'metanetwork': a R package dedicated to handling and representing trophic metanetworks

Marc Ohlmann¹, Jimmy Garnier², and Laurent Vuillon²

¹Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine ²Laboratoire de Mathématiques

December 19, 2022

Abstract

Trophic networks describe interactions between species at a given location and time. Due to environmental changes, anthropogenic perturbations or sampling effects, trophic networks may vary in space and time. The collection of network time series or networks in different sites thus constitutes a metanetwork. A crucial step toward the understanding of those metanetworks is to build appropriate tools to handle and represent them. We present here the R package metanetwork, which will ease the exploration and the analysis of trophic metanetwork datasets that are increasingly available. Our main methodological advance consists in suitable layout algorithm for trophic networks, which is based on trophic levels and dimension reduction of a graph diffusion kernel. In particular, it highlights relevant features of trophic networks (trophic levels, energetic channels). In addition, we developed graphical tools to handle, compare and aggregate those networks. Static and dynamic visualisation functions have been developed to represent large networks. We apply our package workflow to several trophic network data sets.

metanetwork: a R package dedicated to handling and representing trophic metanetworks

metanetwork: representing metanetworks [running headline]

 ${}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ Keywords: trophic networks, trophic metanetworks, network layout algorithm, node em-

- ${\scriptstyle 6} {\scriptstyle }$ bedding, R package, network visualisation
- 7 AUTHORS:

1

- & Marc Ohlmann marc.ohlmann@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ \qquad Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, LECA, Laboratoire
- ¹⁰ d'Écologie Alpine, F-38000 Grenoble
- 11 Jimmy Garnier Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Univ. Savoie Mont–Blanc, LAMA, Laboratoire
- de Mathématiques, F-73000 Chambéry, France.

13 Laurent Vuillon Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Univ. Savoie Mont–Blanc, LAMA, Labora-

- toire de Mathématiques, F-73000 Chambéry, France.
- ¹⁵ Correspondence to Marc Ohlmann
- 16 Mailing address: LECA, UMR UGA-USMB-CNRS 5553, Université Grenoble Alpes, CS 40700
- 17 38058 Grenoble cedex 9, France.
- 18 E-mail: marc.ohlmann@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
- 19

20 Abstract

Trophic networks describe interactions between species at a given location and time. Due to
 environmental changes, anthropogenic perturbations or sampling effects, trophic networks
 may vary in space and time. The collection of network time series or networks in different
 sites thus constitutes a metanetwork.

25 2. A crucial step toward the understanding of those metanetworks is to build appropriate tools
26 to handle and represent them. We present here the R package *metanetwork*, which will
27 ease the exploration and the analysis of trophic metanetwork datasets that are increasingly
28 available.

- 3. Our main methodological advance consists in suitable layout algorithm for trophic networks, which is based on trophic levels and dimension reduction of a graph diffusion kernel.
 In particular, it highlights relevant features of trophic networks (trophic levels, energetic channels).
- 4. In addition, we developed graphical tools to handle, compare and aggregate those networks.
 Static and dynamic visualisation functions have been developed to represent large networks.
- ³⁵ We apply our package workflow to several trophic network data sets.

36 1 Introduction

The representation of nature was at the heart of naturalism from the XVIIIth to the beginning 37 of XX^{th} century, mixing the need for naturalist documentation and the quest for aesthetics 38 (Ogilvie 2008). The representation of collections of species, in museums or in situ through plates 39 fed the picture book of the emerging ecology. This has rooted the representation of a community 40 as a collection of species, without considering biotic interactions. Interestingly, the plates of 41 invertebrates by Haeckel (e.q.) marine invertebrates, Haeckel 1904) highlight the importance of 42 geometry in representing those organisms. The emergence of community in ecology during the 43 early XX^{th} century introduces interactions between species in the representation of an ecological 44 community (Elton 1927). The foundations of network ecology are established. Since then, 45 trophic interaction networks have been recognized as controlling dynamics and functioning of 46 communities and they have been used for managing biodiversity (Thompson et al. 2012, Polis & 47 Winemiller 2013). Adequately representing networks is then crucial for researchers as well as for 48 decision-makers (Pocock et al. 2016). 49

The main issue in trophic network representation is still on providing a meaningful network 50 layout related to ecological features, such as trophic levels or energetic channels (e.g. Elton 1927, 51 Van Leeuwen et al. 2015). Trophic networks are usually high-dimensional with complex structure, 52 while network layout is only a two-dimensional node embedding. Although network visualisation 53 tools are now widely available (e.g. Csardi et al. 2006, Bastian et al. 2009, Perrone et al. 54 2020, Pawluczuk & Iskrzyński 2022), current network layout methods highlighting hierarchical 55 structure of trophic networks remain scarce. They mainly rely on force-directed algorithms, as 56 Fruchterman & Reingold 1991 that is based on vertex repulsion or Kamada et al. 1989 and 57 Gansner et al. 2004 that consists in spring embedding. None of them incorporate ecological 58 processes. As a result, their outcomes on trophic networks are hard to interpret since these 59 algorithms do not model ecological processes. Node layout algorithms specifically designed for 60 trophic networks are still lacking. 61

Representing networks properly is an even more important issue as they are now sampled in space and time (Dunne 2006, CaraDonna *et al.* 2017) as biogeography classically represents species in space (Von Humboldt & Bonpland 1805, Lomolino *et al.* 2017). Empirical evidence

supports plasticity and stochasticity of interactions and would encourage sampling of trophic 65 interactions through space and time (Poisot et al. 2015, CaraDonna et al. 2017). However, 66 sampling interactions in multiple sites is challenging since it requires joint observations of species. 67 It is especially problematic when it involves organisms from different kingdoms and various body 68 sizes (Jordano 2016). Sampling taxa is far easier, using naturalist knowledge (Moser et al. 2005), 69 camera traps (Steenweg et al. 2017) or environmental DNA (Bohmann et al. 2014). A convenient 70 case to study networks in space is then to build a potential network at the regional scale, the 71 metaweb, using expert knowledge or machine learning methods to complete interaction databases 72 (Strydom et al. 2021). Once the metaweb is built, local networks are deduced using sampled 73 abundances. Such an approach have been used for various organisms, from terrestrial vertebrates 74 (Galiana et al. 2014, Braga et al. 2019) to marine or freshwater communities (Kéfi et al. 2015, 75 Kortsch et al. 2019, Blackman et al. 2022) or soil communities (Bauer et al. 2022). While losing 76 interaction plasticity and stochasticity, local networks nevertheless have distinct structures due 77 to sampling effect. We stick to this case in the present paper. 78

Hereafter, a collection of networks in space or time is called a metanetwork, as a collection 79 of communities is called metacommunity. For simplicity, we refer to the potential interaction 80 network as the 'metaweb'. While trophic network databases are becoming increasingly available 81 (Poelen et al. 2014), tools to handle and represent them remain scarce. The present paper 82 describes and implements a new layout algorithm built for trophic networks, using trophic levels 83 and a diffusion based algorithm. This contribution also describes several additional methods 84 to handle, represent and analyse trophic metanetworks at different resolutions as suggested in 85 the literature (Thompson & Townsend 2000, Guimarães Jr 2020). All the described methods 86 are implemented in the R package, metanetwork, that eases manipulation and representation 87 of trophic metanetworks. *metanetwork* is available on CRAN while several vignettes on several 88 open data sets are accessible online at https://marcohlmann.github.io/metanetwork/. 89

We first describe inputs and methods to build and handle *metanetwork* objects. We then focus on the proposed 'TL-tse' and 'group-TL-tsne' layout algorithms and the visualisation methods wrapped in *metanetwork*. We also illustrate the use of the package on several datasets of various dimensions, including marine, soil and vertebrate trophic networks.

94

⁹⁵ 2 Package workflow

⁹⁶ 2.1 Package installation and documentation

97 The latest stable version is available on CRAN and can be installed using:

98 install.packages("metanetwork").

99 Complete documentation along with several vignettes is available here: https://marcohlmann.

100 github.io/metanetwork/.

¹⁰¹ 2.2 Defining and handling metanetworks

102 2.2.1 Inputs of the 'metanetwork' object

To build a potential metanetwork (hereafter metanetwork), we need a metaweb, G^* , that is a directed and connected network including focal species and known potential trophic interactions in the study region. We can also include a community matrix **P**, indicating species relative abundances, and a trophic table \mathcal{T} , indicating species belonging to broader taxonomic or functional groups. Local networks are then induced subnetworks of G^* by local communities (with abundances).

Our package encodes a metanetwork through a R S3 object of class 'metanetwork'. The function 109 build_metanet builds a 'metanetwork' object from the triplet $(G^{\star}, \mathbf{P}, \mathcal{T})$ and computes local 110 networks. The metaweb G^{\star} must be of class 'igraph', 'matrix' or 'data.frame'. The matrix **P** 111 and the table \mathcal{T} can be NULL contrary to G^* . In this case, the metanetwork will be a single 112 network. Although the metaweb needs to be connected, local networks can be disconnected, 113 which may occur due to sampling effects. Fig. 1 provides a sketch representation of the package 114 functionalities and Table 1 describes the main functions and their associated ecological questions. 115 Local networks constitute a list of 'igraph' objects with relative abundances, edge weights and 116 network names stored as node, edge and graph attributes. 117

118 2.2.2 Append aggregated networks

In order to investigate trophic networks at different aggregation levels (*e.g.* broader taxonomic groups, functional groups or output of node clustering algorithms) as suggested in (Thompson &



Figure 1: Sketch representation of the use of the R package *metanetwork* from input data to output visualisation. It highlights the main functionalities of the package to handle and represent metanetworks.

Functions	Description	Ecological questions
build_metanet	Build a 'metanetwork' S3 object Compute local networks	What is the structure of the local networks?
append_agg_nets	Append aggregated networks to the current metanetwork using the trophic table ${\cal T}$	What is the structure of the aggregated networks ? How does it compare through aggregation levels?
plot_trophicTable	Represent aggregation levels given by the trophic table \mathcal{T}	What are the possible aggregation levels in the metanetwork?
compute_TL	Compute trophic levels using Laplacian matrix	What are the trophic levels in the metaweb How do trophic levels vary among local networks?
attach_layout	Compute and attach 'TL-tsne' or 'group-TL-tsne' layout to the current metanetwork	How are the nodes distributed along the energetic channels at a given trophic level?
ggmetanet	Static visualisation of the metaweb and the local networks using 'ggnet' with 'TL-tsne' layout	What are the main energetic channels of the current network?
vismetaNetwork	Dynamic visualisation of metaweb and local networks using 'visNetwork' with 'TL-tsne' layout	What are the main energetic channels of the current network?
diffplot	Compute the difference between two networks. Show a static or dynamic visualisation of the difference network.	What are the differences between the local networks?

Table 1: Main functions of the *metanetwork* package and the corresponding addressed ecological questions.

Townsend 2000, Ohlmann *et al.* 2019, Guimarães Jr 2020), our package can compute aggregated networks using the trophic table \mathcal{T} that describes aggregation levels (Fig. 1). Given a network G with n nodes, we can create Q groups from the original set of n nodes (Q < n) using \mathcal{T} . We denote ($C_1, ..., C_Q$) the focal groups or aggregated nodes. Their relative abundances (\tilde{p}_q)_{1\leq q\leq n} and interaction probabilities ($\tilde{\pi}_{ql}$)_{1\leq q,l\leq n} are computed according to Ohlmann *et al.* 2019 as follows

$$\widetilde{p}_q = \sum_{k \in C_q} p_k \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\pi}_{ql} = \frac{\sum_{\substack{k \in C_q, k' \in C_l}} \pi_{kk'} p_k p'_k}{\sum_{\substack{k \in C_q}} p_k \sum_{\substack{k' \in C_l}} p'_k}$$
(1)

where $\pi_{kk'}$ is the link probability between nodes k in group C_q and nodes k' in group C_l , and p_k and $p_{k'}$ are their respective relative abundances.

The method append_agg_nets computes the abundances and the link probabilities at any aggregation levels provided by the trophic table \mathcal{T} . It then appends aggregated networks with node and edge attributes to the current 'metanetwork' object (Fig. 1).

¹³² 2.3 Representing and analysing metanetworks

Our package provides a new node layout algorithm, called 'TL-tsne', designed for trophic networks and functions to visualise and compare those networks. The 'TL-tsne' layout consists in a two-dimensional node embedding algorithm. It uses the trophic levels as the x-axis coordinates of the nodes in the two dimensional space. The coordinates on the y-axis are computed using the diffusion kernel of the network, which informs us on similarity between nodes according to a diffusion process, combined with a modified version of the 't-sne' algorithm, which allows reducingdimension.

140 2.3.1 Trophic levels computation

Trophic levels have been introduced to quantify the position in the hierarchy of resource acquisition (Lindeman 1942). Despite various methods available to compute trophic levels (Levine 1980, Hudson *et al.* 2013), we use the recent framework of MacKay *et al.* 2020, who define trophic level using the Laplacian matrix of the network because it embeds many useful properties of the network.

Let G be a directed network, we note \mathbf{A} its adjacency matrix and \mathbf{D} its degree diagonal matrix.

147 The Laplacian matrix of the symmetrised version of G is defined by:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A} - t(\mathbf{A}) \tag{2}$$

where t(A) is the transpose of the Laplacian matrix A. We note $\mathbf{v} = \text{indegree}(G) - \text{outdegree}(G)$ the imbalance vector. Then, the vector of the trophic levels, \mathbf{x} , is the solution of the linear system:

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v} \tag{3}$$

For a connected network, the solution \mathbf{x} is unique up to a translation. Thus we always fix its 151 minimal entry to 0 (corresponding to basal species) and get the trophic level of all the other ones 152 (more details in Supporting Information). In our package, we first compute the trophic levels 153 from the metaweb G^{\star} because this graph is connected, thus we can fix the minimal trophic level 154 to 0 and provide a trophic level for all other species. Since local networks might be disconnected 155 due, for instance, to sampling effects, we compute the trophic levels in each connected component 156 of the local network and we fix the minimal trophic level in each component to its trophic level 157 in the metaweb graph (see Supporting Information for more details). 158

The method compute_TL computes trophic levels and store them as node attributes of the networks belonging to the current 'metanetwork' object. These trophic levels are the x-axis coordinates of our node layout.

¹⁶² 2.3.2 Diffusion graph kernel and 'TL-tsne' layout algorithm

From the network G, we define the diffusion graph kernel **K**

$$\mathbf{K} = \exp(-\beta \mathbf{L}) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(-\beta \mathbf{L})^k}{k!}$$
(4)

where **L** is the Laplacian matrix of G and β is the diffusion parameter, a scalar and strictly 164 positive parameter. In our package, the diffusion kernel is computed through its eigenvalues (see 165 Supporting Information). In the context of trophic networks, the diffusion process described 166 by **K**, might represent diffusion of organic matter through the network, even if the diffusion 167 kernel is defined by an undirected representation of the network. In order to compute the y-axis 168 coordinate of the nodes in a network G, we need to reduce the information provided by the 169 diffusion kernel \mathbf{K} (that is of dimension the node number of G). We use a dimension reduction 170 algorithm adapted from the t-sne algorithm (Van der Maaten & Hinton 2008), which provides 171 a low dimensional embedding of high dimensional data while preserving neighborhood. The t-172 sne method relies on an iterative algorithm, which minimises the Kullbach-Leibler divergence 173 between similarity matrices in the high and low dimensional space. 174

We use the diffusion kernel \mathbf{K} to measure the similarity in the high dimensional space (that is 175 the set of neighbors in our network, which is fixed). We use the same low-dimensional similarity 176 as in Van der Maaten & Hinton 2008. The x-axis coordinate is already fixed here to the trophic 177 level, while the second coordinate is chosen such that the Kullbach-Leibler divergence between 178 the two similarity matrices is minimal. Importantly, the minimisation procedure accounts for 179 trophic levels. We named 'TL-tsne' the proposed network layout algorithm (see Algo. S1 in 180 Supporting Information). We also provide a method to evaluate the quality of the computed 181 layout and to select β value using Moran index (see Supporting Information). 182

The method attach_layout computes 'TL-tsne' layout and store it as node attribute of the focal network.

185 2.3.3 Visualisation

Besides proposing a new layout method, *metanetwork* package allows incorporating these layouts 186 in the two recent R packages dedicated to network visualisation: 'ggnet' and 'visNetwork'. The 187 'ggnet' package represents networks as 'ggplot' objects (Wickham & Wickham 2007, Schloerke 188 et al. 2018). Our function ggmetanet provides a static representation of the network using 'TL-189 tsne' layout combined with 'ggnet' visualisation and additional features (legend, node abundances 190 and edge weights). The 'visNetwork' package represents the network in an interactive way using 191 vis.js javascript library (Almende et al. 2019). Our function vismetaNetwork provides 'TL-tsne' 192 layout and wraps 'visNetwork' dynamic visualisation with additional features (javascript events 193 linked to the nodes, legend, node abundances and edge weights). 194

We illustrate our layout and static visualisation functionalities on a simple pyramid example in Fig. 2. We represent the same network with the ggmetanet function, using three different layouts: Fruchterman-Reingold, Kamada-kawai (force based layouts already implemented in ggnet) and our 'TL-tsne' layout with two different β values. Force based layouts (Fig. 2a, 2b) do not capture the hierarchical structure of the network contrary to the 'TL-tsne' layout (Fig. 2c, 2d). Increasing the β parameter tends to gather the nodes with similar trophic levels that are involved in similar paths.

202 2.3.4 Representing the difference between networks

In order to ease local network comparisons, *metanetwork* implements a function diff_plot that 203 highlights differences and similarities between two network. More precisely, let G_1 and G_2 be two 204 local networks (with vertex sets V_1 and V_2), we note G_{diff} the difference network between G_1 and 205 G_2 , whose vertex set is $V_{\text{diff}} = V_1 \cup V_2$. It is the induced subgraph of the metaweb, G^* , by V_{diff} . 206 We assign then node abundances and edge weights to G_{diff} . Node abundance of the difference 207 network consists in the difference between node abundances of G_1 and G_2 , as edge weights. We 208 use a color code to distinguish nodes that are present in both networks with different abundances 209 from nodes that are absent in one of the networks. A color code in the visualisations indicates 210 the sign of the node abundance difference and the edge weight difference between networks (see 211 Fig. 4 the following section 3.1). 212



Figure 2: Network layout methods implemented in 'metanetwork' with ggmetanet visualisation function. Pyramid example is represented with (a) Fruchterman-Reingold (force based layout), (b) Kamada-Kawai (force based layout) and 'TL-tsne' layout for (c) $\beta = 0.04$ and (d) $\beta = 0.35$

213 2.3.5 Representing large networks with 'group-TL-tsne' layout

In order to represent networks with a large node number (typically larger than > 100), we 214 propose a variation of 'TL-tsne' layout that uses information from trophicTable. This specific 215 layout method, called 'group-TL-tsne' uses the 'TL-tsne' layout at a desired aggregation level 216 and combines it with 'igraph' layout_with_graphopt layout. We first compute the coordinates 217 at the desired group resolution using 'TL-tsne' algorithm. We then compute, in each group, 218 the coordinates of the nodes using 'igraph' layout centered at the coordinate of the group. A 219 configuration object allows playing on group diameters. The attach_layout method computes 220 'group-TL-tsne' layout and store it as node attribute. Computing 'group-TL-tsne' layout is more 221 computationally efficient since it computes 'TL-tsne' layout on the aggregated network (that is 222 much smaller) only. 223

224 3 Case studies

In this section, we apply *metanetwork* functions to three real-world metawebs, which correspond to different ecosystems with various organisms. In the main text, we use the static representation of the networks using ggmetanet while we provide interactive visualisations using vismetanetwork online at https://shiny.osug.fr/app/ecological-networks.

229 3.1 Angola coastal network

We first look at a dataset from Angola, which has been extracted from Web of Life (http: 230 //www.web-of-life.es). It consists in a coastal trophic metaweb of 28 nodes (species or groups) 231 and 127 interactions sampled along Angola's coastline (Angelini & Vaz-Velho 2011). The study 232 aimed at estimating impact of Angola's fishery on the coastal trophic network by quantifying 233 biomass using times series from multiple sources (see Angelini & Vaz-Velho 2011 for more details). 234 Available abundance data consists of two time steps: 1986 and 2003. Interactions are weighted 235 according to the relative frequency of prey species in the diet of each predator species. We 236 represented the metaweb using ggmetanet with 'TL-tsne' layout ($\beta = 0.02$) in Fig. 3. The 237 metaweb has two basal nodes, 'Phytoplankton' and 'Detritus', leading to a primary producer 238



Figure 3: Angola coastal trophic network, which contains 28 nodes and 127 interactions. Nodes are colored according to taxonomic groups and edges are weighted according to a diet study. We use the 'TL-tsne' layout with $\beta = 0.02$ and the static visualisation function ggmetanet.

and detritus channel that mix up higher in the network. We included the Angola dataset as an example in the package (meta_angola object), with abundances built from biomasses in 1986 and 2003. We also represented the difference network between the two dates using the diff_plot function with the 'TL-tsne' layout ($\beta = 0.05$) in Fig. 4. We also computed a profile of extended Moran index along beta values to select optimal β (see Fig. S2, Fig. S3).

²⁴⁴ 3.2 Norway soil trophic network

Norway soil trophic network dataset was extracted from Calderón-Sanou *et al.* 2021. It consists
in a soil expert-knowledge metaweb and environmental DNA data sampled in the Varanger
region in Northeastern Norway. The metaweb has 40 groups and 204 interactions with several



Figure 4: Difference network between the Angola network from 1986 and from 2003. Differences in node abundances are given by differences in estimated biomasses at the two time steps. We use the diff_plot function with the computed 'TL-tsne' metaweb layout (see layout_metaweb option) to visualize the difference network.

available aggregation levels (trophic group, trophic class and kingdom). The groups have relative 248 abundances given by their mean abundances in environmental DNA samples. The Fig. 5 shows 249 the metaweb at the group level using the 'TL-tsne' layout with the diffusion parameter $\beta = 0.006$ 250 (Fig. 5). The metaweb has two basal resources: plant and organic material. They have the lowest 251 x-axis values in the 'TL-tsne' layout. The channel starting from plants corresponds to the green 252 energy channel while the channel starting from organic materials is the brown channel (Polis 253 & Strong 1996, Moore et al. 2004, Mougi 2020). Importantly, we observe from our network 254 representation that bacterial and fungal paths are separated in the brown channel. It means 255 that they are linked to separated paths higher up in the network (e.g. bacterivore and fungivore 256 groups). Calderón-Sanou et al. 2021 documents the impact of a disturbance (moth outbreaks) 257 on soil diversity. We provide the difference network between pre- and post-disturbance (Fig. 258 S4). It highlights a shift from Ectomycorrhizae and Ericoid mycorrhizae towards Arbuscular 259 mycorrhizae and also an increase in soil predator abundances. 260

²⁶¹ 3.3 Metaweb of European tetrapods

The metaweb of European tetrapods was extracted from Maiorano et al. (2020) and O'Connor 262 et al. (2020). It consists of an expert-knowledge metaweb of all tetrapods occuring in Europe 263 (mammals, breeding birds, reptiles and amphibians) with potential interactions. This network 264 has 1101 species and 48963 interactions. O'Connor et al. (2020) computed trophic groups us-265 ing the Stochastic Block Model (SBM) that clusters nodes with similar connectivity patterns 266 (Daudin et al. 2008). We represented the metaweb using 'TL-tsne' layout ($\beta = 3e10^{-6}$) while 267 flipping x and y coordinates (see flip_coords option). We mapped the 46 SBM groups using a 268 combination of colors and shapes (see Fig. S5). To get a more ordered representation, we used 269 the 'group-TL-tsne' layout, that uses 'TL-tsne' layout at a SBM group resolution (Fig. 6, Fig. 270 S6). Interestingly, some SBM groups are overlaying in the 'group-TL-tsne' layout. For basal 271 species, the group containing many rodents of genus *Microtus* (purple squares) is mixed with the 272 group containing many rodents of genus Spermophilus (pink squares). Higher up in the network, 273 the group containing predator snakes of genera *Hierophis* and *Montivipera* (pink diamonds) is 274 overlaying with group containing snakes of genera Vipera and Hemorrhois (purple diamonds). 275



Figure 5: Norway soil trophic network, with 40 nodes and 204 edges. Nodes are colored according to taxonomic groups and have relative abundances built from environmental DNA data. It is represented using 'TL-tsne' layout ($\beta = 0.006$) and ggmetanet visualisation



Figure 6: Metaweb of European tetrapods, with 1101 species (mammals, breeding birds, reptiles and amphibians) and 48963 interactions. Nodes have colors and shapes corresponding to estimated Stochastic Block Model groups. It is represented using 'group-TL-tsne' layout, built from group layout ('TL-tsne' with $\beta = 0.005$) and ggmetanet visualisation. In this representation, the y-axis is the trophic level. The legend is constructed by taking the silhouette of a representative of each group on http://phylopic.org/. See Table S1 for credits.

276 4 Discussion

We have presented *metanetwork*, a R package dedicated to handling and representing trophic metanetworks. These metanetworks are built from a metaweb, an abundance table and a possible information table on nodes. Potential local networks are then deduced from the metaweb and local abundances. While loosing local plasticity of interactions, such an approach generates distinct local networks due to sampling effect. Recent studies aimed at unraveling the structure of local networks for different types of communities (Kéfi *et al.* 2015, Kortsch *et al.* 2019, Bauer *et al.* 2022).

The purpose of *metanetwork* R package is to provide representation tools for trophic networks 284 and metanetworks. Representing networks consists in choosing an appropriate node layout al-285 gorithm and a suitable visualisation technique (Pocock et al. 2016). If visualisation techniques, 286 wrapped in 'metanetwork', were widely available, a network layout algorithm specifically designed 287 for trophic networks was sorely lacking. We developed the 'TL-tsne' network layout algorithm, 288 which constitutes the main methodological development of the present paper. This new layout 289 combines the computation of trophic levels, using the Laplacian matrix on the x-axis, with a 290 non-linear dimension reduction of the graph diffusion kernel on the y-axis. Besides representing 291 two different features, it allows reading the network along fixed axes. Our diffusion kernel method 292 not only relies on edges, which corresponds to paths of length 1, but also on paths of arbitrary 293 long length. As a result, our layout is less sensitive to the deletion of an edge or, more generally, 294 to the mistakes in edge specification compared to force-based layouts that are very sensitive, 295 as pointed out in Pocock et al. 2016. Moreover, paths of arbitrary length do have ecological 296 interpretations in terms of energetic channels in the network. Notice that the proposed 'TL-tsne' 297 layout uses diffusion kernel on an undirected version of the considered network on the y-axis 298 knowing the x-axis that takes into account directionality of the network since an imbalance term 299 is present in Eq. (3). The present method is then only designed for directed networks. Diffusion 300 maps achieve a similar goal for embedding of points in space relying however on an undirected 301 graph built from spatial coordinates (Coifman et al. 2005). We also notice the proximity of our 302 method with node embedding algorithms using neural networks since they provide low dimension 303 representation of networks using paths, as the proposed method (Narayanan et al. 2017, Khosla 304

305 *et al.* 2019).

But, beyond technical concerns, 'TL-tsne' layout algorithm is suitable for trophic networks since 306 it allows reading and interpreting the network along fixed axes contrary to traditional force-based 307 layouts. These axes have an ecological interpretation involving energy diffusion in the network. 308 More precisely, the first axis, the trophic levels, describes the hierarchy in the acquisition of 309 resources. Although this scalar quantity is not enough to summarize the network as pointed 310 by the criticisms of this concept (Cousins 1987), it is in line with a thermodynamic interpreta-311 tion of trophic networks (Lindeman 1942, Thompson et al. 2012). The second axis represents a 312 complementary information also related to diffusion of energy along the network. In our layout, 313 two species with similar trophic level may have different y-axis values, which indicates that they 314 belong to different energetic channels. Such a pattern is illustrated in the Angola coastal network 315 and Norway soil network where the 'TL-tsne' layout highlights two distinct channels for both 316 networks: the green channel, linked to primary producers, (either phytoplankton or plants) and 317 the brown channel, linked to detritus (Polis & Strong 1996, Moore et al. 2004, Mougi 2020). 318 To our knowledge, this is the first network layout algorithm that highlights these channels on 319 empirical trophic network data. This sheds new lights on a common structure shared by coastal 320 and terrestrial communities, as previously suggested in the literature (Bramon Mora et al. 2018). 321 Moreover, the diffusion parameter β , allows accentuating the separation between these different 322 channels, as shown in Fig. 2. Although the parameter β can be optimized numerically using 323 extended Moran index, we however encourage the user of *metanetwork* to explore several β con-324 figurations in order to represent channels gradually separated from each other. 325

326

As a conclusion, our layout method based on diffusion processes, which highlights ecological 327 processes such as organic matter diffusion, emphasizes meaningful structures for trophic ecology. 328 We insist on the fact that network representation goes beyond visualization (e.g. Pawluczuk & 329 Iskrzyński 2022) because it also deals with network layout problem. In addition, our package 330 allows dealing with different scales of the metanetwork. This may help for instance for the under-331 standing of the effect of environmental changes at different spatial scales or different aggregation 332 levels. On top of that, we have developed operations on the network, which allow comparing 333 networks at different location or different time. Thus, the present package, thanks to network 334

representation, manipulation and comparison tools should help practitioners to better explore trophic metanetworks.

337 5 Acknowledgments

We thank Irene Calderon-Sanou for data curation and insightful discussions on the Norway metanetwork data set. This research was funded by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) through the GlobNet (ANR-16-CE02-0009) and EcoNet (ANR-18-CE02-0010) projects.

341 6 Conflict of Interest statement

342 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

343 7 Authors' contribution

MO, JG and LV developed a first version of the framework. MO implemented the R package and wrote a first version of the paper. JG and LV gave additional perspectives and contributed to editing and revising the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

348 8 Data Availability

This paper uses a simulated data set available as a vignette of the package documentation available online (https://marcohlmann.github.io/metanetwork/articles/pyramid.html). It also uses three datasets that are already available in the package:

- Angola coastal network: dataset is extracted from Web of Life (https://www.web-of-life.
 es/map.php?type=7), is attached to *metanetwork* and analysed in a vignette (https://marcohlmann.github.io/metanetwork/articles/angola.html)
- Norway soil network: this dataset from is attached to *metanetwork* and analysed in a vignette (https://marcohlmann.github.io/metanetwork/articles/norway.html)

European vertebrate metaweb: this dataset from is attached to metanetwork and analysed
 in a vignette https://marcohlmann.github.io/metanetwork/articles/vertebrates.
 html

360 References

- Almende, B., Thieurmel, B. & Robert, T. (2019) Package 'visnetwork'. Network Visualization
 Using 'vis js' Library, Version, 2.
- Angelini, R. & Vaz-Velho, F. (2011) Ecosystem structure and trophic analysis of angolan fishery
 landings. Scientia Marina(Barcelona), 75, 309–319.
- Bastian, M., Heymann, S. & Jacomy, M. (2009) Gephi: an open source software for exploring
- and manipulating networks. Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media, volume 3, pp. 361–362.
- Bauer, B., Berti, E., Ryser, R., Gauzens, B., Hirt, M.R., Rosenbaum, B., Digel, C., Ott, D.,
- Scheu, S. & Brose, U. (2022) Biotic filtering by species' interactions constrains food-web variability across spatial and abiotic gradients. *Ecology Letters*.
- Blackman, R.C., Ho, H.C., Walser, J.C. & Altermatt, F. (2022) Spatio-temporal patterns of
 multi-trophic biodiversity and food-web characteristics uncovered across a river catchment
 using environmental dna. *Communications Biology*, 5, 1–11.
- Bohmann, K., Evans, A., Gilbert, M.T.P., Carvalho, G.R., Creer, S., Knapp, M., Douglas, W.Y.
- & De Bruyn, M. (2014) Environmental dna for wildlife biology and biodiversity monitoring.
 Trends in ecology & evolution, 29, 358–367.
- Braga, J., Pollock, L.J., Barros, C., Galiana, N., Montoya, J.M., Gravel, D., Maiorano, L.,
 Montemaggiori, A., Ficetola, G.F., Dray, S. *et al.* (2019) Spatial analyses of multi-trophic
 terrestrial vertebrate assemblages in europe. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28, 1636–1648.
- Bramon Mora, B., Gravel, D., Gilarranz, L.J., Poisot, T. & Stouffer, D.B. (2018) Identifying
- a common backbone of interactions underlying food webs from different ecosystems. Nature
- Communications, 9, 1-8.

- 383 Calderón-Sanou, I., Münkemüller, T., Zinger, L., Schimann, H., Yoccoz, N.G., Gielly, L.,
- Foulquier, A., Hedde, M., Ohlmann, M., Roy, M. *et al.* (2021) Cascading effects of moth outbreaks on subarctic soil food webs.
- ³⁸⁶ CaraDonna, P.J., Petry, W.K., Brennan, R.M., Cunningham, J.L., Bronstein, J.L., Waser, N.M.
- ³⁸⁷ & Sanders, N.J. (2017) Interaction rewiring and the rapid turnover of plant–pollinator net-
- works. *Ecology letters*, **20**, 385–394.
- Coifman, R.R., Lafon, S., Lee, A.B., Maggioni, M., Nadler, B., Warner, F. & Zucker, S.W. (2005)
- Geometric diffusions as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition of data: Diffusion
 maps. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, 102, 7426–7431.
- Cousins, S. (1987) The decline of the trophic level concept. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 2,
 312–316.
- Csardi, G., Nepusz, T. et al. (2006) The igraph software package for complex network research.
 InterJournal, complex systems, 1695, 1–9.
- Daudin, J.J., Picard, F. & Robin, S. (2008) A mixture model for random graphs. Statistics and
 computing, 18, 173–183.
- Dunne, J.A. (2006) The network structure of food webs. Ecological networks: linking structure
 to dynamics in food webs, pp. 27–86.
- 400 Elton, C.S. (1927) Animal ecology. Macmillan Co., New York. Https://
 401 www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/7435.
- Fruchterman, T.M. & Reingold, E.M. (1991) Graph drawing by force-directed placement. Software: Practice and experience, 21, 1129–1164.
- Galiana, N., Lurgi, M., Montoya, J.M. & López, B.C. (2014) Invasions cause biodiversity loss
 and community simplification in vertebrate food webs. *Oikos*, **123**, 721–728.
- Gansner, E.R., Koren, Y. & North, S. (2004) Graph drawing by stress majorization. International
- 407 Symposium on Graph Drawing, pp. 239–250. Springer.

- Guimarães Jr, P.R. (2020) The structure of ecological networks across levels of organization.
 Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 51, 433–460.
- Haeckel, E. (1904) Kunstformen in der Natur. Leipzig ; Wien : Verlag des Bibliographischen
 Instituts,.
- Hudson, L.N., Emerson, R., Jenkins, G.B., Layer, K., Ledger, M.E., Pichler, D.E., Thompson, M.S., O'Gorman, E.J., Woodward, G. & Reuman, D.C. (2013) Cheddar: analysis and
- visualisation of ecological communities in r. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 99–104.
- Jordano, P. (2016) Sampling networks of ecological interactions. *Functional Ecology*, **30**, 1883– 1893.
- Kamada, T., Kawai, S. et al. (1989) An algorithm for drawing general undirected graphs. Information processing letters, 31, 7–15.
- Kéfi, S., Berlow, E.L., Wieters, E.A., Joppa, L.N., Wood, S.A., Brose, U. & Navarrete, S.A.
 (2015) Network structure beyond food webs: mapping non-trophic and trophic interactions on
 chilean rocky shores. *Ecology*, 96, 291–303.
- ⁴²² Khosla, M., Leonhardt, J., Nejdl, W. & Anand, A. (2019) Node representation learning for
 ⁴²³ directed graphs. Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery
 ⁴²⁴ in Databases, pp. 395–411. Springer.
- ⁴²⁵ Kortsch, S., Primicerio, R., Aschan, M., Lind, S., Dolgov, A.V. & Planque, B. (2019) Food-web
 ⁴²⁶ structure varies along environmental gradients in a high-latitude marine ecosystem. *Ecography*,
 ⁴²⁷ 42, 295–308.
- Levine, S. (1980) Several measures of trophic structure applicable to complex food webs. *Journal*of theoretical Biology, 83, 195–207.
- Lindeman, R.L. (1942) The trophic-dynamic aspect of ecology. *Ecology*, 23, 399–417.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1930126.
- 432 Lomolino, M.V., Riddle, B.R. & Whittaker, R.J. (2017) Biogeography.

- MacKay, R.S., Johnson, S. & Sansom, B. (2020) How directed is a directed network? Royal
 Society open science, 7, 201138.
- 435 Maiorano, L., Montemaggiori, A., Ficetola, G.F., O'connor, L. & Thuiller, W. (2020) Tetra-eu
- 1.0: A species-level trophic metaweb of european tetrapods. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*,
- **437 29**, 1452–1457.
- 438 Moore, J.C., Berlow, E.L., Coleman, D.C., de Ruiter, P.C., Dong, Q., Hastings, A., Johnson,
- 439 N.C., McCann, K.S., Melville, K., Morin, P.J. et al. (2004) Detritus, trophic dynamics and
- biodiversity. *Ecology letters*, 7, 584–600.
- Moser, D., Dullinger, S., Englisch, T., Niklfeld, H., Plutzar, C., Sauberer, N., Zechmeister, H.G.
- & Grabherr, G. (2005) Environmental determinants of vascular plant species richness in the austrian alps. *Journal of Biogeography*, **32**, 1117–1127.
- Mougi, A. (2020) Coupling of green and brown food webs and ecosystem stability. *Ecology and evolution*, 10, 9192–9199.
- ⁴⁴⁶ Narayanan, A., Chandramohan, M., Venkatesan, R., Chen, L., Liu, Y. & Jaiswal, S. (2017)
 ⁴⁴⁷ graph2vec: Learning distributed representations of graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:170705005.
- 448 Ogilvie, B.W. (2008) The science of describing. University of Chicago Press.
- Ohlmann, M., Miele, V., Dray, S., Chalmandrier, L., O'connor, L. & Thuiller, W. (2019) Diversity
- indices for ecological networks: a unifying framework using hill numbers. *Ecology letters*, 22,
 737–747.
- O'Connor, L.M., Pollock, L.J., Braga, J., Ficetola, G.F., Maiorano, L., Martinez-Almoyna, C.,
 Montemaggiori, A., Ohlmann, M. & Thuiller, W. (2020) Unveiling the food webs of tetrapods
 across europe through the prism of the eltonian niche. *Journal of Biogeography*, 47, 181–192.
- Pawluczuk, Ł. & Iskrzyński, M. (2022) Food web visualisation: heatmap, interactive graph,
 animated flow network. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Perrone, G., Unpingco, J. & Lu, H.m. (2020) Network visualizations with pyvis and visjs. arXiv
 preprint arXiv:200604951.

- 459 Pocock, M.J., Evans, D.M., Fontaine, C., Harvey, M., Julliard, R., McLaughlin, Ó., Silvertown,
- J., Tamaddoni-Nezhad, A., White, P.C. & Bohan, D.A. (2016) The visualisation of ecological
 networks, and their use as a tool for engagement, advocacy and management. Advances in
 ecological research, volume 54, pp. 41–85. Elsevier.
- Poelen, J.H., Simons, J.D. & Mungall, C.J. (2014) Global biotic interactions: An open infrastruc-
- ture to share and analyze species-interaction datasets. *Ecological Informatics*, 24, 148–159.
- Poisot, T., Stouffer, D.B. & Gravel, D. (2015) Beyond species: why ecological interaction net-
- works vary through space and time. Oikos, **124**, 243–251.
- Polis, G.A. & Strong, D.R. (1996) Food web complexity and community dynamics. The American
 Naturalist, 147, 813–846.
- Polis, G.A. & Winemiller, K.O. (2013) Food webs: integration of patterns & dynamics. Springer
 Science & Business Media.
- 471 Schloerke, B., Crowley, J. & Cook, D. (2018) Package 'ggally'.
- 472 Steenweg, R., Hebblewhite, M., Kays, R., Ahumada, J., Fisher, J.T., Burton, C., Townsend,
- S.E., Carbone, C., Rowcliffe, J.M., Whittington, J. et al. (2017) Scaling-up camera traps:
- 474 Monitoring the planet's biodiversity with networks of remote sensors. Frontiers in Ecology
- and the Environment, 15, 26-34.
- ⁴⁷⁶ Strydom, T., Bouskila, S., Banville, F., Barros, C., Caron, D., Farrell, M.J., Fortin, M.J., Hem-⁴⁷⁷ ming, V., Mercier, B., Pollock, L.J. *et al.* (2021) Food web reconstruction through phylogenetic
- transfer of low-rank network representation. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Thompson, R.M., Brose, U., Dunne, J.A., Hall Jr, R.O., Hladyz, S., Kitching, R.L., Martinez,
 N.D., Rantala, H., Romanuk, T.N., Stouffer, D.B. *et al.* (2012) Food webs: reconciling the
 structure and function of biodiversity. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 27, 689–697.
- Thompson, R.M. & Townsend, C.R. (2000) Is resolution the solution?: the effect of taxonomic resolution on the calculated properties of three stream food webs. *Freshwater Biology*, **44**, 413–422.

- Van der Maaten, L. & Hinton, G. (2008) Visualizing data using t-sne. Journal of machine
 learning research, 9.
- 487 Van Leeuwen, J., Lehtinen, T., Lair, G., Bloem, J., Hemerik, L., Ragnarsdóttir, K., Gísladót-
- tir, G., Newton, J. & De Ruiter, P. (2015) An ecosystem approach to assess soil quality in
- organically and conventionally managed farms in iceland and austria. Soil, 1, 83–101.
- 490 Von Humboldt, A. & Bonpland, A. (1805) Essai sur la géographie des plantes: accompagné d'un
- tableau physique des régions équinoxiales, fondé sur des mesures exécutées, depuis le dixième
- degré de latitude boréale jusqu'au dixième degré de latitude australe, pendant les années 1799,
- 493 1800, 1801, 1802 et 1803. Editorial MAXTOR.
- Wickham, H. & Wickham, M.H. (2007) The ggplot package. URL: https://cran r-project
- a_{95} org/web/packages/ggplot2/index html.