Muhammad Ali 1 and Sara Aziz 1 $^1{\rm National~University}$ of Computer and Emerging Sciences January 25, 2024

A Journey into the Inverse Problem of Diffusion-Wave Equation Equipped with Nonlocal Damping and Samarskii-Ionkin Boundary

Conditions

Sara Aziz, Muhammad Ali

National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences Islamabad, Pakistan

Abstract

This paper explores the extraction of the temporal source term in the fractional diffusion-wave

equation. Addressing a complex inverse problem, it incorporates a non-local damping term featuring

a two parameter Mittag-Leffler type function and a set of Samarskii-Ionkin boundary conditions. To

validate the solution's existence, we establish estimates for infinite series involving the convolution

of a three parameter Mittag-Leffler function. Our research contributes valuable insights at the

intersection of mathematical analysis and fractional calculus providing a robust foundation for

understanding and solving complex problems in this domain.

Keywords: Caputo fractional derivative, Non-self-adjoint operator, Bi-orthogonal systems, Three

parameter Mittag-Leffler function

2010 MSC: 00-01, 99-00

1. Introduction and Problem Formulation

Integro-differential fractional operators have attracted the attention of scientists due to their vast

applications in the diverse field of engineering and sciences. Mathematicians who played their role

in the theoretical development of fractional calculus and pioneers who initiated its first applications

are nicely summarized in [1]. In a review article [2], authors have gathered some novel applications

of non-integer (fractional) order operators. The nonlocal nature of the fractional operator is the

main reason for the success of these operators, as they are ideal to model memory dependent

phenomenon that arise frequently in physical processes.

When we talk about any physical system, some factors are compelling the system to produce

certain effects, the inverse problem is the study of these effects, such problems arise in many cutting-

Preprint submitted to Journal of LATEX Templates

January 25, 2024

edge applications where required information can not be obtained from direct measurements. There are numerous applications of inverse problems e.g., magnetic resonance imaging [3, 4], medical imaging [5], computerized tomography [6], signal processing [7] and many other applications [8, 9].

Let us provide a brief literature review on the inverse problems associated with the fractional differential equations. In [10], authors discussed two inverse problems one inverse evolutionary problem and other inverse source problem for time fractional differential equation, while the inverse coefficient problem and inverse source problem are considered in [11] and [12], respectively. Usually, the reconstruction of the spectral source term is based on the overdetermination condition at final time [13, 14], while the temporal source term is reconstructed from additional boundary measurements [15] or from integral type condition [16],[17]. Investigation of the inverse spectral problems for the fractional diffusion equation was done by Tuan in [18, 19].

The partial differential equations involving nonlocal damping terms arise in different fields of sciences such as fiberglass, boron, and graphite composites [20, 21]. Non-Existence of the fractionally damped fractional differential problem is considered in [22]. Our current research investigation is motivated by the study of [23] in which they presented the analytical solution to the direct problem associated with fractional diffusion-wave equation involving nonlocal damping.

We are going to investigate the inverse problem for the following time fractional diffusion-wave equation involving nonlocal damping:

$${}^{c}D_{0_{+},t}^{\beta}u(x,t) + \mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * u_{xx}(x,t) = u_{xx}(x,t) + a(t)f(x,t), \tag{1.1}$$

associated with the nonlocal family of boundary datum

$$u(0,t) = 0, \ u_x(0,t) = u_x(1,t) + \alpha u(1,t), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad t \in (0,T],$$
 (1.2)

the initial conditions

15

$$u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \qquad u_t(x,0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (0,1),$$
 (1.3)

and the overdetermination condition

$$\int_0^1 u(x,t)dx = h(t), \quad t \in (0,T], \tag{1.4}$$

where $(x,t) \in \Pi := (0,1) \times (0,T]$, ${}^cD_{0_+,t}^{\beta}$ represents left-sided β ordered Caputo fractional derivative; $1 < \beta < 2, \, \mu > 0, \, 0 < \gamma < \xi < 1$, and "*" represents the Laplace convolution.

- The main characteristics of this research article are as follows:
 - For $\mu = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, the Equation (1.1) reduces to the classical diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,t) = u_{xx}(x,t) + a(t)f(x,t),$$

with the initial condition

45

$$u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \quad x \in (0,1),$$

overdetermination condition given by (1.4).

• While for $\mu = 0$ and $\beta = 2$, it represents the integer order wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x,t) - u_{xx}(x,t) = a(t)f(x,t),$$

- alongside the initial and overdetermination conditions given by (1.3) and (1.4) respectively.
 - Presence of nonzero parameter α in the boundary data.
 - Nonlocality of one of the boundary conditions makes the respective spectral operator non-self adjoint.
 - The presence of $e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * u_{xx}(x,t)$ represents the nonlocal damping term where $e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega)$ represents the Mittag-Leffler type function.

Our main focus is on the recovery of the component of the source term, depending only on time, in the model (1.1)-(1.3), from extra data (1.4). Hence, our main aim is to prove the existence and uniqueness of the regular solution of the inverse problem (1.1)-(1.4). The regular solution represents a pair $\{u(x,t), a(t)\}$, such that $u \in C_{x,t}^{2,0}(\Pi)$, ${}^cD_{0_+,t}^{\beta}u \in C(\Pi)$, $e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * u_{xx}(x,t) \in C(\Pi)$ and $a(t) \in C(0,T]$.

The rest of the manuscript is structured as: we state the basic definitions of fractional calculus, Prabhakar-type Mittag-Leffler function and related basic results in the Section 2. Results related to the Sturm-Liouville problem are presented in the Section 3. In the Section 4, the construction of the solution is presented followed by existence and uniqueness results. Number of lemmata involving Prabhakar-type Mittag-Leffler and their convolution are also proved at the start of Section 4. Numeric examples are presented in the Section 5 to support our analysis. The Section 6 is devoted to concluding remarks.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1. [24] For $-\infty < a < z < b < \infty$, $m-1 < \sigma \le m$ and $g \in AC^m(a,b)$ where $m = \lceil \sigma \rceil$, then the left sided σ ordered Caputo fractional derivative of is

$$^{c}D_{a_{+},z}^{\sigma}g(z):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\sigma)}\int_{a}^{z}\frac{g^{(m)}(\tau)}{(z-\tau)^{1-m+\sigma}}d\tau.$$

Definition 2. [25] The Prabhakar generalized Mittag-Leffler function is defined as

$$E^{\rho}_{\sigma,\eta}(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho)_j}{j! \; \Gamma(\sigma j + \eta)} z^j, \qquad \sigma > 0, \; \eta > 0, \; \rho > 0, \; z, \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $(\rho)_j$ represents the Pochhammer symbol and defined as $(\rho)_j := \frac{\Gamma(\rho+j)}{\Gamma(\rho)}$.

For $\rho = 1$,

$$E_{\sigma,\eta}^1(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma j + \eta)} z^j := E_{\sigma,\eta}(z).$$

For $\rho = 1 = \eta$,

$$E_{\sigma,1}^{1}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma j + 1)} z^{j} := E_{\sigma}(z).$$

For the sake of convenience, we define the following notion

$$\mathcal{E}^{\rho}_{\sigma,\eta}(z;\nu) := z^{\eta-1} E^{\rho}_{\sigma,\eta}(-\nu z^{\sigma}),$$

for $\rho = 1$, we denote

$$e_{\sigma,\eta} := \mathcal{E}^1_{\sigma,\eta}(z;\nu) := z^{\eta-1} E_{\sigma,\eta}(-\nu z^{\sigma}).$$

The solution, of the system of fractional differential equations, is obtained in the Section 4.6 by using Laplace transform, hence for reference some formulae for Laplace transform are mentioned here.

•
$$\mathcal{L}(^{c}D_{0_{+},z}^{\sigma}g(z)) = s^{\sigma}\mathcal{L}(g(z)) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^{n-\sigma-1}g^{(k)}(0).$$

• For $\sigma > 0, \eta, \rho, \nu, z \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0, |s|^{\sigma} > |z|$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^{\rho}_{\sigma,\eta}(z;\nu)) = \frac{s^{\sigma\rho-\eta}}{(s^{\sigma}-z)^{\rho}}.$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.1. [26] If $g \in C[a,b]$ and K(z,y) is bounded and continuous for $a \le y \le z \le b$, then the equation

$$g(z) = g(z) + \int_0^z K(z, y)g(y)dy,$$

has one and only one bounded and continuous solution g(z) in the interval $a \le z \le b$.

3. Riesz Basis

To make the article self-contained, we are to mention spectral analysis corresponding to the system (1.1)-(1.2). Detailed analysis can be found in [27].

The spectral and its conjugate problems corresponding to (1.1)-(1.2) are

$$Y''(x) = -\lambda Y(x), \quad Y(0) = 0, \quad Y'(0) = Y'(1) + \alpha Y(1),$$
 (3.1)

$$Z''(x) = -\lambda Z, \quad Z(0) = Z(1), \quad Z'(1) + \alpha Z(1) = 0.$$
 (3.2)

Eigenvalues of (3.1) and (3.2) are

$$\lambda_0 = (2y_0)^2, \quad \lambda_{1i} = (2i\pi)^2, \quad \lambda_{2i} = (2y_i)^2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that y_i satisfies the non-linear algebraic equation $\tan(w) = \alpha/2w$.

Moreover, y_i satisfy the following inequalities

$$\pi i < y_i < \pi i + \pi/2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$
 (3.3)

Note that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{li}} < \frac{1}{i^2}, \quad l = 1, 2.$$
 (3.4)

The eigenfunctions of (3.1) and (3.2) are

$$\left\{ u_0 = \sin(2y_0 x), \quad u_{1i} = \sin(2\pi i x), \quad u_{2i} = \sin(2y_i x) \right\}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\left\{ v_0 = C_0 \cos(y_0(1-2x)), v_{1i} = C_{1i} \cos(2\pi i x + \psi_i), v_{2i} = C_{2i} \cos(y_i(1-2x)) \right\},$$
 (3.6)

respectively, where

$$\psi_i = \arctan\left(\frac{\alpha}{2i\pi}\right), \qquad C_0 = \frac{2}{\sin(y_0)\left(1 + \operatorname{sinc}(2y_0)\right)},$$

$$C_{1i} = -\frac{2}{\sin(\psi_i)}, \qquad C_{2i} = \frac{2}{\sin(y_i)\left(1 + \operatorname{sinc}(2y_i)\right)},$$

and $\operatorname{sinc}(a) := \sin(a)/a$.

The set of eigenfunction (3.5) and (3.6) are complete but not orthogonal (see [27]). The following bi-orthogonal set is constructed from eigenfunctions of (3.1) and (3.2).

$$Q_{\alpha} = \{q_0, \ q_{1i}, \ q_{2i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \qquad R_{\alpha} = \{r_0, \ r_{1i}, \ r_{2i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$q_0(x) := u_0/2y_0, \ q_{1i}(x) := \frac{u_{2i} - u_{1i}}{2\delta_i}, \ q_{2i}(x) := u_{1i},$$

$$r_0(x) := 2y_0v_0, \ r_{1i}(x) := 2\delta_i v_{2i}, \ r_{2i}(x) := v_{2i} + v_{1i},$$

and $\delta_i = y_i - \pi i$.

The sets Q_{α} and R_{α} given by 3.7 are proved to be Riesz bases of $L^{2}(0,1)$ in [27].

4. Main Results

This section is devoted to presenting the research contributions of this article. To start with we are going to prove few key lemmata that will aid in proving the regularity of the solution (1.1)-(1.4).

Lemma 4.1. The Fourier's coefficients g_{li} , obtained by using the Riesz bases (3.7), of $g(x) \in L^2(0,1)$ satisfy the following relations

• If $g \in C^1(0,1)$, we have

$$|g_{li}| \le \frac{D_1}{i} (1 + ||g'||),$$

• If $g \in C^2(0,1)$ and g(0) = 0, $g'(0) = g'(1) + \alpha g(1)$. Then, we have

$$|g_{li}| \le \frac{D_1}{i^2} ||g''||,$$

• If $g \in C^3(0,1)$ and g(0) = 0, $g'(0) = g'(1) + \alpha g(1)$. Then, we have

$$|g_{li}| \le \frac{D_1}{i^3} (1 + ||g'''||),$$

where $g_{li} = \langle g(x), r_i(x) \rangle$, $l = 1, 2, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and D_1 is a positive constant.

PROOF. We are going to give the proof of the inequalities satisfied by g_{1i} , similar relation can be established for g_{2i} .

Since

$$g_{1i} = 2\delta_i C_{2i} \int_0^1 g(x) \cos(y_i(1-2x)) dx.$$

Integration by parts implies us to

$$g_{1i} = \frac{2\delta_i C_{2i}}{i} \left\{ -g(x) \sin(y_i (1-2x)) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} + \int_0^1 g'(x) \sin(y_i (1-2x)) dx \right\},\,$$

the give condition g(0) = 0 together with integration by parts, further modifies the results as

$$g_{1i} = \frac{2\delta_i C_{2i}}{2y_i} \left\{ g(1)\sin(y_i) + \frac{\cos(y_i(1-2x))}{2y_i} g'(x) \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} - \frac{1}{2y_i} \int_0^1 g''(x) \cos(y_i(1-2x)) dx \right\}.$$

Due to the fact $\tan(y_i) = \frac{\alpha}{2y_i}$ and using the second boundary condition $g'(0) = g'(1) + \alpha g(1)$, we obtain

$$g_{1i} = -\frac{2\delta_i C_{2i}}{(2y_i)^2} \int_0^1 g''(x) \cos(y_i(1-2x)) dx,$$

again integration by parts gives

$$g_{1i} = -\frac{2\delta_i C_{2i}}{(2y_i)^3} \{ (g''(0) + g''(1)) \sin(y_i) + \int_0^1 g'''(x) \sin(y_i(1 - 2x)) dx \}.$$

Using Cauchy-Bunkovsky-Schwarz, we obtain

$$|g_{1i}| \le \frac{D_1}{i^3} (1 + ||g'''||),$$

where

110

$$D_{1} = \max\{D, 2\delta_{i}C_{2i}, 2\delta_{i}C_{2i}(|g(1)| + |g(0)|), 2\delta_{i}C_{2i} + C_{1i}, (2\delta_{i}C_{2i} + C_{1i})(|g(1)| + g(0)|)\}$$

$$2\delta_{i}C_{2i}(|g''(0)| + |g''(1)|), (2\delta_{i}C_{2i} + C_{1i})(|g''(0)| + |g''(1)|)\},$$

$$D = \max\{||r_{0}||, ||r_{1i}||, ||r_{2i}||\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. The Fourier's coefficients g_{li} , obtained by using the Riesz bases (3.7), of $g(x,t) \in L^2(\Pi)$ satisfy the following relations

• If $g \in C^{1,0}_{x,t}(\Pi)$, we have

$$|g_{li}(t)| \le \frac{D_2}{i} (1 + ||g_x||), \quad \forall \quad t \in (0, T].$$

• If $g \in C^{2,0}_{x,t}(\Pi)$ and g(0,t) = 0, $g_x(0,t) = g_x(1,t) + \alpha g(1,t)$. Then,

$$|g_{li}(t)| \le \frac{D_2}{i^2} ||g_{xx}||, \quad \forall \quad t \in (0, T].$$

• If $g \in C^{3,0}_{x,t}(\Pi)$ and g(0,t) = 0, $g_x(0,t) = g_x(1,t) + \alpha g(1,t)$. Then,

$$|g_{li}(t)| \le \frac{D_2}{i^3} (1 + ||g_{xxx}||), \quad \forall \quad t \in (0, T].$$

where $g_{li} = \langle g(x,t), r_i(x) \rangle$, $l = 1, 2, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D_2 > 0$.

PROOF. Proof can be done on the same lines as we did for the Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.3. For $\sigma > -1$, $\rho, \kappa, \eta, \nu > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$t^{\sigma} * \mathcal{E}^{\rho}_{\kappa,\eta}(t;\nu) = \Gamma(\sigma+1)\mathcal{E}^{\rho}_{\kappa,\eta+\sigma+1}(t;\nu).$$

PROOF. Above result can be obtained by using definition of convolution integral along side definition of Euler integral of first kind.

Remark 1. By taking $\sigma = 0$, we have

$$1 * \mathcal{E}^{\rho}_{\kappa,n}(t;\nu) = \mathcal{E}^{\rho}_{\kappa,n+1}(t;\nu).$$

Lemma 4.4. If $\theta, \Lambda, \nu > 0$, $0 < \kappa < \sigma < 1$, $1 < \eta < 2$, $\phi \ge 0$ and p = 0, 1 then the following triple series involving Mittag-Leffler type functions of three parameters satisfy the following inequalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi}^{k+1}(t;\nu)$$

$$\leq \frac{C_1 t^{\phi+\kappa p-1}}{1+\nu t^{\kappa} + \Lambda(\theta t^{\sigma+\eta} + \nu t^{\eta+\kappa} + t^{\eta})}.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi}^{k+1}(t;\nu)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)_j (-\nu t^{\kappa})^j t^{\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi-1}}{j! \Gamma(\kappa j + \kappa(n-m+p) + \sigma(k-n) + \eta k+\phi)}$$

125 Changing the order of summation yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_{m}^{k} C_{n} \Lambda^{k} \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi+1}^{k+1}(t;\nu)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left[\left(\frac{(-1)^{n+s-k} \Lambda^{k} \nu^{n-m+s-k} \theta^{k-n} \Gamma(s+1)(k+1)_{s-k}}{\Gamma(s-k+1) \Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(n-m+1) \Gamma(k-n+1)} \right) \left(\frac{t^{\kappa(s-k)+\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa(s-k)+\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi)} \right) \right]. \tag{4.1}$$

Since $m \le n \le k \le s \implies n-m+p \ge 0, k-n \ge 0, s-k \ge 0$, and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is an increasing function.

So, we can write

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa(s-k)+\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi)}<\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa s+\phi)},$$

so, Equation (4.1), takes the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{n+s-k} \Lambda^k \nu^{n+s-k} \theta^{k-n} t^{\kappa(s-k)+\kappa(n+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi-1} \Gamma(s+1)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(s-k+1) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(k-n+1) \Gamma(\kappa s+\phi)} \right)$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \nu^{-m} t^{-\kappa m}}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(n-m+1)} \right).$$

By using the fact that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{\Gamma(l+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(l-j+1)} r^j = (1+r)^l, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi+1}^{k+1}(t;\nu)$$

$$\leq t^{\phi+\kappa p-1} E_{\kappa,\phi} \left(\Lambda \theta t^{\sigma+\eta} - \nu t^{\kappa} - \Lambda \nu t^{\eta+\kappa} - \Lambda t^{\eta} \right) \leq t^{\phi+\kappa p-1} E_{\kappa,\phi} \left(\Lambda \theta t^{\sigma+\eta} + \nu t^{\kappa} + \Lambda \nu t^{\eta+\kappa-1} + \Lambda t^{\eta} \right)$$

By using Theorem 1.6 of [28], we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi+1}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \\ &\leq \frac{C_1 t^{\phi+\kappa p-1}}{1+\Lambda \theta t^{\sigma+\eta} + \nu t^{\kappa} + \Lambda \nu t^{\eta+\kappa} + \Lambda t^{\eta}}, \end{split}$$

as required.

Lemma 4.5. If $\theta, \Lambda, \nu > 0$, $0 < \kappa < \sigma < 1$, $1 < \eta < 2$, $\phi \ge 0$ and p = 0, 1 then the convolution of following triple series involving three parameter Mittag-Leffler type functions with two parameter Mittag-Leffler type function satisfy the following inequalities

$$\begin{split} &e_{\kappa,\sigma}(t;\nu) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \Lambda^k \nu^{n-m} \theta^{k-n} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p)+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(\sigma) C_1^2 t^{\phi+\kappa p+\sigma-1}}{1+\nu t^{\kappa} + \Lambda(\theta t^{\sigma+\eta} + \nu t^{\eta+\kappa} + t^{\eta})}, \end{split}$$

Proof. Required inequalities can be obtained by using Lemma 4.3 followed by Lemma 4.4.

The direct consequence of the Lemma 4.4, is following remark:

Remark 2. If $\theta, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \nu > 0$, $0 < \kappa < \sigma < 1$, $1 < \eta < 2$, $\phi_1, \phi_2 \ge 0$ and $p_j = 0, 1$, j = 1, 2 then the triple series involving the convolution of Prabhakar-type Mittag-Leffler function satisfy the following inequalities

(a)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\Lambda_{1},\nu,\theta}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\Lambda_{2},\nu,\theta}^{k,n,m} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p_{1})+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi_{1}}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \right) \right] \times \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p_{2})+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi_{2}}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \right] \leq \frac{C_{1}^{2} \Gamma(\phi_{2}+\kappa p_{2}) t^{\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}+\kappa p_{1}+\kappa p_{2}}}{1+\nu t^{\kappa} + \Lambda_{2}(\theta t^{\sigma+\eta} + \nu t^{\eta+\kappa} + t^{\eta})},$$

(b)
$$e_{\kappa,\sigma}(t;\nu) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\Lambda,\nu,\theta}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\Lambda,\nu,\theta}^{k,n,m} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p_{1})+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi_{1}}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \right) \right]$$

$$* \mathcal{E}_{\kappa,\kappa(n-m+p_{2})+\sigma(k-n)+\eta k+\phi_{2}}^{k+1}(t;\nu) \right] \leq \frac{C_{1}^{3} \Gamma(\sigma) \Gamma(\phi_{2}+\kappa p_{2}) t^{\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}+\kappa p_{1}+\kappa p_{2}+\sigma-1}}{1+\nu t^{\kappa} + \Lambda_{2}(\theta t^{\sigma+\eta} + \nu t^{\eta+\kappa} + t^{\eta})},$$

where $\Delta_{\Lambda,\nu,\theta}^{k,n,m}:=(-1)^{nn}C_m{}^kC_n\Lambda^k\nu^{n-m}\theta^{k-n}.$

4.6. Regularity of the solution

In this subsection, we will state the optimum conditions that will ensure the unique existence of the regular solution.

Theorem 1. If the given data $\{\varphi, f, g\}$ satisfy the following regularity constraints

- $\varphi \in C^3(0,1)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) = \varphi'(1) + \alpha \varphi(1)$,
- $f \in C^{3,0}_{x,t}(\Pi)$ such that f(0,t) = 0, $f_x(0,t) = f_x(1,t) + \alpha f(1,t)$.

 Moreover,

$$\langle f(x,t), r_0(x) \rangle \neq 0.$$

• $g(t) \in AC(0,T]$, such that $g(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi(x)dx$

the unique solution of the inverse source problem (1.1)-(1.4) is regular in nature

PROOF. The proof of the theorem consists of three parts. First part contains the construction of the solution then we are going to present existence results and finally we are going to conclude the proof with uniqueness results.

55 Construction of the Solution

The unknown function u(x,t) and the given part of source term f(x,t) can be written as:

$$u(x,t) = T_0(t)q_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left[T_{1i}(t)q_{1i}(x) + T_{2i}(t)q_{2i}(x) \right], \tag{4.2}$$

$$f(x,t) = f_0(t)q_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left[f_{1i}(t)q_{1i}(x) + f_{2i}(t)q_{2i}(x) \right], \tag{4.3}$$

where $T_0(t)$, $T_{1i}(t)$, $T_{2i}(t)$, $f_0(t)$, $f_{1i}(t)$ and $f_{2i}(t)$ are to be determined by solving the following differential equations of fractional order:

$${}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}T_{0}(t) = -\lambda_{0}T_{0}(t) + \lambda_{0}\mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * T_{0}(t) + a(t)f_{0}(t), \tag{4.4}$$

$${}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}T_{1i}(t) = -\lambda_{2i}T_{1i}(t) + \lambda_{2i}\mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * T_{1i}(t) + a(t)f_{1i}(t), \tag{4.5}$$

$${}^{c}D_{0_{+},t}^{\beta}T_{2i}(t) = -\lambda_{1i}T_{2i}(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2i} - \lambda_{1i}}{2\delta_{i}}T_{1i}(t) + \lambda_{1i}\mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * T_{2i}(t) + a(t)f_{2i}(t). \tag{4.6}$$

By using Laplace transform, we obtained the following expression for (4.4)

$$\begin{split} s^{\beta}\mathcal{L}\{T_{0}(t)\} - s^{\beta-1}T_{0}(0) - s^{\beta-2}T_{0}'(0) &= -\lambda_{0}\mathcal{L}\{T_{0}(t)\} + \frac{\mathcal{L}\{T_{0}(t)\}\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\gamma-\xi}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega} + \mathcal{L}\{a(t)f_{0}(t)\} \\ \mathcal{L}\{T_{0}(t)\} &= \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\xi-\gamma}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\varphi_{0} + \frac{s^{\beta-2}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\xi-\gamma}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\psi_{0} + \frac{\mathcal{L}\{a(t)f_{0}(t)\}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\gamma-\xi}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}. \end{split}$$

160 By taking the inverse Laplace transform, we get

$$T_{0}(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{s^{\beta-1}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\xi-\gamma}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\varphi_{0}\right) + \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{s^{\beta-2}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\xi-\gamma}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\psi_{0}\right) + \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}\{a(t)f_{0}(t)\}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\gamma-\xi}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\right).$$

Consider

$$\begin{split} \frac{s^{\beta-1}}{s^{\beta}+\lambda_0-\frac{\lambda_0\mu s^{\gamma-\xi}}{s^{\gamma}+\omega}} &= \frac{s^{\beta+\gamma-1}+\omega s^{\beta-1}}{s^{\beta}(s^{\gamma}+\omega)\left\{1+\frac{s^{\gamma}\lambda_0+\omega\lambda_0-\lambda_0\mu s^{\gamma-\xi}}{s^{\beta}(s^{\gamma}+\omega)}\right\}} \\ &= (s^{\beta+\gamma-1}+\omega s^{\beta-1})\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{n}\frac{\Delta_{\lambda_0,\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m}s^{\gamma m+(\gamma-\xi)(k-n)}}{s^{\beta(k+1)}(s^{\gamma}+\omega)^{k+1}}. \end{split}$$

Using the Equation (2.1), we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{s^{\beta-1}}{s^{\beta}+\lambda_{0}-\frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\gamma-\xi}}{(s^{\gamma}+\omega)}}\right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=0}^{n}\Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k+1}^{k+1}(t;\omega)\right) + \omega\mathcal{E}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m+1)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k+1}^{k+1}(t;\omega)\right).$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{s^{\beta}}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\gamma - \xi}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\right\} = \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k}^{k+1}(t;\omega) + \omega\mathcal{E}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m+1)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k}^{k+1}(t;\omega)\right).$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{s^{\beta} + \lambda_{0} - \frac{\lambda_{0}\mu s^{\gamma - \xi}}{s^{\gamma} + \omega}}\right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \mathcal{E}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta(k+1)}^{k+1}(t;\omega).$$

Hence, the solution of (4.4) takes the form

$$T_{0}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{0} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{0} + a(t) f_{0}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right],$$

$$(4.7)$$

Similarly, solution of (4.5) and (4.6) are given by

$$T_{1i}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{1i} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{1i} + a(t) f_{1i}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right],$$

$$(4.8)$$

$$T_{2i}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{2i} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{2i} + a(t) f_{2i}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \frac{\lambda_{2i} - \lambda_{1i}}{2\delta_{i}} T_{1i}(t) * \left(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \right],$$

$$(4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{A}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k+2}(t;\omega), & \mathcal{B}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta(k+1)}(t;\omega), \\ &\mathcal{C}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k+1}(t;\omega), & \mathcal{D}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m)+\xi(k-n)+\beta(k+1)+1}(t;\omega), \\ &\mathcal{F}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m+1)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k+2}(t;\omega), & \mathcal{G}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m+1)+\xi(k-n)+\beta(k+1)}(t;\omega), \\ &\mathcal{H}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m+1)+\xi(k-n)+\beta k+1}(t;\omega), & \mathcal{I}^{t;\omega}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta} := \mathcal{E}^{k+1}_{\gamma,\gamma(n-m+1)+\xi(k-n)+\beta(k+1)+1}(t;\omega). \end{split}$$

Hence, u(x,t) can be written as

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left[\Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{0} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{0} \right. \\ + a(t) f_{0}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right\} \frac{\sin(2y_{0}x)}{2y_{0}} + \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{1i} \right. \\ - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{1i} + a(t) f_{1i}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right\} \frac{\sin(2y_{i}x) - \sin(2\pi ix)}{2\delta_{i}} \\ + \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{2i} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{2i} + a(t) f_{2i}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right. \\ + \frac{\lambda_{2i} - \lambda_{1i}}{2\delta_{i}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{1i} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{1i} \right. \\ + a(t) f_{1i}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right] * \left(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \right\} \sin(2\pi i x) \right].$$

$$(4.10)$$

To determine a(t), we will make use of the Equation 1.4, we can have

$$\int_0^1 {}^c D_{0_+,t}^\beta u(x,t) dx = {}^c D_{0_+,t}^\beta g(t). \tag{4.11}$$

By using (1.1), we have

$$a(t) = \left(\int_0^1 f(x,t)dx\right)^{-1} \left({}^c D_{0_+,t}^\beta g(t) + \alpha u(1,t) + \mu \int_0^1 e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * u_{xx}(x,t)dx\right),\tag{4.12}$$

170 where

$$u(1,t) = \alpha \frac{\sin 2y_0}{2y_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_0,\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_0 - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_0 \right\}$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha \frac{\sin 2y_i}{2\delta_i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \varphi_{1i} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \psi_{1i} \right\}.$$

The Equation (4.12) can be written as

$$a(t) = \mathcal{F}(t) + \int_0^t K(t, \tau) a(\tau) d\tau. \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x,t)dx\right)^{-1} \left[{}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}g(t) + \alpha \frac{\sin 2y_{0}}{2y_{0}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \right.$$

$$\times \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}\right) \varphi_{0} - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}\right) \psi_{0} \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha \frac{\sin 2y_{i}}{2\delta_{i}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left\{ \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}\right) \varphi_{1i} \right.$$

$$- \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}\right) \psi_{1i} \right\}$$

$$+ \mu \left\{ e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}\right) \right.$$

$$\times \left. \left(- 2\varphi_{0}y_{0}\sin^{2}y_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(- 2\varphi_{1i}y_{i}\frac{\sin^{2}\delta_{i}}{\delta_{i}} \right) \right) \right.$$

$$+ e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}\right)$$

$$\times \left. \left(- 2\psi_{0}y_{0}\sin^{2}y_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(- 2y_{i}\psi_{1i}\frac{\sin^{2}\delta_{i}}{\delta_{i}} \right) \right) \right\} \right], \tag{4.14}$$

$$K(t,\tau) = \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x,t)dx\right)^{-1} \left[f_{0}(\tau)y_{0}sin^{2}y_{0}\int_{0}^{t-\tau} e_{\gamma,\xi}(t-\tau-s;\omega)\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{s;\omega}ds + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{1i}(\tau)y_{i}\frac{\sin^{2}\delta_{i}}{\delta_{i}}\int_{0}^{t-\tau} e_{\gamma,\xi}(t-\tau-s;\omega)\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{s;\omega}ds\right]. \tag{4.15}$$

Existence of the Solution

Following are the requirements for the existence of the solution:

•
$$a(t) \in C(0,T)$$
 • $u_{xx}(x,t) \in C(\Pi)$

• $u(x,t) \in C(\Pi)$

•
$${}^{c}D_{0+,t}u(x,t) \in C(\Pi)$$
 180• $e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * u_{xx}(x,t) \in C(\Pi)$

To show that $a(t) \in C(0,T)$, we will show the continuity of $\mathcal{F}(t)$ given by (4.14)and $K(t,\tau)$ i.e., (4.15). We will use following estimates that are obtained by making use of Lemma 4.4 and given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq C_{1}(1 + \omega t^{\gamma}),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq C_{1}t(1 + \omega t^{\gamma}),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (1 * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq C_{1}t^{\beta}.$$

$$(4.16)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \frac{C_1(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2 t^{\beta}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \frac{C_1(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2 t^{\beta-1}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (1 * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \frac{C_1}{i^2}.$$

$$(4.17)$$

Due to Lemma 4.5, we have the following inequalities

$$e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \Gamma(\xi) C_{1}^{2} t^{\xi} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma})$$

$$e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \Gamma(\xi) C_{1}^{2} t^{\xi+1} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma}),$$

$$e_{\gamma,\xi}(t-\tau;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t-\tau;\omega} \leq \Gamma(\xi) C_{1}^{2} (t-\tau)^{\xi+\beta-1}.$$

$$(4.18)$$

$$e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \frac{\Gamma(\xi) C_{1}^{2} t^{\xi} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2} t^{\beta}},$$

$$e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega}) \leq \frac{\Gamma(\xi) C_{1}^{2} t^{\xi} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2} t^{\beta} - 1},$$

$$e_{\gamma,\xi}(t - \tau;\omega) * \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\theta}^{k,n,m} \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t - \tau;\omega} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\xi) C_{1}^{2} (t - \tau)^{\xi - 1}}{i^{2}}.$$

$$(4.19)$$

Consequently, by using (4.16), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19) we have the following relation for (4.14) and (4.15)

$$|\mathcal{F}(t)| \leq M_{1} \left[{}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}g(t) + \alpha C_{1}D_{1}(1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) \left(\|\varphi\| + \frac{\|\psi\|}{t} \right) \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha C_{1}D_{1}(1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}t^{\beta}} \left(\|\varphi\| + \frac{\|\psi\|}{t} \right)$$

$$+ \mu \left\{ \pi C_{1}^{2}D_{1}\Gamma(\xi)t^{\xi}(1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) \left(\|\varphi\| + \frac{\|\psi\|}{t} \right) \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{3\pi C_{1}^{2}D_{1}\Gamma(\xi)t^{\xi}(1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}t^{\beta}} \left((1 + \|\varphi'\|) + \frac{1}{t}(1 + \|\psi'\|) \right) \right\} \left. \right].$$

$$|K(t,\tau)| \le M_1 \left[\frac{\pi C_1^2 D_2(t-\tau)^{\xi+\beta-1}}{2} ||f|| + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{3\pi \Gamma(\xi) C_1^2 D_2(t-\tau)^{\xi-1}}{i^2} (1 + ||f_x||) \right],$$

where
$$\left(\int_0^1 f(x,t)dx\right)^{-1} < M_1$$
.

Hence by Weierstrass M-test $\mathcal{F}(t)$ and $K(t,\tau)$ represent uniformly convergent function. Consequently, by using Lemma 2.1, the Equation 4.13 unique continuous solution i.e., $a(t) \in C(0,T]$

In order to establish the continuity of u(x,t), we need to present the convergent estimates for T_{ji} ; $j=0,1,2,\ i\in\mathbb{N}$.

To show that u(x,t) represents a continuous function, first we check the boundedness of $T_0(t)$, $T_{1i}(t)$ and $T_{2i}(t)$.

Due to (4.7), we can write

$$|T_0(t)| \le C_1(1+\omega t^{\gamma})|\varphi_0| + C_1t(1+\omega t^{\gamma})|\psi_0| + C_1t^{\beta}|f_0|.$$

Cauchy-Bankovsky-Schwarz inequality allows us to write

$$|T_0(t)| \le C_1 D(1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) \left(\|\varphi\| + t \|\psi\| \right) + C_1 D t^{\beta} \|f\|.$$
 (4.20)

Next, by using (3.4) and (4.16) in (4.8), we have

$$|T_{1i}(t)| \le \frac{C_1(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2 t^{\beta}} \left(|\varphi_{1i}| + t ||\psi_{1i}| \right) + \frac{MC_1}{i^2} |f_{1i}(t)|. \tag{4.21}$$

Due to Cauchy-Bankovsky-Schwarz inequality and Lemma (4.1), above relation can be written as

$$|T_{1i}(t)| \le \frac{C_1 D(1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2 t^{\beta}} \left(\|\varphi\| + t \|\psi\| \right) + \frac{M C_1 D}{i^2} \|f\|.$$
(4.22)

Hence by Weierstrass M-test $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |T_{1i}(t)|$ is uniformly convergent.

Before giving an upper bound for $|T_{2i}(t)|$, we will establish inequality for convolution of three parameter Mittag-Leffler function.

Consider

200

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} T_{1i}(t) * \left(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} T_{1i}(t) * \mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right| + \omega \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} T_{1i}(t) * \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right|.$$

By using Remark 2, we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} T_{1i}(t) * \left(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_1^2(\Gamma(\beta) + \omega \Gamma(\beta+1)t^{\gamma})}{i^2} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) \left(|\varphi_{2i}| + \frac{1}{t} |\psi_{2i}| \right) + \frac{MC_1^2 \Gamma(\beta)}{i^2} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) |f_{1i}(t)| t^{\beta}.$$

Due to Lemmata 4.1 and 4.2, we can write

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} T_{1i}(t) * \left(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{1}^{2} D_{1}(\Gamma(\beta) + \omega \Gamma(\beta + 1)t^{\gamma})}{i^{3}} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) \left((1 + \|\varphi'\|) + \frac{1}{t} (1 + \|\psi'\|) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{M C_{1}^{2} D_{2} \Gamma(\beta)}{i^{3}} (1 + \omega t^{\gamma}) (1 + \|f_{x}\|) t^{\beta}.$$

$$(4.23)$$

For estimate of $T_{2i}(t)$, we will make use of Remark 2 and equation (4.23) apart from relation (4.16), to get the following inequality

$$|T_{2i}(t)| \leq \frac{C_1 D_1 (1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2 t^{\beta}} \left(\|\varphi\| + t \|\psi\| \right) + \frac{M C_1 D_2}{i^2} \|f\|$$

$$+ \frac{5\pi C_1^2 D_1 (\Gamma(\beta) + \omega \Gamma(\beta + 1) t^{\gamma}) (1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2} \left((1 + \|\varphi'\|) + t (1 + \|\psi'\|) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{5\pi M C_1^2 D_2 \Gamma(\beta) (1 + \omega t^{\gamma})}{i^2} (1 + \|f_x\|) t^{\beta}$$

$$(4.24)$$

By using (4.22) and (4.24), together with Weierstrass M-test ensure the continuity of u(x,t). To present the continuity of $u_{xx}(x,t)$. observe that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 q_0}{\partial x^2} \right| \le \pi^2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 q_{1i}}{\partial x^2} \right| \le 18\pi^2 i^2, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 q_{2i}}{\partial x^2} \right| \le 4\pi^2 i^2.$$
 (4.25)

Hence, for continuity of $u_{xx}(x,t)$ we need to show convergence of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 T_{li}(t), \quad l = 1, 2,$$

210 which can be deduced by following relations

$$|i^{2}T_{1i}(t)| \leq \frac{C_{1}D_{1}(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}t^{\beta}} \left(\|\varphi''\| + t\|\psi''\| \right) + \frac{MC_{1}D_{2}}{i^{2}} \|f_{xx}\|.$$

$$|i^{2}T_{2i}(t)| \leq \frac{C_{1}D_{1}(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}t^{\beta}} \left(\|\varphi''\| + t\|\psi''\| \right) + \frac{MC_{1}D_{2}}{i^{2}} \|f_{xx}\|$$

$$+ \frac{5\pi C_{1}^{2}D_{1}(\Gamma(\beta) + \omega\Gamma(\beta+1)t^{\gamma})(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}} \left((1+\|\varphi'''\|) + t(1+\|\psi'''\|) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{5\pi MC_{1}^{2}D_{2}\Gamma(\beta)(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}} (1+\|f_{xxx}\|)t^{\eta}.$$

$$(4.26)$$

In order to establish the continuity of $e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * u_{xx}(x,t)$, we need to study the convergence of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * T_{li}(t), \ l = 1, 2.$$

By using Lemma 4.5 and Cauchy-Bankovsky-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|i^{2}e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega)*T_{1i}(t)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\xi)C_{1}^{2}D_{1}t^{\xi}(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}t^{\beta}}(1+\omega t^{\gamma})\left(\|\varphi''\|+t\|\psi''\|\right) + \frac{\Gamma(\xi)MC_{1}^{2}D_{2}t^{\xi}}{i^{2}}\|f_{xx}\|$$
(4.28)

Lemma 4.5 and Remark 2 together with Cauchy-Bankovsky-Schwarz inequality, support us to

write

$$|i^{2}e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * T_{2i}(t)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\xi)C_{1}^{2}D_{1}t^{\xi}(1+\omega t^{\gamma})}{i^{2}t^{\beta}} \left(\|\varphi''\| + t\|\psi''\| \right) + \frac{\Gamma(\xi)MC_{1}^{2}D_{2}t^{\xi}}{i^{2}} \|f_{xx}\|$$

$$+ 5\pi i(1+\omega t^{\gamma})(\Gamma(\beta) + \omega\Gamma(\beta+1)t^{\gamma})\Gamma(\xi)C_{1}^{3}D_{1}t^{\xi} \left((1+\|\varphi'''\|) + t(1+\|\psi'''\|) \right)$$

$$+ (1+\omega t^{\gamma})MC_{1}^{3}D_{2}\Gamma(\xi)\Gamma(\beta)t^{\xi+\beta}(1+\|f_{xxx}\|).$$

$$(4.29)$$

Finally, we are going to present the estimates that ensure the continuity of ${}^{c}D_{0_{+},t}^{\beta}u(x,t)$. By using [29], in order to ensure the continuity of ${}^{c}D_{0_{+},t}^{\beta}u(x,t)$, we need to establish the uniform convergence of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{li}, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{c}D_{0_{+},t}^{\beta}T_{li}, l = 1, 2.$$

Uniform convergence of $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{li}$, l=1,2 has already been proved (see inequalities (4.26) and (4.27)). By using Equation (4.5) and (4.6), we can see that in order to show the uniform convergence of $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}T_{li}$, we need to present the convergent estimates of

$$i^2T_{li}(t), i^2e_{\gamma,\xi}*T_{li}(t); l = 1, 2,$$

which we have already established in (4.26) and (4.29).

Uniqueness of the Solution

Lastly, we will prove the uniqueness of the obtained solution i.e., (4.10) and (4.12).

The expression, given by (4.12), involves definite integral of known function f(x,t) and the β ordered Caputo fractional derivative of given function h(t). One-one nature of these operators (integral and fractional derivative) proves the uniqueness of a(t).

On contrary suppose u(x,t) and v(x,t) be two different solutions, and define

$$\bar{u}(x,t) = u(x,t) - v(x,t).$$
 (4.30)

Using (4.30) in (1.1)-(1.4), we have

$$^{c}D_{0_{+},t}^{\beta}\bar{u}(x,t) + \bar{u}_{xx}(x,t) + \mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \bar{u}_{x}x(x,t) = 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \Pi.$$

the boundary datum

$$\bar{u}(0,t) = 0 = \bar{u}_{xxx}(0,y,t), \ \bar{u}_x(0,t) = \bar{u}_x(1,t) + \alpha u(1,t).$$

230 and initial condition

$$\bar{u}(x,0) = 0, \quad \bar{u}_t(x,0) = 0.$$
 (4.31)

Consider the functions

$$\bar{T}_0(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \bar{u}(x,t) r_0(x,y) dx dy,$$

$$\bar{T}_{1i}(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \bar{u}(x,t) r_{1i}(x,y) dx dy,$$

$$\bar{T}_{2i}(t) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \bar{u}(x,t) r_{2i}(x,y) dx dy.$$

Taking the fractional derivative, we get

$${}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}\bar{T}_{0}(t) = -\lambda_{k}\bar{T}_{0}(t) + \lambda_{0}\mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \bar{T}_{0}(t),$$

$${}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}\bar{T}_{1i}(t) = -\lambda_{2i}\bar{T}_{1i}(t) + \lambda_{2i}\mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \bar{T}_{1i}(t),$$

$${}^{c}D_{0+,t}^{\beta}\bar{T}_{2i}(t) = -\lambda_{1i}\bar{T}_{2i}(t) + \frac{\lambda_{2i} - \lambda_{1i}}{2\delta_{i}}\bar{T}_{1i}(t) + \lambda_{1i}\mu e_{\gamma,\xi}(t;\omega) * \bar{T}_{2i}(t).$$

The solution of the above system is

$$\bar{T}_{0}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{0},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \bar{T}_{0}(0) - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \bar{T}_{0}'(0) \right],$$

$$\bar{T}_{1i}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{2i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \bar{T}_{1i}(0) - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \bar{T}_{1i}'(0) \right],$$

$$\bar{T}_{2i}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \Delta_{\lambda_{1i},\omega,\mu}^{k,n,m} \left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \bar{T}_{2i}(0) - \left(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{F}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \bar{T}_{2i}'(0) + \frac{\lambda_{2i} - \lambda_{1i}}{2\delta_{i}} T_{1i}(t) * \left(\mathcal{B}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} + \omega \mathcal{G}_{\gamma,\xi,\beta}^{t;\omega} \right) \right],$$

The condition (4.31), leads to

$$\bar{T}_0(t) = 0$$
, $\bar{T}_{1i}(t) = 0$, $\bar{T}_{2i}(t) = 0$, $t \in (0, T]$.

The completeness of the set $\{r_0, r_{1i}, r_{2i}\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ guarantees that obtained solution is unique.

5. Example

This section is devoted to some examples for particular values of μ , f(x,t), $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$.

Example 1: By taking $\mu = 1 = \omega$, $\gamma = 0.25$, $\xi = 0.5$, $\beta = 1.5$, $\phi(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$, $\psi(x) = 0$, $\alpha = 1$ and $f(x,t) = 0.7654 \sin(1.30654x)t^{0.25}$.

Solution of the inverse source problem (1.1)-(1.4) has the following form:

$$a(t) = t^{1.5}$$

240

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \bigg[(2\pi)^{2k} \big(\mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k+1}^{k+1}(t;1) \\ &+ (1.7075)^k 1.0174 \mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5(k+2)+1}^{k+1}(t;1) \sin(0.3065x) \\ &+ \mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m+1)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k+1}^{k+1}(t;1) \big) \sin(2\pi x) \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Example 2:

By taking $\mu = 1 = \omega$, $\gamma = 0.25$, $\xi = 0.5$, $\beta = 1.5$, $\phi(x) = 0.7654 \sin(1.30654x)$, $\psi(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$, $\alpha = 1$ and $f(x,t) = 0.7654 \sin(1.30654x) + \sin(\pi x)$.

a(t) = 2

Solution of the inverse source problem (1.1)-(1.4) has the following form:

245

$$\phi_0 = 1, \ \psi_{21} = 1, \ f_0 = 1, \ f_{21} = 1$$

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{nn} C_m{}^k C_n \left[\left\{ \mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k+1}^{k+1}(t;1) + \mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k+1}^{k+1}(t;1) + 2\mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k}^{k+1}(t;1) + (\mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k}^{k+1}(t;1) \right\} 0.7654 \sin(1.30654x) + \left(\mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k}^{k+1}(t;1) + \mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m+1)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k}^{k+1}(t;1) + 2\mathcal{E}_{0.25,0.25(n-m)+0.5(k-n)+1.5k}^{k+1}(t;1) \right].$$

6. Conculsion

In summary, our investigation has navigated the complexities of fractional diffusion-wave equations, focusing on the extraction of the temporal component of the source term. Addressing a

challenging inverse problem, we incorporate a nonlocal damping term with a two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function and include Samarskii-Ionkin type boundary conditions. The establishment of a three-parameter Mittag-Leffler function not only affirms the existence of solutions but also contributes valuable insights to the domains of mathematical analysis and fractional calculus.

Our research significantly advances the understanding of these equations, providing a solid foundation for nuanced problem-solving methodologies. The attained insights not only confirm solution existence but also pave the way for future exploration and application, marking a substantive contribution to the dynamic intersection of mathematics and physics. As we navigate the complexities of fractional diffusion-wave equation, this work opens new avenues for continued advancements and deeper understanding in this ever-evolving field.

260 References

265

270

- [1] D. Valério, J. T. Machado, V. Kiryakova, Some pioneers of the applications of fractional calculus, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 17 (2) (2014) 552–578.
- [2] H. Sun, Y. Zhang, D. Baleanu, W. Chen, Y. Chen, A new collection of real world applications of fractional calculus in science and engineering, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 64 (2018) 213–231.
- [3] D. Liang, J. Cheng, Z. Ke, L. Ying, Deep magnetic resonance image reconstruction: Inverse problems meet neural networks, IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 37 (1) (2020) 141–151.
- [4] M. Bertero, P. Boccacci, Introduction to inverse problems in imaging (iop, bristol, uk) (1998).
- [5] C. L. Epstein, Introduction to the mathematics of medical imaging, SIAM, 2007.
- [6] F. Natterer, The mathematics of computerized tomography, SIAM, 2001.
 - [7] M. R. Bai, C. Chung, P.-C. Wu, Y.-H. Chiang, C.-M. Yang, Solution strategies for linear inverse problems in spatial audio signal processing, Applied Sciences 7 (6) (2017) 582.
 - [8] G. Uhlmann, Inside out: inverse problems and applications, Vol. 47, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [9] F. D. M. Neto, A. J. da Silva Neto, An introduction to inverse problems with applications, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

- [10] M. Ali, S. Aziz, Some inverse problems for time-fractional diffusion equation with nonlocal samarskii-ionkin type condition, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 44 (10) (2021) 8447–8462.
- [11] N. B. Kerimov, M. I. Ismailov, An inverse coefficient problem for the heat equation in the case of nonlocal boundary conditions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 396 (2) (2012) 546–554.
 - [12] S. A. Malik, S. Aziz, An inverse source problem for a two parameter anomalous diffusion equation with nonlocal boundary conditions, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 73 (12) (2017) 2548–2560.

285

290

295

300

- [13] J. Janno, N. Kinash, Reconstruction of an order of derivative and a source term in a fractional diffusion equation from final measurements, Inverse Problems 34 (2) (2018) 025007.
- [14] v. K. Slodička M, V. B. K, Uniqueness for an inverse source problem of determining a space dependent source in a time-fractional diffusion equation, Applied Mathematics Letters 91 (2019) 15–21.
- [15] B. Jin, W. Rundell, A tutorial on inverse problems for anomalous diffusion processes, Inverse problems 31 (3) (2015) 035003.
- [16] H. Lopushanska, A. Lopushansky, Inverse problem with a time-integral condition for a fractional diffusion equation, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 42 (9) (2019) 3327–3340.
- [17] K. Šišková, M. Slodička, A source identification problem in a time-fractional wave equation with a dynamical boundary condition, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 75 (12) (2018) 4337–4354.
- [18] V. Tuan, Inverse problem for fractional diffusion equation, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 14 (1) (2011) 31–55.
- [19] A. Boumenir, V. K. Tuan, Inverse problems for multidimensional heat equations by measurements at a single point on the boundary, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization 30 (11-12) (2009) 1215–1230.

- [20] D. L. Russell, On mathematical models for the elastic beam with frequency-proportional damping, in: Control and estimation in distributed parameter systems, SIAM, 1992, pp. 125–169.
- [21] M. Di Paola, G. Failla, M. Zingales, Non-local stiffness and damping models for shear-deformable beams, European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids 40 (2013) 69–83.
- [22] M. D. Kassim, K. M. Furati, N.-e. Tatar, Non-existence for fractionally damped fractional differential problems, Acta Mathematica Scientia 37 (1) (2017) 119–130.
- [23] X.-L. Ding, J. J. Nieto, Analytical solutions for multi-term time-space fractional partial differential equations with nonlocal damping terms, Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis 21 (2) (2018) 312–335.
 - [24] R. Garra, R. Gorenflo, F. Polito, Ž. Tomovski, Hilfer-prabhakar derivatives and some applications, Applied mathematics and computation 242 (2014) 576–589.
- [25] R. Gorenflo, A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi, S. V. Rogosin, et al., Mittag-Leffler functions, related topics and applications, Springer, 2020.
 - [26] W. Rust Jr, A theorem on volterra integral equations of the second kind with discontinuous kernels, The American Mathematical Monthly 41 (6) (1934) 346–350.
 - [27] A. Y. Mokin, On a family of initial-boundary value problems for the heat equation, Differential equations 45 (1) (2009) 126–141.

320

- [28] I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, Elsevier, 1998.
- [29] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, et al., Fractional integrals and derivatives: Theory and Applications, Vol. 1, Gordon and breach science publishers, Yverdon Yverdon-les-Bains, Switzerland, 1993.