

Interactive effects of light and snail herbivory rather than nutrient loading determine early establishment of submerged macrophytes

Mingjun Feng¹, Peiyu Zhang², Haowu Cheng³, Frenken Thijs⁴, Min Zhang³, and Jun Xu²

¹Huazhong Agriculture University

²Institute of Hydrobiology Chinese Academy of Sciences

³Huazhong Agricultural University

⁴HAS University of Applied Sciences

March 9, 2022

Abstract

Submerged macrophytes play a key role in maintaining a clear-water phase and promoting biodiversity in shallow aquatic ecosystems. Since their abundance has declined globally due to anthropogenic activities, it is important to include them in aquatic ecosystem restoration programs. That macrophytes establish in early spring is crucial for maintaining the macrophyte communities for the remainder of the year. However, factors affecting this early establishment of submerged macrophytes have not been fully explored yet. Here, we conducted an outdoor experiment from winter to early spring using the submerged macrophytes *Potamogeton crispus* and *Vallisneria spirulosa* to study the effects of shading, nutrient loading, snail herbivory (*Radix swinhoei*) and their interactions on the early growth and stoichiometric characteristics of macrophytes. The results show that the effects strongly depend on macrophyte species. Biomass and number of shoots of *P. crispus* decreased, and internode length increased during low light conditions, but were not affected by nutrient loading. *P. crispus* shoot biomass and number showed hump-shaped responses to increased snail biomass under full light. In contrast, the biomass of the plant linearly decreased with snail biomass under low light. This indicates an interaction of light with snail herbivory. Since snails prefer grazing on periphyton over macrophytes, a low density of snails promoted growth of *P. crispus* by removing periphyton competition, while herbivory on the macrophyte increased during a high density of snails. The growth of *V. spirulosa* was not affected by any of the factors, probably because of growth limitation by low temperature. Our study demonstrates that the interaction of light with snail herbivory may affect establishment and growth of submerged macrophytes in early spring. Macrophyte restoration projects may thus benefit from lowering water levels to increase light availability and making smart use of cold-adapted herbivores to reduce light competition with periphyton.

Introduction

Submerged macrophytes play vital roles in shallow aquatic ecosystems as they provide multiple key functions and ecosystem services, such as maintaining a clear water phase, providing habitat and shelter, producing food for animals, and by facilitating biodiversity (Carpenter & Lodge, 1986; O'Hare et al., 2017; Thomaz, 2021). Unfortunately, due to increased anthropogenic activities, the abundance and diversity of submerged macrophytes has declined in many shallow freshwater ecosystems worldwide (Sand-Jensen et al., 2000; Y. Zhang et al., 2017). Loss of the submerged macrophyte vegetation also means the loss of a natural feedback loop that facilitates a clear-water phase, and may result in the shift into an alternative stable state typically consisting of phytoplankton dominance and thus more turbid conditions. Consecutively, many functions and ecosystem services of aquatic ecosystems may be lost associated to this alternative turbid stable state (Sabine Hilt et al., 2017; Janssen et al., 2021; Phillips et al., 2016).

The recovery of a submerged macrophyte vegetation is one of the most important goals of lake ecological

restoration (S. Hilt et al., 2018). During natural conditions, the recovery of submerged macrophytes from the turbid state should undergo different stages that may take several decades to complete (S. Hilt et al., 2018). The re-occurrence of a spring clear-water phase that can be exploited by a few macrophyte species (mainly pondweeds), after which a more diverse and abundant submerged macrophyte community can establish that stabilizes a clear-water state for the rest of growing season. In recent years, more and more knowledge has been gathered on directly transplanting macrophytes in deteriorated water bodies to speed up re-establishment and recovery of a macrophyte community, which may instead be achieved within one or two years (Y. Li et al., 2021; Z. Liu et al., 2018). However, there is little to no knowledge on which factors govern the success of re-establishment of submerged macrophytes during and after restoration projects relying on transplantation of plants. Several abiotic factors may affect the growth of submerged macrophytes, such as light, temperature and nutrients (Bornette & Puijalon, 2011). In addition, several biotic factors such as herbivory, bioturbation and effects of trophic cascades, may reduce the growth of submerged macrophytes (Elisabeth S. Bakker et al., 2016; S. Hilt et al., 2018; Phillips et al., 2016; Zhi et al., 2020).

The underwater light environment is considered to be one of the most decisive factors affecting the distribution and growth of submerged plants (Middelboe & Markager, 1997). Shading by for instance suspended particulate matter, dissolved organic matter and algae (phytoplankton and periphyton), may negatively affect the germination (Going et al., 2008; Havens et al., 2004) and growth (Bornette & Puijalon, 2011; Philbrick & Les, 1993) of submerged plants. The growth of submerged macrophytes is also (in)directly affected by nutrient loading (nitrogen, N and phosphorus, P). Generally, moderate nutrient loading would enhance the growth of macrophytes (E. S. Bakker et al., 2010; Cronin & Lodge, 2003; Ozimek et al., 1993). During eutrophic conditions phytoplankton and periphyton growth is promoted, which can substantially reduce the growth of submerged macrophytes via increased light competition (P. Zhang et al., 2020). Furthermore, changes in light availability and nutrient loading may modify plant nutrient content, the carbon (C):nutrient [nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)] composition, and growth rates (Gu et al., 2018; Velthuis et al., 2017; P. Zhang et al., 2020). However, during winter, when temperatures are low, algae growth may be temperature limited (Edwards et al., 2016), and eutrophication may thus have less impact during these early stages of submerged macrophyte establishment.

Herbivory is also considered an important biological factor affecting the growth of submerged plants (Elisabeth S. Bakker et al., 2016). Previous studies show potential for complex relationships between snails, periphyton and macrophytes (Bronmark, 1989; Jones et al., 1999; Underwood et al., 1992). Generally, periphyton are more palatable and the preferred food for snails over macrophytes (Bronmark, 1989; Guo et al., 2021; Koleszár et al., 2021; Mormul et al., 2010). At low density, snails can promote submerged macrophyte growth by removing periphyton from the surface of macrophytes and thus reducing the competition for light and nutrients with algae (Cao et al., 2014; Jones & Sayer, 2003; Underwood et al., 1992). At high densities, however, snails can inhibit macrophyte growth directly by grazing on the plant (Bronmark, 1990; K. Y. Li, Liu, & Gu, 2009; Zhi et al., 2020).

Herbivory may also interact with nutrient loading to affect macrophyte and algal growth. During increased nutrient loading, the quality, namely lower C:nutrient ratios, may make primary producers more palatable to herbivores (Elisabeth S. Bakker et al., 2016; Y. Liu et al., 2021). Similarly, herbivory may also interact with light, as reduced light may lower C:nutrient ratios of plants and algae also increasing palatability and nutritional quality of plants (Cronin & Lodge, 2003). Thus, during both increased nutrient loading and low light conditions effects of snails on macrophyte growth is expected to increase.

To test the effects of light, nutrient loading and snail herbivory, and their interaction, on the growth and stoichiometry of submerged macrophytes, we performed an outdoor mesocosm experiment from winter to early spring using the two common rooted submerged vascular aquatic plants *Potamogeton crispus* and *Vallisneria spirulosa*. We hypothesize that (1) Increased nutrient loading will promote periphyton growth, increasing competition for light, lowering growth of submerged macrophytes. (2) Low snail density will promote plant growth due to food preference on periphyton, lowering competition for light, and high snail biomass will inhibit plant growth under high light intensity because snails will start feeding on macrophytes

as a result of food limitation.

Materials and methods

Study species

P. crispus (curly-leaf pondweed) is native to Eurasia and invasive in North and South America and New Zealand. It is a cold-adapted macrophyte with an optimum growth temperature in the range of 10–20°C (Ren et al., 1997; Tobiessen & Snow, 1984). Turions of the plant germinate in fall, overwinter with little growth, and subsequently grow fast during early spring after which their growth subsides and they eventually die and senesce in summer (Catling & Dobson, 1985; Tobiessen & Snow, 1984; Peiyu Zhang, Zhang, et al., 2021). *V. spinulosa* is endemic to China, mostly occurring in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River (B. Wang et al., 2010), where it often coexists with *P. crispus*. *V. spinulosa* is a warm-adapted species with an optimum temperature for germination at approximately 20 °C (Wang et al., 2021). *P. crispus* turions and seedlings of *V. spinulosa* were obtained from another nearby lake, Lake Honghu (29°51'N, 113deg20' E).

The herbivore used in this experiment is *Radix swinhoei* (big-ear radix), a pulmonated freshwater snail widely distributed throughout Asia, and found in a diverse set of habitats, including lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, and rice fields (Ziu et al., 1979). *R. swinhoei* is a generalist grazer which feeds on both periphyton and macrophytes (K. Y. Li, Liu, Hu, et al., 2009; Xiong et al., 2010). *R. swinhoei* snails were collected in a pond in Huazhong Agricultural University Fisheries College Base in Wuhan City, Central China (30deg29'N; 114deg22' E).

Experimental design

Forty square polyethylene containers (around 100 L, 55x45x40cm, 1 xw xh) were used as mesocosms and exposed to eight experimental treatments in a full factorial design (a control and three factors: shading, nutrient loading and herbivory, each with two levels), with five replicates for each treatment (FigureS1), assigned randomly to the containers. The two selected light intensity levels were full sunlight (S0, high light intensity) and 25% sunlight (S1, low light intensity with shading). The two nutrient loading treatments consisted of nutrient loading (E1) and no external nutrient loading (E0) to the water column. Two snail herbivory treatments, one with (H1) and one without (H0) extra snails added. The experiment lasted for 58 days, from January 26 to March 18, 2021. Tap water was added bi-weekly to the containers to compensate for evaporation.

Experimental setup

The mesocosm are situated at Huazhong Agricultural University in Wuhan City, Central China (30deg29'N, 114deg22' E). 10 cm of sediment was added which was collected from the top few cm of sediment in Lake Liangzi (N 30deg11'3", E 114deg37'59"; sediment TN, 5.5 ± 0.4 mg g⁻¹ and TP, 0.42 ± 0.08 mg g⁻¹, dry weight, n = 5), and was thoroughly mixed in a clean container before transferring it into the experimental containers. On top of this a layer 35 cm of tap water was added (TN, 2.438 ± 0.249 mg L⁻¹; TP, 0.022 ± 0.006 mg L⁻¹, n = 5). Each container received 12 *V. spinulosa* (shoot length:12.1 ± 2.0 cm, mean ± SD, n = 480) and 16 *P. crispus* turions (length:5.0 ± 0.5 cm, mean ± SD, n = 640) which were planted evenly distributed within each container.

The treatment with shading of 75% of the incoming sunlight (S1) was achieved by covering the containers with one layer of black sun-shading net. The nutrient solution used for the nutrient loading treatment (E1) was made by dissolving NaNO₃ and KH₂PO₄ salts in demineralized water. Nutrients were added once a month to these E1 containers, simulating a high-level nutrient loading of 2 mg L⁻¹ N and 0.2 mg L⁻¹ P, a eutrophic state, in the range of concentration and ratio of earlier experiments by Coppens et al. (2016) and Jeppesen et al. (2007). For the herbivory treatment, adult snails (n = 5, size ranged from 1 to 3 cm) were added to each herbivory treatment container (H1), a density of snails similar to natural lakes around the Yangtze River (H. J. Wang et al., 2010), and no extra snails were added to the rest of the containers (H0). Due to snail mortality and reproduction, and some snails even recovered from the H0 treatment, snail

biomass changed largely over time in the treatments. We thus used the final snail biomass achieved as a continuous variable to predict the response parameters in our experiment.

Data collection

Water quality parameters were measured six times during the experimental period, on day 0, 27, 37, 44, 51 and 58. Conductivity, temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration (DO) were measured using a HACH portable multi-parameter meter (HQ40d, HACH, USA). Total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) were determined using spectrophotometry after digestion with $K_2S_2O_8$ in an autoclave (120 degC, 1.1 kg cm^{-2}) for 30 minutes, measuring at wavelengths 220 and 275 nm for TN, and 700 nm for TP (UV-2800, Unico, China, GB 11894-89, GB 11893-89). At the end of the experiment, water was sampled from each experimental container and filtered onto GF/C filters to analyze chlorophyll a (Chl a) content as an indicator of phytoplankton biomass. Periphyton Chl a content (μg Chl a per cm^2 area) was determined by brushing off a 9 cm^2 (3×3 cm) area in the middle of one side wall after draining the water, filtering the brushed water through Whatman GF/C filters. Chl a content was determined spectrophotometrically after ethanol extraction (HJ 897-2017). Snails in each container were collected manually and wet weight was determined. Ten *P. crispus* were randomly selected in each container to measure internode length. All macrophytes were harvested with shoot and root separately cleaned and blotted dry to measure wet weight, after which they were dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48 hours. Dried plant samples were ground individually in a 2 ml tube on a mill (MiniBeadbeater-16, Biospec Products, USA). Plant total C and N were determined on an elemental NC analyzer (Flash EA 1112, CE Instruments, Italy). P content was determined by incinerating and digesting the organic P with $K_2S_2O_8$ (same to water TP analysis), and then measuring the dissolved phosphate concentration on a spectrophotometer (Cleverchem380, DeChem-Tech., Germany).

Data analysis

Multiple generalized linear models (GLMs), using R package *lme4* with the “glm” function (Bates et al., 2015), were employed to analyze effects of light intensity, nutrient loading, snail biomass and their interactions on primary producers (including *P. crispus* shoot biomass, shoot number and internode length, *V. spinulosa* total biomass, periphyton Chl a and phytoplankton Chl a content, C, N, P content and their ratios). Light intensity and nutrient loading were treated as categorical variables, and snail biomass as a continuous variable. Variance inflation factors were calculated to test for collinearity problems that might arise from including interaction terms in the same model, and no collinearity problems were detected in all the models. Due to the non-linear response of *P. crispus* shoot biomass and shoot number to snail biomass under full light, the quadratic of snail biomass (poly function) was used as a predicted variable to analyze these effects, and the nonlinear responses were further confirmed by generalized additive models using “gam” function (Table S1). Since no significant effects of nutrient loading were observed on the growth of macrophytes and periphyton (Table 1), nutrient loading and the interaction with other factors were excluded and analyzed again in the GLMs (Table S2) and plotted. Multiple linear mixed-effects models were applied to test effects of the treatments on water temperature, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, TN and TP, with sampling date as a random factor (Table S3). Estimated marginal means were compared after each linear model test to compare the difference between the treatments, using package *emmeans*. QQplot and residual plot were used to visually assess the normality of data. If the data were not normally distributed, data were transformed (data transformation is added in Table 1 and S2). One sample of *P. crispus* (in the E1S1 treatment) was missing after harvesting. This resulted in 39 *P. crispus* samples being available for the analysis of the three plant elemental compositions (plant C, N, and P content), and three plant stoichiometric traits (C: N, C:P and N: P ratios).

A structural equation model (SEM) was constructed to summarize the effects of shading, snail biomass, and nutrient loading on biomass of the macrophytes. This allowed assessing the complete graphical network of the interactions and relationships, with the directions of paths in the SEM diagram indicating causal influences (Rosseel, 2012). Quadratic of snail biomass was used to predict the response of *P. crispus* biomass. Three indices of model fit were used with conventional significance thresholds to assess the overall fit of the SEM, with the χ^2 p -value ($p > 0.05$), the standardized root mean squared residual (SRMR [?] 0.08), and the

comparative fit index (CFI [?] 0.95) (Hu & Bentler, 1999). All SEM procedures were conducted with the *lavaan* (version 0.6-3) package in R (Rosseel, 2012). All of the analyses were performed using R software (ver. 4.0.1; R Development Core Team, 2021).

Results

Water quality parameters

Shading reduced water temperature (S0, 18.12 ± 0.60 °C; S1, 16.38 ± 0.50 °C) and DO concentration (S0, 11.42 ± 0.18 mg L⁻¹; S1, 7.85 ± 0.24 mg L⁻¹), but increased conductivity (S0, 371.18 ± 3.77 µs cm⁻¹; S1, 387.33 ± 3.16 µs cm⁻¹), TN (S0, 1.80 ± 0.07 mg/L mg L⁻¹; S1, 2.63 ± 0.07 mg L⁻¹), and TP concentration (S0, 0.033 ± 0.01 mg L⁻¹; S1, 0.045 ± 0.01 mg L⁻¹) (Table S3 and S4). TN concentration and conductivity were found to increase with snail biomass (Table S3 and S4). Nutrient loading treatment increased conductivity. Shading, nutrient loading and snail biomass also interactively affected DO concentration and conductivity (Table S3 and S4).

Effect of treatments on submerged plant growth

Nutrient loading did not affect the biomass of both submerged plants (Table 1). Shading decreased *P. crispus* shoot biomass and shoot number, but increased *P. crispus* internode length (Figure1A and Figure2A, B). Shading and snail biomass interactively affected the shoot biomass of *P. crispus* (Table 1, Figure1A). In the full light treatment, shoot biomass and number of *P. crispus* showed a humped-shape response to snail biomass, but shoot biomass declined with snail biomass in the shading treatment (Figure1A). No changes in N content and C: N ratio of *P. crispus* could be detected in any of the treatments (Table 1 and Figure3B, C). The P content of *P. crispus* decreased and C:P ratio increased under shading (Table 1 and Figure3C, E). Plant C content decreased with snail biomass during shaded conditions (Table 1 and Figure3A). Nutrient loading and snail biomass interactively affected C:P and N:P ratios of *P. crispus*, and the two treatments together with shading affected the P content and C:P ratio of *P. crispus* (Table 1).

Effect of treatments on periphyton and phytoplankton biomass

Nutrient loading did not affect periphyton biomass. Shading significantly increased biomass of periphyton and phytoplankton. Periphyton biomass decreased with snail biomass under both full light and shaded conditions, while phytoplankton increased with increasing snail biomass only during shading (Table 1 and Figure1C, D).

Structural equation model

The structural equation model indicates that both shading and snails affect primary producer biomass (Figure4). Shading and snail herbivory both negatively affected biomass of *P. crispus* (standardized path coefficient, SPC = -0.61 and -0.25 respectively). Snail herbivory decreased (SPC = -0.56), and shading increased (SPC = 0.32) biomass of periphyton ($r^2 = 0.29$). Both shading (SPC = 0.35) and snail (SPC = 0.36) significantly increased the biomass of phytoplankton ($r^2 = 0.49$).

Discussion

Light intensity, nutrient loading, and snail herbivory interactively affected the growth of submerged macrophytes, phytoplankton and periphyton during the start of the growing season. Our experiment indicated that shading substantially reduced the total biomass and shoot number of *P. crispus* compared to full light conditions. No effect of nutrient loading on growth of primary producers could be detected. *P. crispus* shoot biomass showed a hump-shaped response to increased snail herbivory under full light condition, but linearly decreased with increasing snail herbivory under shaded conditions. Additionally, shading promoted growth of periphyton and phytoplankton, and periphyton biomass decreased with increased snail biomass. These interactions were furthermore confirmed by a structural equation model.

Effects of shading

Shading significantly reduced the shoot number and biomass, but increased the internode length of the submerged macrophyte *P. crispus*. Light is one of the primary factors determining photosynthetic rate of plants, and reducing light availability thus is expected to inhibit growth of submerged macrophytes, which has indeed been demonstrated in a number of studies (Chou et al., 2022; Middelboe & Markager, 1997; Riis et al., 2012), and is in line with our findings. Shading, however, may also promote macrophyte elongation as a strategy to cope with light limitation as seen in this and previous studies (Chou et al., 2022; Riis et al., 2012). Light also indirectly changed growth conditions of macrophytes in this experiment, as the average water temperature was for instance almost 2degC lower in the shaded treatment as compared to the full light treatment. Increased temperature has been shown to promote growth of macrophytes (Peiyu Zhang et al., 2016; Peiyu Zhang, Zhang, et al., 2021), and thus in part may explain why *P. crispus* accumulated less biomass under the shaded colder conditions. No effects of shading could be detected on growth of *V. spinulosa*, as the plant grew little in any of the treatments. *Vallisneria* species are warm-adapted species, and the plant thus may have grown little due to the low temperature in our experiment (Bartleson et al., 2014; Peiyu Zhang, Kong, et al., 2021).

Although shading reduced growth of *P. crispus*, biomass buildup of the other primary producers was promoted. It could be expected that reduced light availability may decrease primary producer biomass (Edwards et al., 2016; Karlsson et al., 2009). However, in a naturally complex shallow aquatic ecosystem with macrophytes, periphyton, and phytoplankton present, macrophytes may be more sensitive to light limitation, as they cannot win competition for light from periphyton and phytoplankton (Scheffer, 2004; Yamamichi et al., 2018). Low light conditions may thus counterintuitively result in increased phytoplankton and periphyton biomass since they will be released from competition with macrophytes (Guan et al., 2020; Yamamichi et al., 2018). Shading also indirectly increased TN and TP concentrations, which may have benefited phytoplankton and periphyton. The algal community may have also shifted towards species that prefer low light conditions (Schwaderer et al., 2011), thus maintaining growth rates and biomass (Mette et al., 2011).

Effects of snail herbivory

Shading and snail herbivory interactively affected the growth of *P. crispus*. Under full light conditions, low biomass of snails promoted the growth of *P. crispus*, while during high biomass of snails the macrophyte growth was inhibited. This may be a consequence of preferential feeding of snails on periphyton over macrophytes (Bronmark, 1989; Guo et al., 2021; Koleszar et al., 2021; Zhi et al., 2020). During low biomass of snails there is plenty of periphyton available to graze, and herbivory in this case releases macrophytes from competitive pressure for light with periphyton, promoting the growth of macrophytes (Yang et al., 2020). In contrast, during high biomass of snails, periphyton food is depleted and snails will graze on macrophytes, thus inhibiting their growth (Zhi et al., 2020). However, during shaded conditions, the preferential food availability to snails is limited at a high grazing pressure, forcing snails to graze on macrophytes.

Effects of nutrient loading

Increased nutrient loading was expected to increase growth of algae, and inhibit growth of macrophytes, as fast growing phytoplankton and periphyton may outcompete macrophytes for nutrient and subsequently light (Scheffer, 2004; P. Zhang et al., 2020). However, we did not find an effect of nutrient loading on the growth of macrophytes and periphyton, which is in accordance with a previous study showing that high concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus did not have a significant impact on the germination and seedling growth of *P. crispus* (Gao et al., 2005). This may be a result of algal growth limitation by low temperatures, or due to other interactions with macrophytes such as for instance allelopathy (Sabine Hilt, 2006; Pakdel et al., 2013). *P. crispus* did not suffer from low temperature since it is a cold-adapted species that can germinate below 10degC (Ren et al., 1997). Once temperatures rose it was already established and could quickly start growing in early spring, thus potentially outcompeting or partially suppressing growth of phytoplankton. An alternative explanation could be that in our experimental systems P may have limited growth of phytoplankton or periphyton, indicated by the relatively elevated TN:TP ratio in the water (N:P = 25.7 by atoms) (Klausmeier et al., 2004), but not impacting the macrophytes since they can access P from

the sediment too. Though no significant direct impact of nutrient loading on the growth of macrophytes was detected, nutrient loading did interact with other treatments to affect P content, C:P and N:P ratios of the plant. These changes in nutrient content and stoichiometry may have impacts on herbivory via changes in food quality and palatability (Elisabeth S. Bakker & Nolet, 2014; Frost et al., 2006).

Implications for lake restoration

To restore a clear-water phase in shallow aquatic ecosystems, the re-establishment of a *P. crispus* population during the end of winter and early spring can help recover other submerged macrophytes, as the growth of *P. crispus* can suppress the growth of algae and keep the water clear, improving conditions for the establishment of other macrophytes (S. Hilt et al., 2018; Sabine Hilt et al., 2006). As shown here, however, it is important to take light availability and herbivory into consideration, as these can significantly affect successful establishment of *P. crispus*, particularly with ongoing climate change that may enhance herbivory on macrophytes (Elisabeth S. Bakker et al., 2016). Lowering water level could be a good measure to increase light availability and thus increase *P. crispus* growth. Other measures to prevent herbivory can also be applied, and may be especially needed during high light conditions. Furthermore, macrophytes with different thermal optima could be combined, as shown here where a temperature prevented growth of *V. spinulosa*. Since *P. crispus* does not tolerate high temperatures, it would die off during warmer conditions in summer, and it is thus essential to combine it with other macrophytes that germinate later and can handle warmer waters. If no other macrophytes establish during the senescence of *P. crispus* the water may become dominated by phytoplankton and risks being shifted into a turbid state.

Data Accessibility

The data that support the findings of this study will be openly available in Dryad.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Author Contributions

Mingjun Feng : Investigation, Data analysis, Writing and Editing.

Peiyu Zhang : Conceptualization, Investigation, Data analysis, Writing and Editing.

Haowu Cheng : Investigation, Data analysis, Writing and Editing.

Thijs Frenken : Data analysis, Writing and Editing.

Jun Xu : Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing and Editing.

Min Zhang : Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing and Editing.

Acknowledgements

Special thanks go to Yulun Guo, Jianlin Chen, Huan Wang, Penglan Shi, Hongyuan Li, Konghao Zhu and Yalun Wen for their help with harvesting the experiment. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (Grant No. 32001158 and Grant No. 31872687), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No.2019M652734), the International Cooperation Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No.152342KYSB20190025), and the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFD0900904).

References

Bakker, E. S., & Nolet, B. A. (2014). Experimental evidence for enhanced top-down control of freshwater macrophytes with nutrient enrichment. *Oecologia*, 176 (3), 825-836.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3047-y>

- Bakker, E. S., Van Donk, E., Declerck, S. A. J., Helmsing, N. R., Hidding, B., & Nolet, B. A. (2010). Effect of macrophyte community composition and nutrient enrichment on plant biomass and algal blooms. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 11 (5), 432-439.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2010.06.005>
- Bakker, E. S., Wood, K. A., Pages, J. F., Veen, G. F., Christianen, M. J. A., Santamaria, L., . . . Hilt, S. (2016). Herbivory on freshwater and marine macrophytes: A review and perspective. *Aquatic Botany*, 135 , 18-36.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.04.008>
- Bartleson, R. D., Hunt, M. J., & Doering, P. H. (2014). Effects of temperature on growth of *Vallisneria americana* in a sub-tropical estuarine environment. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 22 (5), 571-583.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-014-9354-6>
- Bates, D., Machler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67 (1), 1-48.<https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>
- Bornette, G., & Puijalon, S. (2011). Response of aquatic plants to abiotic factors: a review. *Aquatic Sciences*, 73 (1), 1-14.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-010-0162-7>
- Bronmark, C. (1989). Interactions between epiphytes, macrophytes and fresh-water snails - a review. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 55 , 299-311.<https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/55.2.299>
- Bronmark, C. (1990). How do herbivorous fresh-water snails affect macrophytes - a comment. *Ecology*, 71 (3), 1212-1215.<https://doi.org/10.2307/1937391>
- Cao, Y., Li, W., & Jeppesen, E. (2014). The response of two submerged macrophytes and periphyton to elevated temperatures in the presence and absence of snails: a microcosm approach. *Hydrobiologia*, 738 (1), 49-59.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-1914-5>
- Carpenter, S. R., & Lodge, D. M. (1986). Effects of submersed macrophytes on ecosystem processes. *Aquatic Botany*, 26 , 341-370.[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770\(86\)90031-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(86)90031-8)
- Catling, P. M., & Dobson, I. (1985). The biology of canadian weeds .69. *Potamogeton-Crispus L .Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 65 (3), 655-668.<https://doi.org/10.4141/cjps85-088>
- Chou, Q., Zhang, W., Chen, J., Ren, W., Yuan, C., Wen, Z., . . . Jeppesen, E. (2022). Phenotypic responses of a submerged macrophyte (*Vallisneria natans*) to low light combined with water depth. *Aquatic Botany*, 176 , 103462.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2021.103462>
- Coppens, J., Hejzlar, J., Orf, M., Jeppesen, E., & Beklioglu, M. (2016). The influence of nutrient loading, climate and water depth on nitrogen and phosphorus loss in shallow lakes: a pan-European mesocosm experiment. *Hydrobiologia*, 778 (1), 13-32.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-2505-9>
- Cronin, G., & Lodge, D. M. (2003). Effects of light and nutrient availability on the growth, allocation, carbon/nitrogen balance, phenolic chemistry, and resistance to herbivory of two freshwater macrophytes. *Oecologia*, 137 (1), 32-41.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1315-3>
- Edwards, K. F., Thomas, M. K., Klausmeier, C. A., & Litchman, E. (2016). Phytoplankton growth and the interaction of light and temperature: A synthesis at the species and community level. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 61 (4), 1232-1244.<https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10282>
- Frost, P. C., Benstead, J. P., Cross, W. F., Hillebrand, H., Larson, J. H., Xenopoulos, M. A., & Yoshida, T. (2006). Threshold elemental ratios of carbon and phosphorus in aquatic consumers. *Ecology Letters*, 9 (7), 774-779.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00919.x>
- Gao, J., Luo, Q., Li, G., & Shao, Y. (2005). Effects of dissolved oxygen, temperature, nitrogen and phosphorus on winter bud germination and growth of *Potamogeton crispus L . Journal of Wuhan University: Science Edition*, 51 (4), 6.<https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:1671-8836.2005.04.026>

- Going, B., Simpson, J., & Even, T. (2008). The influence of light on the growth of watercress (*Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.). *Hydrobiologia*, 607 , 75-85.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-008-9368-2>
- Gu, J., He, H., Jin, H., Yu, J., Jeppesen, E., Nairn, R. W., & Li, K. (2018). Synergistic negative effects of small-sized benthivorous fish and nitrogen loading on the growth of submerged macrophytes - Relevance for shallow lake restoration. *Science of the Total Environment*, 610-611 , 1572-1580.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.119>
- Guan, J., Jacoby, C. A., & Frazer, T. K. (2020). Light attenuation by periphyton on *Vallisneria spiralis* americana. *Ecological Indicators*, 116 , 106498.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106498>
- Guo, Y., Zhang, P., Chen, J., & Xu, J. (2021). Freshwater snail and shrimp differentially affect water turbidity and benthic primary producers. *Water Biology and Security* , 100004.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watbs.2021.100004>
- Havens, K. E., Sharfstein, B., Brady, M. A., East, T. L., Harwell, M. C., Maki, R. P., & Rodusky, A. J. (2004). Recovery of submerged plants from high water stress in a large subtropical lake in Florida, USA. *Aquatic Botany*, 78 (1), 67-82.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2003.09.005>
- Hilt, S. (2006). Allelopathic inhibition of epiphytes by submerged macrophytes. *Aquatic Botany*, 85 (3), 252-256.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2006.05.004>
- Hilt, S., Alirangues Nunez, M. M., Bakker, E. S., Blindow, I., Davidson, T. A., Gillefalk, M., . . . Sayer, C. D. (2018). Response of submerged macrophyte communities to external and internal restoration measures in north temperate shallow lakes. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9 , 194.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00194>
- Hilt, S., Brothers, S., Jeppesen, E., Veraart, A. J., & Kosten, S. (2017). Translating regime shifts in shallow lakes into changes in ecosystem functions and services. *BioScience*, 67 (10), 928-936.<https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix106>
- Hilt, S., Gross, E. M., Hupfer, M., Morscheid, H., Mahlmann, J., Melzer, A., . . . van de Weyer, K. (2006). Restoration of submerged vegetation in shallow eutrophic lakes – A guideline and state of the art in Germany. *Limnologica*, 36 (3), 155-171.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2006.06.001>
- Hu, L. t., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6 (1), 1-55.<https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>
- Janssen, A. B. G., Hilt, S., Kosten, S., Klein, J. J. M., Paerl, H. W., & Van de Waal, D. B. (2021). Shifting states, shifting services: Linking regime shifts to changes in ecosystem services of shallow lakes. *Freshwater Biology*, 66 (1), 1-12.<https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13582>
- Jeppesen, E., Sondergaard, M., Meerhoff, M., Lauridsen, T. L., & Jensen, J. P. (2007). Shallow lake restoration by nutrient loading reduction—some recent findings and challenges ahead. *Hydrobiologia*, 584 (1), 239-252.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-0596-7>
- Jones, J. I., & Sayer, C. D. (2003). Does the fish-invertebrate-periphyton cascade precipitate plant loss in shallow lakes? *Ecology*, 84 (8), 2155-2167.<https://doi.org/10.1890/02-0422>
- Jones, J. I., Young, J. O., Haynes, G. M., Moss, B., Eaton, J. W., & Hardwick, K. J. (1999). Do submerged aquatic plants influence their periphyton to enhance the growth and reproduction of invertebrate mutualists? *Oecologia*, 120 (3), 463-474.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050879>
- Karlsson, J., Bystrom, P., Ask, J., Ask, P., Persson, L., & Jansson, M. (2009). Light limitation of nutrient-poor lake ecosystems. *Nature*, 460 (7254), 506-509.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08179>
- Klausmeier, C. A., Litchman, E., Daufresne, T., & Levin, S. A. (2004). Optimal nitrogen-to-phosphorus stoichiometry of phytoplankton. *Nature*, 429 (6988), 171-174.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02454>

- Koleszar, G., Nagy, Z., Peeters, E. T. H. M., Borics, G., Varbiro, G., Birk, S., & Szabo, S. (2021). The role of epiphytic algae and grazing snails in stable states of submerged and of free-floating plants. *Ecosystems* .<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-021-00721-w>
- Li, K. Y., Liu, Z. W., & Gu, B. H. (2009). Density-dependent effects of snail grazing on the growth of a submerged macrophyte, *Vallisneria spiralis*. *Ecological Complexity*, 6 (4), 438-442.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2009.08.001>
- Li, K. Y., Liu, Z. W., Hu, Y. H., & Yang, H. W. (2009). Snail herbivory on submerged macrophytes and nutrient release: Implications for macrophyte management.*Ecological Engineering*, 35 (11), 1664-1667.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2008.05.009>
- Li, Y., Wang, L., Chao, C., Yu, H., Yu, D., & Liu, C. (2021). Submerged macrophytes successfully restored a subtropical aquacultural lake by controlling its internal phosphorus loading. *Environmental Pollution*, 268 (B), 115949.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115949>
- Liu, Y., He, L., Hilt, S., Wang, R., Zhang, H., & Ge, G. (2021). Shallow lakes at risk: Nutrient enrichment enhances top-down control of macrophytes by invasive herbivorous snails.*Freshwater Biology*, 66 (3), 436-446.<https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13649>
- Liu, Z., Hu, J., Zhong, P., Zhang, X., Ning, J., Larsen, S. E., . . . Jeppesen, E. (2018). Successful restoration of a tropical shallow eutrophic lake: Strong bottom-up but weak top-down effects recorded. *Water Research*, 146 , 88-97.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.09.007>
- Mette, E. M., Vanni, M. J., Newell, J. M., & Gonzalez, M. J. (2011). Phytoplankton communities and stoichiometry are interactively affected by light, nutrients, and fish.*Limnology and Oceanography*, 56 (6), 1959-1975.<https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.6.1959>
- Middelboe, A. L., & Markager, S. (1997). Depth limits and minimum light requirements of freshwater macrophytes. *Freshwater Biology*, 37 (3), 553-568.<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1997.00183.x>
- Mormul, R. P., Thomaz, S. M., Silveira, M. J. d., & Rodrigues, L. (2010). Epiphyton or macrophyte: Which primary producer attracts the snail *hebetancylus moricandi* ?*American Malacological Bulletin*, 28 (2), 127-133, 127.<https://doi.org/doi.org/10.4003/006.028.0205>
- O'Hare, M. T., Aguiar, F. C., Asaeda, T., Bakker, E. S., Chambers, P. A., Clayton, J. S., . . . Wood, K. A. (2017). Plants in aquatic ecosystems: current trends and future directions. *Hydrobiologia*, 812 (1), 1-11.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-017-3190-7>
- Ozimek, T., van Donk, E., & Gulati, R. D. (1993). Growth and nutrient uptake by two species of *Elodea* in experimental conditions and their role in nutrient accumulation in a macrophyte-dominated lake. In A. Hillbricht-Ilkowska & E. Pieczyńska (Eds.), *Nutrient Dynamics and Retention in Land/Water Ecotones of Lowland, Temperate Lakes and Rivers* (pp. 13-18). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Pakdel, F. M., Sim, L., Beardall, J., & Davis, J. (2013). Allelopathic inhibition of microalgae by the freshwater stonewort, *Chara australis*, and a submerged angiosperm, *Potamogeton crispus*. *Aquatic Botany*, 110 , 24-30.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2013.04.005>
- Philbrick, C. T., & Les, D. H. (1993). The evolution of aquatic plants - an introduction and tribute to sculthorpe,cyril,duncan, author of the biology of aquatic vascular plants. *Aquatic Botany*, 44 (2-3), 101-104.[https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770\(93\)90067-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(93)90067-7)
- Phillips, G., Willby, N., & Moss, B. (2016). Submerged macrophyte decline in shallow lakes: What have we learnt in the last forty years? *Aquatic Botany*, 135 , 37-45.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.04.004>
- Ren, J., Qiao, J., Dong, W., & Dai, C. (1997). Study on ecological habits of *Potamogeton crispus* and its growth in Jing-Mi Cannal, Beijing. *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Pekinensis*, 33 (6), 749-755.<https://doi.org/10.13209/j.0479-8023.1997.107>

- Riis, T., Olesen, B., Clayton, J. S., Lambertini, C., Brix, H., & Sorrell, B. K. (2012). Growth and morphology in relation to temperature and light availability during the establishment of three invasive aquatic plant species. *Aquatic Botany*, 102 , 56-64.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2012.05.002>
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). Structural Equation Modeling with lavaan.
- Sand-Jensen, K., Riis, T., Vestergaard, O., & Larsen, S. E. (2000). Macrophyte decline in Danish lakes and streams over the past 100 years. *Journal of Ecology*, 88 (6), 1030-1040.<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2000.00519.x>
- Scheffer, M. (2004). *Ecology of shallow lakes* (Vol. 22): Springer Netherlands.
- Schwaderer, A. S., Yoshiyama, K., de Tezanos Pinto, P., Swenson, N. G., Klausmeier, C. A., & Litchman, E. (2011). Eco-evolutionary differences in light utilization traits and distributions of freshwater phytoplankton. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 56 (2), 589-598.<https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.2.0589>
- Thomaz, S. M. (2021). Ecosystem services provided by freshwater macrophytes. *Hydrobiologia* .<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-021-04739-y>
- Tobiessen, P., & Snow, P. D. (1984). Temperature and light effects on the growth of *Potamogeton crispus* in Collins Lake, New York State. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 62 (12), 2822-2826.<https://doi.org/10.1139/b84-376>
- Underwood, G. J. C., Thomas, J. D., & Baker, J. H. (1992). An experimental investigation of interactions in snail-macrophyte-epiphyte systems. *Oecologia*, 91 (4), 587-595.<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00650335>
- Velthuis, M., van Deelen, E., van Donk, E., Zhang, P., & Bakker, E. S. (2017). Impact of Temperature and Nutrients on Carbon: Nutrient Tissue Stoichiometry of Submerged Aquatic Plants: An Experiment and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8 .<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00655>
- Wang, B., Song, Z., Liu, G., Lu, F., & Li, W. (2010). Comparison of the extent of genetic variation of *Vallisneria spiralis* and its sympatric congener *V. spiralis* in lakes of the middle-lower reaches of the Yangtze River. *Aquatic Botany*, 92 (4), 233-238.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2009.12.006>
- Wang, H. J., Pan, B. Z., Liang, X. M., Wang, H. Z., Wang, H. J., Pan, B. Z., . . . Wang, H. Z. (2010). Gastropods on submersed macrophytes in Yangtze lakes: Community characteristics and empirical modelling. *International Review Of Hydrobiology*, 91 (6), 521-538.<https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.200510846>
- Wang, R., He, L., Zhang, M., Cao, T., Zhang, X., Liu, Y., . . . Ge, G. (2021). Factors on seed germination, tuber sprout and plant growth of *Vallisneria* species in China.*Journal of Lake Sciences*, 33 (05), 1315-1333.<https://doi.org/10.18307/2021.0503>
- Xiong, W., Dan, Y. U., Wang, Q., Liu, C., & Wang, L. (2010). A snail prefers native over exotic freshwater plants: implications for the enemy release hypotheses. *Freshwater Biology*, 53 (11), 2256-2263.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02058.x>
- Yamamichi, M., Kazama, T., Tokita, K., Katano, I., Doi, H., Yoshida, T., . . . Urabe, J. (2018). A shady phytoplankton paradox: when phytoplankton increases under low light.*Proceedings Of The Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 285 (1882).<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1067>
- Yang, L., He, H., Guan, B., Yu, J., Yao, Z., Zhen, W., . . . Liu, Z. (2020). Mesocosm experiment reveals a strong positive effect of snail presence on macrophyte growth, resulting from control of epiphyton and nuisance filamentous algae: Implications for shallow lake management. *Science of the Total Environment*, 705 , 135958.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135958>
- Zhang, P., Bakker, E. S., Zhang, M., & Xu, J. (2016). Effects of warming on *Potamogeton crispus* growth and tissue stoichiometry in the growing season. *Aquatic Botany*, 128 , 13-17.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2015.08.004>

- Zhang, P., Kong, X., Bakker, E. S., Xu, J., & Zhang, M. (2021). Temperature affects carbon and nitrogen stable isotopic signatures of aquatic plants. *Aquatic Sciences*, 83 (2), 39.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-021-00794-8>
- Zhang, P., Kuramae, A., Leeuwen, C., Velthuis, M., & Bakker, E. S. (2020). Interactive effects of rising temperature and nutrient enrichment on aquatic plant growth, stoichiometry, and palatability. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11 , 58.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00058>
- Zhang, P., Zhang, H., Wang, H., Hilt, S., Li, C., Yu, C., . . . Xu, J. (2021). Warming alters juvenile carp effects on macrophytes resulting in a shift to turbid conditions in freshwater mesocosms. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 59 (1), 165-175.<https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14040>
- Zhang, Y., Jeppesen, E., Liu, X., Qin, B., Shi, K., Zhou, Y., . . . Deng, J. (2017). Global loss of aquatic vegetation in lakes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 173 , 259-265.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.08.013>
- Zhi, Y. W., Liu, Y., Li, W., & Cao, Y. (2020). Responses of four submerged macrophytes to freshwater snail density (*Radix swinhoei*) under clear-water conditions: A mesocosm study. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10 (14), 7644-7653.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6489>
- Ziu, Y., Zhang, W., & Wang, Y. (1979). *Chinese economic zoology. Freshwater molluscs* . China: Science Press.

Table1 Effects of shading, herbivory, nutrient loading and their interactions on the growth of primary producers, macrophyte elemental composition and stoichiometry. Effects were analyzed by generalized linear models. Data transformation to meet model requirements is indicated. Due to the nonlinear response of *P. crispus* shoot biomass to snail biomass, the quadratic of snail biomass is used as a predicted variable in the models. For the manipulated factors shading (S), herbivory (H) and nutrient loading (E), main effects are classified directionally as positive (+) or negative (-) based on the response direction of manipulated versus control levels. Combined (C) two-way interactions with herbivory are also classified directionally (+ or -effect of herbivory) and as stronger (>), weaker (<) or different (\pm) effects in the presence of the second stressor. “log” indicates the data are natural log transformed respectively. Bold numbers indicate $p < 0.05$ and empty cells are not significant.

Response	Shading S	HerbivoryH	Eutrophication	Shading* HerbivoryC	Shading* Eutrophication	Herbivory* Eutrophication
Primary <i>P. pus</i> biomass	<0.001 -			0.008 ±		
<i>P. crispus</i> Shoot number	<0.001 -					
<i>P. crispus</i> internode length	<0.001 +	0.032 -				

Response	Shading S	HerbivoryH	EutrophicationE	Shading* HerbivoryC	Shading* EutrophicationC	Herbivory* EutrophicationC
<i>V. spinulosa</i> biomass						
log (Periphyton)		<0.001 -				
log (Phytoplankton)	<0.001 +	0.004 +				
<i>P. crispus</i> nutrient content		0.049 +		0.027 ±		
Nitrogen						
Phosphorus	0.013 -					
C:N ratio						
<i>P. crispus</i> stoichiometry						
C:P ratio	0.009 +					0.023 ±
N:P ratio	0.03 +					0.014 ±




Figure 1 Effects of shading and snail biomass on primary producers. *P. crispus* shoot biomass (A) , *V. spinulosa* biomass (B) , periphyton chl a content (C) , and phytoplankton chl a content(D) . S0 indicates full light, S1 indicates low light intensity under shading. Lines are fitted by gam functions in package ggplot2, and a solid line indicates $p < 0.05$, and a dashed line indicates $p > 0.05$. Dot symbol indicates nutrient loading, and triangles indicate no additional nutrients were added. P values in the panel indicate a significant effect of shading on the mean of the response variable.




Figure 2 Effects of shading and snail biomass on shoot number and internode length of *P. crispus* . shoot number (A) and internode length (B) . S0 indicates full light, S1 indicates low light intensity under shading. Lines are fitted by gam functions in package ggplot2, and a solid line indicates $p < 0.05$, and a dashed line indicates $p > 0.05$. Dot symbol indicates nutrient loading, and triangles indicate no additional nutrients were added. P values in the panel indicate a significant effect of shading on the mean of the response variable.




Figure 3 Effects of shading, snail biomass and nutrient loading on *P. crispus* elemental composition (C, N, and P contents) and stoichiometry (C:N, C:P, and N:P ratio). *P. crispus* C content(A) , N content (B) , P content (C) , C:N ratio(D) , C:P ratio (E) , and N:P ratio (F) . A solid line indicates $p < 0.05$, and dashed line indicates $p > 0.05$. Dots indicate nutrient loading, and triangle points indicate no nutrient loading. P values in the panel indicate significant difference of the means between the two light intensity treatment.




Figure 4 Structural equation model (SEM) of shading, nutrient loading and snail biomass effects on the growth of primary producers. Exogenous variables are indicated by rounded rectangles, and endogenous variables are represented by ovals. Coefficients of determination (r^2) are shown for all endogenous variables. Numbers adjacent to arrows are standardized path coefficients and indicate the effects of the relationship. Positive and negative effects among variables are depicted by green and orange arrows, respectively, with arrow thicknesses proportional to the strength of the relationship. Significant pathways ($p < 0.05$) are represented by solid lines, otherwise by dashed lines. The model satisfied each of the three model fit criteria with significant χ^2 of $p = 0.239$, standardized root mean squared residuals of 0.012, and comparative fit index values of 0.981.