pereira<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul



<sup>2</sup>University of Évora

<sup>3</sup>Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense

June 14, 2023

## Abstract

Ecological interactions between parasites and their hosts play a fundamental role in evolutionary processes. Selection pressures are exerted on parasites and their hosts, usually resulting in high levels of specificity. Such is the case of ectoparasitic bat-flies, but how large-scale spatial gradients affect the dynamics of their interactions with their bat hosts is still unknown. In the present study, we investigated interaction patterns between bats and their ectoparasitic flies (Streblidae and Nycteribiidae), both presenting their peak of diversity in the Neotropical region, along a latitudinal gradient. Using network analyses and parasitic indices, grounded on the latitudinal diversity gradient theory, we evaluated how spatial gradients affect species interactions and parasitic indices at the macroscale level, predicting that interaction networks should become richer in species, leading to increases in network modularity, size, and specialization, and to a decrease in nestedness and connectance. We conducted a literature review, focusing on studies done in the Neotropical region, and data of our own authorship. We obtained a richness of 97 species of bats parasitized by 128 species of ectoparasitic flies, distributed into 57 interaction networks between latitudes 29°S and 19°N in the Neotropic. Network metrics and parasitic indices varied along the latitudinal gradient, with changes in richness of bats and their ectoparasitic flies and in the structure of their interactions; network specialization, modularity and connectance increase with latitude, while network size decreases with latitude. Regions closer to the equator had higher parasite loads. Our results show that interaction networks metrics present a latitudinal gradient and that such interactions, when observed at a local scale, hide variations that only become perceptible at larger scales. In this way, ectoparasites such as bat flies are not only influenced by the ecology and biology of their hosts, but by other environmental factors acting directly on their distribution and survival.



The closer to the equator the interactions become richer. But unlike the latitudinal gradient of specialization, bats and their ectoparasitic flies show greater specificity as latitude increases.

1 **Network and parasitological analyses reveal latitudinal gradient in bats-ectoparasitic flies**  
2 **interactions across the Neotropic**

3

4 Luana S. Biz<sup>1,2</sup>, Vinicius A. G. Bastazini<sup>3,4</sup>, Fernando Carvalho<sup>5,6</sup>, Maria João Ramos Pereira<sup>2,7</sup>

5 1 - Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do  
6 Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil.

7 2 - Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Bird and Mammal Evolution, Systematics and Ecology  
8 Laboratory, Avenida Bento Gonçalves, 9500, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

9 3 - ‘Rui Nabeiro’ Biodiversity Chair, University of Évora. Rua Dr. Joaquim Henrique da Fonseca, 7000-890,  
10 Évora, Portugal.

11 4 - MED - Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development & CHANGE – Global  
12 Change and Sustainability Institute, Institute for Advanced Studies and Research, University of Évora,  
13 Portugal.

14 5 - Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Ambientais da Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense  
15 (UNESC), Criciúma, Brazil.

16 6 - Laboratório de Zoologia e Ecologia de Vertebrados (LABZEV) da Universidade do Extremo Sul  
17 Catarinense (UNESC), Criciúma, Brazil.

18 7 – Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal.

19 Corresponding author: Luana S. Biz; luanabiz\_@hotmail.com

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 Ecological interactions between parasites and their hosts play a fundamental role in evolutionary processes.

23 Selection pressures are exerted on parasites and their hosts, usually resulting in high levels of specificity. Such

24 is the case of ectoparasitic bat-flies, but how large-scale spatial gradients affect the dynamics of their  
25 interactions with their bat hosts is still unknown. In the present study, we investigated interaction patterns  
26 between bats and their ectoparasitic flies (Streblidae and Nycteribiidae), both presenting their peak of diversity  
27 in the Neotropical region, along a latitudinal gradient. Using network analyses and parasitic indices, grounded  
28 on the latitudinal diversity gradient theory, we evaluated how spatial gradients affect species interactions and  
29 parasitic indices at the macroscale level, predicting that interaction networks should become richer in species,  
30 leading to increases in network modularity, size, and specialization, and to a decrease in nestedness and  
31 connectance. We conducted a literature review, focusing on studies done in the Neotropical region, and data of  
32 our own authorship. We obtained a richness of 97 species of bats parasitized by 128 species of ectoparasitic  
33 flies, distributed into 57 interaction networks between latitudes 29°S and 19°N in the Neotropic. Network  
34 metrics and parasitic indices varied along the latitudinal gradient, with changes in richness of bats and their  
35 ectoparasitic flies and in the structure of their interactions; network specialization, modularity and connectance  
36 increase with latitude, while network size decreases with latitude. Regions closer to the equator had higher  
37 parasite loads. Our results show that interaction networks metrics present a latitudinal gradient and that such  
38 interactions, when observed at a local scale, hide variations that only become perceptible at larger scales. In  
39 this way, ectoparasites such as bat flies are not only influenced by the ecology and biology of their hosts, but  
40 by other environmental factors acting directly on their distribution and survival.

41 **Key words:** Biogeography, ecological networks, macroecology, parasitology.

42

## 43 INTRODUCTION

44 Ecosystems are composed of a wide diversity of ecological interactions at different trophic levels  
45 (Ricklefs & Relyea, 2016). Such interactions act on the dynamics and stability of the environments (Xing &  
46 Fayle, 2021), promoting biodiversity. The understanding of the time, space, and mode of these interactions is  
47 still incipient, despite being fundamental for the understanding of ecological patterns and processes and for the  
48 design of truly holistic conservation measures. In this context, ecological network analyses constitute a

49 fundamental tool for a comprehensive and integrated understanding of ecological systems (Delmas et al., 2019;  
50 Guimarães, 2020; Júnior et al., 2020), particularly the structural and functional dynamics of ecological  
51 interactions (Olesen et al., 2007; Vázquez et al., 2009). The interaction network approach has been known in  
52 the field of ecology since at least the 1940s, with the beginning of classic research on antagonistic relationships  
53 (Mello, 2010). However, only recently, has this tool become popular among ecologists (Dáttilo & Rico-Gray,  
54 2018; Pellissier et al., 2018).

55         The relationship between parasites and their hosts deserves full attention in ecology (Gómez &  
56 Nichols, 2013). Indeed, biodiversity is driven and sustained by eco-evolutionary processes (Solé, 2022), in  
57 which parasites play a fundamental role. In 1973, the evolutionary biologist Leigh Van Valen formulated the  
58 Red Queen Hypothesis, where he postulated that interacting species in ecological networks are in constant co-  
59 evolution (Van Valen, 1973; Solé, 2022). Parasites are the commonest life form found on Earth (Poulin &  
60 Morand, 2000), and are in a perpetual arms race with their hosts (Papkou et al., 2016), making them key players  
61 in maintaining biological diversity. Parasites play fundamental roles at the individual level, acting on the host's  
62 immune response, at the population level, for example structuring host population density and affecting survival  
63 rates, and at the ecosystem level, acting for instance on nutrient cycles (Ebert et al., 2000; Gómez et al., 2012;  
64 Speer et al., 2020).

65         Among the parasitic systems found in mammals, bats (Chiroptera) and their ectoparasitic flies  
66 (Streblidae and Nycteribiidae), known as “bat-flies”, are a model system. This is due, on the one hand, to the  
67 diversity of biological and ecological characteristics of the Chiroptera, reflected in its taxonomic and  
68 ecomorphological variety, including body size, dietary and foraging strategies, roosting ecology, social  
69 systems, and colony size, as well as to the wide geographic distribution of the group (Patterson et al., 2007).  
70 On the other hand, the system is a model also because of the evolutionary characteristics of ectoparasites,  
71 particularly their high specificity, – about 70 to 90% of bat flies in the Neotropic parasitize a specific species  
72 or genus of bat (Marshall, 1982; Dick & Gettinger, 2005; Dick, 2007) –, adenotrophic viviparity and the

73 presence of winged, brachypterous, and wingless species (Marshall, 1982). Those diverse attributes found in  
74 the two groups contribute to different infestation patterns (Hiller et al., 2021).

75         Understanding the patterns of these associations at the biogeographic scale is a fundamental ecological  
76 question, as different features along environmental gradients shape the richness and structure of interactions  
77 between species. Especially for bats and their ectoparasitic flies, attributes such as roosting ecology and colony  
78 size can be factors structuring these interactions, as roost are crucial for the reproductive success and survival  
79 of these bat flies (Urbieta et al., 2022). Unavoidably, bay occupancy is dependent on adequate roost availability  
80 in the surrounding environment (Guimarães & Ferreira, 2014), so a macroscale look may reveal modulations  
81 in these interactions along the latitudinal gradient.

82         A trend towards increasing richness and parasite loads for bat flies towards the equator is to be  
83 expected, due to the availability of many, and particularly large, caves in the tropical region (Ladle et al., 2012;  
84 Vargas-Menos et al., 2020; Barros et al., 2021; Tanalgo et al., 2022). These cavities, when used by bats are  
85 known as “bat caves” (Ito et al., 2022). Among these, there are “hot caves”, which are certain underground  
86 chambers presenting consistently high temperatures generated by the presence of very large bat colonies,  
87 together with specific speleological characteristics (Ladle et al., 2012; Ito et al., 2022). Several bats roosting  
88 there have geographic ranges restricted to the tropical region, and are found exclusively or predominantly in  
89 these “hot caves”, as is the case of the genus *Pteronotus* in the Neotropic (Ladle et al., 2012; Tanalgo et al.,  
90 2022; Ito et al., 2022). The combination of these factors should promote greater diversity of bat flies, fitting  
91 the general latitudinal diversity gradient pattern. The latitudinal gradient in species richness, with an increasing  
92 number of species towards the equator, is one of the most accepted patterns in ecology (Rohde, 1992;  
93 Mittelbach et al., 2007), and is more clearly observed at larger scales than on smaller scales (Mittelbach et al.,  
94 2007). In contrast, recent large-scale studies on latitudinal gradients in biotic interactions have shown mixed  
95 results, not supporting the idea that specialization is always higher in the tropics (Molles & Ollerton, 2016).  
96 Studying such wide-scale associations and comparing interaction networks in different environments along the  
97 latitudinal gradient may help us recognize the environmental factors structuring those interactions (Pellissier et

98 al., 2018; Xing & Fayle, 2021), and how those networks are shaped concomitantly with changes in the richness  
99 of species of both parasites and hosts.

100 The Neotropical region, extending from Central Mexico and the Caribbean Islands to southern South  
101 America (Dinerstein et al., 2017), is characterized by its incredible biodiversity (Dinerstein et al., 2017). Here  
102 bats present their peak of taxonomic and eco-morphological diversity (Aguirre, 2002; Sampaio et al., 2003).  
103 Indeed, at the local level, we may find up to 117 bat species, with most environments harboring over 50 species  
104 per 25km<sup>2</sup> (Fischer et al., 2018; Delgado-Jaramillo et al., 2020). Furthermore, particularly in neotropical  
105 rainforests, bats account for about 40% of the mammal species present (Emmons & Feer, 1997; Aguirre, 2002;  
106 Sampaio et al., 2003). With no surprise, this is reflected in the richness and diversity of their ectoparasitic flies,  
107 which is positively correlated with that of their hosts (Dick & Gettinger, 2005).

108 Here, we hypothesize that the patterns of interactions between bats and their ectoparasitic flies are  
109 shaped by the latitudinal diversity gradient. Specifically, we expect a modification of the structure of the  
110 interaction networks between bats and their ectoparasitic flies throughout the Neotropic. As we approach the  
111 tropics interaction networks should become richer in species, following the typical latitudinal diversity  
112 gradient; as a result, this should lead to an increase in network modularity, size, and specialization, and a  
113 decrease in nestedness and connectance. Secondly, we expect greater richness and ectoparasitic load at lower  
114 latitudes. This should reflect the greater diversity of bats and the presence of larger colonies at lower latitudes  
115 in the Neotropical region (De Oliveira et al., 2018), supported by an apparent high availability of hot caves  
116 (Ladle et al., 2012) as reflected by recent findings in northeastern Brazil (e.g. Vargas-Mena et al., 2018).

117

## 118 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 119 *Data collection*

120 The present study was based on scientific publications on bats and the ectoparasitic bat-flies of the  
121 Streblidae and Nycteribiidae (Supplementary Data 1), as well as on our own data collected between 2016 and  
122 2022 at several sites in the southern Brazilian Atlantic Forest. We conducted a bibliometric search for articles

123 indexed in the databases: Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect and Scielo, using the keywords “bats” or  
124 “Chiroptera”, and “ectoparasite” or “Nycteribiidae” or “Streblidae”, in English. We added the retrieved  
125 manuscripts to Mendeley, in which we excluded duplicates (articles indexed in more than one database). After  
126 compiling the articles, we selected those including studies done in the Neotropical region, containing  
127 information on species richness and abundance for ectoparasites and bats, and latitude data. For those  
128 manuscripts where the geographic location was not given in detail, we extracted approximated geographic  
129 coordinates using Google Earth. For manuscripts with more than one sampling site, we separated them into  
130 different datasets. The data used in the research comprised articles published from 1999 to 2021.

### 131 ***Structuring of interaction networks***

132 For each dataset we built weighted matrices, based on the frequency of occurrence of the interactions  
133 between bat-flies and their bat hosts. For each matrix, we plotted the interaction networks, calculating the  
134 following indices: i) specialization (H2): depicts the level of exclusivity of the ecological interactions,  
135 measuring niche complementarity between species, with values varying from 0 to 1, from the least to the most  
136 specialized the network (Blüthgen, 2010); ii) modularity: evaluates the way in which nodes are partitioned into  
137 separate subsets within the network as a whole, using the “Beckett” metric (Beckett, 2016; Mello et al., 2016);  
138 iii) nestedness (NODF metric): evaluates how closely a matrix comes to being perfectly nested, i.e. the overlap  
139 of more specialist species with more generalist species, varying from 0 to 100, respectively from non-nested to  
140 fully nested networks (Almeida-Neto et al., 2008); iv) connectance: evaluates the proportion between the  
141 interactions found in the network and all the possible interactions (Mello et al., 2016); and v) network size: the  
142 number of vertices found in the network (Mello et al., 2016). To calculate the indices and generate the networks,  
143 we used the *vegan*, *bipartite*, and *network* packages (Dormann, 2009; Oksanen et al., 2018; Butts, 2020) in the  
144 R environment.

145

### 146 ***Parasitic indices***

147 In parasitology, for each parasite sample, usually, the sampling units are the individual hosts  
148 (Reiczigel et al., 2019). Thus, the presence of parasites at the individual or population level creates patterns  
149 that may be described by specific parasitic indices describing aspects of the parasitic infections (Reiczigel et  
150 al., 2019). Here, for each set of data, i.e., for each sampling location, we used two of the mainly used  
151 parasitological indices: i) general prevalence of infestation (P): the proportion of infected hosts in relation to  
152 the total set of hosts sampled; and ii) mean infestation intensity (MI): the arithmetic mean of parasites found in  
153 a set of infected hosts, excluding parasite-free hosts. The two indices were calculated using the Quantitative  
154 Parasitology 1.0.15 environment (QPWeb, Reiczigel et al., 2019).

155

#### 156 *Evaluating the influence of latitude on network structure, richness, and parasitic indices*

157 To analyze whether there was a difference in the structure of the interaction networks along the  
158 latitudinal gradient, we used Generalized Linear Models (GLM). We used the structural network metrics  
159 (specialization, modularity, nestedness, connectance, and network size) as response variables and latitude as  
160 the predictor variable. We also used network size as a predictor of specialization, modularity, nestedness and  
161 connectance, to remove the effect it might have on these structural network metrics. Indeed, some metrics might  
162 be sensitive to changes in network size; for example, nestedness tends to increase with larger networks, (Nielsen  
163 & Bascompte, 2007). We used multiple regression in the GLM models. For models with response variables in  
164 the form of proportions, we used the Beta distribution function, and for count response variables, the Poisson  
165 distribution function. We performed residual analysis to evaluate the error distribution. Generalized Linear  
166 Models were also used to evaluate whether ectoparasites richness and parasitic indices varied along the  
167 latitudinal gradient. After performing all the analyses, we verified the existence of spatial autocorrelation in the  
168 residuals. All analyzes were performed in the R environment (R Development Core Team, 2018), using the  
169 packages *glmmTMB*, *ncf*, *visreg* and *ggplot2* (Nychka et al., 2002; Wickham, 2016; Brooks et al., 2017;  
170 Breheny & Burchett, 2017).

171

172 **RESULTS**

173 From the literature search, we obtained 49 research papers containing the necessary information for  
174 the analyses, from which we generated 57 interaction networks. The datasets were distributed from southern  
175 Mexico to southern Brazil, between latitudes 29°S and 19°N (Figure 1 and Supplementary Data 1).

176

177

178


179



180 **Figure 1.** Sampling locations for the 48 studies retrieved in the bibliometric  
181 search, from which we generated 57 interaction networks between bats and ectoparasitic bat-  
182 flies.

183

184 We obtained data for 97 bat species, distributed in 43 genera and seven families, and 128 species of bat-  
185 flies, 111 species and 20 genera of the Streblidae, and 17 species of the Nycteribiidae, all from the genus *Basilia*  
186 (Figure 2 - Supplementary Data 2). The bat species with the highest parasite richness was *Glossophaga soricina*  
187 (Pallas, 1766), with 28 species of ectoparasites, followed by *Carollia perspicillata* (Linnaeus, 1758), with 22  
188 species of bat-flies. Of all the bat species parasitized in this study, 29 were parasitized by solely one species of bat-  
189 fly.




**Figure 2.** Interaction network with unified data for the entire Neotropical Region, between bats (Chiroptera) and their ectoparasitic flies (Streblidae and Nycteribiidae). Scientific names of both groups in code (Supplementary Data 3).

191           The specialization index (H2) was high throughout the Neotropic, ranging from 0.45 to 1.00, with the  
192 vast majority (83%) above 0.80. H2 responded positively to latitude, i.e, as latitude increases, networks tended to  
193 be more specialized ( $\beta=2.298$ ;  $p=0.025$ ;  $R^2=0.089$  – Figure 3). Modularity ranged from 0.28 to 0.88, responding  
194 positively to the size of the network ( $\beta=4.860$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  $R^2=0.296$  – Figure 3). Nestedness and connectance were  
195 generally low, ranging from 0.00 to 23.34 and 0.05 to 0.33, respectively. Connectance responded negatively to the  
196 size of the network ( $\beta=-8.889$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  $R^2=0.677$  – Figure 3). Network size ranged from 8 to 67, also responding  
197 negatively to latitude ( $\beta=-7.253$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  $R^2=0.154$  – Figure 4a).

198           The richness of ectoparasites responded positively to the richness of their hosts ( $F=30.21$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  
199  $R^2=53.27$  – Figure 5a), which indirectly influenced the opposite response for latitude (Figure 5b). Prevalence  
200 ranged from 20.12 to 84.30%, but did not respond to latitude, despite the mean infestation intensity has shown a  
201 negative relation with latitude ( $F=11.84$ ;  $p=0.001$ ;  $R^2=0.224$ ), ranging from 0.92 to 6.12 (Figure 4b).

202



204 **Figure 3.** Variation of a) specialization (H2), b) modularity, and c) connectance of networks between bats and their  
 205 ectoparasitic flies in the Neotropical region in response to latitude and network size.


206

207


208

209

210



**Figure 4.** Variation in the size of the networks between bats and their ectoparasitic flies with latitude (a) and variation in the average intensity of infestation with latitude (b), in the Neotropical region.



211

212 **Figure 5.** Variation in ectoparasite richness in relation to host richness (a) and latitudinal gradient (b), along the

213 Neotropical region

214

215 **DISCUSSION**

216 Here we present the first evaluation of the structuring of the interaction networks between bats and their

217 ectoparasitic flies, as well as of parasitic indices, at a macroscale along the Neotropical region. Although the

218 number of studies on interactions between bats and their ectoparasitic flies has increased in recent years, the vast

219 majority are still carried out at local or regional scales (e.g. Fagundes et al., 2017; Salinas-Ramos et al., 2018;

220 Hiller et al., 2020; Trujillo-Pahua & Ibáñez-Bernal, 2020; Tlapaya-Romero et al., 2021; Biz et al., 2021). Fewer

221 studies have provided data gathered on larger scales (but see Eriksson et al., 2019; Saldaña- Vázquez et al., 2019;  
222 Júnior et al., 2020).

223           Interaction patterns at the local scale (Fagundes et al., 2017; Durán et al., 2019; Hiller et al., 2021) may  
224 hide variations in the relationship between species, but when observed at a larger scale may reveal modulations, as  
225 we detected here. Knowing that parasites are highly specific and that their distribution is limited not only by the  
226 presence of their hosts but also by other environmental variables (Marshall, 1982), the latitudinal variation in the  
227 structuring of the ecological networks was expected. Indeed, not only the type of interaction affects network  
228 structure, but also those environmental factors of which latitude is only a proxy, such as temperature and humidity  
229 (Taplaya-Romero et al., 2021), as they vary on large scales, but remain reasonably stable on smaller scales (Alvares  
230 et al., 2013).

231           The latitudinal diversity gradient postulates that the closer to the tropics, the greater the biological  
232 diversity (Allen & Gilloly, 2006). This general rule has exceptions in some groups (mostly known in some plant  
233 and bird families) but is marked in bats and their ectoparasitic flies (Ramos Pereira & Palmeirim, 2013; Durán et  
234 al., 2017; Biz et al., 2021). Indeed, we detected an indirect effect of latitude on network metrics essentially because  
235 latitude influences network size. Sites with greater species richness should harbor a greater number of ecological  
236 interactions, with the consequent increase in the size of the networks and, consequently, larger networks, i.e, those  
237 with a higher number of species, should be found at lower latitudes. Despite the increase in species richness toward  
238 the tropics, specialization remained high in lower latitudes, though slightly (but significantly) greater in higher  
239 latitudes. Such suggests that the traditionally known pattern in ecology regarding the latitudinal gradient in  
240 specialization – species tend to be more specialized in the tropics – is not as a rule, and the results can be mixed  
241 (Moles & Ollerton, 2016). Meta-analysis studies have concluded that there is not much evidence for a general  
242 effect of latitude on niche breadth, and that biotic interactions are not always more specialized at lower latitudes  
243 (Vázquez & Stevens, 2004). This pattern in specialization possibly also results from the extremely high specificity  
244 (Marshall, 1982) shown by bat flies of the Streblidae and Nycteribiidae. In those families 87% of the species are  
245 monoxenic, parasitizing a single bat species (Dick, 2007), and a few are found on congener hosts or on a second,

246 non-primary, host (Dick, 2007). At lower latitudes, there is overlap in the geographic distribution of host species  
247 of oligoxenous and polyxenous flies, which associate with congener and confamilial hosts (Graciolli & Dick, 2004;  
248 Dick, 2007; Taylor & Tuttle, 2019), while at higher latitudes there is a lower richness of hosts (Ramos Pereira &  
249 Palmeirim, 2013), making the interactions between ectoparasites and bats somewhat more restricted, that is,  
250 specialized.

251         The behavioral and biological attributes of bats and bat-flies may influence the intensity of parasitic loads.  
252 Bat species aggregating in colonies with many individuals in more stable roosts tend to harbor a greater number  
253 and richness of ectoparasites (Patterson et al., 2007). The best explanation for this pattern is related to the  
254 reproductive biology of bat flies. The two families of bat-flies present adenotrophic viviparity (Hagan, 1951), in  
255 which the female must leave the host to deposit a single third instar larva on the substrate of the roost (Marshall,  
256 1982). Subsequently, this larva takes around 28 days to hatch into an adult fly, and then search for a potential host  
257 (Marshall, 1970). Thus, ectoparasite survival increases the longer the hosts stay in the roosts. Still, bat roosting  
258 ecology is extremely varied (Kunz & Lumsden, 2003), from species consistently forming colonies of thousands of  
259 individuals in permanent roosts, particularly caves, to species that roost alone or form small groups of a few  
260 individuals in ephemeral shelters, such as foliage (Kunz & Lumsden, 2003). Other species of bats show significant  
261 plasticity in the choice of roosts and in the solitary-gregarious gradient, shaping roost occupancy patterns according  
262 to roost and food availability in a given area (Kunz & Lumsden, 2003).

263         The ecological diversity of their hosts, associated with environmental changes, including in the landscape  
264 or climate, may lead to observable changes in the reproductive success of ectoparasitic bat-flies (Reckardt & Kerth,  
265 2007; Tlapaya-Romero et al., 2021). Indeed, higher temperatures result in shorter incubation times for other groups  
266 of bat ectoparasites, increasing reproduction rates (Tlapaya-Romero et al., 2021). The higher infestation intensity  
267 we found at lower latitudes may be explained by higher average temperatures along the year and by the more  
268 predictable regional climates overall. Larger, more stable, and permanent roosts are possibly also more available  
269 here (Guimarães & Ferreira, 2014; Cecav, 2020), and these can harbor higher host richness (Barros et al., 2021).  
270 In tropical regions, the formation of bat colonies with thousands of individuals is notable, especially in those

271 species using underground roosts obligatorily or frequently. In the Neotropic, only *Tadarida brasiliensis* presents  
272 records of large colonies beyond the tropical region (Vargas-Mena et al., 2018; Boero et al., 2020). De Oliveira  
273 (2018) observed greater number of individuals in the Brazilian savanna, when compared to the Atlantic Forest,  
274 which extends to higher latitudes. Considering that the epidemiological modeling theory postulates that the  
275 transmission of parasites between hosts is influenced by population density (Poulin, 2004), the larger the host  
276 colony, the greater the probability of infection. A corollary of this theory is that parasite abundance will respond  
277 positively to host density (Arneberg et al., 1998). In conclusion, environmental changes along the latitudinal  
278 gradient will directly influence host abundance and, subsequently, also the parasitic infestation patterns.

279         Interaction networks indirectly respond to latitude in a pattern that is only perceptible on a large scale, a  
280 finding revealing the need for further macroecological studies to understand the factors behind the structure of  
281 those ecological interactions. Future studies on interaction patterns between bats and their ectoparasitic flies should  
282 consider the roosting ecology of the hosts and the latitudinal variation of environmental variables such as  
283 landscape, climate, and roost permanence and availability.

284

## 285 REFERENCES

286

287         Aguirre, L.F. (2002). Structure of a neotropical savanna bat community. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 83, 775-  
288 784.

289         Allen, A.P., & Gillooly, J.F. (2006). Assessing latitudinal gradients in speciation rates and biodiversity at  
290 the global scale. *Ecology Letters*, 9, 947-954.

291         Almeida-Neto, M., Guimarães, P., Guimarães JR, P.R., Loyola, R.D., Ulrich, W. (2008). A consistent  
292 metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and measurement. *Oikos*, 117, 1227-  
293 1239.

294         Alvares, C. A., Stape, J. L., Sentelhas, P. C., Gonçalves, J. L. M., Sparovek, G. (2013). Köppen's climate  
295 classification map for Brazil. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, 22, 711-728.

296 Arneberg, P., Skorping, A., Grenfell, B., Read, A.F. (1998). Host densities as determinants of abundance  
297 in parasite communities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 265.

298 Barros, J.S., Bernard, E., Ferreira, R.L. (2021). An exceptionally high bat species richness in a cave  
299 conservation hotspot in central Brazil. *BioOne*, 23, 233-245.

300 Beckett, S.J. (2016). Improvised community detection in weighted bipartite networks. *The Royal Society*,  
301 3, 1-18.

302 Biz, L.S., Cascaes, M.F., Luciano, B.F.L., Preuss, G., Bôlla, D.A.S., Graciolli, G., Carvalho, F. (2021).  
303 Parasitic interactions between bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera) and flies (Insecta: Diptera) in the intersection area of  
304 temperate and tropical climates in Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*,

305 Blüthgen, N. (2010). Why network analysis is often disconnected from community ecology: a critique  
306 and an ecologist's guide. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11, 185-195.

307 Boero, L., Poffo, D., Damino, V., Villalba, S., Barquez, R.M., Rodríguez, A., Suárez, M., Beccacece,  
308 H.M. (2020). Monitoring and characterizing temporal patterns of a large colony of *Tadarida brasiliensis*  
309 (Chiroptera: Molossidae) in Argentina using field observations and the weather radar RMA1. *Remote Sensing*, 12,  
310 1-17.

311 Breheny, P., & Burchett, W. (2017). Visualization of Regression Models Using visreg. *The R Journal*,  
312 9, 56–71.

313 Brooks, M.E., Kristensen, K., Benthem, K.J.V., Magnusson, A., Berg, C.W., Nielsen, A., Skaug, H.J.,  
314 Maechler, M., Bolker, B.M. (2017). glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated  
315 Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *The R Journal*, 9, 378-400.

316 Butts, C.T. (2020). *Network: Classes for Relational Data*. The Statnet Project  
317 (<http://www.statnet.org>). <<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=network>>

318 Cecav – Centro Nacional de Pesquisa e Conservação de Cavernas. Anuário estatístico do patrimônio  
319 espeleológico brasileiro. ICMbio, Brasília. 2020.

320 Dáttilo, W., & Rico-Gray, V. (2018). *Ecological networks in the tropics: An integrative overview of*  
321 *species interactions from some of the most species-rich habitats on earth*. Springer.

322 De Oliveira, H.F.M., Oprea, M., Dias, R.I. (2018). Distributional patterns and ecological determinants of  
323 bat occurrence inside caves: a broad scale meta-analysis. *Diversity*, 10, 1-14.

324 Delgado-Jaramillo, M., Aguiar, L.M.S., Machado, R.B., Bernard, E. (2020). Assessing the distribution of  
325 a species-rich group in a continental-sized megadiverse country: bats in Brazil. *Biodiversity Research*, 26, 632-  
326 643.

327 Delmas, E., Besson, M., Brice, M. H., Burkle, L. A., Dalla Riva, G. V., Fortin, M. J., ... & Poisot, T.  
328 (2019). Analysing ecological networks of species interactions. *Biological Reviews*, 94(1), 16-36.

329 Dick, C. W., Gettinger, D. (2005). A faunal survey of streblid flies (Diptera: Streblidae) associated with  
330 bats in Paraguay. *The Journal of Parasitology*, 91, 1015-1024.

331 Dick, C.W. (2007). High host specificity of obligate ectoparasites. *Ecological Entomology*, 32, 446-450.

332 Dinerstein, E., et al. (2017). An ecoregion-based approach to protecting half the terrestrial realm.  
333 *BioScience*, 67, 534-545.

334 Dormann, C.F., Fruend, J., Bluethgen, N., Gruber, B. (2009). Indices, graphs and null models: analyzing  
335 bipartite ecological networks. *Open Journal of Ecology*, 2, 7–24.

336 Durán, A.A., García, D.M.Á., Graciolli, G. (2017). Ectoparasitic flies (Diptera, Streblidae) on bats  
337 (Mammalia, Chiroptera) in a dry tropical forest in the Northern Colombia. *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia*, 57, 105-  
338 111.

339 Durán, A.A., Saldaña-Vázquez, R.A., Graciolli, G., Peinado, L.C. (2019). Specialization and modularity  
340 of a bat fly antagonistic ecological network in a dry tropical forest in northern Colombia. *Acta Chiropterologica*,  
341 20, 503-510.

342 Ebert, D., Lipsitch, M., Mangin, K. (2000). The effect of parasites on host population density and  
343 extinction: experimental epidemiology with daphnia and six microparasites. *The American Naturalist*, 156, 459-  
344 477.

345 Emmons, L.H., & Feer, F. (1997). Neotropical rainforest mammals: a field guide. Chicago Press, p. 396.

346 Eriksson, A., Doherty, J.F., Fischer, E., Graciolli, G., Poulin, R. (2019). Hosts and environment  
347 overshadow spatial distance as drivers of bat fly species composition in the Neotropics. *Journal of Biogeography*,  
348 47, 736-747.

349 Fagundes, R., Antonini, Y., Aguiar, L.M.S. (2017). Overlap in cave usage and period of activity as factors  
350 structuring the interaction between bats and ectoparasites. *Zoological Studies*, 56, 1-12.

351 Fischer, E., Silveira, M., Munin, R.L., Camargo, G., Santos, C.F., Pereira, M.J.R., Fischer, W., Eriksson,  
352 A. (2018). Bats in the dry wet Pantanal. *Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy*, 29, 11-17.

353 Gómez, A., & Nichols, E. (2013). Neglected wild life: parasitic biodiversity as a conservation target.  
354 *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife*, 2, 222-227.

355 Gómez, A., Nichols, E.S., Perkins, S. (2012). Parasite conservation, conservation medicine, and  
356 ecosystem health. In Aguirre, A.A., Daszak, P., Ostfeld, R.S. (Eds.). *Conservation medicine: applied cases of*  
357 *ecological health* (pp. 67-81). Oxford University Press.

358 Graciolli, G., & Dick, C.W. (2004). A new species of *Metelasmus* (Diptera: Streblidae: Streblinae) from  
359 southern South America. *Zootaxa*, 509, 1-8.

360 Guimarães, M.M., Ferreira, R.L. (2014). Morcegos cavernícolas do Brasil: novos registros e desafios para  
361 conversação. *Revista Brasileira de Espeleologia*, 2, 1-33.

362 Guimarães, P.R. (2020). The structure of ecological networks across levels of organization. *Annual*  
363 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 51, 433-460.

364 Hagan, H.R. (1951). Embryology of the viviparous insects. Ronald Press.

365 Hiller, T., Brändel, S.D., Honner, B., Page, R.A., Tschapka, M. (2020). Parasitization of bats by bat flies  
366 (Streblidae) in fragmented habitats. *Biotropica*, 52, 488-501.

367 Hiller, T., Vollstädt, M.G.R., Brändel, S.D., Page, R.A., Tschapka, M. (2021). Bat-bat fly interactions in  
368 Central Panama: hosts traits relate to modularity in a highly specialised network. *Insect Conservation and*  
369 *Diversity*, 14, 686-699.

370 Ito, F., Lilley, T., Twort, V.G., Bernard, E. (2022). High genetic connectivity among large populations  
371 of *Pteronotus gymnonotus* in bat caves in Brazil and its implications for conservation. *Frontiers in Ecology*  
372 *and Evolution*.

373 Júnior, L.F., Araújo, W.S., Falcão, L.A.D. (2020). Structure of the interaction networks between bats  
374 (Mammalia: Chiroptera) and ectoparasites flies (Diptera: Streblidae, Nycteribiidae) on a latitudinal gradient.  
375 *BioOne*, 22, 187-196.

376 Kunz, T.H., & Lumsden, L.F. (2003). Ecology of cavity and foliage roosting bats. In Kunz, T.H. Fenton,  
377 M.B. (Eds.), *Bat ecology* (pp. 3-89). The University of Chicago Press.

378 Ladle, R.J., Malhado, A.C.M., Rodríguez-Durán, A. (2012). Unexplored diversity and conservation  
379 potential of neotropical hot caves. *Conservation Biology*, 26, 978-982.

380 Marshall, A.G. (1970). The life cycle of *Basilisa hispida* Theodor 1967 (Diptera: Nycteribiidae) in  
381 Malaysia. *Parasitology*, 61, 1-18.

382 Marshall, A.G. (1982). Ecology of insects ectoparasitic on bats. In Kunz, T.H. (Ed.). *Ecology of bats* (pp.  
383 369-401). Plenum Publishing Corporation.

384 Mello, M.A.R., Muylaert, R.L., Pinheiro, R.B.P., Ferreira, G.M.F. (2016). Guia para análise de redes  
385 ecológicas.

386 Melo, M.A.R. (2010). Redes mutualistas: pequenos mundos de interações entre animais e plantas. *Ciência*  
387 *Hoje*, 47, 32-37.

388 Mittelbach, G.G., Schemske, D.W., Cornell, H.V., Allen, A.P., Brown, J.M., Bush, M.B., Harrison, S.P.,  
389 Hurlbert, A.H., Knowlton, N., Lessios, H.A., McCain, C.M., Mccune, A.R., Mcdade, L.A., Mcpeek, M.A., Perto,  
390 T.J., Price, T.D., Ricklefs, R.E., Roy, K., Sax, D.F., Schluter, D., Sobel, J.M., Turelli, M. (2007). Evolution and  
391 the latitudinal diversity gradient: speciation, extinction and biogeography. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 315-331.

392 Moles, A.T., Ollerton, J. (2016). Is the notion that species interactions are stronger and more specialized  
393 in the tropics a zombie idea? *Biotropica*, 48, 141-145.

394 Nielsen, A., & Bascompte, J. (2007). Ecological networks, nestedness and sampling effort. *Journal of*  
395 *Ecology*, 95, 1134-1141.

396 Nychka, D., Wikle, C., Royle, J.A. (2002). Multiresolution models for nonstationary spatial covariance  
397 functions. *Statistical Modelling*, 2, 315–331.

398 Oksanen, J.F., Blanchet, G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Mcglinn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'hara,  
399 R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Henry, M.H., Szoecs, S.E., Wagner, H. (2018). Vegan: Community Ecology  
400 Package. <<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>>.

401 Olesen, J.M., Bascompte, J., Dupont, Y.L., Jordano, P. (2007). The modularity of pollination networks.  
402 *Biological Sciences*, 104, 19891-19896.

403 Papkou, A., Gokhale, C.S., Traulsen, A., Schulenburg, H. (2016). Host-parasite coevolution: why  
404 changing population size matters. *Zoology*, 119, 330-338.

405 Patterson, B.D., Dick, C.W., Dittmar, K. (2007). Roosting habits of bats affect their parasitism by bat  
406 flies (Diptera: Streblidae). *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 23, 177-189.

407 Pellissier, L., Albouy, C., Bascompte, J., Farwig, N., Graham, C., Loreau, M., Maglianesi, M.A., Melián,  
408 C.J., Pitteloud, C., Roslin, T., Rohr, R., Saavera, S., Thuiller, W., Woodward, G., Zimmermann, N.E., Dominique,  
409 C. (2018). Comparing species interaction networks along environmental gradients. *Biological Reviews*, 93, 785-  
410 800.

411 Poulin, R. (2004). Macroecological patterns of species richness in parasite assemblages. *Basic and*  
412 *Applied Ecology*, 5, 423-434.

413 Poulin, R., & Morand, S. (2000). The diversity of parasites. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 75, 277-  
414 293.

415 R Core Team, 2018. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for  
416 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.

417 Ramos Pereira, M.J., & Palmeirim, J.M. (2013). Latitudinal diversity gradients in new world bats: are  
418 they a consequence of niche conservatism? *PlosOne*, 8, 1-12.

419 Reckardt, K., & Kerth, G. (2007). Roost selection and roost switching of female Bechstein's bats (*Myotis*  
420 *bechsteinii*) as a strategy of parasite avoidance. *Oecologia*, 154, 581-588.

421 Reiczigel, J., Marozzi, M., Fábíán, I., Rózsa, L. (2019). Biostatistics for parasitologists: a primer to  
422 quantitative parasitology. *Trends and Parasitology*, 35, 277-281.

423 Ricklefs, R., & Relyea, R. (2016). *A economia da natureza*. Editora Guanabara Koogan S. A. p. 636.

424 Rohde, K. (1992). Latitudinal gradients in species diversity: the search for the primary cause. *Oikos*, 65,  
425 514-527.

426 Saldaña-Vázquez, R.A., Sandoval-Ruiz, C.A., Veloz-Maldonado, O.S., Durán, A.A., Ramírez-Martínez,  
427 M.M. (2019). Host ecology moderates the specialization of Neotropical bat-fly interaction networks. *Parasitology*  
428 *Research*, 118, 2919-2924.

429 Salinas-Ramos, V.B., Zaldívar-Riverón, A., Rebollo-Hernández, A., Herrera, L.G.M. (2018). Seasonal  
430 variation of bat-flies (Diptera: Streblidae) in four bat species from a tropical dry forest. *Mammalia*, 82, 133-143.

431 Sampaio, E.M., Kalko, E.K.V., Bernard, E., Rodríguez-Herrera, B., Handley JR, C.O. (2003). A  
432 biodiversity assessment of bats (Chiroptera) in a tropical lowland rainforest of Central Amazonia, including  
433 methodological and conservation considerations. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*, 38, 17-31.

434 Solé, R. (2022). Revisiting Leigh Van Valen's "A new Evolutionary Law" (1973). *Biological Theory*, 17,  
435 120-125.

436 Speer, K.A., Dheilly, N.M., Perkins, S.L. (2020). Microbiomes are integral to conservation of parasitic  
437 arthropods. *Biological Conservation*, 250, 1-7.

438 Tanalgo et al. (2022). DarkSideS 1.0, a global database for bats in karsts and caves. *Scientific Data*,  
439 9, 1-12.

440 Taylor, M., & Turtle, M.D. (2019). *Bats: an illustrated guide to all species*. Smithsonian Books, p. 400.

441 Tlapaya-Romero, L., Santos-Moreno, A., Ibáñez-Bernal, S. (2021). Effect of seasonality and  
442 microclimate on the variation in bat-fly load (Diptera: Streblidae) in a cave bat assemblage in a dry forest.  
443 *Mammalia*, 85, 345-354.

444 Trujillo-Pahua, L., & Ibáñez-Bernal, S. (2020). Bat flies (Diptera: Streblidae) of phyllostomid bats  
445 (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae) from the mountainous central region of Veracruz, México. *Systematic Parasitology*,  
446 97, 743-777.

447 Urbietta, G.L., Gracioli, G., Tavares, V.C. (2022). Review of studies about bat-fly interactions inside  
448 roosts, with observations on partnership patterns for publications. *Parasitology Research*, 121, 3051-3061.

449 Van Valen, L. (1973). A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory*, 1, 1-30.

450 Vargas-Mena, J.C., Cordero-Schmidt, E., Bento, D.M., Rodriguez-Herrera, B., Medellín, R.A.,  
451 Venticinque, E.M. (2018). Diversity of cave bats in Brazilian tropical dry forest of Rio Grande do Norte state.  
452 *Mastozoologia Neotropical*, 25, 199-212.

453 Vázquez, D.P., & Stevens, R.D. (2004). The latitudinal gradient in niche breadth: concepts and evidence.  
454 *The American Naturalist*, 164, 1-19.

455 Vázquez, D.P., Chacoff, N.P., Cagnolo, L. (2009). Evaluating multiple determinants of the structure of  
456 plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology*, 90, 2039-2046.

457 Wickham, H. 2020. Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016.

458 Xing, S., & Fayle, T.M. (2021). The rise of ecological network meta-analyses: problems and prospect.  
459 *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 30, 1-4.

460