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## 1 | INTRODUCTION

An acid is a chemical substance that dissolves in water producing hydronium ion, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, and the acid conjugate base. Weak acids dissolve partially in water reaching chemical equilibrium. In the state of equilibrium, the concentration of the chemical species is constant. The equilibrium concentrations of the chemical species are given by the equations of chemical equilibrium and the constraints of mass balance and electrical neutrality. The aqueous dissociation of a weak acid involves four chemical species and four mathematical relations between these species. An aqueous weak acid buffer solution is formed by a weak acid and one of its soluble salts. The equilibrium of a weak acid buffer involves four chemical substances and four equations. In principle is possible to obtain the equilibrium concentrations of all the chemical species for the weak acid dissociation or the buffer solution by solving these systems of equations. In practice, these systems of equations involve nonlinear terms making difficult to obtain exact mathematical expressions for the equilibrium concentrations. The algebraic manipulation of the systems of equations for the weak acid dissociation or the buffer solution give cubic equations for the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+[123]}$. The equilibrium concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is obtained by finding the roots of these cubic equations. Although there exists a cubic formula that gives the explicit roots of a cubic equation, it is not practical to use it due to its complexity ${ }^{4 / 56}$. The raw use of the cubic formula requires more than 30 arithmetic operations which makes its use impossible for manual calculation $\frac{7186]}{}$ Barling ${ }^{[6]}$ has reported already mathematical formulas for the pH of very diluted weak acid solutions. The expressions of his work are complex and require the use of computer programs to obtain the pH .

A quadratic equation is obtained for the hydronium ion if the auto-ionization of water is ignored. Under this approximation, the equilibrium concentration of the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is obtained simply by solving a quadratic equation. The approximation of ignoring water auto-ionization displays a relative error greater than $10 \%$ for weak acids with $K_{\mathrm{a}}<10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$, and at low analytical concentrations of the acid or the salt of the acid, $C_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{s}}<10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$. Although the concentrations used in the general chemistry laboratory are generally above $10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$, there may be situations in chemical analysis where very low concentrations are handled ${ }^{[1778] 3}$.

General purpose computer algebra systems (CAS) allow the algebraic manipulation of equations and inequalities ${ }^{99}$. The use of CAS in mathematical software, with user friendly graphical interfaces, has extended the use of CAS to all fields of knowledge. Examples of free and non-free CAS are Maple ${ }^{[10}$, Wolfram Mathematica ${ }^{[11}$, Maxima ${ }^{[12]}$, and SageMath ${ }^{[13]}$. Today mathematical software with CAS capability is easily found in research and academic laboratories and classrooms. Complex problems that were considered beyond the scope of the researchers or students can be solved today on the computer by using CAS mathematical software. The mathematical solution of the aqueous dissociation of weak acids or buffer solutions require functions available in mathematical software. These functions are mainly: (i) the simplification and algebraic manipulations of large equations, and inequalities, and (ii) the use of complex analysis, and graphical representation of functions, inequalities and implicit regions. In this work, Wolfram Mathematica is employed to obtain simplified closed-form expressions for the equilibrium concentrations of the chemical species of four aqueous systems: the weak acid dissociation and its titration by a strong base, the buffer solution and its titration by a strong base | $\boxed{114]}$. The cubic equations for the hydronium ion are analyzed, their roots are obtained by the |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | use of the ancient Cardano's formula for the associated depressed cubic equations ${ }^{[15 / 16]}$. The direct result of Cardano's formula are the cube roots of two complex numbers. The polar representation of these cube roots allows to obtain only three real roots. These roots are simplified and reduced to closed-form expressions that are simple enough to allow exact pH calculations with only a handheld scientific calculator. The use of Descartes rule of signs ${ }^{\sqrt{17}}$, and Vieta's formulas ${ }^{15116}$ allows to demonstrate that only one root is positive. The titration curves of using a strong base to neutralize solutions of weak acids and buffers are analyzed by using the same analytical methods described above. The pH stability is measured as the change of the pH as the strong base is added. Finally, a general equation for the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is presented. This general equation gives the pH for any of the four systems studied in this work in terms of an effective acid constant and an effective acid concentration.

## 2 | THEORY AND METHODS

## 2.1 | Weak acids

The aqueous dissociation equilibrium of a weak acid HB is given by the chemical equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{HB}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{B}^{-}  \tag{1}\\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightleftarrows \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Relevant chemical species are $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{HB}$, and $\mathrm{B}^{-}$with molar concentrations $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right],\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right],[\mathrm{HB}]$, and $\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]^{12 / 3}$.
A low concentration solution of the acid HB is prepared in water at analytical concentration $C_{a}$. Once the system reaches chemical equilibrium, the concentrations of the chemical species are given by four physical conditions: the weak acid dissociation constant, $K_{\mathrm{a}}$, the water auto-ionization constant, $K_{\mathrm{w}}$, the electric neutrality, and the mass balance,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HB}]},  \tag{3}\\
K_{\mathrm{w}} & =\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right],  \tag{4}\\
{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] } & =\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right],  \tag{5}\\
C_{\mathrm{a}} & =\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]+[\mathrm{HB}], \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Acid constants $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ have units of concentration and their value range typically between $10^{-10}$ and $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$. It is convenient to define the dimensionless variables $x=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, y=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, w=[\mathrm{HB}] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, z=\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$, and the dimensionless constants $c_{\mathrm{a}}=C_{\mathrm{a}} / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, k_{\mathrm{a}}=K_{\mathrm{a}} / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$, and $k_{\mathrm{w}}=1$. In terms of these dimensionless variables and constants, equations (3)-(6) are replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{x z}{w}  \tag{7}\\
k_{\mathrm{w}} & =x y=1  \tag{8}\\
x & =y+z  \tag{9}\\
c_{\mathrm{a}} & =w+z \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Algebraic manipulation on this equations gives the cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$, with the cubic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{a}}=x^{3}+k_{\mathrm{a}} x^{2}-\left(1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\right) x-k_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of $P_{\mathrm{a}}$ are given by the 4-tuple

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{coef}\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right] & =\left(a_{3}, a_{2}, a_{1}, a_{0}\right) \\
& =\left(1, k_{\mathrm{a}},-\left(1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\right),-k_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The signs of the coefficients $\operatorname{coef}\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{sgn}\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right] & =\left(\operatorname{sgn} a_{3}, \operatorname{sgn} a_{2}, \operatorname{sgn} a_{1}, \operatorname{sgn} a_{0}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& =(+,+,-,-)
\end{align*}
$$

Descartes' rule of signs says that the number of positive roots of a polynomial is, at most, equal to the number of sign changes of its ordered list of coefficients ${ }^{[17]}$. The use of Descartes's rule on the sequence 13 indicates that only one root is positive.

The full characterization of the roots of $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ is given by the discriminant of the polynomial $\left.\sqrt[11]\right]{ }, \Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]$ [18|15|16] The explicit form of $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]$ as well as the demonstration that $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right] \geq 0$ is given in section A of the Appendix.The case $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]>0$, indicates that the cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ must have three distinct real roots $\rho_{i}$, with $i=1,2,3$ and $\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\rho_{3}$. Since $x=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$ is related to a molar concentration, only one of the roots $\rho_{i}$ is expected to be a positive number. The case $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=0$ indicates that there must be a multiple root.

An explicit formula for $\rho$, in terms of the constants, is obtained by solving the associated depressed cubic equation, i.e., a cubic equation with no quadratic term ${ }^{1920}$. The change of variable $x=\bar{x}-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}$ allows to write the cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ as the depressed cubic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{3}+p \bar{x}+q=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with real coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}{3}-c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-1  \tag{15}\\
& q=\frac{2 k_{\mathrm{a}}^{3}}{27}+\frac{c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}{3}-\frac{2 k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient $p$ is evidently negative, meanwhile $q$ can have positive or negative values. Algebraic manipulation on the inequality $q<0$ gives $k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\frac{9}{2} c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-9<0$, which can be rearranged to obtain $c_{\mathrm{a}}<\frac{2}{9 k_{\mathrm{a}}}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}-9\right)$. The last inequality implies that negative values of $q$ are obtained with $k_{\mathrm{a}}<3$, and $c_{\mathrm{a}}<\frac{2}{9 k_{\mathrm{a}}}\left(9-k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right)$. Very weak acids, e.g. $\mathrm{HCN}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times 10^{-3}\right)$ or $\mathrm{HOCl}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}=0.4\right)$, would have negative $q$ at $\mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations.

The roots of the depressed cubic equation (14), and their relation with the roots of $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$, are calculated in section A of the Appendix. The positive root of $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0, \rho=\rho_{3}$, is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+3 c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}+3} \cos (\theta / 3)-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\arctan \left(-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]}}{6 \sqrt{3}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta \in(0, \pi)$. The function $\arctan (x, y)$ gives the arc tangent of $y / x$ taking into account which quadrant the point $(x, y)$ is in. The angle $\theta$ is related to the trigonometric solution obtained by Nickalls for the roots of the cubic equation ${ }^{21}$. The limit infinite dilution of $\theta$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{c_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow 0} \theta=\arctan \left(k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(9-k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\right), \sqrt{27}\left|k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}-1\right|\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1 displays this limit as function of $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for different weak acids. In this limit of infinite dilution, $\lim _{\mathcal{c}_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow 0} \rho=1$. The left and right extremes of the $\theta$ curve shown in figure 1 display the infinite dilution $\theta$ for the case of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ respectively. Weak acids with higher (lower) dissociation constants are located on the left (right) of the figure. The dashed line is given by acids with $1 \leq k_{\mathrm{a}} \leq 3$. The value $k_{\mathrm{a}}=1$ gives $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=0$ and $q<0$, hence $\theta=0$ and $\rho=1$. This case gives one simple root and a double root for $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$. It can be shown that the simple root is $\rho=1$, and the double root is $\rho=-1$. The particular case of a triple root is impossible since the triple root condition $k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}=-3\left(1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ cannot be fulfilled with a real $k_{\mathrm{a}}$. On the other hand, the value $k_{\mathrm{a}}=3$ produces $q=0$ and $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]>0$, hence $\theta=\pi / 2$ and $\rho=1$.

As the concentration $c_{\mathrm{a}}$ is increased, the angle $\theta$ tends to $\theta=\pi / 2$ for all acids, regardless of its $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. The angle $\theta$ displays a maximum as a function of $c_{\mathrm{a}}$. This maximum is obtained from the condition $\partial \theta / \partial c_{\mathrm{a}}=0$, which can be shown to give $c_{\mathrm{a}}=8 / k_{\mathrm{a}}$.


FIGURE 1 Angle $\theta$ at infinite dilution as function of the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. The dashed line gives $\theta$ for weak acids with $1 \leq k_{\mathrm{a}} \leq 3$. As the concentration of the acid increases, the value of theta tends to $\pi / 2$.

The concentration $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$is given by $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]=\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} \rho$, and the pH is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{pH} & =-\log _{10} \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]}{C^{\circ}}  \tag{20}\\
& =7-\log _{10} \rho,
\end{align*}
$$

with $C^{\circ}=1 \mathrm{M}$. The low concentration and weak acid pH limits are given by $\lim _{c_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{pH}=7$, and $\lim _{k_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{pH}=7$. The strong acid limit can be used to show that the pH can be negative for $c_{\mathrm{a}}>10^{7}, C_{\mathrm{a}}>1 \mathrm{M}$. Equation (20) is useful to calculate the pH of a weak acid at low concentrations $(1-10 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

### 2.1.1 | Error analysis of the approximations to calculate the $\mathbf{p H}$

College books of chemical analysis ${ }^{33}$ resort to several approximations to calculate the pH of a weak acid in order to avoid the numerical difficulties of solving the cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$. First, assuming that $\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} \ll\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$, i.e. $1 \ll x$, in equation (7), it is obtained a quadratic equation for $x$ with solution $x_{\text {approx }}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-k_{\mathrm{a}}+\sqrt{k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+4 c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}}\right)$. On the other hand, using $1 \ll x \ll c_{\mathrm{a}}$ in equation (7) yields a second (less exact) approximation, $x_{\text {approx }}^{(2)}=\sqrt{c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}}$. The relative error of the approximate concentrations $x_{\text {approx }}^{(i)}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(i)}=\frac{x_{\text {approx }}^{(i)}-\rho}{\rho} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $i=1,2$. It can be shown that the errors $E^{(i)}$ have extreme values

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{c_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow 0} E^{(i)}=-1,  \tag{22}\\
& \lim _{c_{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow \infty} E^{(i)}=0, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2$.
Figure 2 a) displays the region of the $C_{\mathrm{a}}-K_{\mathrm{a}}$ plane for which $\left|E^{(1)}\right|>0.05$, figure 2 b) shows the region of the $C_{\mathrm{a}}-K_{\mathrm{a}}$ plane for which $\left|E^{(2)}\right|>0.05$. It is clear that the error of the first approximation, $x_{\text {approx }}^{(1)}$, is very small even at $\mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations, this approximation fails for $C_{\mathrm{a}}<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. On the other hand, the approximation $x_{\text {approx }}^{(2)}$ works well for small acid dissociation constants, $K_{\mathrm{a}}<10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$, and large values of the acid concentration, $C_{\mathrm{a}}>2 \mathrm{mM}$.


FIGURE 2 The rule of 5\%. In blue, regions with relative error (a) $\left|E^{(1)}\right|>0.05$, and (b) $\left|E^{(2)}\right|>0.05$. The white color indicate the region on the $C_{\mathrm{a}}-K_{\mathrm{a}}$ plane where (a) $x_{\text {approx }}^{(1)}$, or (b) $x_{\text {approx }}^{(2)}$, are good approximations.

### 2.1.2 | Degree of dissociation

The combined use of equations (8) and (9) give the equilibrium concentration for $z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{\rho^{2}-1}{\rho} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be used to obtain the degree of dissociation of the acid $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}=z / c_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{3}$, which can be rearranged to obtain $c_{\mathrm{a}}$ as function of $\rho$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\rho^{2}-1}{\rho \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combined use of equations (7)- 10 , the substitution $x=\rho$, and the use of the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$, give after some algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\rho \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}}{1-\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of $\rho=10^{7-\mathrm{pH}}$ in equations (25) and (26) give the functions $c_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. Figure 3 displays the curves of constant $C_{\mathrm{a}}$ and constant $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ as functions of the pH and the degree of dissociation, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$. The curves for $K_{\mathrm{a}}=\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} k_{\mathrm{a}}$ constant have sigmoid shape, increasing monotonically with the pH and reaching the highest value of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=7$. The stronger acids reach almost complete dissociation at $\mathrm{pH}=7$, meanwhile the weakest acids reach a dissociation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}} \approx 0.1$ at $\mathrm{pH}=7$.

Equation (26) can be rearranged to have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH}, k_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}=\left(1+\frac{10^{7-\mathrm{pH}}}{k_{\mathrm{a}}}\right)^{-1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE 3 Constant $C_{\mathrm{a}}$ (dashed) and $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ (solid) curves as functions of pH and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$. The dashed curves go from left to right, from the highest concentration $C_{a}=10 \mathrm{M}$ on the left (green), to lowest concentration $C_{\mathrm{a}}=10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$, on the right (blue). The solid curves go from left to right, from the stronger acid $K_{\mathrm{a}}=1 \mathrm{M}$ on the left (purple), to the weakest acid $K_{\mathrm{a}}=10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$, on the right (blue).

The sigmoid function $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH}, k_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is bounded and differentiable at all values of pH and $k_{\mathrm{a}}$, and monotonically non-decreasing with respect to pH . The derivative of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH}, k_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ with respect to pH gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH}, k_{\mathrm{a}}\right) & =\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\partial \mathrm{pH}}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& =\frac{\ln 10}{k_{\mathrm{a}}} \frac{10^{7-\mathrm{pH}}}{\left(1+\frac{10^{7-\mathrm{pH}}}{k_{\mathrm{a}}}\right)^{2}} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The maximum of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is given by the solution of $\left(\partial \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{a}} / \partial \mathrm{pH}\right)=0$, which is given by $\mathrm{pH}^{*}=7-\log _{10} k_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{pH}^{*}=-\log _{10} K_{\mathrm{a}}$, or $\mathrm{pH}^{*}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$.

## 2.2 | Titration by a strong base

The addition of a strong base, e.g. NaOH , has direct effect on the charge balance (5) due to the complete dissociation $\mathrm{NaOH} \longrightarrow$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. In terms of dimensionless concentrations, the equilibrium equations for the mixture of a weak acid and a strong base are ${ }^{[12 \mid 3]}$

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{x z}{w}  \tag{30}\\
k_{\mathrm{w}} & =x y=1  \tag{31}\\
x+s & =y+z  \tag{32}\\
c_{\mathrm{a}} & =w+z  \tag{33}\\
c_{\mathrm{b}} & =s \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

In this equations $s=\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$, and $c_{\mathrm{b}}=C_{\mathrm{b}} / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$, are the concentrations of sodium ions and the base respectively. Algebraic manipulation of equations (30)-(34) give the polynomial equation $P_{\mathrm{at}}(x)=0$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{at}}(x)=x^{3}+\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}\right) x^{2}-\left(1+k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right) x-k_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of Wolfram Mathematica ${ }^{[11]}$ allows to prove that the discriminant of $P_{\mathrm{at}}(x)$ is greater than zero, $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{at}}\right]>0$, hence there are three real solutions. It can be proved that only one of these roots is positive by following the same algebraic analysis that led to equation from $P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)=0$. The positive root is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=2 \sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right)-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}}{3} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} & =\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}+3 k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+3},  \tag{37}\\
\theta & =\arctan \left(-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{at}}\right]}}{6 \sqrt{3}}\right)  \tag{38}\\
\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{at}}\right] & =-4 p^{3}-27 q^{2}  \tag{39}\\
p & =-\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}-k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-1,  \tag{40}\\
q & =\frac{2}{27}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{3}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-\frac{2 k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}+\frac{c_{\mathrm{b}}}{3} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

The contours of $\mathrm{pH}=7-\log _{10} \rho$ as function of $C_{\mathrm{a}}=\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} c_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{b}}=\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} c_{\mathrm{b}}$ for acetic acid, $k_{\mathrm{a}}=180$, are shown in figure 4 It is interesting noticing that there is a linear relationship between base and acid concentrations at constant pH . The equivalence point is given by the $\mathrm{pH}=7$ line, it has slope one and passes through the origin $\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}, c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=(0,0)$. Figure 4 also shows that the $C_{\mathrm{b}}$-intercept is negative for acidic pH and positive for basic pH . It is also seen in this figure, that the slope of the lines $C_{\mathrm{b}}\left(C_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is less than one for acidic pH .


FIGURE 4 Lines of constant pH on the $C_{\mathrm{a}}-C_{\mathrm{b}}$ plane for acetic acid and a strong base, at concentrations $C_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{b}}$ respectively.

The titration experiment consists of the addition of a volume $V_{\mathrm{b}}$ of a strong base solution, with analytical concentration $c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}$, to a volume $V_{\mathrm{a}}$ of a weak acid solution, with analytical concentration $c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$. As the strong base is added the pH of the mixture increases. The equivalence point is reached when the moles of the acid and the base are equal, i.e., when the concentration of the acid and the base are equal in the mixture. The relation between the mixture concentrations and the analytical concentrations are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{a}}}{V_{\mathrm{a}}+V_{\mathrm{b}}}  \tag{42}\\
c_{\mathrm{b}} & =\frac{c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{b}}}{V_{\mathrm{a}}+V_{\mathrm{b}}} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations can be combined to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathrm{b}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}\left(1-\frac{c_{\mathrm{a}}}{c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation of a straight line with slope $-c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} / c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$ and intercept $c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}$.

The volume of the acid $V_{\mathrm{a}}$ is fixed from the beginning of the titration experiment. Figure 5 displays titration curves for $V_{\mathrm{a}}=1 \mathrm{~L}$ of an acetic acid solution, $k_{\mathrm{a}}=180$, with concentration $c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=0.1 / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$. The concentration of the base has been chosen such that $n c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}=c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$ with $n=2,4,6,8,10$. All the titration curves of figure 5 are monotonically increasing functions of the base volume $V_{\mathrm{b}}$, with the same pH at $V_{\mathrm{b}}=0, \mathrm{pH}=2.37$. It is clear that the more concentrated the base, the faster the pH grows as function of $V_{b}$.


FIGURE 5 Titration curves for $V_{\mathrm{a}}=1 \mathrm{~L}$ of acetic acid solution, $k_{\mathrm{a}}=180$, with concentration $c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=0.1 / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$. The concentration of the base is taken such that $n c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}=c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$, with $n=2,4,6,8,10$. The black point on each titration curve indicates the condition $c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}$.

The acid-base equivalence point is given when the titration curves reach the neutral $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{pH}=7$. The equivalence point of the titration curves of figure 5 are displayed in table 1 . The analytical condition $c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}$, is reached at the black points indicated on each titration curve on figure 5 The volume of added base $V_{\mathrm{b}}$, and the pH at the condition $c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}$ is displayed in table 1

| $n\left(n c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}=c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\right)$ | $V_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ | $V_{\mathrm{b}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{pH}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.989 | 2 | 8.634 |
| 4 | 3.978 | 4 | 8.523 |
| 6 | 5.967 | 6 | 8.450 |
| 8 | 7.956 | 8 | 8.396 |
| 10 | 9.945 | 10 | 8.352 |

TABLE 1 Volume of the base, $V_{\mathrm{b}}$, at the equivalence point ( $\mathrm{pH}=7$ ), at the equal concentrations condition $\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, and pH at the concentration condition $\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}=c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$.

## 2.3 | Buffer solution

An acid buffer solution is the aqueous dissolution of a weak acid (HB) and a fully soluble salt of the conjugate base (NaB). The chemical equations of a buffer equilibrium are ${ }^{[12 / 3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{HB}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{B}^{-}  \tag{45}\\
\mathrm{B}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{HB}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}  \tag{46}\\
\mathrm{NaB} & \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{B}^{-}  \tag{47}\\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Relevant chemical species are $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{HB}, \mathrm{B}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, with molar concentrations $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right],\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$, $[\mathrm{HB}]$, $\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$.
In an acid buffer solution with acid concentration $C_{\mathrm{a}}$ and salt concentration $C_{\mathrm{s}}$, the concentrations of the chemical species are given by four physical conditions: the weak acid dissociation constant $K_{\mathrm{a}}$, the water auto-ionization constant $K_{\mathrm{w}}$, the electric neutrality, and the mass balance,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HB}]},  \tag{49}\\
K_{\mathrm{w}} & =\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right],  \tag{50}\\
{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] } & =\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right],  \tag{51}\\
C_{\mathrm{a}}+C_{\mathrm{s}} & =\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]+[\mathrm{HB}], \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Since the salt NaB dissociates completely, the concentration of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$is given by $C_{\mathrm{s}}=\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$. The hydrolysis of the conjugate base, chemical equation (46), gives the conjugate base hydrolysis constant $K_{\mathrm{cb}}=K_{\mathrm{w}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}$, which is irrelevant for this analysis.

Acid constants $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ have units of concentration and their value range typically between $10^{-10}$ and $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$. It is convenient to define the dimensionless variables and constants $s=\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, y=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, w=[\mathrm{HB}] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$, $z=\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, c_{\mathrm{a}}=C_{\mathrm{a}} / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, c_{\mathrm{s}}=C_{\mathrm{s}} / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}, k_{a}=K_{\mathrm{a}} / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$, and $k_{\mathrm{w}}=1$. In terms of the dimensionless variables and constants, equations (49)- 52 are replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{x z}{w}  \tag{53}\\
k_{\mathrm{w}} & =x y=1,  \tag{54}\\
x+c_{\mathrm{s}} & =y+z  \tag{55}\\
c_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{s}} & =w+z . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

The addition of a strong base, e.g. $\mathrm{NaOH} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, affects the charge balance, equation (55). This addition is useful to study the buffer capacity of the solution. The use of a strong base with analytical concentration $C_{\mathrm{b}}=\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} c_{\mathrm{b}}$, gives the charge balance

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+c_{\mathrm{s}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}=y+z . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combined use of equations (53)-57) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{x\left(x+c_{\mathrm{s}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}-\frac{1}{x}\right)}{\frac{1}{x}-x+c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearrangement of equation (58) leads to the polynomial equation $P_{\mathrm{ab}}(x)=0$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{ab}}(x)=x^{3}+\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}\right) x^{2}-\left(1+k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right) x-k_{\mathrm{a}} . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensionless equation $P_{\mathrm{ab}}(x)=0$ is equivalent to Charlot's equation when $c_{\mathrm{b}}=0$.
The use of Wolfram Mathematica allows to prove that the discriminant of $P_{\mathrm{at}}(x)$ is greater than zero, $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{ab}}\right]>0$, hence there are three real solutions. It can be proved that only one of these roots is positive by following the same algebraic analysis that led to equation 17) from $P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)=0$. The positive root, $\rho=\rho\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}, c_{\mathrm{b}}, c_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=2 \sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right)-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}}{3} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE 6 Abslute error $E^{(\mathrm{pH})}$, in the pH calculated by the Herderson-Hasselbach equation for a buffer solution of chlorous acid, $K_{\mathrm{a}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$, as a function of the molar concentrations of the acid $C_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the salt $C_{\mathrm{s}}$.
with

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} & =\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}+3 k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+3}  \tag{61}\\
\theta & =\arctan \left(-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{ab}}\right]}{6 \sqrt{3}}\right)  \tag{62}\\
\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{ab}}\right] & =-4 p^{3}-27 q^{2}  \tag{63}\\
p & =-\frac{1}{3}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{2}-k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-1  \tag{64}\\
q & =\frac{2}{27}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^{3}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)-\frac{2 k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}+\frac{c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}}{3} . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying the logarithm of the acid dissociation constant $K_{\mathrm{a}}$, equation (49), is obtained, after some algebraic manipulation, the Henderson-Hasselbach $(\mathrm{HH})$ equation ${ }^{22|23| 24}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}+\log _{10} \frac{\left[\mathrm{~B}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HB}]} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of this equation is a function of pH , therefore the HH equation is not practical for direct calculation of the pH . It is common to use the approximations $\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right] \approx C_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $[\mathrm{HB}] \approx C_{\mathrm{a}}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{pH}^{(1)} \approx \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log _{10} \frac{C_{\mathrm{s}}}{C_{\mathrm{a}}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 6 shows the absolute error of the pH , for chlorous acid, calculated by the HH equation with respect to the pH calculated using the exact formula, 60 with $c_{\mathrm{b}}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(\mathrm{pH})}=\mathrm{pH}^{(1)}-\left(7-\log _{10} \rho\right), \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\rho$ given by equation 60). Figure 6 shows that small errors, $E^{(\mathrm{pH})}<0.1$, are obtained for buffer solutions with high concentration of the salt, $C_{\mathrm{s}}>0.1 \mathrm{M}$, meanwhile large errors, $E^{(\mathrm{pH})}>0.5$ are obtained for buffer solutions with $C_{\mathrm{s}}<0.01 \mathrm{M}$.

### 2.3.1 | Buffer capacity

The pH stability of an acid buffer solution is measured by adding a volume $V_{\mathrm{b}}$ of a strong base solution with concentration $c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0 / 3}$. The addition of this volume changes the concentrations $c_{\mathrm{a}}, c_{\mathrm{s}}$, and $c_{\mathrm{b}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{\mathrm{a}} & =\frac{c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}}{V_{\mathrm{ab}}^{0}+V_{\mathrm{b}}}  \tag{69}\\
c_{\mathrm{s}} & =\frac{c_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}}{V_{\mathrm{ab}}^{0}+V_{\mathrm{b}}}  \tag{70}\\
c_{\mathrm{b}} & =\frac{c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{b}}}{V_{\mathrm{ab}}^{0}+V_{\mathrm{b}}} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

with $c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}, c_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, and $c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}$, as the concentrations of the acid, salt of acid, and base independent solutions, respectively. The volumes $V_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$, $V_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, and $V_{\mathrm{ab}}^{0}=V_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}+V_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, are the initial volumes of the acid, salt, and acid buffer solutions respectively.

The pH stability, $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$, of a buffer solution is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{pH}} & =\frac{d(\mathrm{pH})}{d V_{\mathrm{b}}}  \tag{72}\\
& =\nabla_{c}(\mathrm{pH}) \cdot \frac{d c}{d V_{b}}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{c}=\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}, c_{\mathrm{s}}, c_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ as 3 -vector of concentrations, and the gradient of $\rho, \nabla_{c} \rho$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{c} \rho=\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial c_{\mathrm{a}}}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial c_{\mathrm{s}}}, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial c_{\mathrm{b}}}\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use in equation (72) of the pH definition, $\mathrm{pH}=7-\log _{10} \rho$, and the concentrations of equations (69)-71), gives after some algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{pH}}=\frac{1}{\ln 10} \frac{1}{\left(V_{\mathrm{ab}}^{0}+V_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_{c} \rho \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{0} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{n}^{0}=\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}, c_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{s}}^{0},-c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} V_{\mathrm{ab}}^{0}\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gradient $\nabla_{c} \rho$ is calculated with respect to the components of $c$ but it must be expressed in terms of the volume of base $V_{b}$.
Figure 7 displays the pH stability, $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$, as function of the pH of the buffer solution. Since the addition of a strong base increases monotonically the pH of the solution, the curves of figure 7 contain the same information as the titration curves. To simplify the analysis all the concentrations used to prepare or titrate the buffers, $c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}, c_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ and $c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}$, have the same value $c^{0}$. Panel (a) of figure 7 displays $S_{\mathrm{pH}} / 100$ for buffer solutions with concentrations $C^{0}=10^{-7} \times c^{0}$ ranging from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ (from blue to red). Panel (b) of figure 7 displays $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ for buffer solutions with concentrations $C^{0}=10^{-7} \times c^{0}$ ranging from $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ (from purple to re). In both panels of figure 7 curves with lower (higher) values of $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ are for buffer solutions prepared and titrated with solutions of lower (higher) concentrations. The maximum of the curves $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ is higher, and located at higher pH , for buffer solutions at higher concentrations $C^{0}$. The highest concentration buffer of figure 7 a), in red) displays numerical instability for basic $\mathrm{pH} s$.

Figure 8 displays the titration curves for the same buffer solutions of figure 7 To simplify the analysis, the concentrations of the solutions to prepare, and titrate, the buffer are the same, $C^{0}=10^{-7} c^{0}$. All the titration curves of panels (a) and (b) of figure 8 have the same equilibrium point at $V_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \mathrm{~L}$ and $\mathrm{pH}=7$. Buffers of higher concentrations, in red, display the largest changes of pH as the solution is titrated with strong base.

The use of figures 7 (a) and 8 a) indicates that the lower the concentration of the solutions of acid and salt of the acid (blue curves), the lower the change in pH as the base is added.

## 3 | SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS RELATING THE PH EXPRESSIONS

The four cubic equations for $x=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$ of the systems under study can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE 7 pH stability $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ as a function of the pH for buffer solutions of acetic acid ( $k_{\mathrm{a}}=175$ ) and sodium acetate titrated with NaOH . To simplify the analysis, the initial concentrations $c_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}, c_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, and $c_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}$, are equal to $c^{0}$. Panel (a) displays $S_{\mathrm{pH}} / 100$ for solutions with concentrations $C^{0}=10^{-7} \times c^{0}$ from $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$; The lowest curves (blue color) are at lower concentrations, the highest curves (red color) are for higher concentrations. Panel (b) displays $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ for solutions with concentrations $C^{0}=10^{-7} \times c^{0}$ from $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$; The lowest curves (purple color) are for low concentration buffers, the highest curves (red color) for higher concentrations.


FIGURE 8 Buffer titration as function of the volume of base added, $V_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{L}$. To simplify the analysis, the concentrations of the solutions to prepare, and titrate, the buffer are the same, $C^{0}=10^{-7} c^{0}$. Panel (a) displays the pH for solutions with concentrations $C^{0}=10^{-7} \times c^{0}$ from $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$; The blue blue curves are at lower concentrations, the red curves are for higher concentrations. Panel (b) displays pH for solutions with concentrations $C^{0}=10^{-7} \times c^{0}$ from $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$; The purple curves are for low concentration buffers, the red curves for higher concentrations.

The coefficients of the four systems under study are given by the rows of table 2
The concentration $x$ is given by the only positive root of these polynomials,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{k^{2}+3 c k+3} \cos (\theta / 3)-\frac{k}{3}, \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c$ and $k$ as the effective concentrations and acid dissociation constants, given by the fifth and second columns of table 2 respectively. The angle $\theta$ is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\arctan \left(-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{6 \sqrt{3}}\right), \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 2 Coefficients of the polynomials for the systems studied: weak acid (w.a.), weak acid titration by a strong base (w.a. + s.b.), weak acid buffer (w.a.b.) and titration of a weak acid buffer by a strong base (w.a.b. + s.b.). The second and fifth columns give the effective acid constant $k$ and concentration $c$, respectively.

| System | $a_{2}=k$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{0}$ | $c$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| w.a. | $k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-1$ | $-k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $c_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| w.a. + s.b. | $k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $-c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-1+c_{\mathrm{b}} k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| w.a.b. | $k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $-c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-1$ | $-k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $c_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| w.a.b. + s.b. | $k_{\mathrm{a}}+c_{\mathrm{b}}+c_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $-c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-1+c_{\mathrm{b}} k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $c_{\mathrm{a}}-c_{\mathrm{b}}$ |

with discriminant $\Delta=-4 p^{3}-27 q^{2}>0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=-\frac{1}{3} k^{2}-k_{\mathrm{a}} c-1  \tag{79}\\
& q=\frac{2}{27} k^{3}+\frac{k}{3}\left(1+k_{\mathrm{a}} c\right)-k_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

The values of $k$ and $c$ from table 2 show that $k \geq k_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $c \leq c_{\mathrm{a}}$. Equations (77)-80) with the data of table 2 give the full description of the pH for the systems studied.

## 4 | CONCLUSIONS

A systematic algebraic analysis has been applied on the ideal aqueous chemical equilibrium of three acid-base systems of academic and practical interest. The weak acid dissociation, the titration of a weak acid solution by a strong base, the acid buffer solution and its titration by a strong base, have been algebraically analyzed without approximations. Simple closed-form expression for the the roots of the cubic equations for the $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$are obtained. These analytical expressions for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$are simple enough to calculate the pH with a handheld scientific calculator. It has been shown that all the systems under study have one real positive root and two negative roots, no complex roots were obtained. The existence of only one positive root, with physical meaning, was proved in several ways: by analyzing the discriminant of the cubic polynomials, by using Descates' rule of signs on the polynomial and its derivative, and by analyzing Vieta's formulas. The cubic equations for the systems of interest were solved by the method of the depressed cubic equation of Vieta and Cardano. It has been found that, for all the systems under study, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$is the subtraction of two terms; The first term is the product of a trigonometric function and the square-root of a quadratic form of the acid dissociation constant, $k_{\mathrm{a}}$, and the concentrations, $c_{\mathrm{a}}, c_{\mathrm{s}}$, and $c_{\mathrm{b}}$; The second term is simply one third of the sum of the acid constant and the concentrations. The analytical forms of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$for the four systems analyzed are easily related by simple mathematical substitutions.

In addition to the expression for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$, another analytical expression for the degree of dissociation of a weak acid $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{a}}$, the pH titration curves for weak acids and buffer solutions, and the stability of the $\mathrm{pH} S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ have been obtained and studied. Exact titration curves allow to predict accurately the neutralization of weak acids, and buffer solutions, by using strong bases. The expression obtained for the pH stability $S_{\mathrm{pH}}$ allows to quantify precisely the pH stability of a buffer solution when a strong base is added.

The exact pH has been compared against the approximate expression commonly used for calculating the pH . The error of these approximations is analyzed in detail. It was found that the known rule of $5 \%$ is based on assuming that $\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}} \ll\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]$. It has been shown that the Henderson-Hasselbach (HH) equation exhibits large error for buffer solutions with salt concentrations $C_{\mathrm{s}}>0.01 \mathrm{M}$.
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## APPENDIX

## A ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF THE CUBIC EQUATION

The cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{a}}=x^{3}+k_{\mathrm{a}} x^{2}-\left(1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\right) x-k_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]=4\left(c_{\mathrm{a}}^{3} k_{\mathrm{a}}^{3}+\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}-1\right)^{2}+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\left(5 k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+3\right)\right)+c_{\mathrm{a}}^{2} k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+12\right) \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]>0$.
Since $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]>0$, the cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ must have three distinct real roots $\rho_{i}$, with $i=1,2,3$ and $\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\rho_{3}$. Since $x=\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right] / \sqrt{K_{\mathrm{w}}}$ is related to a molar concentration, only one of the roots $\rho_{i}$ is expected to be a positive number. Although Descartes' rule has already shown that there must be only one positive root, a further analysis is necessary to find the local extremes of $P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)$. These extremes are given by the solutions of $P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}(x)=0$. The derivative of $P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}+2 k_{\mathrm{a}} x-\left(1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\right), \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has discriminant $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right]=4\left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+3 c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}+3\right)$. The roots of $P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}(x)=0$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1,2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(-k_{\mathrm{a}} \mp \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right]}\right) \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right]>0$, it is easily seen that $x_{1}<0$. Algebraic manipulation on the inequality $x_{2}>0$ leads to $1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}>0$, corroborating that $x_{2}>0$. Since $P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)$ is a cubic polynomial and the coefficient of the cubic term is positive, it must be given that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)= \pm \infty$, therefore $x_{1}$ must be a local maximum and $x_{2}$ a local minimum, with $P_{\mathrm{a}}\left(x_{1}\right)>0$, and $P_{\mathrm{a}}\left(x_{2}\right)<0$. The three roots of $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ then obey the inequalities $\rho_{1}<x_{1}<\rho_{2}<x_{2}<\rho_{3}$. These inequalities give $\rho_{1}<0$, and $\rho_{3}>0$. The use of Vieta's theorem allows to determine the sign of $\rho_{2}{ }^{1920}$, these formulas for $P_{\mathrm{a}}(x)=0$ are ${ }^{15 \mid 16}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}+\rho_{3} & =-k_{\mathrm{a}}  \tag{A5}\\
\rho_{1} \rho_{2}+\rho_{1} \rho_{3}+\rho_{2} \rho_{3} & =-\left(1+c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}\right),  \tag{A6}\\
\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3} & =k_{\mathrm{a}} . \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

The use of the last of the formulas gives $\rho_{2}<0$, therefore only $\rho_{3}$ is positive. An explicit formula for $\rho_{3}$, in terms of the constants, is obtained by solving the associated depressed cubic equation, i.e., a cubic equation with no quadratic term $\frac{1920}{}$. The change of variable $x=\bar{x}-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}$ allows to write the cubic equation $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ as the depressed cubic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}^{3}+p \bar{x}+q=0 \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with real coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}{3}-c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}-1  \tag{A9}\\
& q=\frac{2 k_{\mathrm{a}}^{3}}{27}+\frac{c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}{3}-\frac{2 k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3} \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

and discriminant $-4 p^{3}-27 q^{2}$ equal to the discriminant A 2 .

Vieta's substitution, $\bar{x}=y-\frac{p}{3 y}$, on the depressed cubic equation A88 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{3}-\frac{p^{3}}{27 y^{3}}+q=0 \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator of the second term on the right hand side is simplified by multiplying equation A11) by $y^{3}$, obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{6}+q y^{3}-\frac{p^{3}}{27}=0 \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the quadratic equation $\xi^{2}+q \xi-\frac{p^{3}}{27}=0$, in the variable $\xi=y^{3}$, with roots

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{1,2} & =-\frac{q}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{27 q^{2}+4 p^{3}}{108}}  \tag{A13}\\
& =-\frac{q}{2} \pm \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]}{27}}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]>0$, the roots $\xi_{1,2}$ are complex conjugates, $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}^{*}$. The definition $\zeta=\xi_{1}$ allows to use $\zeta$ and $\zeta^{*}$ as the roots of A12. It is convenient to use the polar representation of $\zeta, \zeta=\|\zeta\| e^{i \theta}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\zeta\| & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{q^{2}+\frac{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]}{27}}  \tag{A14}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime}\right]}\right)^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\arctan \left(-\frac{q}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{\Delta\left[P_{\mathrm{a}}\right]}}{6 \sqrt{3}}\right) \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\theta \in(0, \pi)$ as the angle between $\zeta$ and the positive real axis on the Argand plane.
The roots of the depressed cubic equation are obtained from $\alpha=\sqrt[3]{\zeta}$ and $\beta=\sqrt[3]{\zeta^{*}}$. The cubic roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have three values each, $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{n}=\sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \exp \left(i\left(\frac{\theta}{3}+\frac{2 n \pi}{3}\right)\right)  \tag{A16}\\
& \beta_{n}=\sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \exp \left(i\left(-\frac{\theta}{3}+\frac{2 n \pi}{3}\right)\right) \tag{A17}
\end{align*}
$$

with $n=0,1,2$. Since the cubic equation A8) has three real roots, the addition of two cubic roots $\alpha_{n}+\beta_{m}$ must give a real number. This is possible only if $\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=-\operatorname{Im}\left(\beta_{m}\right)$. There are only three possible combinations that fulfill this requirement:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}=2 \sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{3}\right)  \tag{A18}\\
& \alpha_{2}+\beta_{1}=-2 \sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \cos \left(\frac{\theta+\pi}{3}\right)  \tag{A19}\\
& \alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}=-2 \sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \sin \left(\frac{\theta+2 \pi}{3}\right) \tag{A20}
\end{align*}
$$

The roots of the depressed cubic equation (A8) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{r}_{1}=\alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}  \tag{A21}\\
& \bar{r}_{2}=\alpha_{2}+\beta_{1}  \tag{A22}\\
& \bar{r}_{3}=\alpha_{0}+\beta_{0} \tag{A23}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\bar{r}_{1}<\bar{r}_{2}<\bar{r}_{3}$.
The roots of $P_{\mathrm{a}}=0$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{1}=\bar{r}_{1}-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}  \tag{A24}\\
& \rho_{2}=\bar{r}_{2}-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}  \tag{A25}\\
& \rho_{3}=\bar{r}_{3}-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3} \tag{A26}
\end{align*}
$$

The positive root $\rho=\rho_{3}$ is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho & =2 \sqrt[3]{\|\zeta\|} \cos (\theta / 3)-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3}  \tag{A27}\\
& =\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{k_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+3 c_{\mathrm{a}} k_{\mathrm{a}}+3} \cos (\theta / 3)-\frac{k_{\mathrm{a}}}{3} .
\end{align*}
$$
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