

CONTINUOUS NON-ARCHIMEDEAN AND p -ADIC WELCH BOUNDS

K. Mahesh Krishna¹

¹Affiliation not available

September 11, 2022

K. MAHESH KRISHNA

Post Doctoral Fellow

Statistics and Mathematics Unit

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre

Karnataka 560 059, India

Email: kmaheshak@gmail.com

Date: September 10, 2022

Abstract: We prove the continuous non-Archimedean (resp. p-adic) Banach space and Hilbert space versions of non-Archimedean (resp. p-adic) Welch bounds proved by M. Krishna. We formulate continuous non-Archimedean and p-adic functional Zauner conjectures.

Keywords: Non-Archimedean valued field, Non-Archimedean Banach space, p-adic number field, p-adic Banach space, Welch bound, Zauner conjecture.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 12J25, 46S10, 47S10, 11D88, 43A05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Prof. L. Welch proved the following bounds in 1974 which appears in everyday life [84].

Theorem 1.1. [84] (*Welch Bounds*) Let $n > d$. If $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is any collection of unit vectors in $\mathbb{C}^d$, then

$$\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle|^{2m} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle|^{2m} \geq \frac{n^2}{\binom{d+m-1}{m}}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle|^2 \geq \frac{n^2}{d}.$$

Further,

$$(\textbf{Higher order Welch bounds}) \quad \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, j \neq k} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle|^{2m} \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\frac{n}{\binom{d+m-1}{m}} - 1 \right], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular,

$$(\textbf{First order Welch bound}) \quad \max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, j \neq k} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle|^2 \geq \frac{n-d}{d(n-1)}.$$

Theorem 1.1 is a fundamental result in many areas such as in the study of root-mean-square (RMS) absolute cross relation of unit vectors [71], frame potential [9, 14, 18], correlations [70], codebooks [27], numerical search algorithms [85, 86], quantum measurements [73], coding and communications [76, 80], code division multiple access (CDMA) systems [49, 50], wireless systems [68], compressed/compressive sensing [1, 6, 29, 32, 72, 78, 79, 81], ‘game of Sloanes’ [45], equiangular tight frames [77], equiangular lines [7, 8, 15, 17, 20, 23, 26, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37–40, 44, 46, 47, 57, 60, 62, 63, 87], digital fingerprinting [59] etc.

Theorem 1.1 has been upgraded/different proofs were given in [19, 24, 25, 28, 42, 69, 76, 82, 83]. In 2021 M. Krishna derived continuous version of Theorem 1.1 [51]. In 2022 M. Krishna obtained Theorem 1.1

for Hilbert C^* -modules [53], Banach spaces [52], non-Archimedean Hilbert spaces [55], p -adic Hilbert spaces [56] non-Archimedean Banach spaces and p -adic Banach spaces [54].

In this paper we derive continuous non-Archimedean (resp. p -adic) Banach space version of non-Archimedean (resp. p -adic) functional Welch bounds in Theorems 2.4 and (resp. Theorems 3.3). We formulate continuous non-Archimedean Zauner conjectures (Conjectures 2.8 and 2.9) and continuous p -adic Zauner conjectures (Conjectures 3.7 and 3.8).

2. CONTINUOUS NON-ARCHIMEDEAN WELCH BOUNDS

In this section we derive continuous non-Archimedean Banach space version of results derived in [54]. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a non-Archimedean (complete) valued field satisfying

$$(1) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 \right| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\lambda_j|^2, \quad \forall \lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}, 1 \leq j \leq n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For examples of such fields, we refer [64]. Throughout this section, we assume that our non-Archimedean field $\mathbb{K}$ satisfies Equation (1). Letter $\mathcal{X}$ stands for a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. Identity operator on $\mathcal{X}$ is denoted by $I_{\mathcal{X}}$. The dual of $\mathcal{X}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X}^*$. In the entire paper, G denotes a locally compact group and μ_G denotes a left Haar measure on G . We assume throughout the paper that the diagonal $\Delta := \{(g, g) : g \in G\}$ is measurable in $G \times G$. All our integrals are weak non-Archimedean Riemann integrals (see [67]).

Theorem 2.1. (*First Order Continuous Non-Archimedean Functional Welch Bound*) *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}^*$ satisfying following conditions.*

(i) *For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map*

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g(x)\phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) *The map*

$$S_{f,\tau} : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \int_G f_g(x)\tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) *The operator $S_{f,\tau}$ is diagonalizable.*

(iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g)| d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g)| \right\} \geq \frac{1}{|d|} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(First order continuous non-Archimedean functional Welch bound)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g,h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g)| \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

Proof. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau}) &= \int_G f_g(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g), \\ \text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau}^2) &= \int_G \int_G f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ be the diagonal entries in the diagonalization of $S_{f,\tau}$. Then using the diagonalizability of $S_{f,\tau}$ and the non-Archimedean Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (Theorem 2.4.2 [64]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) \right|^2 &= |\text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau})|^2 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \right|^2 \leq |d| \left| \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k^2 \right| = |d| |\text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau}^2)| \\ &= |d| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| = |d| \left| \int_{G \times G} f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g) d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ &= |d| \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) + \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g) d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ &\leq |d| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \left| \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g) d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq |d| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g,h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Whenever $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$,

$$|\mu(G)|^2 \leq |d| \max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g,h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g)| \right\}.$$

□

Corollary 2.2. (First Order Continuous Non-Archimedean Welch Bound) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Hilbert space over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying following conditions.

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) The map

$$S_\tau : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \int_G \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

- (iii) The operator S_τ is diagonalizable.
- (iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 \right\} \geq \frac{1}{|d|} \left| \int_G \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(First order continuous non-Archimedean Welch bound)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

Next we obtain higher order continuous non-Archimedean functional Welch bounds. We need the following vector space result.

Theorem 2.3. [13, 21] If $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector space of dimension d and $\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V})$ denotes the vector space of symmetric m -tensors, then

$$\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{V})) = \binom{d+m-1}{m}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem 2.4. (Higher Order Continuous Non-Archimedean Functional Welch Bounds) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}^*$ satisfying following conditions.

- (i) For every $x \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$ and for every $\phi \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g^{\otimes m}(x) \phi(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$$

is measurable and integrable.

- (ii) The map

$$S_{f, \tau} : \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}) \ni x \mapsto \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(x) \tau_g^{\otimes m} d\mu_G(g) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

- (iii) The operator $S_{f, \tau}$ is diagonalizable.
- (iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right|} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g)^m d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(Higher order continuous non-Archimedean functional Welch bounds)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{\binom{d+m-1}{m}}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))}$ be the diagonal entries in the diagonalization of $S_{f, \tau}$. We note that

$$b \dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})) = \text{Tra}(bI_{\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})}) = \text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau}) = \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g),$$

$$b^2 \dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})) = \text{Tra}(b^2 I_{\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})}) = \text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau}^2) = \int_G \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_h^{\otimes m}) f_h^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g)^m d\mu_G(g) \right|^2 = \left| \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) \right|^2 = |\text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau})|^2 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))} \lambda_k \right|^2 \\ & \leq |\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))} \lambda_k^2 \right| = |\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))| |\text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau}^2)| \\ & = \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| |\text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau}^2)| = \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_h^{\otimes m}) f_h^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| \\ & = \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| \\ & = \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_{G \times G} f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ & = \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) + \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ & \leq |d| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \left| \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \right\} \\ & \leq \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m| \right\} \\ & = \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Whenever $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$,

$$|\mu(G)|^2 \leq \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\}.$$

□

Corollary 2.5. (Higher Order Continuous Non-Archimedean Welch Bounds) Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Hilbert space over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying following conditions.

(i) For every $x \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$ and for every $\phi \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g^{\otimes m} \rangle \phi(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) The map

$$S_\tau : \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}) \ni x \mapsto \int_G \langle x, \tau_g^{\otimes m} \rangle \tau_g^{\otimes m} d\mu_G(g) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) The operator S_τ is diagonalizable.

(iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^{2m} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right|} \left| \int_G \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle^m d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(Higher order continuous non-Archimedean Welch bounds)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^{2m} \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{\left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right|}.$$

Motivated from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 we formulate the following questions.

Question 2.6. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a non-Archimedean field satisfying Equation (1) and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$. For which locally compact group G with measurable diagonal Δ , there exist a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ and a collection $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathcal{X}^*$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g(x) \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) The map

$$S_{f, \tau} : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \int_G f_g(x) \tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) The operator $S_{f, \tau}$ is diagonalizable.

(iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(v) $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(vi)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| \right\} = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

(vii) $\|f_g\| = 1, \|\tau_g\| = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Question 2.7. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a non-Archimedean field satisfying Equation (1) and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional non-Archimedean Hilbert space over $\mathbb{K}$. For which locally compact group G with measurable diagonal Δ , there exists a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) The map

$$S_\tau : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \int_G \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) The operator S_τ is diagonalizable.

(iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(v) $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(vi)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 \right\} = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

A particular case of Questions 2.6 and 2.7 are following continuous non-Archimedean versions of Zauner conjecture (see [2–5, 10–12, 33, 36, 43, 48, 51, 58, 66, 74, 88] for Zauner conjecture in Hilbert spaces, [53] for Zauner conjecture in Hilbert C^* -modules, [52] for Zauner conjecture in Banach spaces, [55] for Zauner conjecture in non-Archimedean Hilbert spaces, [56] for Zauner conjecture in p-adic Hilbert spaces and [54] for Zauner conjecture for non-Archimedean Banach spaces and p-adic Banach spaces).

Conjecture 2.8. (*Continuous Non-Archimedean Functional Zauner Conjecture*) Let $\mathbb{K}$ non-Archimedean field satisfying Equation (1). Given locally compact group G and for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathbb{K}^d$ (w.r.t. any non-Archimedean norm) and a collection $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $(\mathbb{K}^d)^*$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathbb{K}^d$ and for every $\phi \in (\mathbb{K}^d)^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g(x) \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathbb{K}^d$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) *The map*

$$S_{f,\tau} : \mathbb{K}^d \ni x \mapsto \int_G f_g(x) \tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathbb{K}^d$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) *The operator $S_{f,\tau}$ is diagonalizable.*

(iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(v) $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ *for all* $g \in G$.

(vi)

$$|(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)| = |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}, \quad \forall g, h \in G, g \neq h.$$

(vii) $\|f_g\| = 1, \|\tau_g\| = 1$ *for all* $g \in G$.

Conjecture 2.9. (Continuous Non-Archimedean Zauner Conjecture) *Let $\mathbb{K}$ non-Archimedean field satisfying Equation (1). Given locally compact group G and for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathbb{K}^d$ satisfying the following.*

(i) *For every $x \in \mathbb{K}^d$ and for every $\phi \in (\mathbb{K}^d)^*$, the map*

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathbb{K}^d$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) *The map*

$$S_\tau : \mathbb{K}^d \ni x \mapsto \int_G \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathbb{K}^d$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator.

(iii) *The operator S_τ is diagonalizable.*

(iv)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(v) $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ *for all* $g \in G$.

(vi)

$$|(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)| = |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}, \quad \forall g, h \in G, g \neq h.$$

There are four bounds which are companions of Welch bounds in Hilbert spaces. To recall them we need the notion of Gerzon's bound.

Definition 2.10. [45] *Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, define **Gerzon's bound***

$$\mathcal{Z}(d, \mathbb{K}) := \begin{cases} d^2 & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C} \\ \frac{d(d+1)}{2} & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.11. [16, 22, 41, 45, 61, 65, 75, 85] *Define $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $m := \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{K})/2$. If $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is any collection of unit vectors in $\mathbb{K}^d$, then*

(i) (*Bukh-Cox bound*)

$$\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, j \neq k} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle| \geq \frac{\mathcal{Z}(n-d, \mathbb{K})}{n(1+m(n-d-1)\sqrt{m^{-1}+n-d}) - \mathcal{Z}(n-d, \mathbb{K})} \quad \text{if } n > d.$$

(ii) (*Orthoplex/Rankin bound*)

$$\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, j \neq k} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \text{if } n > \mathcal{Z}(d, \mathbb{K}).$$

(iii) (*Levenstein bound*)

$$\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, j \neq k} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle| \geq \sqrt{\frac{n(m+1) - d(md+1)}{(n-d)(md+1)}} \quad \text{if } n > \mathcal{Z}(d, \mathbb{K}).$$

(iv) (*Exponential bound*)

$$\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n, j \neq k} |\langle \tau_j, \tau_k \rangle| \geq 1 - 2n^{-\frac{1}{d-1}}.$$

Motivated from Theorem 2.11, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 we ask the following problems.

Question 2.12. *Whether there is a continuous non-Archimedean functional version of Theorem 2.11? In particular, does there exists a version of*

- (i) *continuous non-Archimedean functional Bukh-Cox bound?*
- (ii) *continuous non-Archimedean functional Orthoplex/Rankin bound?*
- (iii) *continuous non-Archimedean functional Levenstein bound?*
- (iv) *continuous non-Archimedean functional Exponential bound?*

Question 2.13. *Whether there is a continuous non-Archimedean version of Theorem 2.11? In particular, does there exists a version of*

- (i) *continuous non-Archimedean Bukh-Cox bound?*
- (ii) *continuous non-Archimedean Orthoplex/Rankin bound?*
- (iii) *continuous non-Archimedean Levenstein bound?*
- (iv) *continuous non-Archimedean Exponential bound?*

3. CONTINUOUS p-ADIC WELCH BOUNDS

In this section we derive p-adic Banach space version of results done in [56]. Let p be a prime and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the field of p-adic numbers. In this section, $\mathcal{X}$ is a d -dimensional p-adic Banach space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Theorem 3.1. (First Order Continuous p-adic Functional Welch Bound) *Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional p-adic Banach space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}^*$ satisfying following conditions.*

- (i) *For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map*

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g(x)\phi(\tau_g) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is measurable and integrable.

- (ii) *There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that*

$$\int_G f_g(x)\tau_g d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| \right\} \geq \frac{1}{|d|} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then**(First order continuous p -adic functional Welch bound)**

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

Proof. Define $S_{f, \tau} : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \int_G f_g(x) \tau_g d\mu_G(g) \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} bd &= \text{Tra}(bI_{\mathcal{X}}) = \text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau}) = \int_G f_g(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g), \\ b^2d &= \text{Tra}(b^2I_{\mathcal{X}}) = \text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau}^2) = \int_G \int_G f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) \right|^2 &= |\text{Tra}(S_{f, \tau})|^2 = |bd|^2 = |d| |b^2d| = |d| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| \\ &= |d| \left| \int_{G \times G} f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g) d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ &= |d| \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) + \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g) d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ &\leq |d| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \left| \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g) d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq |d| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Whenever $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$,

$$|\mu(G)|^2 \leq |d| \max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| \right\}.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. (First Order Continuous p -adic Welch Bound) Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional p -adic Hilbert space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying following conditions.

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_G \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \tau_g d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 \right\} \geq \frac{1}{|d|} \left| \int_G \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(First order continuous p -adic Welch bound)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

Now we derive higher order version of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. (Higher Order Continuous p -adic Functional Welch Bounds) Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional p -adic Banach space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}^*$ satisfying following conditions.

(i) For every $x \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$ and for every $\phi \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g^{\otimes m}(x) \phi(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying

$$\int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(x) \tau_g^{\otimes m} d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}).$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right|} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g)^m d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(Higher order p -adic continuous functional Welch bound)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{\binom{d+m-1}{m}}.$$

Proof. Define $S_{f,\tau} : \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}) \ni x \mapsto \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(x) \tau_g^{\otimes m} d\mu_G(g) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$. Then

$$b \dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})) = \text{Tra}(bI_{\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})}) = \text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau}) = \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g),$$

$$b^2 \dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})) = \text{Tra}(b^2 I_{\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})}) = \text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau}^2) = \int_G \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_h^{\otimes m}) f_h^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_G f_g(\tau_g)^m d\mu_G(g) \right|^2 &= \left| \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) \right|^2 = |\text{Tra}(S_{f,\tau})|^2 = |b \dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))|^2 \\ &= |\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))| |b^2 \dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))| \\ &= |\dim(\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}))| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_h^{\otimes m}) f_h^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| \\ &= \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g^{\otimes m}(\tau_h^{\otimes m}) f_h^{\otimes m}(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| \\ &= \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_G \int_G f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d\mu_G(g) d\mu_G(h) \right| \\ &= \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_{G \times G} f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ &= \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) + \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \left| \int_{(G \times G) \setminus \Delta} f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h)^m f_h(\tau_g)^m| \right\} \\ &= \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} f_g(\tau_g)^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Whenever $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$,

$$|\mu(G)|^2 \leq \left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right| \max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)|^m \right\}.$$

□

Corollary 3.4. (Higher Order Continuous p -adic Welch Bounds) Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional p -adic Hilbert space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a collection in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying following conditions.

(i) For every $x \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$ and for every $\phi \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g^{\otimes m} \rangle \phi(\tau_g^{\otimes m}) \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X})$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying

$$\int_G \langle x, \tau_g^{\otimes m} \rangle \tau_g^{\otimes m} d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{X}).$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\max \left\{ \left| \int_{\Delta} \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle^{2m} d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, g) \right|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^m \right\} \geq \frac{1}{\left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right|} \left| \int_G \langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle^m d\mu_G(g) \right|^2.$$

In particular, if $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$, then

(Higher order continuous p -adic Welch bound)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^{2m} \right\} \geq \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{\left| \binom{d+m-1}{m} \right|}.$$

Using Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 we ask the following questions.

Question 3.5. Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional p -adic Banach space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For which locally compact group G with measurable diagonal Δ , there exist a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ and a collection $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathcal{X}^*$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g(x) \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_G f_g(x) \tau_g d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(iv) $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(v)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| \right\} = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

(vi) $\|f_g\| = 1, \|\tau_g\| = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Question 3.6. Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{X}$ be a d -dimensional p -adic Hilbert space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For which locally compact group G with measurable diagonal Δ , there exists a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\phi \in \mathcal{X}^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathcal{X}$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_G \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \tau_g d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(iv) $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(v)

$$\max \left\{ |(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)|, \sup_{g, h \in G, g \neq h} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 \right\} = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}.$$

(vi) $\|\tau_g\| = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

A particular case of Questions 3.5 and 3.6 are following continuous p -adic Zauner conjectures.

Conjecture 3.7. (Continuous p -adic Functional Zauner Conjecture) Let p be a prime. Given locally compact group G and for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ (w.r.t. any non-Archimedean norm) and a collection $\{f_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $(\mathbb{Q}_p^d)^*$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d$ and for every $\phi \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^d)^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto f_g(x) \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_G f_g(x) \tau_g d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |f_g(\tau_h) f_h(\tau_g)| d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(iv) $f_g(\tau_g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(v)

$$|(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)| = |f_g(\tau_h)f_h(\tau_g)| = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}, \quad \forall g, h \in G, g \neq h.$$

(vi) $\|f_g\| = 1, \|\tau_g\| = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Conjecture 3.8. (*Continuous p -adic Zauner Conjecture*) Let p be a prime. Given locally compact group G and for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a collection $\{\tau_g\}_{g \in G}$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p^d$ satisfying the following.

(i) For every $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d$ and for every $\phi \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^d)^*$, the map

$$G \ni g \mapsto \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \phi(\tau_g) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d$$

is measurable and integrable.

(ii) There exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that

$$\int_G \langle x, \tau_g \rangle \tau_g d\mu_G(g) = bx, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^d.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{G \times G} |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 d(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(g, h) < \infty.$$

(iv) $\langle \tau_g, \tau_g \rangle = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

(v)

$$|(\mu_G \times \mu_G)(\Delta)| = |\langle \tau_g, \tau_h \rangle|^2 = \frac{|\mu(G)|^2}{|d|}, \quad \forall g, h \in G, g \neq h.$$

(vi) $\|\tau_g\| = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem 2.11, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 give the following problems.

Question 3.9. Whether there is a continuous p -adic functional version of Theorem 2.11? In particular, does there exists a version of

- (i) continuous p -adic functional Bukh-Cox bound?
- (ii) continuous p -adic functional Orthoplex/Rankin bound?
- (iii) continuous p -adic functional Levenstein bound?
- (iv) continuous p -adic functional Exponential bound?

Question 3.10. Whether there is a continuous p -adic version of Theorem 2.11? In particular, does there exists a version of

- (i) continuous p -adic Bukh-Cox bound?
- (ii) continuous p -adic Orthoplex/Rankin bound?
- (iii) continuous p -adic Levenstein bound?
- (iv) continuous p -adic Exponential bound?

REFERENCES

- [1] W. O. Alltop. Complex sequences with low periodic correlations. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 26(3):350–354, 1980.
- [2] D. M. Appleby. Symmetric informationally complete-positive operator valued measures and the extended Clifford group. *J. Math. Phys.*, 46(5):052107, 29, 2005.

- [3] Marcus Appleby, Ingemar Bengtsson, Steven Flammia, and Dardo Goyeneche. Tight frames, Hadamard matrices and Zauner’s conjecture. *J. Phys. A*, 52(29):295301, 26, 2019.
- [4] Marcus Appleby, Steven Flammia, Gary McConnell, and Jon Yard. SICs and algebraic number theory. *Found. Phys.*, 47(8):1042–1059, 2017.
- [5] Marcus Appleby, Steven Flammia, Gary McConnell, and Jon Yard. Generating ray class fields of real quadratic fields via complex equiangular lines. *Acta Arith.*, 192(3):211–233, 2020.
- [6] Waheed U. Bajwa, Robert Calderbank, and Dustin G. Mixon. Two are better than one: fundamental parameters of frame coherence. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 33(1):58–78, 2012.
- [7] Igor Balla, Felix Draxler, Peter Keevash, and Benny Sudakov. Equiangular lines and spherical codes in Euclidean space. *Invent. Math.*, 211(1):179–212, 2018.
- [8] Alexander Barg and Wei-Hsuan Yu. New bounds for equiangular lines. In *Discrete geometry and algebraic combinatorics*, volume 625 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 111–121. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [9] John J. Benedetto and Matthew Fickus. Finite normalized tight frames. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 18(2-4):357–385, 2003.
- [10] Ingemar Bengtsson. The number behind the simplest SIC-POVM. *Found. Phys.*, 47(8):1031–1041, 2017.
- [11] Ingemar Bengtsson. SICs: some explanations. *Found. Phys.*, 50(12):1794–1808, 2020.
- [12] Ingemar Bengtsson and Karol Zyczkowski. On discrete structures in finite Hilbert spaces. *arXiv:1701.07902v1 [quant-ph]*, 26 January 2017.
- [13] Cristiano Bocci and Luca Chiantini. *An introduction to algebraic statistics with tensors*, volume 118 of *Univext*. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [14] Bernhard G. Bodmann and John Haas. Frame potentials and the geometry of frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 21(6):1344–1383, 2015.
- [15] Boris Bukh. Bounds on equiangular lines and on related spherical codes. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 30(1):549–554, 2016.
- [16] Boris Bukh and Christopher Cox. Nearly orthogonal vectors and small antipodal spherical codes. *Israel J. Math.*, 238(1):359–388, 2020.
- [17] A. R. Calderbank, P. J. Cameron, W. M. Kantor, and J. J. Seidel. Z_4 -Kerdock codes, orthogonal spreads, and extremal Euclidean line-sets. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 75(2):436–480, 1997.
- [18] Peter G. Casazza, Matthew Fickus, Jelena Kovačević, Manuel T. Leon, and Janet C. Tremain. A physical interpretation of tight frames. In *Harmonic analysis and applications*, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., pages 51–76. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [19] Ole Christensen, Somantika Datta, and Rae Young Kim. Equiangular frames and generalizations of the Welch bound to dual pairs of frames. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 68(12):2495–2505, 2020.
- [20] Henry Cohn, Abhinav Kumar, and Gregory Minton. Optimal simplices and codes in projective spaces. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(3):1289–1357, 2016.
- [21] Pierre Comon, Gene Golub, Lek-Heng Lim, and Bernard Mourrain. Symmetric tensors and symmetric tensor rank. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 30(3):1254–1279, 2008.
- [22] John H. Conway, Ronald H. Hardin, and Neil J. A. Sloane. Packing lines, planes, etc.: packings in Grassmannian spaces. *Experiment. Math.*, 5(2):139–159, 1996.
- [23] G. Coutinho, C. Godsil, H. Shirazi, and H. Zhan. Equiangular lines and covers of the complete graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 488:264–283, 2016.
- [24] S. Datta, S. Howard, and D. Cochran. Geometry of the Welch bounds. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 437(10):2455–2470, 2012.
- [25] Somantika Datta. Welch bounds for cross correlation of subspaces and generalizations. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 64(8):1484–1497, 2016.
- [26] D. de Caen. Large equiangular sets of lines in Euclidean space. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 7:Research Paper 55, 3, 2000.
- [27] Cunsheng Ding and Tao Feng. Codebooks from almost difference sets. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 46(1):113–126, 2008.
- [28] M. Ehler and K. A. Okoudjou. Minimization of the probabilistic p -frame potential. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 142(3):645–659, 2012.
- [29] Yonina C. Eldar and Gitta Kutyniok, editors. *Compressed sensing : Theory and application*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [30] Boumediene Et-Taoui. Quaternionic equiangular lines. *Adv. Geom.*, 20(2):273–284, 2020.
- [31] Matthew Fickus, John Jasper, and Dustin G. Mixon. Packings in real projective spaces. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.*, 2(3):377–409, 2018.

- [32] Simon Foucart and Holger Rauhut. *A mathematical introduction to compressive sensing*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [33] Hoang M.C. Fuchs, C.A. and B.C Stacey. The SIC question: History and state of play. *Axioms*, 6(3), 2017.
- [34] Alexey Glazyrin and Wei-Hsuan Yu. Upper bounds for s -distance sets and equiangular lines. *Adv. Math.*, 330:810–833, 2018.
- [35] Chris Godsil and Aidan Roy. Equiangular lines, mutually unbiased bases, and spin models. *European J. Combin.*, 30(1):246–262, 2009.
- [36] Gilad Gour and Amir Kalev. Construction of all general symmetric informationally complete measurements. *J. Phys. A*, 47(33):335302, 14, 2014.
- [37] Gary Greaves, Jacobus H. Koolen, Akihiro Munemasa, and Ferenc Szollosi. Equiangular lines in Euclidean spaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 138:208–235, 2016.
- [38] Gary R. W. Greaves, Joseph W. Iverson, John Jasper, and Dustin G. Mixon. Frames over finite fields: basic theory and equiangular lines in unitary geometry. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 77:Paper No. 101954, 41, 2022.
- [39] Gary R. W. Greaves, Joseph W. Iverson, John Jasper, and Dustin G. Mixon. Frames over finite fields: equiangular lines in orthogonal geometry. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 639:50–80, 2022.
- [40] Gary R. W. Greaves, Jevan Syatriadi, and Pavlo Yatsyna. Equiangular lines in low dimensional Euclidean spaces. *Combinatorica*, 41(6):839–872, 2021.
- [41] John I. Haas, Nathaniel Hammen, and Dustin G. Mixon. The Levenstein bound for packings in projective spaces. *Proceedings, volume 10394, Wavelets and Sparsity XVII, SPIE Optical Engineering+Applications, San Diego, California, United States of America*, 2017.
- [42] Marina Haikin, Ram Zamir, and Matan Gavish. Frame moments and Welch bound with erasures. *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2057–2061, 2018.
- [43] Pawel Horodecki, Lukasz Rudnicki, and Karol Zyczkowski. Five open problems in theory of quantum information. *arXiv:2002.03233v2 [quant-ph]*, 21 December 2020.
- [44] Joseph W. Iverson and Dustin G. Mixon. Doubly transitive lines I: Higman pairs and roux. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 185:Paper No. 105540, 47, 2022.
- [45] John Jasper, Emily J. King, and Dustin G. Mixon. Game of Sloanes: best known packings in complex projective space. *Proc. SPIE 11138, Wavelets and Sparsity XVIII*, 2019.
- [46] Zilin Jiang and Alexandr Polyanskii. Forbidden subgraphs for graphs of bounded spectral radius, with applications to equiangular lines. *Israel J. Math.*, 236(1):393–421, 2020.
- [47] Zilin Jiang, Jonathan Tidor, Yuan Yao, Shengtong Zhang, and Yufei Zhao. Equiangular lines with a fixed angle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 194(3):729–743, 2021.
- [48] Gene S. Kopp. SIC-POVMs and the Stark Conjectures. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (18):13812–13838, 2021.
- [49] J. Kovacevic and A. Chebira. Life beyond bases: The advent of frames (part I). *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 24(4):86–104, 2007.
- [50] J. Kovacevic and A. Chebira. Life beyond bases: The advent of frames (part II). *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 24(5):115–125, 2007.
- [51] K. Mahesh Krishna. Continuous Welch bounds with applications. *arXiv:2109.09296v1 [FA]*, 20 September 2021.
- [52] K. Mahesh Krishna. Discrete and continuous Welch bounds for Banach spaces with applications. *arXiv:2201.00980v1 [math.FA]* 4 January, 2022.
- [53] K. Mahesh Krishna. Modular Welch bounds with applications. *arXiv:2201.00319v1 [OA]* 2 January, 2022.
- [54] K. Mahesh Krishna. Non-Archimedean and p -adic functional Welch bounds. *Preprints:10.20944/preprints202209.0005.v1*, 1 September, 2022.
- [55] K. Mahesh Krishna. Non-Archimedean Welch bounds and non-Archimedean Zauner conjecture. *Preprints:10.20944/preprints202208.0492.v1*, 29 August, 2022.
- [56] K. Mahesh Krishna. p -adic Welch bounds and p -adic Zauner conjecture. *Zenodo:10.5281/zenodo.703662931.v1*, 31 August, 2022.
- [57] P. W. H. Lemmens and J. J. Seidel. Equiangular lines. *J. Algebra*, 24:494–512, 1973.
- [58] M. Maxino and Dustin G. Mixon. Biangular Gabor frames and Zauner’s conjecture. In *Wavelets and Sparsity XVIII*. 2019.
- [59] Dustin G. Mixon, Christopher J. Quinn, Negar Kiyavash, and Matthew Fickus. Fingerprinting with equiangular tight frames. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 59(3):1855–1865, 2013.

- [60] Dustin G. Mixon and James Solazzo. A short introduction to optimal line packings. *College Math. J.*, 49(2):82–91, 2018.
- [61] K.K. Mukkavilli, A. Sabharwal, E. Erkip, and B. Aazhang. On beamforming with finite rate feedback in multiple-antenna systems. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 49(10):2562–2579, 2003.
- [62] A. Neumaier. Graph representations, two-distance sets, and equiangular lines. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 114/115:141–156, 1989.
- [63] Takayuki Okuda and Wei-Hsuan Yu. A new relative bound for equiangular lines and nonexistence of tight spherical designs of harmonic index 4. *European J. Combin.*, 53:96–103, 2016.
- [64] C. Perez-Garcia and W. H. Schikhof. *Locally convex spaces over non-Archimedean valued fields*, volume 119 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [65] R. A. Rankin. The closest packing of spherical caps in n dimensions. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.*, 2:139–144, 1955.
- [66] Joseph M. Renes, Robin Blume-Kohout, A. J. Scott, and Carlton M. Caves. Symmetric informationally complete quantum measurements. *J. Math. Phys.*, 45(6):2171–2180, 2004.
- [67] Dick Ray Rogers. *Riemann p -adic integration*. Thesis (Educad.D.)—Oklahoma State University, 1972.
- [68] C. Rose, S. Ulukus, and R. D. Yates. Wireless systems and interference avoidance. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 1(3):415–428, 2002.
- [69] Moshe Rosenfeld. In praise of the Gram matrix. In *The mathematics of Paul Erdős, II*, volume 14 of *Algorithms Combin.*, pages 318–323. Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [70] Dilip V. Sarwate. Bounds on crosscorrelation and autocorrelation of sequences. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 25(6):720–724, 1979.
- [71] Dilip V. Sarwate. Meeting the Welch bound with equality. In *Sequences and their applications (Singapore, 1998)*, Springer Ser. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., pages 79–102. Springer, London, 1999.
- [72] Karin Schnass and Pierre Vanderghenst. Dictionary preconditioning for greedy algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 56(5):1994–2002, 2008.
- [73] A. J. Scott. Tight informationally complete quantum measurements. *J. Phys. A*, 39(43):13507–13530, 2006.
- [74] A. J. Scott and M. Grassl. Symmetric informationally complete positive-operator-valued measures: a new computer study. *J. Math. Phys.*, 51(4):042203, 16, 2010.
- [75] Mojtaba Soltanalian, Mohammad Mahdi Naghsh, and Petre Stoica. On meeting the peak correlation bounds. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 62(5):1210–1220, 2014.
- [76] Thomas Strohmer and Robert W. Heath, Jr. Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 14(3):257–275, 2003.
- [77] Mátyás A. Sustik, Joel A. Tropp, Inderjit S. Dhillon, and Robert W. Heath, Jr. On the existence of equiangular tight frames. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 426(2-3):619–635, 2007.
- [78] Yan Shuo Tan. Energy optimization for distributions on the sphere and improvement to the Welch bounds. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 22:Paper No. 43, 12, 2017.
- [79] Joel A. Tropp. Greed is good: algorithmic results for sparse approximation. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 50(10):2231–2242, 2004.
- [80] Joel A. Tropp, Inderjit S. Dhillon, Robert W. Heath, Jr., and Thomas Strohmer. Designing structured tight frames via an alternating projection method. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 51(1):188–209, 2005.
- [81] M. Vidyasagar. *An introduction to compressed sensing*, volume 22 of *Computational Science & Engineering*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2020.
- [82] Shayne Waldron. Generalized Welch bound equality sequences are tight frames. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 49(9):2307–2309, 2003.
- [83] Shayne Waldron. A sharpening of the Welch bounds and the existence of real and complex spherical t -designs. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 63(11):6849–6857, 2017.
- [84] L. Welch. Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 20(3):397–399, 1974.
- [85] Pengfei Xia, Shengli Zhou, and Georgios B. Giannakis. Achieving the Welch bound with difference sets. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 51(5):1900–1907, 2005.
- [86] Pengfei Xia, Shengli Zhou, and Georgios B. Giannakis. Correction to: “Achieving the Welch bound with difference sets” [IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 51 (2005), no. 5, 1900–1907]. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(7):3359, 2006.

- [87] Wei-Hsuan Yu. New bounds for equiangular lines and spherical two-distance sets. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 31(2):908–917, 2017.
- [88] Gerhard Zauner. Quantum designs: foundations of a noncommutative design theory. *Int. J. Quantum Inf.*, 9(1):445–507, 2011.