# Speed Control of Solar PV Array Powered PMBLDC Motor Drive with P, PI, & PID Controllers: A Comparison study

satishkumar D<sup>1</sup>, Ali Moghassemi<sup>2</sup>, Ron Carter SB<sup>3</sup>, and Amit Vilas Sant<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>New Horizon College of Engineering <sup>2</sup>Islamic Azad University South Tehran Branch <sup>3</sup>Rajalakshmi Engineering College <sup>4</sup>Pandit Deendayal Energy University

November 21, 2022

#### Abstract

Because of their high efficiency, better starting torque, and minimal electrical noise, permanent magnet brushless DC (PMBLDC) motors are frequently used in a variety of industrial applications. The speed of PMBLDC motors is controlled by a variety of controllers. In this study, P, PI, and PID controllers are used to compare the speed control of a permanent magnet brushless dc motor drive powered by solar PV arrays. The Perturb & Observe (P&O) technique is used to find the MPPT. The drive system's simulation results for various operation modes, such as constant and variable load circumstances, are examined and evaluated. When using a PID Controller instead of a P or PI controller, the drive performs better at controlling speed. The software MATLAB/Simulink was used to model, control, and simulate the permanent magnet brushless DC motor drive. The whole drive system is put into operation with the help of the dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202



# Speed Control of Solar PV Array Powered PMBLDC Motor Drive with P, PI, & PID Controllers: A Comparison study

Satish Kumar. D<sup>1</sup>, Ron Carter. S. B<sup>2</sup>, Amit Vilas Sant<sup>3</sup>, Ali Moghassemi<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of E.E.E, New Horizon College of Engineering, Bangalore, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of E.E.E, Rajalakshmi Engineering College, Chennai, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Pandit Deendayal Energy University, Gujarat, India

<sup>4</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

\* E-mail: moghassemiali@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Because of their high efficiency, better starting torque, and minimal electrical noise, permanent magnet brushless DC (PMBLDC) motors are frequently used in a variety of industrial applications. The speed of PMBLDC motors is controlled by a variety of controllers. In this study, P, PI, and PID controllers are used to compare the speed control of a permanent magnet brushless dc motor drive powered by solar PV arrays. The Perturb & Observe (P&O) technique is used to find the MPPT. The drive system's simulation results for various operation modes, such as constant and variable load circumstances, are examined and evaluated. When using a PID Controller instead of a P or PI controller, the drive performs better at controlling speed. The software MATLAB/Simulink was used to model, control, and simulate the permanent magnet brushless DC motor drive. The whole drive system is put into operation with the help of the dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202.

Keywords: MPPT, PMBLDC Motor, PID Controller, Solar PV Array, Speed Control, dSPACE

## 1 Introduction

In an era of escalating energy demand and depleting conventional energy sources, renewable energy (RE) sources present promising solutions for bridging the rapidly growing energy supply-demand gap. Furthermore, renewable energy sources offer a viable alternative for reducing global warming by reducing carbon emissions. Increased usage of renewable energy sources would help the globe achieve long-term sustainability. One of the easiest forms of energy to use among several RE sources is solar energy [1]. In order to get the most power out of a solar PV array, maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is required. The most fundamental MPPT approaches are fractional open-circuit voltage and fractional short-circuit current [2]. They do, however, have a decreased tracking efficiency. Perturb and observe (P&O) approach is a popular MPPT methodology with outstanding tracking efficiency. It is used in this research because of its tracking fidelity even when the sun irradiation changes abruptly [3].

A Permanent magnet Brushless DC (PMBLDC) motor is a permanent magnet synchronous motor. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of the Solar PV array-powered permanent magnet brushless DC motor drive [4]. PMBLDC motors are known for their superior speed-to-torque relationships, high power density, extended operational life, quiet operation, and control qualities. Because of their many advantages, these motors are widely utilized in industry, and several control methods for controlling the speed of these motors have been proposed [5].

Particle swarm, fuzzy logic control, differential evolution, neural network, neuro-fuzzy, and sliding mode control are some examples of intelligent algorithms that can be used to change the gains of the P, PI, and PID controllers to improve the performance of PMBLDC motors [6, 7]. In this study, under constant and variable load conditions, a comparison of Speed control of Solar PV Array with MPPT P&O algorithm powered Permanent magnet Brushless DC motor drive utilizing P, PI, and PID controllers are observed in MATLAB/SIMULINK. The Permanent magnet brushless DC motor drive with mechanical load is developed on MATLAB/SIMULINK platform and then implemented on the dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202 platform with a sampling frequency of 10 kHz.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the Modelling of the Solar PV Array, Section 3 presents the Boost DC-DC converter, Section 4 presents the Modelling of the PMBLDC motor, and Section 5 discusses the different controller techniques (P, PI, & PID); Section 6 shows the Simulation results for Solar PV array powered Speed control of PMBLDC motor drive with P, PI, & PID Controller under constant and variable load conditions, Section 7 presents the Hardware results, and then Section 8 presents the conclusion of the paper.

## 2 Modeling of Solar PV Array

Using light energy, a solar photovoltaic system generates electricity. Arrays of solar cells are commonly used in solar PV systems [8]. PV array output voltage, current, and power are all affected by an amount of solar irradiation in the area where they are installed. A Solar PV Cell is a voltage-controlled current source that is interconnected in parallel with a diode [9].

As shown in Figure 2, the equivalent circuit of a PV cell contains the following components: VPV and IPV represent the PV cell current and voltage, respectively, Iph represents the PV cell photocurrent, Vd represents the voltage across the diode, Rs and Rsh represent the series and shunt resistances of the cell, and Ish represents the current flowing through the shunt resistance Rsh, which is extremely large.

By using Kirchhoff's current law (KCL), PV module current can be written as:

$$I_{PV} = I_{ph} - I_d - I_{sh} \tag{1}$$

$$I_{PV} = \left(I_{sc}\frac{G}{G^*}\right) - \left\{I_o\left(e^{\frac{v_d}{v_t}} - 1\right)\right\} - \frac{v_d}{R_{sh}}$$
(2)

$$V_d = V_{PV} + I_{PV} R_s \tag{3}$$

$$V_t = \frac{(N_s kT)}{a} \tag{4}$$

ISSN 1751-8644 doi: 000000000 www.ietdl.org



Fig. 1: Block Diagram of Solar PV array powered Permanent magnet Brushless DC (PMBLDC) motor-drive



Fig. 2: Equivalent circuit of Solar PV cell

Where G\* is nominal irradiance, and G is solar irradiance (W/m<sup>2</sup>). Isc stands for the module's short circuit current, Id for current flowing through the diode. T stands for the p-n junction temperature in Kelvin, diode saturation current is denoted by Io, thermal voltage is denoted by Vt, the total number of series-connected cells is denoted by Ns , k is Boltzmann constant  $1.38 \times 10^{-23} J/K$ , and q is the charge of an electron  $1.6 \times 10^{-19} C$ .

The parameters of Solar PV Array Parameters are listed in Table 1. Current vs Voltage (I-V) and Power vs Voltage (P-V) curves of Solar PV Array as shown in Figure 3. When it comes to solar panels, there

Tab	le	1	Solar	P٧	Array	Parameters
-----	----	---	-------	----	-------	------------

Parameter	Value
Strings that are Parallel	66
Modules connected in a series per string	5
Open Circuit Voltage (Voc)	64.2 V
Maximum power point Voltage (Vmp)	54.7 V
Maximum power	305.226 W
Maximum power point Current (Imp)	5.58 A
Short-circuit current (Isc)	5.96 A



Fig. 3: I-V and P-V curves of Solar PV Array

is only one operational point. Only when the operating point of the PV generator is modified at the inflection point of the IPV curve can these maximum power points (VPVs) be reached [10]. Maximum Power Point (MPP) is a term used to describe a PV array's optimal operating condition, and the process of running a module at this point is called Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT).

To get the most power out of PV arrays under various radiation and temperature conditions, an MPPT algorithm is required. The classic analysed methods: incremental conductance (IncCond), perturb and observe (P&O), particle swarm optimization (PSO), artificial neural networks (ANN), ripple correlation (RC) algorithms, etc. are just a few of the many methods that have been proposed in recent years for tracking the maximum power point[11,12]. To find MPPT, this study uses perturb and observe (P&O) algorithm, it is most typically used to control the MPPT process. It has a basic design, is affordable, easy to operate, requires fewer parameters, is adaptable, and has the ability to attain high levels of efficiency. Figure 4 shows the flowchart for the P&O algorithm. This approach uses the PV output terminal voltage to increase or decrease periodically, and the current cycle's output power is to be compared to the previous cycle's output. Once the direction of current change is understood, the current is modulated at a steady rate. By adjusting this rate, faster response times can be achieved with less volatility in the steady state. As a result, it oscillates about the maximum power point due to the perturbing process of finding the maximum power point.

#### 3 Boost DC-DC Converter

Boosting inductor (L), controlled switch (IGBT), diode, and capacitor (C) are all components of a DC-DC boost converter, as shown in Figure 5. The following equations are used to design the inductor and capacitor of the boost converter [13]. The parameters of the Boost DC-DC converter are listed in Table2.

$$\Delta I_L = \frac{(V_i) \min.D}{f_s.L} \tag{5}$$

$$L = \frac{V_i(V_o - V_i)}{\Delta I_L \cdot f_s \cdot V_o} \tag{6}$$

$$C = \frac{I_o.D}{\Delta v_o.f_s} \tag{7}$$

Where fs denote switching frequency, D denotes duty cycle, (Vi)min indicates minimum input voltage, Vi denotes input voltage, Vo denotes output voltage,  $\Delta$  Vo denotes the estimated output ripple voltage,  $\Delta$  IL denotes the estimated inductor ripple current, C denotes capacitor, Io denotes the output current, and L denotes inductor.



Fig. 4: Perturb and Observe (P&O) Algorithm



Fig. 5: Boost DC-DC Converter

Table 2 Boost DC-DC Converter Parameters

Parameter	Value
Inductance (L)	5 mH
Input Capacitance (Cin)	100 μF
Output Capacitance (Cout)	12000 μF
Switching frequency (fsw)	5 kHz
Output voltage	320 V
Output current	25 A

# 4 Modeling of PMBLDC Motor

In recent years, permanent magnet brushless DC (PMBLDC) motors have become increasingly popular in a wide range of industrial and domestic applications. This type of permanent magnet synchronous motor has a rotor with permanent magnets and a trapezoidal back-EMF. Stator phase windings are fed from a DC power supply via S1-S6 switching devices, and their sequence is determined by the rotor's position [14]. The equivalent circuit of the PMBLDC motor is depicted in Figure 6, and the parameters of the PMBLDC motor are listed in Table 3.

When using an Insulated-Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT) threephase voltage source inverter (VSI) to control a PMBLDC motor, the inverter needs to know where the rotor is at all times to provide the correct commutation sequence. It is possible to use Hall effect sensors for this purpose. PMBLDC motor is powered by an IGBT three-phase VSI with a "six-step commutation or Trapezoidal control" function. Each phase has a 120-degree electrical conductivity gap between it and the next. Conducting stages S5–S6 is followed by S1–S6, S1–S2, and so on, with each conducting stage being referred to as one step in the commutation phase conductivity sequence [15]. As a result, the third phase is left inactivated in each step. Each cycle of commutation ensures that the inverter is in phase with the back-EMF, resulting in maximum torque.



Fig. 6: Equivalent Circuit of PMBLDC motor

The stator phase resistance and stator phase self-inductance are identical because the structure of the three stator windings is symmetrical, i.e.,

$$R_a = R_b = R_c = R \tag{8}$$

$$L_{aa} = L_{bb} = L_{cc} = L \tag{9}$$

Table 3 PMBLDC motor Parameters

Parameter	Value
Resistance of Stator phase (Rs)	10.91 ohm
The inductance of Stator phase (Ls)	30.0 mH
Number of phases	3
Moment of Inertia (J)	0.2 <i>e</i> - 3 <i>Kg.m</i> <sup>2</sup>
Viscous damping (F)	1 e-3 N.m.s
Pole pairs	4
Rated voltage	300V
Rated current	20A
Rated speed	3000 R.P.M
Voltage constant	137
Torque constant	1.3

And the mutual inductance between three-phase stator windings is the same, i.e., M. The following equations can be used to evaluate a PMBLDC motor:

$$v_a = Ri_a + (L - M)\frac{di_a}{dt} + e_a \tag{10}$$

$$v_b = Ri_b + (L - M)\frac{di_b}{dt} + e_b \tag{11}$$

$$v_c = Ri_c + (L - M)\frac{di_c}{dt} + e_c$$
(12)

Where ea, eb, and ec denote phase back-EMF waveforms; va, vb, and vc imply phase voltages; ia, ib, ic imply phase currents; Phase resistance, phase self-inductance, and mutual inductance between any two phases are denoted by the letters R, L, and M, respectively [16]. The following equations for the back EMF:

$$e_a = k_e \,\theta_e \,\omega_r \tag{13}$$

$$e_b = k_e \left(\theta_e - \frac{2\Pi}{3}\right) \omega_r \tag{14}$$

$$e_c = k_e \left(\theta_e + \frac{2\Pi}{3}\right) \omega_r \tag{15}$$

The electromagnetic torque can be calculated using the following equation:

$$T_e = \frac{(e_a i_a + e_b i_b + e_c i_c)}{\omega_r} \tag{16}$$

The equation of motion in a dynamical system is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\omega \mathbf{r} = \frac{(T_e - T_L - B\omega \mathbf{r})}{J}$$
(17)

$$\theta_e = \left(\frac{P}{2}\right)\theta_m \tag{18}$$

Where  $\omega r$  denotes the mechanical speed of the rotor in (rad/sec), ke denotes back EMF constant,  $\theta e$  denotes rotor angle in electrical degree,  $\theta m$  denotes rotor angle in mechanical, B denotes damping constant, J denotes a moment of inertia for the drive and TL is the Load torque.

## 5 Controllers

#### 5.1 Proportional Controller

The output of the controller in a proportional control system is proportional to the error signal. kp=0.1

The transfer function of the Proportional controller is:

$$G(s) = k_p \tag{19}$$

#### 5.2 Proportional-Integral (PI) Controller

The system will become unstable if the proportional factor is too high. If it's too low, system won't be able to reach the designated point in the time allotted. As a result, the proportional factor or gain of the PI controller is fine-tuned to keep the speed constant. In a proportional-integral (PI) speed controller, BLDC motor speed is compared to its reference value, and the speed error is handled [17]. kp=0.0014; ki=0.228805434597596

The transfer function of the PI controller is:

$$G(s) = k_p + \frac{k_i}{s} \tag{20}$$

## 5.3 Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) Controller

The PID controller is made up of three circuit blocks: proportional, integral, and derivative. It is a common feedback control loop that corrects the difference between the measured process variable and the desired value. Small rise time, settling time, peak time, maximum overshoot percentage, and steady-state error are essential requirements for the optimal control system. The peak overshoot is decreased by utilizing a PID controller, which improves system stability [18,19]. Ziegler-Nichols's procedures are used to tune the PID values. The tuned PID values are kp=0.0101161945046932; ki=0.0820091011124225; kd=0.000260346693934972

The transfer function of the PID controller is:

$$G(s) = k_p + \frac{k_i}{s} + k_d s \tag{21}$$

Where Ki is the integral constant, Kd is the derivative constant, and Kp is the proportional constant.

#### 6 Simulation Results

The PMBLDC motor model is simulated in a Simulink environment using MATLAB. To regulate the speed of a PMBLDC motor, simulations are run for the following controllers: P, PI, and PID controllers. Different load circumstances, such as constant load and changing load, are applied to PMBLDC motors. With the use of criteria like the rise time, settling time, slew rate, pre-shoot, overshoot, and undershoot, the performance of various controllers is examined. The model was run in simulation for a total of 1.5 seconds.

#### 6.1 Under Constant Load Conditions

The performance of the controllers is also shown at the period of 1.5 sec in Table 4. PID Controller performs better than other controllers. The PMBLDC motor in the MATLAB Simulink environment is made to run after tuning the P, PI, and PID controllers using Ziegler-Nichols's method, here the controller will be tuned by reducing their integral (ki) and differential (kd) gains. Later only the proportional gain (kp) is increased gradually until the system becomes unstable. The delay time taken for the output to start rising will be noted for L. Once the output reaches the set speed, the corresponding time will be noted as T. From the values of L and T, the gain values are calculated as given in equations (22) to (24).

Gain for P Controller:

$$k_p = \frac{T}{L} \tag{22}$$

Gain for PI Controller:

$$k_p = 0.5 \frac{T}{L}; \ k_i = \frac{L}{0.3}$$
 (23)

Gain for PID Controller:

$$k_p = 1.2 \frac{T}{L}; \ k_i = 2L; \ k_d = 0.5L$$
 (24)

# Table 4: Under Constant Load conditions

Controller	Rise time (s)	Settling time (s)	Slew Rate(/ms)	Pre-shoot(%)	Overshoot(%)	Undershoot(%)
Р	7.01 ms	4.422 ms	174.657	16.109	9.702	1.819
PI	7.636 ms	90.171 ms	161.060	128.712	269.524	0.514
PID	36.987 ms	4.52 ms	33.882	25.393	16.54	1.804

# Table 5: Under Variable Load conditions

Controller	Rise time (s)	Settling time (s)	Slew Rate(/ms)	Pre-shoot(%)	Overshoot(%)	Undershoot(%)
P	7.033	4.449	174.774	0.51	1.135	1.992
PI	7.661	90.171	161.009	0.862	71.552	1.995
PID	33.112	15.31	37.034	0.549	9.341	3.009





a Stator Currents

b Rotor Speed

c Electromagnetic Torque

#### 6.2 Comparison of Dynamic Parameters in Constant Load

Figures (7) to (9) provide the dynamic properties of PMBLDC motors, including three-phase stator currents, rotor speed in units of rpm, and torque (Nm) for constant load application. As depicted in the figure, PID Controller provides superior control over PMBLDC motor output speed when compared to other controllers. In addition, the PID controller has significantly reduced output torque ripples.

#### 6.3 Under Variable Load Conditions

The PMBLDC motor model is simulated for variable load conditions similar to constant load situations and controlled by multiple



**Fig. 8**: MATLAB Simulation results for Solar PV array powered Speed control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'PI' Controller under constant load conditions

a Stator Currents

b Rotor Speed

c Electromagnetic Torque

controllers like P, PI, and PID. Figures (10) to (12) provide the dynamic properties of PMBLDC motors, including three-phase stator currents, rotor speed in units of rpm, and torque (Nm) for variable load application further, rise time, settling time, slew rate, pre-shoot, overshoot, and undershoot are used to evaluate the controller's performance. Table 5 displays the various values of the aforementioned performance criteria for various controllers under variable loading conditions.



Fig. 9: MATLAB Simulation results for Solar PV array powered Speed control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'PID' Controller under constant load conditions a Stator Currents

b Rotor Speed

c Electromagnetic Torque

#### 6.4 Comparison of Dynamic Parameters in Variable Load Conditions

The motor's load circumstances are changing, and simulation results show the dynamic parameters, such as load currents, speed (rpm), and torque (Nm). With a gradually increasing load, the corresponding current and torque increase. This results in the formation of torque ripples. Inaccurate settling speed is the result of torque ripples. According to the waveforms, the PMBLDC motor model with a PID controller outperforms the other controllers.

#### 7 **Hardware Results**

On the dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202 platform, the PMBLDC motor drive is put into practice for the intended application. With the appropriate adjustments made to meet the dSPACE platform, the drive system is modeled in the MATLAB/SIMULINK environment. The PMBLDC drive's performance under various circumstances is examined, and the relevant data is captured on a digital storage oscilloscope. The drive's outer speed and inner current loops, as well as the logic for PWM generation and decoding, make up the control system. Figures 13 (a) to (c) show the steady-state three-phase stator line voltages and currents for the PMBLDC motor drive implemented on dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202. In this case, the P controller is employed as a speed controller. The voltage waveforms are in the form of pulses, whereas the current waveforms are near quasi-square waves. Figures 13(d) to (f) show the three-phase stator line voltage and currents for the PMBLDC motor drive with the increase in load. The dynamic performance analysis reveals that with the increase in load, the current also increases to meet the load demand. However, the current does not exceed the maximum permissible value.



Fig. 10: MATLAB Simulation results for Solar PV array powered Speed control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'P' Controller under variable load conditions

a Stator Currents b Rotor Speed

c Electromagnetic Torque

Also, no abnormality is observed in the voltage waveform. Figure 13(g) shows the speed and load torque. The rotor speed tracks the reference speed. The reference speed is increased from 800 r/min to 1350 r/min and then to 1500 r/min. There are no overshoots, undershoots, or oscillations observed in the rotor speed. However, a steady-state error is observed. With the change in reference speed, the control system ensures that there is an increase in current to meet the increased load demand. Hence, the developed torque increases. As acceleration imposes increased load torque demand, there is a spike observed in the developed torque to ensure that the rotor speed accelerates. Figure 13(h) to (i) show the output of the Hall position sensors for phases a and b.

The steady-state three-phase stator line voltages and currents for the PMBLDC motor drive with PI speed controller developed on the dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202 platform are shown in Figures 14(a) to (c). With a PI controller, the steady-state speed error can be eliminated. While the current waveforms resemble quasi-square waves with some distortions, the voltage waveforms take the shape of pulses as per the PWM gate pulses applied to the inverter. The three-phase stator line voltage and currents for the PMBLDC motor drive observed under the increase in load are shown in Figures 14(d) to (f). According to the dynamic performance analysis, as the load is increased, the magnitude of the current must also increase to keep up with the demand. The current does not, however, go above the maximum value allowed. Additionally, there are no anomalies in the voltage waveform. The load torque and speed are displayed in Figure 14(g). Rotor speed follows the reference speed exactly. After increasing from 800 r/min to 1350 r/min, the reference speed is then raised to 1500 r/min. There is a small overshoot in rotor speed as it is increased from 800 r/min to 1350 r/min. It is to be noted that the rotor speed does not exhibit any oscillations. No overshoot is observed



Fig. 11: MATLAB Simulation results for Solar PV array powered Speed control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'PI' Controller under variable load conditions a Stator Currents

b Rotor Speed

c Electromagnetic Torque

when the speed is increased from 1350 r/min to 1500 r/min. The control system makes sure that, with the change in reference speed, there is an increase in current to handle the increased load demand. Consequently, the developed torque rises. There is a spike in the produced torque that is detected to ensure that the rotor speed accelerates when acceleration imposes an increased load torque demand. The output of the Hall position sensors for phases a and b is shown in Figures 14(h) to (i).

With the PI speed controller, though the steady state error was eliminated, overshoot was observed in rotor speed. Also, distortions are observed in the start currents. To further improve the drive performance, PMBLDC drive operation is analyzed with a PID speed controller. Figures 15(a) to (c) display the steady-state three-phase stator line voltages and currents obtained when the PID speed controller is used in the PMBLDC motor drive built on the dSPACE MicroLabBox 1202 platform. The voltage waveforms take the form of pulses following the PWM gate pulses applied to the inverter, whilst the current waveforms resemble quasi-square waves. The distortions observed in stator currents with the PI speed controller are eliminated. Figures 15(d) to (f) illustrate the three-phase stator line voltage and currents for the PMBLDC motor drive measured under the increased load. The dynamic performance study indicates that as the load is raised, the current magnitude must also grow to meet the demand. The current stays below the permitted maximum value, nevertheless. The voltage waveform is also free of any abnormalities. Figure 15(g) displays the load torque and actual rotor speed. Reference speed is exactly tracked by the rotor speed. The reference speed is increased from 800 r/min to 1350 r/min and subsequently increased to 1500 r/min. As the rotor speed rises from 800 to 1350 r/min, no overshoot, which was observed in the earlier case, is observed. The derivative action ensures the elimination



Fig. 12: MATLAB Simulation results for Solar PV array powered Speed control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'PID' Controller under variable load conditions a Stator Currents

b Rotor Speed

c Electromagnetic Torque

of the overshoot. It should be noted that no other abnormalities are observed in the rotor speed. This remains true, even when the speed is raised from 1350 r/min to 1500 r/min. The control system makes sure that when the reference speed changes, an increase in current is made to suit the increasing load requirement. The increased stator current is to facilitate the increase in torque production to meet the load demand. As the load torque increases significantly while accelerating, Thus, the control system makes sure that an increase in current is made in response to the change in reference speed to accommodate the increased load demand. Therefore, to ensure that the rotor speed increases when acceleration imposes a higher load torque demand, a spike in the produced torque is monitored. Figures 15(h) to (i) display the output of the Hall position sensors for phases a and b. The hardware results presented in this work are in line with the simulation studies presented.

#### 8 Conclusion

The performance of a solar PV array-powered PMBLDC motor drive is analyzed through simulation studies and hardware-in-loop implementation. P, PI, and PID controllers are employed as the speed controllers. The system performance with these controllers is analyzed under constant load and variable load conditions. With the P controller, steady state speed error is observed. This issue can be resolved with the PI controller through integral action. However, with PI controller current distortions in stator currents and overshoot in the actual speed are observed. With the inclusion of derivative action, the problem of distortions in stator currents and overshoot in rotor speed can be eliminated. With this PID Controller, torque ripples has been reduced considerably and also Overshoot,



(a) Vab , Ia under Constant Loading Conditions

5.00% 2 1.0	W/				-2.2001	5.00	C0/	5110	÷		-1709
			Ĭ							*	Agilent
L MARGINE AL & L	-		-		. 101	MALANCAS			1	Ac	quistion
10. IN 11		1	11		- 11			- 11		14	9) Bos
		- 1 ha	ш,	. No.	100	1.11					DESIRS
1 1 1 1				. 11				11	1	0	namels
No DOLEMA AND	100	NUMBER OF COLUMN	ke.	10 11		4.04	1.100	100.0	10		
- M		$\sim$			$\mathbf{N}$		1	<b>N</b>	1	Mea	urements
								11			10.0
با السريا	_		- 1	_	L 1.		~		<b>Fk</b>	Ph (2	
	1 1							1 1			3.12
	1.			<u> </u>		1.			J		
N	NN.		Y	Ν		N.			1		
harmon Maria			_								
Searce	D Tre	e	Add		Sett	1920	Oe	r Neis	1		
2	Pk-E	s	Mainte	ment		-	-	*			

(c) Vca , Ic under Constant Loading Conditions



(e) Vbc , Ib Under Variable Loading Conditions





(b) Vbc , Ib under Constant Loading Conditions

5.00%/ 2 2.00%/	5.44Bs	50.001/	Step	£ 1 -2597
				🔆 Agilent
ment with working were an address of		0.1100 Dim 0		Acquistion
				Normal
		<b></b>		1,200,5873
				Distriels
A NATION INCOMPLICATION OF		a ara	****	AC 100
				u 100
		1.1.1.1.1.1	111	7
	Un			<ul> <li>Measurements</li> </ul>
a safe safe as a safe share of [ ] [		11111	1111	Pk-Pk(1):
		UNANU		8-602
	1111	n ny minin	111111	>6.67\
	444	A A U MA U	uvry	
1711		111111		1
				1
ove to file = (scope_3				
Save Recal Delast/Erace				Press to

(d) Vab , Ia Under Variable Loading Conditions



(f) Vca , Ic Under Variable Loading Conditions



**Fig. 13**: dSPACE results for Solar PV Array powered Speed Control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'P' Controller

and Undershoot in speed has been reduced. Hence PID controller is ideally suited for the solar PV array-powered PMBLDC motor drive.

# 9 References

[1] Poovizhi Mani, Senthil Kumaran Mahadevan, Anitha Roseline Johnson & Murugesan Kullan: 'An optimized design modeling of PV integrated SEPIC-based four-switch inverter for sensorless PMBLDC motor control,Automatika, 2021, 63,(1), pp 90-101

[2] A. Sen and B. Singh: 'Peak current detection starting based position sensorless control of BLDC motor drive for PV array fed irrigation pump,IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2021, 57,(3), pp 2569-2577

[3] S. Murshid and B. Singh: 'Implementation of PMSM Drive for a Solar Water Pumping System,IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 2019, 55,(5), pp 4956-4964

[4] K. S. Rathore, U. K. Kalla, N. Bhati and D. K. Palwalia: 'Solar PV Array fed Modified PMBLDCM Drive Scheme for Blower Applications,International Conference on Sustainable Energy and Future Electric Transportation (SEFET), 2021,4,(2), pp 1-6

[5] Huang Jigang, Fang Hui, Wang Jie: 'A PI controller optimized with modified differential evolution algorithm for speed control of BLDC motor,Automatika, 2019,60,(2), pp 135-148

[6] C. L. P. Swamy, B. Singh, B. P. Singh and S. S. Murthy: 'Experimental investigations on a permanent magnet brushless DC motor



(a) Vab , Ia under Constant Loading Conditions



(c) Vca , Ic under Constant Loading Conditions



(e) Vbc , Ib Under Variable Loading Conditions





(b) Vbc , Ib under Constant Loading Conditions



(d) Vab , Ia Under Variable Loading Conditions



(f) Vca , Ic Under Variable Loading Conditions



Fig. 14: dSPACE results for Solar PV Array powered Speed Control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'PI' Controller

fed by PV array for water pumping system, IECEC 96. Proceedings of the 31st Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, 2019, (3), pp. 1663-1668

[7] A. Panda, S. Kahare and S. K. Gawre: 'DSP TMS320F28377S based Speed Control of DC Motor', IEEE International Students Conference on Electrical, Electronics and Computer Science (SCEECS), 2020, pp 1-4

[8] D Dinesh Kumar, Challa Babu, K Jyotheeswara Reddy, K Kumar and N K Kumar: 'An improved P&O MPPT control algorithm for increasing power extraction efficiency of solar PV module', IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2019,623, (1), pp 1-7

[9] S. G. Malla: 'Small signal model of PV power generation system', IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI), 2017, pp. 3069-3073

[10] ArunGhosh, SivaGanesh Malla, Chandrasekhar Narayan Bhende: 'Small-signal modelling and control of photovoltaic based water pumping system', ISA Transactions, 2015,57, pp. 382–389

[11] A. M. Abdul Hussain and H. M. D. Habbi: 'Maximum Power Point Tracking Photovoltaic Fed Pumping System Based on PI Controller', Third Scientific Conference of Electrical Engineering (SCEE), 2018, pp. 78-83

[12] P. Hake and R. T. Ugale: 'Solar PV and Grid Interfaced BLDC Motor Drive System for Agricultural Pump Application', National Power Electronics Conference (NPEC), 2021, pp. 1-6



(a) Vab , Ia under Constant Loading Conditions

1 5.00%	2 2.00	W/				0.0s	5.00	CC/	\$100	£ 1	-65.01
<b>.</b>										*	Agilent cosistion = Normal SOLSa/s Damek =
*w	M	W	M	w	M	W	M	W	M	100 11 Me 174-Ph 174-Ph 1	1001 1001 1001 10030 2003
Help Mens East Start	ng Ned	Abo Oscilos	ut. 0054	Ling Eng	uspe Joh						

(c) Vca , Ic under Constant Loading Conditions



(e) Vbc , Ib Under Variable Loading Conditions



(b) Vbc , Ib under Constant Loading Conditions

About Stanguage

AG305 X 402	MIS2165101; Wed	anii 10.175	32022					
5.00%	2 2.00V/			662.CC	50.001/	Step	£ 1	-1269
							*	Agilent
e de blime Pi			and made and	11111		10000	Acc	sition :
							N 2.5	ormal OkSa/s
nπ	NTI MO	IIIII		1770)	nm	mm	- 0	arrels i
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		00	
			- illin	HILL	110 O O	UD II	i Meas	rements
1011	10000	4444	111 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			1004	B.G.C	10.3V
1111	murbus	mm	htini	WO T	mense	in m		6.7V
1111	111111	uune	2 2 2 1 1 1		YY LULL			
			- 11U	MUU	n (10)	ψų		
ve to file =	50.009_3	-			_	-	_	
Sav		lecal	Default/Enace				Pr	ess 50

(d) Vab , Ia Under Variable Loading Conditions



(f) Vca , Ic Under Variable Loading Conditions

1 500*/ 2 500*/	0.05	5.0001/	Step	£ 1 -1269
¥(				Agilent Acquisition Normal SOU/Sa/s Denvels C C 1001
v <sup>2</sup>				Measurements     Pk-Pk(1)     1.03V     Pk-Pk(2)     1.03V
Ser to life = 62009 4 Ser Beal Oried	Ha	Hb		Press to Sere

**Fig. 15**: dSPACE results for Solar PV Array powered Speed Control of PMBLDC motor drive using 'PID' Controller

[13] R. Kumar and B. Singh: 'BLDC motor driven water pump fed by solar photovoltaic array using boost converter', Annual IEEE India Conference (INDICON), 2015, pp. 1-6

[14] K. Gadekar, S. Joshi and H. Mehta: 'Performance Improvement in BLDC Motor Drive Using Self-Tuning PID Controller', Second International Conference on Inventive Research in Computing Applications (ICIRCA), 2020, pp. 1162-1166

[15] R. Shanmugasundram, K. M. Zakariah and N. Yadaiah: 'Implementation and Performance Analysis of Digital Controllers for Brushless DC Motor Drives', IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, 2014,19,(1), pp. 213-224

[16] Mozaffari Niapour, S. A. KH., Danyali, S., Sharifian, M. B. B., & Feyzi, M. R: 'Brushless DC motor drives supplied by PV power system based on Z-source inverter and FL-IC MPPT controller', Energy Conversion and Management, 2011,52,(8-9), pp. 3043-3059

[17] P. K. Sharma and A. S. Sindekar: 'Performance analysis and comparison of BLDC motor drive using PI and FOC', International Conference on Global Trends in Signal Processing, Information Computing and Communication (ICGTSPICC), 2016, pp. 485-492

[18] B. Saha and B. Singh: 'An Economical Approach for Solar PV-Battery Based E-Rickshaw with Regenerative Braking using Sensorless BLDC Motor Drive', IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES), 2020, pp.1-6

[19] Malla Siva Ganesh, Et al.,: 'Coordinated power management and control of renewable energy sources based smart grid', International Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems, 2022,23, (2), pp. 261-276