Implication of drift and rapid evolution on negative niche construction

Phuong Nguyen¹, Manon Costa², and Loeuille Nicolas³

¹Universite de Fribourg ²Institut de Mathematiques de Toulouse ³Affiliation not available

November 11, 2022

Abstract

An important property of niche construction is that its consequences can persist for a long period of time, affecting several subsequent generations. This phenomenon is known as the niche construction time lags. Time lags in niche construction can result in the evolution of cooperation. Here, we study the evolutionary consequences of cooperation by incorporating time lags in a negative niche construction process. We consider a population that extrudes waste into its environment as it consumes resources. Higher consumption rates can lead to higher waste production, as it is associated with higher \textit{per capita} growth and reproduction rates. We showed that increasing consumption rates often evolve as pollution is equally experienced by the whole population while benefits are at the individual level. When we consider rapid evolution, intragenerational time lags, and stochasticity, however, such increases are no longer favoured and lower consumption rates resulting in less waste production can be an outcome. Interestingly, in the long term, drift becomes more important than natural selection, as selection becomes progressively weaker while population sizes are severely depressed by the cumulative effects of pollution.





- 99	
Ε.	
E	
d	
ĕ,	
ay	
В	
uta	
ñ	
Nec	
ie	
rev	
5	
be	
я	
90	
E.	
ŭ	
as	
2	
and	
÷	
de.	
pr	
8	
e.	
7	
20	
6	
98	
12	
68	
16	
ĽŪ.	
š	
54	
23	
0	
s://c	
tps://c	
https://c	
— https://c	
n. — https://c	
sion. — https://c	
ission. — https://c	
rmission. — https://c	
permission. — https://c	
ut permission. — https://c	
nout permission. — https:// c	
ithout permission. — https:// c	
\approx without permission. — https://c	
as without permission. — https:// c	
reuse without permission. — https:// c	
Vo reuse without permission. — https:// c	
. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
ed. No reuse without permission. — https:// ϵ	
rved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
served. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
'its reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
ights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
l rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
\therefore All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
ler. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
nder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — $https://c$	
$^{\prime}$ funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
σ /funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
thor/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https:// c	
\approx author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — $https://c$	
is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $-$ https://c	
er is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
lder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
ht holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
vight holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
pyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
te copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
- The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
2 - The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $- $ https://c	
022 - The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $-$ https://c	
2022 — The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
ov $2022 - $ The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $-$ https://c	
Nov 2022 — The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
11 Nov 2022 — The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
m 11 Nov 2022 — The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	
1 on 11 Nov 2022 - The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. $$ https://c	
ted on 11 Nov 2022 — The copyright holder is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse without permission. — https://c	



¹ Abstract

An important property of niche construction is that its consequences can persist for a long period of
 time, affecting several subsequent generations. This phenomenon is known as the niche construction
 time lags.

Time lags in niche construction can result in the evolution of cooperation. Here, we study the evolutionary consequences of cooperation by incorporating time lags in a negative niche construction process. We consider a population that extrudes waste into its environment as it consumes resources. Higher consumption rates can lead to higher waste production, as it is associated with higher *per capita* growth and reproduction rates.

We showed that increasing consumption rates often evolve as pollution is equally experienced by the whole population while benefits are at the individual level. When we consider rapid evolution, intragenerational time lags, and stochasticity, however, such increases are no longer favoured and lower consumption rates resulting in less waste production can be an outcome. Interestingly, in the long term, drift becomes more important than natural selection, as selection becomes progressively weaker while population sizes are severely depressed by the cumulative effects of pollution.

Keywords: Adaptive dynamics, Ecological inheritance, legacy effects, pollution, rapid evolu tion, stochastic simulation, tragedy of the commons.

18 1 Introduction

Niche construction is a process whereby organisms modify their surrounding environment. It can 19 be as sophisticated and noticeable to the human eye as beaver dams or termite mounds (Korb 20 (2011); Naiman et al. (1988); Wright et al. (2002)). Yet, it can simply be a change in chemical con-21 centrations induced by the activities of organisms, such as the enrichment of environmental oxygen 22 by cyanobacteria billions of years ago (Mazard et al. (2016)). Any living being could be a niche 23 constructor because by merely existing, organisms interact with their surrounding environment, 24 thereby chemically and physically modifying it. The modified environment can in turn have ecolog-25 ical and evolutionary consequences on the organisms, which can be positive niche construction or 26 negative niche construction when considering the fitness of individuals of the constructing species 27 (Odling-Smee et al. (2003)). Environmental changes also affect other species that live within the 28 same area (Hastings et al. (2007); Kidwell and Jablonski (1983)). 29

Environmental modifications due to niche construction can persist on long timescales, which are 30 often known as legacy effects or ecological inheritance (Cuddington (2011); Danchin et al. (2011); 31 Hastings et al. (2007); Odling-Smee et al. (2003)). In particular, changes in the niche can be expe-32 rienced by individuals within a generation or between generations of a niche constructing species 33 (Edeline et al. (2016); Hastings et al. (2007); Krebs and Davies (1993); Laland et al. (2000)). Under-34 standing the evolutionary consequences of niche construction therefore requires careful consideration 35 of three different timescales: population timescale, niche construction timescale, and evolutionary 36 timescale. The population dynamic timescale encompasses all demographic processes of the niche 37 constructor population. The niche construction timescale covers variations in the environment as 38 a result of niche construction processes, including ecological inheritance. Finally, the evolutionary 39 timescale refers to changes in gene frequencies, emergence and invasion of new mutants, or the birth 40 and death of new species. 41

The three timescales interact in complex ways and do not necessarily match. For instance, a termite mound expands as the termite colony grows, which happens along the population dynamic timescale. Changes of the mound's size, shape and structure could then affect local environments for

millennia (Martin et al. (2018)), so that the niche construction timescale spans a long period of time. 45 Such environmental changes can have large consequences, affecting vegetation patterns at various 46 spatial scales (Ashton et al. (2019); Bonachela et al. (2015); Tarnita et al. (2017)) thereby creating 47 new sources of selection that act on a long evolutionary timescale. The niche construction timescale 48 may completely lag behind the population dynamic timescale. For instance, molluscs or crustaceans 49 leave behind their shells when dead, which accumulate under the ocean. This gradually forms 50 hard substrata, which may facilitate or inhibit the occupation of subsequent species (Kidwell and 51 Jablonski (1983)). In this case, several populations may exist, reach their dynamical equilibrium, 52 and even go extinct, while the dynamics of the constructed niche remain at its quasi-stable state. 53 Within a short period, the dynamics of the constructed niche may not have a significant effect on a 54 particular species. Given a sufficiently long period, however, they can have important evolutionary 55 consequences on various species. Lags between the population and niche construction timescales 56 need not be so extreme (Odling-Smee et al. (2003)). Relatively short intergenerational time-lags 57 occur in earthworms where the worms modify soil properties, which have been suggested to make 58 the environment favourable for the ancestors and their immediate future generations (although the 59 benefits may last for many years) (Caro et al. (2014)). The time-lags can be intragenerational as 60 shown in Edeline et al. (2016), in which juvenile and adult medaka fishes compete for the same 61 resources. Adult fishes can be starved due to resources degradation by juveniles, which facilitates 62 the evolution of semelparity. 63

Many theoretical frameworks tackling eco-evolutionary dynamics assume that variations in the ecological/environmental context happen on a shorter timescale that is separated from the long evolutionary timescale (Koch et al. (2014); Metz et al. (1995)). Given that niche construction processes may span a large timeframe, and that evolution can happen in a short timescale, we here propose to investigate how the interplay of the three time scales (i.e. population, niche construction, and evolution) affect evolutionary outcomes.

Effects of niche construction, positive or negative, are often experienced by coexisting individuals, which often leads to the tragedy of the commons. Positive niche construction often comes with a cost for the niche constructing individuals, while benefits are shared among the whole popula-

tion. In the same vein, negative niche construction are experienced by the whole population, while 73 the benefits are often private to the niche constructing individuals. It is thus often difficult for 74 positive niche construction to evolve yet easy for negative niche construction to spread. To avoid 75 this tragedy, classical theoretical studies include a direct benefit to the restriction of negative niche 76 construction, or impose a direct cost by coercion and punishment, or add spatial structure and 77 kinship (Rankin et al. (2007)). Even though eco-evolutionary feedback loops are taken into account 78 in various work on the tragedy of the commons (Estrela et al. (2019)), explicit dynamics of the 79 constructed niche are rarely considered. The eco-evolutionary feedback including niche construc-80 tion dynamics however play a key role in evolutionary outcomes (Estrela et al. (2019); Odling-Smee 81 et al. (2003)). 82

To study the effects of niche construction and the impact of ecological inheritance on the evo-83 lution of cooperation, we explicitly include all three dynamics: population, niche construction and 84 evolution, and consider possible lags among the three associated timescales. Transgenerational time 85 lags in niche construction have been considered in various theoretical studies (Gurney and Lawton 86 (1996); Laland et al. (1996); Lehmann (2007)), our work specifically considers intergenerational 87 time lags. We focus on the evolution of negative niche construction, in particular, the production of 88 wastes, which are metabolites such as lactic acid, acetate, or hydrogen in cases of bacteria (Fuchs 89 (1999)), or more complex material such as excrement or toxin in other groups (Balali-Mood et al. 90 (2016); Bot et al. (2001)). These substances have been shown to be toxic to the population, es-91 pecially when their concentrations are high (Balali-Mood et al. (2016); Bot et al. (2001); Pinhal 92 et al. (2019); Ratzke and Gore (2018); Ratzke et al. (2018)). Waste production is assumed to be 93 positively linked to consumption rates such that individuals that consume more produce more waste 94 (Besiktepe and Dam (2002); Tanner et al. (2019); Zarco-Perello et al. (2019)). Higher consumption 95 rates may be associated with higher reproduction, growth or maturation rates (Greenberg et al. 96 (2003); Morton (1986)). This is consistent with the metabolic theory, where metabolic rates, and 97 hence catabolism and waste production, is intimately linked to intrinsic growth rate (Brown et al. 98 (2004)). A high rate of waste production may mean higher individual fitness, but it can lead to QC higher pollution in the environment, which in turn imposes negative effects on the whole popula-100

tion. When such negative effects lead to smaller population sizes, drift can play an increasingly important role. Genetic drift has been shown to be crucial in many evolutionary processes, especially when natural selection force is weak and population sizes are small (Gonzalez-Quevedo et al. (2015); Veller et al. (2017)). We also consider the effect of drift in our work.

We found that negative niche construction is generally favoured, leading to populations prone to extinction in many cases. However, when evolutionary timescale overlaps with the population and niche construction timescales, introducing intragenerational time lags in niche construction establishes conditions that prevent the negative niche constructing activities. Interestingly, on the long evolutionary course, drift plays an increasing role compared to natural selection, and even becomes the main factor to impede the negative niche construction.

111 2 Model

The analysis is structured as follows: we first use an adaptive dynamics approach to investigate scenarios of slow evolutionary dynamics (Geritz et al. (1998); Metz et al. (1995)). Under this framework, we incorporate intragenerational time lags using a structured population model with juvenile and adult stages. The intragenerational time lags imply that juveniles construct an environment that will be experienced by adults. An individual may benefit from a niche construction activity conducted when being juvenile, but it then suffers the negative effect when becoming adult.

We then relax the assumption of slow evolution in the adaptive dynamics approach using the Tau-leap simulation (Gillespie (2001)). This algorithm enables overlaps between the evolutionary timescale, the population timescale, and the niche construction timescale. We denote these overlaps as rapid evolution. The algorithm allows us to study the effect of drift because birth and death events are modelled as stochastic processes. As negative niche construction can lead to smaller population sizes, the drift component may eventually dominate selection and become the key evolutionary force, especially when selective pressures become weak.

¹²⁵ 2.1 A complete lag of the evolutionary timescale

132

¹²⁶ 2.1.1 Negative niche construction without intragenerational time lags

We adapt a resource-consumer model (Chesson (1990); MacArthur (1969)), adding waste dynamics, and using a chemostat dynamic for both resources (R) and wastes (W). Our model focuses on a species (S) that consumes a resource at a rate c, where increasing c results in more offspring but also a higher rate of waste production, which add mortality v to the population. The ODEs that describe the whole system can be written as

$$\left(\frac{dR}{dt} = -cRS + I_R - \delta_R R\right) \tag{1a}$$

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = hcS + I_W - \delta_W W \tag{1b}$$

$$\left(\frac{dS}{dt} = crRS - dS - vWS\right) \tag{1c}$$

where *d* is the natural mortality rate of the consumer. I_W/δ_W and I_R/δ_R are the turn-over rates of the waste and resource dynamics respectively. Definitions of the parameters and variables can be found in table 1. System (1) has three equilibria: a trivial equilibrium where the species does not survive, a non-feasible equilibrium where the density of the species is always negative, and an equilibrium where the species persists. This positive equilibrium, which is always stable, requires that the consumption rate is sufficiently larger than a threshold value (details of the equilibrium and its stability are in supplementary 1).

We study the evolution of the consumption rate c and show that, without any constraint, the 140 selection gradient on the consumption rate is always positive so that higher consumption rates 141 are always favoured (figure 1) (supplementary 2). Here, we use the adaptive dynamics approach, 142 which assumes that the resident population always approach its ecological equilibrium when a 143 mutant with a different consumption rate appears. The approach focuses on the role of selection 144 and is completely deterministic, such that drift is not taken into account at this stage. On the 145 course of evolution, the population of consumer increases at first because higher consumption rates 146 mean higher reproduction rates (figure 1A). However, it eventually decreases asymptotically to zero 147

when increasing consumption rates are exactly balanced by increased costs due to pollution and resource degradation (figure 1B) (see supplementary 3 for analytical results). Note that the selection pressure remains positive, but its magnitude constantly decreases and becomes vanishingly small as the consumption rate increases (figure 1A), indicating that the selective force becomes progressively weaker.

Here, there is no direct individual cost on overexploitation and pollution. All individuals, consumptive or frugal, share the damage caused by high pollution levels and resource degradation, but the benefits from higher reproduction is attributed immediately to the consumptive individuals. As a consequence, selection of higher consumption rates is inevitable.

¹⁵⁷ 2.1.2 Negative niche construction with intragenerational time lags

We introduce a cost to higher consumption rate by including intragenerational time lags. To do this, 158 we use an age-structured population where a consumer has a juvenile stage (J) and an adult stage 159 (A). Here, we make a couple of simplifications to better understand how the environment affects the 160 selective pressure. First, we focus on the negative construction, which is the increase in pollution 161 levels, and disregard the dynamics of resources. The resources R is now simply a parameter. 162 Juveniles mature into adults at a rate that is proportional to their consumption rate c_J . Higher 163 consumption rates of juveniles are associated with faster maturity and higher waste production. 164 Adults excrete waste into the environment at a rate p_A , which we assume no relationship with 165 juvenile's waste production. Environmental waste adds mortality on all individuals, but adults and 166 juveniles have different vulnerabilities to pollution (v_J and v_A for juveniles and adults respectively). 167 Definitions of each parameter can be found in table 1. The ODEs that describe the dynamics of 168 the system read 169

$$\left(\frac{dJ}{dt} = \rho RA - d_J J - v_J W J - c_J R J\right)$$
(2a)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dA}{dt} = c_J R J - d_A A - v_A W A \tag{2b}$$

$$\left(\frac{dW}{dt} = I_W - \delta_W W + hc_J J + p_A A\right)$$
(2c)

170

The population dynamics are now entirely governed by the waste dynamics. There is thus no 172 resource competition among individuals, adult and juvenile alike. Our model becomes similar to 173 models of maturation (Gardmark et al. (2003); Poos et al. (2011); Roos et al. (2007)), except that 174 we do not consider a trade-off between adult reproduction and juvenile maturation, a common 175 assumption of these models. Including such a trade-off would indeed lead to an intrinsic constraint 176 of the negative niche construction activity, which, similar to the study of Kylafis and Loreau (2008). 177 may result in selection of lower negative niche construction. Our work aims to investigate whether 178 reductions in negative niche construction may arise only from variations in the different timescales, 179 and thus do not include any intrinsic cost. 180

System (2) has three equilibria: one trivial equilibrium where no adults and juveniles can survive, one equilibrium where the waste density is always negative, and one equilibrium that is positive if the reproduction ratio of the consumer is greater than one (the details can be found in supplementary 4). We consider the evolution of the consumption rate of juveniles. A mutant with a consumption rate c_{Jm} that is different from that of a resident c_J , can invade the resident population if its reproduction ratio F_m is greater than one (see supplementary 5), where

$$F_m = \frac{c_{Jm}R}{D_{Jm}}\frac{\rho R}{D_{Am}}$$
(3)

Here, $1/D_{Jm} = 1/(d_J + c_{Jm}R + v_JW^*)$ is the expected time a mutant spends as a juvenile, and $1/D_{Am} = 1/(d_A + v_AW^*)$ is the expected time a mutant spends as an adult. W^* is the waste density set by the resident at equilibrium. Expression (3) suggests that higher consumption rate may lead to faster maturation, but adults who rapidly mature from juveniles are then exposed to pollution, and might die before they can even reproduce. Lower consumption rates can be selected if the selection gradient is negative, which is equivalent to

$$v_A > v_J \tag{4a}$$

$$W^*(c_J) > \frac{d_J + \rho R - d_A}{v_A - v_J}$$
(4b)

Condition (4) suggests that the sign of the selection gradient uniquely depends on the pollution 196 level created by the resident (details on the selection gradient can be found in supplementary 5). 197 Condition (4a) implies that adults have to be more vulnerable to pollution than juveniles. Intu-198 itively, if juveniles are more prone to pollution than adults, those who mature slower remain juvenile 199 for a longer time and suffer pollution, whereas those who mature faster escape the (more vulnerable) 200 juvenile state. Selection then always favours higher consumption rate of juveniles. Thus, in order 201 for lower consumption rates to be selected, adults have to be more vulnerable to pollution than 202 juveniles. The second condition (4b) implies that if the waste density at equilibrium is sufficiently 203 large, the environment becomes too toxic, and lower consumption rate may be selected. At this 204 stage, condition (4b) is evaluated under the assumptions of adaptive dynamics, that is, the waste 205 density is always at its equilibrium $W^*(c_J)$ when a mutant arises. We show that $W^*(c_J)$ is always 206 lower than the right-hand side and condition (4b) can never be satisfied (figure 2, supplementary 6). 207 It means that the fitness gradient is always positive, resulting in continuously selection for higher 208 values of the trait (figure 2 B, figure S2, S3, supplementary 6). As a result, if the evolutionary 209 timescale is decoupled from the population and niche construction timescale, i.e. evolution process 210 is extremely slow compared to ecological process, incorporating intragenerational time lags in niche 211 construction can hardly prevent higher consumption rates. 212

195

The results of this model are much similar to those of the model without intragenerational timelags in that they suggest the inevitable of excessive consumption rates. Both models show that as the consumption rate increases, the selection gradient continuously weaken and nearly vanishes, and the population sizes declines due to accumulated pollution. These two conditions suggest the role of drift, which we focus on in the following sections.

218 2.2 Rapid evolution and the role of drift

We relax the assumption of slow evolution such that mutation happens even when the waste density 219 does not yet settle at its equilibrium (i.e. condition (4b) can be satisfied). In addition, we introduce 220 stochasticity in the population dynamics to study the role of genetic drift. We use the Tau-leap 221 algorithm where the birth-death processes are drawn randomly from a distribution that depends 222 on the consumption rate. The algorithm allows a direct manipulation of the evolutionary timescale 223 through changing mutation rates (Gillespie (2001)). A pseudocode to run the algorithm can be 224 found in supplementary 7. Rapid evolution scenarios explicitly imply higher mutation rates, and 225 thus, overlaps between the three timescales, but do not indicate stronger selection as in Hairston 226 et al. (2005) (in fact, the evolutionary speed could vary in the simulations). In our simulations, 227 when rapid evolution takes place, multiple strains with different consumption rates co-occur while 228 the ecological dynamics need not settle at equilibrium. 220

In contrast with the adaptive dynamics approach where population variations are deterministic and small populations never reach extinction in finite time, in stochastic simulations, the fate of small populations may be determined by random components more than by differences in fitness. Stochastic simulations allow us to consider drift. In each simulation, we start with a monomorphic population and an initial value of waste density that is drawn from a uniform distribution with a range of [10, 100]. Such initial values allow the existence of initial populations that are sufficiently large, in an environment that is not too polluted.

Consistent with the results of the deterministic model (adaptive dynamics approach), higher consumption rates of juveniles are initially selected, as we always start the simulation with relatively low pollution levels. Increasing consumption rates leads to a heavily polluted environment (figure 3C, D), which has two effects: a weak selection pressure for high consumption rate (figure 3 A, B) and low population sizes (figure 3 E, F). Low population sizes allow a strong effect of drift that easily compensate for the extremely weak selection gradient. As a result, the increase in the consumption rate eventually slows down and quasi-stationary states of the trait value can be obtained.

In particular, starting from a small consumption rate $(c_J = 0.1)$, higher trait values are imme-

diately selected because the selection pressure is strong (left column of figure 3). Such an increase 245 rapidly raise the waste density, although it never crosses the threshold beyond which the selection 246 gradient is negative (figure 3C). Yet, quasi-stationary state of the consumption rates is possible. 247 This is because the selection pressure for higher consumption rates is progressively eroded while 248 adult density is low because they are more vulnerable to pollution than juveniles (figure 3E). The 249 combined conditions of weak selection and small population sizes favours drift over natural selec-250 tion. That is why, individuals with higher consumption rates cannot dominate and spread readily 251 even though nature selection favours them, while individuals with lower consumption rates per-252 sist for a long period of time (quasi-stationary state). When starting from a higher consumption 253 rate $(c_J = 3.5)$, the environment becomes instantly heavily polluted, and waste density occasion-254 ally crosses the threshold above which the selection gradient becomes negative, favouring lower 255 consumption rates (right column of figure 3). However, the pollution rapidly settle below the 256 threshold, resulting in positive selection gradient most of the time (3B, D). What then keeps the 257 consumption rate in stasis for several short intervals is mainly genetic drift because again, in this 258 case, adult population sizes are extremely small suggesting very few mutations, and the selection 259 gradient is extremely weak (it is very close to zero) (figure 3B, F). 260

When the population and waste dynamics are extremely slow whereas the mutation rate is 261 high, the trait variation is much larger than when the mutation rate is moderate (figure 4A, B). 262 Higher consumption rates of juveniles are still favoured when starting from a small trait value, as 263 the selection gradient is highly positive (left column of figure 4, figure S9A). However, the trait 264 value increases much slower and even stays at the quasi-stationary state compared to the case 265 of relatively moderate mutation rate and rapid population and waste dynamics. The underlying 266 reason is that when ecological dynamics are slow and the consumption rates of juveniles are small, 267 the adult population is scant in the beginning as maturation is delayed. The effects of drift become 268 much stronger than natural selection even though the selection pressure remains high (figure 4A, 269 E). In the case of rapid ecological dynamics (left column of figure 3), there is an immediate surge 270 in the adult population in the beginning, and combining with a strong selection pressure, the 271 average consumption rate increases rapidly before settling at its quasi-stationary state when the 272

selection pressure weakens and drift takes over. Starting at a higher consumption rate, the waste 273 density crosses the threshold for a longer period of time due to the slow ecological dynamics, and 274 lower consumption rates are selected at this interval (right column of figure 4, figure S8B, S9B). 275 Interestingly, negative selections for higher consumption rates only occur in the beginning of the 276 course of evolution. As the waste dynamics reach the threshold, the population regulates itself 277 at a very small density (4F), which also stabilizes the waste density near but rarely above the 278 threshold. Again, what keeps the consumption rates from increasing is the effect of drift because 279 the two conditions for drift to dominate (small population size and weak selection) are satisfied. A 280 robustness analysis confirms that such dynamics occur for various sets of parameters (figure S4 -281 S12 in supplementary 9). 282

283 **3** Discussion

In this article, we use mathematical models to study the evolution of negative niche construction, 284 manipulating explicitly three different timescales: population, niche construction, and evolution. 285 Negative niche construction can be associated with decreasing population sizes, we thus consider 286 how these small population sizes can affect evolutionary dynamics. In such conditions, mutations 287 are limited and drift eventually compensates natural selection so that negative niche construction is 288 slowed down. Our results suggest that intragenerational time lags in niche construction and rapid 289 evolution are required for the counter selection of negative niche construction. As population sizes 290 becomes smaller and selection gradient weaker, drift eventually dominates natural selection, leading 291 to quasi-stationary states of the trait value. 292

Increasing environmental pollution is unavoidable under the adaptive dynamics approach, which assumes that the evolutionary timescale lags far behind the population and niche construction timescales. A mutant with a higher consumption rate always replaces a resident population, despite the fact that it worsens the environment for both of them. When the environment is heavily polluted, a strain that adopts an overexploitation strategy may die faster, but it also reproduces faster than strains that adopt more prudent strategies. Eventually, consumptive strains are favoured and evolution leads to increasing pollution levels and decreasing population densities, possibly threatening the evolving population. Such dynamics may be related to empirical observations. For instance, Ratzke et al. (2018) investigates a situation in which a strain of soil bacteria increases the environmental PH, which in turn becomes toxic to the bacterial population. The bacterial population eventually collapses due to highly acidic conditions.

Most theoretical studies usually consider direct benefits or costs to avoid a systematic directional 304 selection. For instance, Krakauer et al. (2009) proposes that benefits can come from the ability 305 of organisms to monopolize their niches and prevent free riders. Kylafis and Loreau (2010) and 306 Chisholm et al. (2018) suggest that benefits could also be attributed to the ability to better exploit 307 or adapt to the constructed niche. Benefits from positive niche construction in Lehmann (2007) 308 come from kinship and transgenerational time lags in niche construction. In the present work, 309 we do not incorporate a direct cost for higher values of consumptive strategies. Rather, the cost 310 lies in the intragenerational time lags in niche construction. This creates a potential threshold of 311 pollution, above which strains that produce less waste and mature slower could in theory be selected. 312 Limiting negative niche construction also requires that adults are more vulnerable to pollution than 313 juveniles. More importantly, the evolutionary timescale has to overlap with the population and 314 niche construction timescale. In other words, we need to relax the assumption of slow evolution in 315 the adaptive dynamics framework. When evolution is slow and mutants are rare, long term waste 316 density settles at its ecological equilibrium, which is always below the threshold beyond which low 317 consumption rates are selected. The advantageous environment for having a low consumption rate 318 is never reached in the long term. When the timescale of evolutionary dynamics overlaps with 319 those of the waste and population dynamics, high pollution levels may be transiently reached, and 320 lower consumption rates are temporarily selected. Here, the negative effects of pollution are shared 321 among individuals but the costs on different strategies and stages of life are unequal. 322

In our model, rapid evolution is extremely important because it allows rapid feedback loops between evolutionary dynamics, niche construction and population dynamics. Indeed, it has been shown that such rapid feedback loops play a key role in the evolution of positive niche construction. In the studies of Weitz et al. (2016) and Tilman et al. (2020), reckless consumption cannot prevail. It is beneficial in a nutrient rich environment, and so the frequency of individuals that adopt this strategy will increase. However, along with this increase, they impoverish the environment and the reckless consumption strategy is now at a disadvantage compared to the prudent consumption strategy.

One important result of our model is that in the long term, drift plays a key role in preventing 331 the increase of waste production. Early in the course of evolution, selection strongly favours higher 332 consumption rates, resulting in heavy pollution. As the waste density may temporarily reach high 333 values (above the threshold where the selection gradient on consumption rate is negative), strains 334 that produce less waste can become temporarily advantageous. This results in smaller population 335 sizes and a less polluted environment, in which strains that consume more and produce more waste 336 are again selected. Pollution levels however remain near the threshold. This situation has two 337 immediate consequences: (i) population sizes are kept at a small value, and (ii) the selective force 338 favouring higher waste production becomes very small. Drift then dominates and evolutionary 339 trajectories fluctuate without a clear direction (i.e. quasi-stationary state). It should be noted that 340 this effect of drift is specifically important here because negative niche construction may lead to 341 decreasing population size. We expect that the drift effects may not be that important if niche 342 construction is positive because positive niche construction by definition leads to higher fitness 343 within the population, which may often (but not always) lead to higher population sizes. Such 344 higher population sizes should favour the action of natural selection over drift. 345

Drift alone is not sufficient to stop increasing consumption rates. In fact, without intragen-346 erational time lags between population and niche construction, higher consumption rates evolve 347 continuously in all cases and quasi-stationary state cannot be maintained. This is shown in the 348 Tau-leap simulations for the system without intragenerational time lags (figure S13, figure S14). 349 This result suggests that higher consumption rates can easily spread unless all three conditions 350 are met: rapid evolution, stochasticity in the dynamics (i.e. genetic drift), and intragenerational 351 time lags in niche construction. More interestingly, intragenerational time lags select for lower 352 consumption rate in the short term while in the long term, evolution of stasis in consumption rates 353 is maintained largely by drift when population is small and selection becomes weak. 354

In our intragenerational model, we exclude resource dynamics. This allows us to simplify niche 355 construction dynamics, making them uni-dimensional, that is, we only consider waste production. 356 Therefore, we are able to focus on the aspect of relative time scales and on the role of drift. Resource 357 dynamics, however, could be an important component affecting the evolution of the consumption 358 trait. For instance, Kawecki (1993) showed that if there is competition for resources among juveniles 359 and adults, individuals that delay maturation may grow larger, obtain more resources and therefore 360 produce more offspring than individuals that mature early. Such a situation would substantially 361 shift the selective pressures here acting on the consumption trait. Studying the two-dimensional 362 feedback loop of niche construction (resource vs pollution levels) would be a natural extension of 363 the present work. 364

We kept our models simple and focused on a monospecific situation in order to have deeper 365 insights into the effect of time lags and drift. In nature, species do not live alone, and niche 366 construction may substantially lead to indirect effects in natural communities. Such interactions 367 among different species may open new niches. Moreover, negative effects for a species could be 368 positive effects for others, such that niche construction may lead to complex feedback loops and 369 affect the stability of the whole network. This multidimensional and multispecies aspect of niche 370 construction go beyond the scope of the present article. Our models show that rapid evolution, drift 371 and intragenerational time lags in niche construction can be key to delay the spread of negative 372 niche construction. They may thereby allow more time for new species to colonize and interact with 373 the focal species and help establish a stable network. Lion et al. (2011) suggested that structured 374 population, demographic and spatial alike, could favour the evolution of common goods and limit 375 the spread of common bads. Our study suggests that a structure in time may add another dimension 376 to the avoidance of the tragedy of commons. 377

378 References

L. A. Ashton, H. M. Griffiths, C. L. Parr, T. A. Evans, R. K. Didham, F. Hasan, Y. A. Teh,
H. S. Tin, C. S. Vairappan, and P. Eggleton. Termites mitigate the effects of drought in
tropical rainforest. *Science*, 363(6423):174–177, 2019. doi: 10.1126/science.aau9565. URL
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau9565.

Mahdi Balali-Mood, Adel Ghorani-Azam, and Bamdad Riahi-Zanjani. Effects of air pollution on human health and practical measures for prevention in iran. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 21(1):65, 2016. doi: 10.4103/1735-1995.189646. URL https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-1995.189646.

S Besiktepe and HG Dam. Coupling of ingestion and defecation as a function of diet in the
 calanoid copepod acartia tonsa. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 229:151–164, 2002. doi:
 10.3354/meps229151.

Juan A. Bonachela, Robert M. Pringle, Efrat Sheffer, Tyler C. Coverdale, Jennifer A. Guyton,
 Kelly K. Caylor, Simon A. Levin, and Corina E. Tarnita. Termite mounds can increase the
 robustness of dryland ecosystems to climatic change. *Science*, 347(6222):651–655, February 2015.
 doi: 10.1126/science.1261487. URL https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261487.

A.N.M. Bot, C.R. Currie, A.G. Hart, and J.J. Boomsma. Waste management in leaf-cutting ants.

 $Ethology \ Ecology \ & Evolution, \ 13(3): 225-237, \ July \ 2001. \ doi: \ 10.1080/08927014.2001.9522772.$

³⁹⁶ URL https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2001.9522772.

James H. Brown, James F. Gillooly, Andrew P. Allen, Van M. Savage, and Geoffrey B. West. Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, 85(7):1771–1789, July 2004. doi: 10.1890/03-9000. URL https://doi.org/10.1890/03-9000.

Gael Caro, Christian Hartmann, Thibaud Decaens, Sebastien Barot, Philippe Mora, and Jerome
Mathieu. Impact of soil engineering by two contrasting species of earthworms on their dispersal rates. Applied Soil Ecology, 84:223–230, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.08.004. URL
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.08.004.

S. P. Carroll, P. S. Jorgensen, M. T. Kinnison, C. T. Bergstrom, R. F. Denison, P. Gluckman, T. B.
Smith, S. Y. Strauss, and B. E. Tabashnik. Applying evolutionary biology to address global
challenges. *Science*, 346(6207):1245993–1245993, 2014. doi: 10.1126/science.1245993.

Peter Chesson. MacArthur's Consumer- Resource Model. Theoretical Population Biology, 37:26–38,
1990. doi: 10.1016/0040-5809(90)90025-q .

Rebecca H. Chisholm, Brian D. Connelly, Benjamin Kerr, and Mark M. Tanaka. The role of
pleiotropy in the evolutionary maintenance of positive niche construction. *The American Natu- ralist*, 192(1):35–48, July 2018. doi: 10.1086/697471. URL https://doi.org/10.1086/697471.

Kim Cuddington. Legacy effects: The persistent impact of ecological interactions. Bi ological Theory, 6(3):203-210, September 2011. doi: 10.1007/s13752-012-0027-5. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13752-012-0027-5.

- Étienne Danchin, Anne Charmantier, Frances A. Champagne, Alex Mesoudi, Benoit Pujol, and
 Simon Blanchet. Beyond DNA: integrating inclusive inheritance into an extended theory of
 evolution. Nature Reviews Genetics, 12(7):475–486, June 2011. doi: 10.1038/nrg3028. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3028.
- Eric Edeline, Osamu Terao, and Kiyoshi Naruse. Empirical evidence for competition-driven semelparity in wild medaka. *Population Ecology*, 58(3):371–383, June 2016. doi: 10.1007/s10144-0160551-4. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-016-0551-4.
- Sylvie Estrela, Eric Libby, Jeremy Van Cleve, Florence Debarre, Maxime Deforet, William R.
 Harcombe, Jorge Pena, Sam P. Brown, and Michael E. Hochberg. Environmentally mediated
 social dilemmas. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(1):6 18, 2019. ISSN 0169-5347. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.10.004.
- ⁴²⁶ G Fuchs. Diversity of metabolic pathways. In *Biology of the Prokaryotes*, pages 163–232. Blackwell
 ⁴²⁷ Science, 1999.

- A. Gardmark, U. Dieckmann, and P. Lundberg. Life-history evolution in harvested populations:
 the role of natural predation. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 5:239–257, 2003.
- S.A.H. Geritz, É. Kisdi, G. Meszéna, and J.A.J. Metz. Evolutionarily singular strategies and the
 adaptive growth and branching of the evolutionary tree. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 12(1):35–57, January 1998. doi: 10.1023/a:1006554906681. URL https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006554906681.
- Daniel T. Gillespie. Approximate accelerated stochastic simulation of chemically reacting systems.
 The Journal of Chemical Physics, 115(4):1716–1733, July 2001. doi: 10.1063/1.1378322. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1378322.
- Catalina Gonzalez-Quevedo, Lewis G. Spurgin, Juan Carlos Illera, and David S. Richardson. Drift,
 not selection, shapes toll-like receptor variation among oceanic island populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 24:5852–5863, 2015. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13437.
- S. M. Greenberg, T. W. Sappington, D. W. Spurgeon, and M. Sétamou. Boll weevil (coleoptera:
 Curculionidae) feeding and reproduction as functions of cotton square availability. *Environmental Entomology*, 32(3):698-704, 2003. doi: 10.1603/0046-225x-32.3.698.
- W. S. C. Gurney and J. H. Lawton. The population dynamics of ecosystem engineers. OIKOS, 76:
 273–283, 1996. doi: 10.2307/3546200.
- Nelson G. Hairston, Stephen P. Ellner, Monica A. Geber, Takehito Yoshida, and Jennifer A. Fox. Rapid evolution and the convergence of ecological and evolutionary time. *Ecology Letters*, 8(10):1114–1127, 2005. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00812.x. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00812.x.
- Alan Hastings, James E. Byers, Jeffrey A. Crooks, Kim Cuddington, Clive G. Jones, John G.
 Lambrinos, Theresa S. Talley, and William G. Wilson. Ecosystem engineering in space and time.
 Ecology Letters, 10(2):153-164, February 2007. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00997.x. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00997.x.

Tadeusz J. Kawecki. Age and size at maturity in a patchy environment: Fitness maximization versus evolutionary stability. *Oikos*, 66(2):309, 1993. doi: 10.2307/3544819. URL
https://doi.org/10.2307/3544819.

S.M. Kidwell and D. Jablonski. Taphonomic Feedback Ecological Consequences of Shell Accumulation, volume 3. Springer, 1983. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978 - 1 - 4757 - 0740 - 35.

Hanna Koch, Jens Frickel, Martha Valiadi, and Lutz Becks. Why rapid, adap-457 tive evolution matters for community dynamics. Frontiers in Ecology and Evo-458 2:17,2014. ISSN 2296-701X. 10.3389/fevo.2014.00017. URL lution, doi: 459 https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fevo.2014.00017. 460

Judith Korb. Termite Mound Architecture, from Function to Construction, pages 349–373. Springer
 Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2011. ISBN 978-90-481-3977-4. doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-3977-413.
 URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3977-413.

⁴⁶⁴ David C. Krakauer, Karen M. Page, and Douglas H. Erwin. Diversity, dilemmas, and monopolies
⁴⁶⁵ of niche construction. *The American Naturalist*, 173(1):26–40, 2009. doi: 10.1086/593707.

John R. Krebs and Nicholas B. Davies. Predators versus prey: Evolutionary arms races. In An *Introduction to Behavioural Ecology (Third Edition)*, chapter 4, pages "77–101". Wiley-Blackwell,
1993.

Grigoris Kylafis and Michel Loreau. Ecological and evolutionary consequences of niche construction for its agent. *Ecology Letters*, 11(10):1072–1081, October 2008. doi: 10.1111/j.14610248.2008.01220.x. URL https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01220.x.

Grigoris Kylafis and Michel Loreau. Niche construction in the light of niche theory. *Ecology Letters*, 14(2):82–90, November 2010. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01551.x. URL
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01551.x.

⁴⁷⁵ K. N. Laland, F. J. Odling-Smee, and M. W. Feldman. The evolutionary consequences of ⁴⁷⁶ niche construction: a theoretical investigation using two-locus theory. *Journal of Evo-*

lutionary Biology, 9(3):293-316, 1996. doi: 10.1046/j.1420-9101.1996.9030293.x. URL
 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1996.9030293.x.

⁴⁷⁹ Kevin N. Laland, John Odling-Smee, and Marcus W. Feldman. Niche construction, biological
⁴⁸⁰ evolution, and cultural change. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 23(1):131–146, 2000. ISSN 0140⁴⁸¹ 525X. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X00002417.

Laurent Lehmann. The adaptive dynamics of niche constructing traits in spatially subdivided
populations: Evolving posthumous extended phenotypes. *Evolution*, 62(3):549–566, 2008. doi:
10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00291.x.

Sébastien Lion, Vincent A.A. Jansen, and Troy Day. Evolution in structured populations: beyond
the kin versus group debate. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 26(4):193-201, April 2011. doi:
10.1016/j.tree.2011.01.006. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.01.006.

⁴⁸⁸ Robert Mac Arthur. Species packing, and what competition minimizes. *PNAS*, 64(4):1369–1371,
⁴⁸⁹ 1969. doi: 10.1073/pnas.64.4.1369.

Stephen J. Martin, Roy R. Funch, Paul R. Hanson, and Eun-Hye Yoo. A vast 4, 000-year-old
spatial pattern of termite mounds. *Current Biology*, 28(22):R1292-R1293, November 2018. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.061. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.061.

Sophie Mazard, Anahit Penesyan, Martin Ostrowski, Ian Paulsen, and Suhelen Egan. Tiny microbes
 with a big impact: The role of cyanobacteria and their metabolites in shaping our future. Marine
 Drugs, 14(5):97, 2016. doi: 10.3390/md14050097. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/md14050097.

J.A.J. Metz, S.A.H. Geritz, G. Meszena, F.J.A. Jacobs, and J.S. van Heerwaarden. Adaptive
dynamics: A geometrical study of the consequences of nearly faithful reproduction. Iiasa working
paper, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria, 1995. URL http://pure.iiasa.ac.at/id/eprint/4497/.

Brian Morton. Reproduction, juvenile growth, consumption and the effects of starvation upon
the south china sea whelk hemifusus tuba (gmelin) (prosobranchia: Melongenidae). Journal

of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 102(2):257 – 280, 1986. ISSN 0022-0981. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(86)90181-4.

Robert J. Naiman, Carol A Johnston, and James C. Kelley. Alteration of north american streams
 by beaver. *BioScience*, 38(11):753–762, 1988. doi: 10.2307/1310784.

F. John Odling-Smee, Kevin N. Laland, and Marcus W. Feldman. Niche Construction.
 Princeton University Press, 2003. ISBN 0691044376. doi: 10.2307/j.ctt24hqpd. URL
 https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt24hqpd.

- Stéphane Pinhal, Delphine Ropers, Johannes Geiselmann, and Hidde de Jong. Acetate metabolism
 and the inhibition of bacterial growth by acetate. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 201(13), July 2019.
 doi: 10.1128/jb.00147-19. URL https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00147-19.
- J.J. Poos, Å. Brännström, and U. Dieckmann. Harvest-induced maturation evolution under different life-history trade-offs and harvesting regimes. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 279(1):102–112, June 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.03.001. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.03.001.

Daniel Rankin, Katja Bargum, and Hanna Kokko. The tragedy of the commons in evolutionary biology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 22(12):643-651, 2007. ISSN 0169-5347. doi:
10.1016/j.tree.2007.07.009.

⁵¹⁸ Christoph Ratzke and Jeff Gore. Modifying and reacting to the environmental pH can drive bacterial
 ⁵¹⁹ interactions. *PLOS Biology*, 16(3):e2004248, March 2018. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2004248.
 ⁵²⁰ URL https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004248.

⁵²¹ Christoph Ratzke, Jonas Denk, and Jeff Gore. Ecological suicide in microbes. *Nature Ecology* ⁶² Evolution, 2(5):867-872, 2018. ISSN 2397-334X. doi: 10.1038/s41559-018-0535-1. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0535-1.

Andre M. De Roos, Tim Schellekens, Tobias van Kooten, Karen van de Wolfshaar, David Claessen, and Lennart Persson. Food-dependent growth leads to overcompensation in stage-specific biomass when mortality increases: The influence of maturation versus reproduction regulation. The American Naturalist, 170(3):E59–E76, September 2007. doi: 10.1086/520119. URL
https://doi.org/10.1086/520119.

Richelle L. Tanner, Lindsay E. Faye, and Jonathon H. Stillman. Temperature and salinity sensitivity
 of respiration, grazing, and defecation rates in the estuarine eelgrass sea hare, phyllaplysia taylori.
 Marine Biology, 166(8), 2019. doi: 10.1007/s00227-019-3559-4.

- ⁵³² Corina E. Tarnita, Juan A. Bonachela, Efrat Sheffer, Jennifer A. Guyton, Tyler C. Coverdale,
 ⁵³³ Ryan A. Long, and Robert M. Pringle. A theoretical foundation for multi-scale regular veg ⁵³⁴ etation patterns. *Nature*, 541(7637):398–401, January 2017. doi: 10.1038/nature20801. URL
 ⁵³⁵ https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20801.
- John N Thompson. Rapid evolution as an ecological process. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 13
- (8): 329 332, 1998. ISSN 0169-5347. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01378-0. URL
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169534798013780.
- Andrew R. Tilman, Joshua B. Plotkin, and Erol Akçay. Evolutionary games with environmental
 feedbacks. *Nature Communications*, 11(1):915, 2020. ISSN 2041-1723. doi: 10.1038/s41467-02014531-6. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14531-6.
- ⁵⁴² Carl Veller, Pavitra Muralidhar, George W A Constable, and Martin A Nowak Drift-Induced
 ⁵⁴³ Selection Between Male and Female Heterogamety. *Genetics*, 207(2):711–727, 2017. doi:
 ⁵⁴⁴ https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300151.
- Joshua S. Weitz, Ceyhun Eksin, Keith Paarporn, Sam P. Brown, and William C. Ratcliff. An
 oscillating tragedy of the commons in replicator dynamics with game-environment feedback.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(47):E7518–E7525, November 2016. doi:
 10.1073/pnas.1604096113. URL https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1604096113.
- Justin P. Wright, Clive G. Jones, and Alexander S. Flecker. An ecosystem engineer, the beaver,
- increases species richness at the landscape scale. *Oecologia*, 132(1):96–101, 2002. ISSN 00298549,
- ⁵⁵¹ 14321939. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/4223313.

Salvador Zarco-Perello, Tim J. Langlois, Thomas Holmes, Mathew A. Vanderklift, and Thomas
 Wernberg. Overwintering tropical herbivores accelerate detritus production on temperate
 reefs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 286(1915):20192046, 2019. doi:
 10.1098/rspb.2019.2046.

556 Tables

Variables and parameters	Definition
Variables and parameters	Demittion
R	Resource density
W	Waste density
S	Consumer density
c	Consumption rate of system (1)
I_R	Resource influx
δ_R	Resource outflux
I_W	Waste influx
δ_W	Waste outflux
r	Efficiency of converting resource into new individuals
d	Natural death rate of the consumer $(system (1))$
v	Vulnerability to pollution of the consumer (system (1))
h	Intensity of waste production
J	Juvenile density
A	Adult density
ρ	Reproduction rate of adults
c_J	Consumption rate of juveniles
p_A	Rate of waste production of adults
d_J, d_A	Natural death rate of respectively juveniles and adults (system (2))
v_J, v_A	Vulnerability to pollution of respectively juveniles and adults (system (2))

Table 1: Definitions of variables and parameters of system (1) and (2)

⁵⁵⁷ Figure legends

Figure 1: Changes in the equilibrium value $(W^*(c), R^*(c), S^*(c))$ of system (1) with respect to the trait value. The population goes extinct in the gray area. The arrow indicates the direction of selection. A) When the trait value ranges from [0, 1.2], B) When the trait value ranges from [0, 320]. Parameters: $r = 2.3, d = 1.1, I_R = 3, \delta_R = 0.3, I_W = 3, \delta_W = 0.3, v = 0.01, h = 0.4$

Figure 2: A) Ecological dynamics of waste and a resident population that adopts a consumption rate value $c_J = 1.4$. B) Changes of the equilibrium values of system (2) with respect to the trait value. The small frame illustrates the selection gradient, and corresponds to a zoom of the general panel). The red dashed-dotted line indicates the threshold above which lower consumption rates can be selected. Other parameters: $R = 1, v_J = 0.001, d_J = d_A = 0.1, h_J = 1.1, v_A = 0.01, \rho = 1.01, I_W = 0.3, \delta_W = 0.13, pA = 0.001$

Figure 3: Simulations with moderately fast population and waste dynamics compared to evolutionary dynamics, mutation rate is m = 0.001. A, C, E) The starting population has a small consumption rate $c_J = 0.1$. B, D, F) The starting population has a larger consumption rate $c_J = 3.5$. Other parameters for dynamics of populations and waste: $d_J = d_A = 0.1$, $h_J = 1.1$, $v_J = 0.0001$, $v_A = 0.01$, $I_W = 0.3$, $\delta_W = 0.13$, $\rho = 1.9$, $p_A = 0.001$, V = 100. Parameters for evolutionary dynamics $\sigma = 0.02$ for small consumption rate and $\sigma = 0.7$ for large consumption rate. The dashed-dotted horizontal line indicates the threshold for the waste density above which selection favours smaller consumption rates. The gray area around the average trait value indicates the standard deviation of the trait value. The gray area within the black vertical line is an example when drift takes over natural selection (i.e. selection gradient is positive but higher consumption rate is not selected).

Figure 4: Simulations with slow population and waste dynamics compared to evolutionary dynamics. The dynamics of population and waste are three orders of magnitude slower than in figure 3. Mutation rate is increased to m = 0.01. The annotations and legends are the same as in figure 3.