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## 1. Introduction

The theory of minimal surfaces not only has important theoretical significance in mathematics, but also has been applied to other disciplines such as physics, architecture, engineering and so on. In fact, the study of minimal surfaces has always been a core problem, and has been widely concerned by scientists and engineers.

A production function is a positive non-constant function that specifies the output of a firm, an industry, or an entire economy for all combinations of inputs. In the field of economic analysis, some researchers often use a production function model to solve the engineering and managerial problems associated with a particular production process, see [10].

In 1928, the well-known Cobb-Douglas (CD) production function in economics was first introduced by C. W. Cobb and P. H. Douglas [10] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=b L^{k} C^{1-k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L, C, b$ and $Y$ represent the labor input, the capital input, the total factor productivity and the total production, respectively. By use of this two-factor CD production function, Cobb-Douglas described the distribution of the national income in the national income of the United States.

It seems natural to extend the concept of CD production function to the more general case with arbirary variables. To be more precise, the generalized CD production function depending on $n$ inputs was defined by [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=A x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $A, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}>0$ are constants.
In 1961, K. J. Arrow, H. B. Chenery, B. S. Minhas and R. M. Solow [4] introduced another two-input production function, called ACMS production function, given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=b\left(a K^{r}+(1-a) L^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $r=(s-1) / s$ with $s$ being the elasticity of substitution, both $K$ and $L$ are the primary production factors, $Q, b$ and $a$ stand for the output, the factor productivity and the share parameter, respectively. Furthermore, a generalized ACMS production function with $n$ inputs was defined by H . Uzawa [16] and D. McFadden [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=A\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\rho} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\rho}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, a_{i}, \gamma>0$ are constants and $\rho$ is a non-zero constant.
One of the most common economic indicators, called the Hicks elasticity of substitution (HES), was originally introduced by Hicks [13] and Robinson [15] independently. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$denote a differentiable production function of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ with nowhere zero first derivatives. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i j}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\frac{1}{x_{i} f_{x_{i}}}+\frac{1}{x_{j} f_{x_{j}}}}{-\frac{f_{x_{i} x_{i}}}{f_{x_{i}}^{2}}+\frac{2 f_{x_{i} x_{j}}}{f_{x_{i}} f_{x_{j}}}-\frac{f_{x_{j} x_{j}}}{f_{x_{j}}}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ and $x_{i} \neq x_{j}(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$, where $f_{x_{i}}$ and $f_{x_{j} x_{j}}$ denote the first and the second partial derivatives of $f$ with respect to the corresponding independent variables, respectively. A production function $f$ is said to have the constant elasticity of substitution (CES) property if there exists a nonzero real number $\sigma$ satisfying the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sigma \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ and $x_{i} \neq x_{j}(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$.
It is easy to check that $H_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$ for the generalized CD production function, and $H_{i j}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{1}{1-\rho} \neq 1$ for the generalized ACMS production function. Therefore, both the generalized CD production function and the generalized ACMS production function have the CES property (c.f. [3, 7]).

In [17], G. E. Vîlcu revealed a relationship between some basic concepts in the theory of production functions and the differential geometry of hypersurfaces by proving that the generalized CD production function has constant return to scale if and only if the corresponding hypersurface is developable. Furthermore, G. E. Vîlcu and A. D. Vîlcu [18] proved that the generalized ACMS production function has constant return to scale if and only if the corresponding hypersurface is developable.

We say that a production function $f$ is quasi-sum $[1,8]$ if there exists continuous strict monotone functions $h_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, and there exists a continuous strict monotone increasing function $F: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\cdots+h_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \in I$ for any $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=F\left(h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\cdots+h_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Moreover, a quasi-sum production function is said to be quasi-linear if at most one of $F, h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n}$ in (1.7) is a nonlinear function. In [8], B. Y. Chen derived a geometric characterization for a quasi-sum production function to be quasilinear via its production hypersurface and gave a complete classification of the quasi-sum
production hypersurface having vanishing Gauss-Kronecker curvature. In addition, B. Y. Chen proved in [6] that if a quasi-sum production function $f$ satisfies the CES property, then the graph of $f$ is a flat space if and only if $f$ is either a linearly homogeneous generalized ACMS function or a linearly homogeneous generalized Cobb-Douglas function. Moreover, A. D. Vîlcu and G. E. Vîlcu [19] obtained a classification of quasi-sum production functions with constant elasticity of production with respect to any factor of production and with proportional marginal rate of substitution.

In recent years, there are also some interesting results on quasi-product production functions and homogeneous production functions, see for examples [2,9,11,20] and references therein.

Motivated by the above results, this work is intended to investigate the minimality of quasi-sum production hypersurfaces. In fact, the study of minimal production hypersurfaces is not only widely used in economics, but also of great significance in the field of differential geometry. By definition quasi-sum production hypersurfaces include all the translation hypersurfaces as subclass, hence it is very interesting to investigate the geometry and classification of quasi sum production hypersurfaces. Meanwhile, it should be pointed out that, generally, it is difficult to classify completely minimal hypersurfaces for arbitrary dimension. In this paper, we are able to deal with minimal quasi-sum production hypersurfaces of $n=2$ and $n=3$, and obtain several classification results concerning a quasi-sum production function, see Theorem 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1.

## 2. The minimality in theory of production hypersurfaces

Geometrically speaking, each production function $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ can be identified with a graph of a non-parametric hypersurface in an Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity of notation, we write $f_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{n}}$ instead of the $n$ th-order partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{n} f}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \partial x_{i_{2}} \cdots \partial x_{i_{n}}}$.

We recall a well-known result concerning a graph of hypersurface (2.1) in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$. For a production hypersurface of $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ defined by (2.1), the mean curvature $H$ is given by (see [21])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{n W}\left(\sum_{i} f_{i i}-\frac{1}{W^{2}} \sum_{i, j} f_{i} f_{j} f_{i j}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W=\sqrt{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}}$. It is well known that a hypersurface is called minimal if its mean curvature $H$ vanishes identically.

Definition 2.1. ( [8]) A production function $F$ is called quasi-sum if it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=F\left(h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+\cdots+h_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $F, h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}$ are continuous strict monotone function with $F^{\prime}>0$.

We will be concerned with the minimality of quasi-sum production hypersurfaces in a Euclidean space. For $i, j=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $i \neq j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=F^{\prime} h_{i}^{\prime}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i i} & =F^{\prime \prime} h_{i}^{\prime 2}+F^{\prime} h_{i}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.5}\\
f_{i j} & =F^{\prime \prime} h_{i}^{\prime} h_{j}^{\prime} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, we always suppose that a quasi-sum production hypersurface is minimal, i.e. $H=0$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i i}\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}\right)=\sum_{i, j} f_{i} f_{j} f_{i j}
$$

An equivalent form of the above equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i i}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(f_{i}^{2} f_{j j}-f_{i} f_{j} f_{i j}\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have made use of $f_{i}{ }^{2} f_{j j}-f_{i} f_{j} f_{i j} \equiv 0$ for $i=j$. Substituting (2.4)-(2.6) into (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\prime 2}+F^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\prime \prime}+F^{\prime 3} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{i}^{\prime 2} h_{j}^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve the above equation, let us introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{1}{F^{\prime 2}}, \quad u_{i}=h_{i}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad X_{i}=h_{i}^{\prime 2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.9) it is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=-\frac{2 F^{\prime \prime}}{F^{\prime 3}}, \quad X_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{d X_{i}}{d u_{i}}=\frac{d X_{i}}{d x_{i}} \cdot \frac{d x_{i}}{d u_{i}}=2 h_{i}^{\prime \prime} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, equation (2.8) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{\prime}\left(G+\sum_{j \neq i} X_{j}\right)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will restrict the discussions to the solution of the differential equation (2.11) for $n=2$ and $n=3$, which will be dealt with separately.

## 3. The case $n=2$

Let us consider the case $n=2$. Note that the production surface is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=F\left(h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation (2.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime}\left(G+X_{2}\right)-X_{2}^{\prime}\left(G+X_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, we will restrict ourselves to a special case: $X_{1}^{\prime}=0, X_{2}^{\prime}=0$ or $G^{\prime}=0$.
Theorem 3.1. For a quasi-sum production function $f$ with minimality stated as (3.1), if at least one of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$ vanishes identically, then production function $f$ can be expressed in any of the following three forms:
(1) a linear production function;
(2) a Scherk type production function given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a} \ln \left|\frac{\cos a x_{2}}{\cos a x_{1}}\right|+b,
$$

where $a, b$ are constants;
(3) a production function given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{a} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{b e^{2 a x_{1}}}{\cos ^{2} a x_{2}}-1}
$$

where $a, b$ are constants with $b>0$.
Proof. When $G^{\prime}=0$, then we can deduce from (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=c_{1} w+c_{2}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants with $c_{1}>0$. Furthermore, (3.3) enables us to write (3.2) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{1}^{\prime}}{X_{1}+\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}}=-\frac{X_{2}^{\prime}}{X_{2}+\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there exists a constant $c_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{1}^{\prime}}{X_{1}+\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}}=-\frac{X_{2}^{\prime}}{X_{2}+\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}}=c_{3} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{3}=0$, then $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=0$, which means that the production function $f$ is a linear function. Therefore, we obtain the case (1) in Theorem 3.1.

In the following we may assume $c_{3} \neq 0$. Integrating (3.5) we can get

$$
X_{1}=c_{4} e^{c_{3} u_{1}}-\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}, \quad X_{2}=c_{4}^{\prime} e^{-c_{3} u_{2}}-\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}},
$$

where $c_{4}, c_{4}^{\prime}>0$ are real constants. An equivalent formulation of the above equations are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}^{\prime 2}=c_{4} e^{c_{3} h_{1}}-\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}}, \quad h_{2}^{\prime 2}=c_{4}^{\prime} e^{-c_{3} h_{2}}-\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving the two equations in (3.6) we can get

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{c_{3}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2} c_{4}}+\frac{1}{c_{4}}\left(\frac{1}{c_{1}} \tan \frac{c_{3}\left(x_{1}+c_{5}\right)}{2 c_{1}}\right)^{2}\right),  \tag{3.7}\\
h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) & =-\frac{1}{c_{3}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2} c_{4}^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{c_{4}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{c_{1}} \tan \frac{c_{3}\left(x_{2}+c_{5}^{\prime}\right)}{2 c_{1}}\right)^{2}\right), \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{5}, c_{5}^{\prime}$ are constants.
According to (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{2}{c_{3}} \ln \left|\frac{\cos \frac{c_{3}\left(x_{2}+c_{5}^{\prime}\right)}{2 c_{1}}}{\cos \frac{c_{3}\left(x_{1}+c_{5}\right)}{2 c_{1}}}\right|+\frac{1}{c_{3}} \ln \frac{c_{4}^{\prime}}{c_{4}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.9) into (3.3), with some translation transformation, we obtain the case (2) in Theorem 3.1.

When $X_{1}^{\prime}=0$ or $X_{2}^{\prime}=0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $X_{1}^{\prime}=0$. Then we can derive from the second expression in (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. Since $X_{1}^{\prime}=0$, the equation (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime}}{G+c_{1}^{2}}=\frac{X_{2}^{\prime}}{X_{2}+c_{1}^{2}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the partial derivative of (3.11) with respect to $u_{1}$ one gets

$$
\left(\frac{G^{\prime}}{G+c_{1}^{2}}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

which indicates that there exists a constant $c_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime}}{G+c_{1}^{2}}=\frac{X_{2}^{\prime}}{X_{2}+c_{1}^{2}}=c_{3} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct computation show that the solutions of (3.12) are given by

$$
G=c_{4} e^{c_{3} w}-c_{1}^{2}, \quad X_{2}=c_{4}^{\prime} e^{c_{3} u_{2}}-c_{1}^{2}
$$

that is to say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime 2}=\frac{1}{c_{4} e^{c_{3} w}-c_{1}^{2}}, \quad h_{2}^{\prime 2}=c_{4}^{\prime} e^{c_{3} h_{2}}-c_{1}^{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{4}, c_{4}^{\prime}>0$ are constants.
By solving the two equations in (3.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F(w)=\frac{2}{c_{1} c_{3}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{c_{4} e^{c_{3} w}-c_{1}^{2}}}{c_{1}}+c_{5}, \\
h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{c_{3}} \ln \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{c_{4}^{\prime}}-\frac{2}{c_{3}} \ln \left|\cos \frac{c_{1} c_{3}\left(x_{2}+c_{6}\right)}{2}\right|, \tag{3.15}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{5}, c_{6}>0$ are constants.
When (3.10) and (3.15) are substituted in (3.14) we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{2}{c_{1} c_{3}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{c_{4} e^{c_{2} c_{3}}}{c_{4}^{\prime}} \times \frac{e^{c_{1} c_{3} x_{1}}}{\cos ^{2} \frac{c_{1} c_{3}\left(x_{2}+c_{6}\right)}{2}}-1}+c_{5} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

After making some translation, we can rewrite the equation (3.16) as the case (3) in Theorem 3.1.

From now on we make the assumption: $G^{\prime} X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Differentiating (3.2) with respect to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ respectively, one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{2}\right)=X_{2}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)-X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{1}\right)=X_{1}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.2), (3.17) and (3.18) we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& M\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) G^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime}\left[X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)+X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]  \tag{3.19}\\
& M\left(G+X_{2}\right) X_{1}^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime}\left[X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)+G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]  \tag{3.20}\\
& M\left(G+X_{1}\right) X_{2}^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime}\left[X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)-G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M=X_{1}^{\prime \prime} X_{2}^{\prime \prime} G^{\prime}-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime \prime} G^{\prime \prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime}$.
Differentiating (3.17) and (3.18) with respect to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ respectively, one gets three equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(G+X_{2}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)+G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{3.22}\\
& G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)-X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0  \tag{3.23}\\
& G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)-X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(G+X_{1}\right)-X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)-G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting equation (3.23) from equation (3.22) and (3.24) respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(G+X_{2}\right)=X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)+G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(G+X_{1}\right)=X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)-G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.23), (3.25) and (3.26) into (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21) respectively, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) G^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) G^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& M\left(G+X_{2}\right) X_{1}^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime}\left(G+X_{2}\right) X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& M\left(G+X_{1}\right) X_{2}^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime}\left(G+X_{1}\right) X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime} \neq 0$, it follows from the above three equations that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime \prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}}=\frac{X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X_{2}^{\prime}}=K, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $K$. Next, the proof will be divided into three parts.
Case 1. $K>0$. Let $K=k^{2}, k>0$. The solutions of (3.27) are of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=a_{0}+b_{0} \cosh (k w)+c_{0} \sinh (k w), \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=a_{1}+b_{1} \cosh \left(k u_{1}\right)+c_{1} \sinh \left(k u_{1}\right),  \tag{3.28}\\
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=a_{2}+b_{2} \cosh \left(k u_{2}\right)+c_{2} \sinh \left(k u_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq i \leq 2$. Observe that (3.28) must satisfy (3.2), these parameters $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ are restricted. Substituting (3.28) into (3.2) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1} \sinh \left(k u_{1}\right)+P_{2} \sinh \left(k u_{2}\right)+P_{3} \cosh \left(k u_{1}\right)+P_{4} \cosh \left(k u_{2}\right) \\
& +P_{5} \sinh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)+P_{6} \cosh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the above hyperbolic functions $\sinh \left(k u_{1}\right), \sinh \left(k u_{2}\right), \cosh \left(k u_{1}\right), \cosh \left(k u_{2}\right)$, $\sinh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)$ and $\cosh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)$ are linearly independent, all of $P_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ must be vanishing identically. We can deduce that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) b_{2}-b_{0} b_{1}+c_{0} c_{1}=0  \tag{3.29}\\
\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right) b_{1}-b_{0} b_{2}+c_{0} c_{2}=0 \\
\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) c_{2}-b_{1} c_{0}+b_{0} c_{1}=0 \\
\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right) c_{1}-b_{2} c_{0}+b_{0} c_{2}=0 \\
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{0}-b_{1} b_{2}-c_{1} c_{2}=0 \\
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) c_{0}-b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2. $K<0$. Let $K=-k^{2}, k>0$. The solutions of (3.27) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=a_{0}+b_{0} \cos (k w)+c_{0} \sin (k w), \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=a_{1}+b_{1} \cos \left(k u_{1}\right)+c_{1} \sin \left(k u_{1}\right),  \tag{3.30}\\
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=a_{2}+b_{2} \cos \left(k u_{2}\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(k u_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq i \leq 2$. Substituting (3.30) into (3.2) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1} \sin \left(k u_{1}\right)+P_{2} \sin \left(k u_{2}\right)+P_{3} \cos \left(k u_{1}\right)+P_{4} \cos \left(k u_{2}\right) \\
& +P_{5} \sin \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)+P_{6} \cos \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the above functions $\sin \left(k u_{1}\right), \sin \left(k u_{2}\right), \cos \left(k u_{1}\right), \cos \left(k u_{2}\right), \sin \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)$ and $\cos \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}\right)$ are linearly independent, all of $P_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ must be zero. So the
corresponding parameters $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ should satisfy the following relation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) b_{2}-b_{0} b_{1}-c_{0} c_{1}=0  \tag{3.31}\\
\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right) b_{1}-b_{0} b_{2}-c_{0} c_{2}=0 \\
\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) c_{2}-b_{1} c_{0}+b_{0} c_{1}=0 \\
\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right) c_{1}-b_{2} c_{0}+b_{0} c_{2}=0 \\
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{0}-b_{1} b_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}=0 \\
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) c_{0}-b_{1} c_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 3. $K=0$. Clearly, the solutions of (3.27) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=a_{0}+b_{0} w+c_{0} w^{2}, \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=a_{1}+b_{1} u_{1}+c_{1} u_{1}^{2},  \tag{3.32}\\
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=a_{2}+b_{2} u_{2}+c_{2} u_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq i \leq 2$. By inserting (3.32) into (3.2) one gets

$$
P_{0}+P_{1} u_{1}+P_{2} u_{2}+P_{3} u_{1} u_{2}+P_{4} u_{1}^{2}+P_{5} u_{2}^{2}+P_{6} u_{1}^{2} u_{2}+P_{7} u_{1} u_{2}^{2}=0
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{0}-\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right) b_{1}-\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) b_{2}=0  \tag{3.33}\\
2\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) c_{0}-2\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right) c_{1}-\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\right) b_{2}=0 \\
2\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) c_{0}-2\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) c_{2}-\left(b_{0}+b_{2}\right) b_{1}=0 \\
\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) c_{0}-\left(b_{0}+b_{2}\right) c_{1}=0 \\
\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right) c_{0}-\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\right) c_{2}=0 \\
\left(b_{0}+b_{2}\right) c_{1}+\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\right) c_{2}=0 \\
c_{0} c_{1}+c_{1} c_{2}+c_{0} c_{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently, we obtain the following characterization result:
Theorem 3.2. Let $f$ be a smooth quasi-sum production function given by (3.1) with $G^{\prime} X_{1}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then the production hypersurface of $f$ is minimal if and only if one of the following three cases holds:
(1) $K>0$. The functions $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $G$ are given by (3.28) and $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ must satisfy (3.29);
(2) $K<0$. The functions $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $G$ are given by (3.30) and $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ must satisfy (3.31);
(3) $K=0$. The functions $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $G$ are given by (3.32) and $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ must satisfy (3.33).
Remark 3.3. Here we use the similar technique developed in [12], where the authors investigated zero mean curvature surfaces in 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space. With this method, one could construct many examples of minimal surfaces, which are of great interest to geometers. For more examples on minimal production surfaces, see Section 5.

## 4. The case $n=3$

In this section, we focus on the case with three factors, namely $n=3$. In this case, the quasi-sum production takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=F\left(h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile, the equation (2.11) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime}\left(G+X_{2}+X_{3}\right) \\
& -X_{2}^{\prime}\left(G+X_{1}+X_{3}\right)-X_{3}^{\prime}\left(G+X_{1}+X_{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (4.2) with respect to $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ respectively, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& -\left(X_{2}^{\prime}+X_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0, \\
& G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)-X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{1}+X_{3}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& -\left(X_{1}^{\prime}+X_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0, \\
& G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)-X_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{1}+X_{2}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& -\left(X_{1}^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(G^{\prime}+X_{3}^{\prime}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating (4.3) with respect to $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)+G^{\prime \prime} X_{2}^{\prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{2}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& -X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(X_{2}^{\prime}+X_{3}^{\prime}\right) G^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
& G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)+G^{\prime \prime} X_{3}^{\prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{3}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
& -X_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(X_{2}^{\prime}+X_{3}^{\prime}\right) G^{\prime \prime}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting equation (4.6) from equation (4.7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G^{\prime \prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(X_{2}^{\prime}-X_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(X_{2}^{\prime \prime}-X_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof will be divided into the following situations:
Case A. At least two of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime}$ are equal to each other. We can certainly assume $X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=c_{1}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=c_{1} u_{i}+d_{i}, \quad i=2,3 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are real constants. For $c_{1} \neq 0$, taking into account (2.9) and integrating (4.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{i}+c_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{d_{i}}{c_{1}}, \quad i=2,3, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}^{\prime}, c_{3}^{\prime}$ are real constants.
Subtracting equation (4.3) from equation (4.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{1}^{\prime \prime}+c_{1}\right)\left(G^{\prime}+c_{1}\right)=X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{2}+X_{3}\right)+2 c_{1}\left(G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.11) with respect to $u_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-c_{1}\right)=X_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(G^{\prime}+c_{1}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase A.1. $X_{1}^{\prime}=c_{1}$. We thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=c_{1} u_{1}+d_{1} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $d_{1}$. At this time, (4.2) turns into

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right)-3 c_{1} G=2 c_{1}\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1}=0$, then $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=0$. From (4.14) we have $G^{\prime}=0$. Hence, the production function $f$ is a linear function.

Now we assume $c_{1} \neq 0$. Solving (4.14), we conclude that the solution is of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=c\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right)^{3}-\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right), \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a real constant. Since $X_{i}=h_{i}^{\prime 2}$ and $u_{i}=h_{i}$, by integrating (4.13) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{d_{1}}{c_{1}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}^{\prime}$ is a real constant. Taking into account (4.10), (4.15) and (4.16), we have

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=F\left(\frac{c_{1}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(x_{i}+c_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{c_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)
$$

where $F=\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{c\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right)^{3}-\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right)}} d w+c^{\prime}$ with a real constant $c^{\prime}$.
Subcase A.2. $G^{\prime}=-c_{1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=-c_{1} w+d_{0} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{0}$ is a real constant. Therefore, equation (4.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1} u_{1}-d_{0}-d_{2}-d_{3}\right) X_{1}^{\prime}-3 c_{1} X_{1}=-2 c_{1}\left(c_{1} u_{1}-d_{0}-d_{2}-d_{3}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1}=0$, then $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=G^{\prime}=0$, which implies that the production function $f$ is a linear function.

Now we assume $c_{1} \neq 0$. By the method of variation of parameters, we see that the solution of (4.18) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime}\left(c_{1} u_{1}-d_{0}-d_{2}-d_{3}\right)^{3}+\left(c_{1} u_{1}-d_{0}-d_{2}-d_{3}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}^{\prime}$ is a real constant. Since $X_{1}=h_{1}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1}\right), u_{1}=h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$, (4.19) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}^{\prime 2}=c_{1}^{\prime}\left(c_{1} h_{1}-d_{0}-d_{2}-d_{3}\right)^{3}+\left(c_{1} h_{1}-d_{0}-d_{2}-d_{3}\right) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating (4.17) one has

$$
F(w)=-\frac{2}{c_{1}} \sqrt{-c_{1} w+d_{0}}+c
$$

where $c$ is a real constant. Consequently,
$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(-\frac{4}{c_{1}} h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{c_{1}^{2}}\left(d_{0}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+c$,
where $h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is a solution of equation (4.20).
Subcase A.3. $X_{1}^{\prime} \neq c_{1}$ and $G^{\prime} \neq-c_{1}$. Equation (4.12) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}+c_{1}}=\frac{X_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{1}^{\prime}-c_{1}} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.21) with respect to $u_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}+c_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a constant $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}+c_{1}}=\frac{X_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{1}^{\prime}-c_{1}}=c_{2} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{1}^{\prime}+c_{1}}{X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}}=\frac{G^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}+c_{1}}=c_{2} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\prime}+c_{1}=c_{2}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}\right) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.25) with respect to $u_{1}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=c_{2} X_{1}^{\prime} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inserting (4.26) into (4.23), we thus get $c_{1} c_{2}=0$.
(1). When $c_{2}=0$, we can obtain from (4.23) and (4.25) that $G^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $X_{1}^{\prime}=-c_{1}$. The equation (4.3) can be written as $c_{1}\left(G^{\prime}-c_{1}\right)=0$.

If $c_{1}=0$, then $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=0$. From (4.2) we get that $G^{\prime}=0$, which implies that the production function $f$ is a linear function.

If $c_{1} \neq 0$, then $G^{\prime}=c_{1}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=c_{1} w+d_{0}, \\
& X_{1}=-c_{1} u_{1}+d_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By integrating the above two expressions, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
F(w) & =\frac{2}{c_{1}} \sqrt{c_{1} w+d_{0}}+c  \tag{4.27}\\
h_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) & =h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=-\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{d_{1}}{c_{1}} \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c, c_{1}^{\prime}$ are real constants.
At this time, the equation (4.2) reduces to $d_{0}+d_{1}-d_{2}-d_{3}=0$. By (4.10), (4.27) and (4.28) we have $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+c$.
(2). If $c_{1}=0$, then (4.25) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\prime}=c_{2}\left(X_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{2}=0$, we have actually proved that the production function $f$ must be a linear function.

If $c_{2} \neq 0$, by solving (4.29), we conclude that $X_{1}=c e^{c_{2} u_{1}}-d_{2}-d_{3}$ with $c>0$ being a constant. From (4.24) we see that $G=c_{0} e^{c_{2} w}+c_{3}$, where $c_{0}, c_{3}$ are real constants. At this time, the equation (4.2) reduces to $c_{3}+d_{2}+d_{3}=0$. Then we obtain the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=c_{0} e^{c_{2} w}-d_{2}-d_{3}, \\
& X_{1}=c e^{c_{2} u_{1}}-d_{2}-d_{3} \\
& X_{2}=d_{2} \\
& X_{3}=d_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account (2.9), solving the above equations give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(w)=\frac{2}{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{2}+d_{3}}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{c_{0} e^{c_{2} w}}{d_{2}+d_{3}}-1}+c_{0}^{\prime}, \\
& h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{c_{2}} \ln \left[\frac{1}{c}\left(d_{2}+d_{3}\right) \sec ^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{2}+d_{3}}}{2}\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\sqrt{d_{2}}\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right), \\
& h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{d_{3}}\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}, c_{3}^{\prime}$ are constants. Hence, it follows that
$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{2}{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{2}+d_{3}}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{c_{0}}{c} \sec ^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{2}+d_{3}}}{2} x_{1}\right) e^{\sqrt{d_{2}} x_{2}+\sqrt{d_{3}} x_{3}}-1}$,
where $c, c_{0}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ are positive constants.
Case B. $G^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime}$. Differentiating this equation with respect to $u_{2}$, we get $G^{\prime \prime}=0$. Suppose that $G^{\prime}=-X_{1}^{\prime}=c_{1}$ for a real constant $c_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=c_{1} w+d_{0} \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=-c_{1} u_{1}+d_{1} \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{0}, d_{1}$ are real constants. For $c_{1} \neq 0$, it follows from (2.9) and (4.30) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(w)=\frac{2}{c_{1}} \sqrt{c_{1} w+d_{0}}+c  \tag{4.31}\\
& h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=-\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{d_{1}}{c_{1}} \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c, c_{1}^{\prime}$ are real constants. It is easy to see that the equation (4.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(G+X_{1}+X_{3}\right)+\left(X_{2}^{\prime}+c_{1}\right)\left(X_{3}^{\prime}-c_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the equation (4.33) with respect to $u_{3}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{3}^{\prime}+c_{1}\right)+X_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{2}^{\prime}+c_{1}\right)=0 \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase B.1. $X_{2}^{\prime}=-c_{1}$ or $X_{3}^{\prime}=-c_{1}$. Without loss of generality, we can certainly suppose $X_{2}^{\prime}=-c_{1}$. So $X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=-c_{1} u_{2}+d_{2}$ for some real constant $d_{2}$, and the equation (4.2) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{3}^{\prime}-\frac{3 c_{1}}{c_{1} u_{3}+d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}} X_{3}=2 c_{1} . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1}=0$, then $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=G^{\prime}=0$, hence the production function $f$ is a linear function. If $c_{1} \neq 0$, solving (4.35) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{3}=c\left(c_{1} u_{3}+d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(c_{1} u_{3}+d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right), \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a real constant. Now we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{2}^{\prime 2}=-c_{1} h_{2}+d_{2}  \tag{4.37}\\
h_{3}^{\prime 2}=c\left(c_{1} h_{3}+d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right)^{3}-\left(c_{1} h_{3}+d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right) . \tag{4.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

By solving (4.37), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=-\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{d_{2}}{c_{1}} . \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (4.31), (4.32), (4.38) and (4.39), it may be concluded that

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{-\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{c_{1}} h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+\frac{4}{c_{1}^{2}}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right)}+c
$$

where $h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)$ is a solution of equation (4.38).
Subcase B.2. $X_{2}^{\prime} \neq-c_{1}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime} \neq-c_{1}$. By (4.34) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{2}^{\prime}+c_{1}}=-\frac{X_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{3}^{\prime}+c_{1}} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equation (4.40) with respect to $u_{2}$, we get

$$
\left(\frac{X_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{2}^{\prime}+c_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{2}^{\prime}+c_{1}}=-\frac{X_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{3}^{\prime}+c_{1}}=c_{2} . \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1). Consider $c_{2}=0$. Then $X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=X_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=a_{2} u_{2}+d_{2}, \\
& X_{3}\left(u_{3}\right)=a_{3} u_{3}+d_{3}, \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constants $a_{2}, a_{3}, d_{2}, d_{3}$, and $a_{2} \neq-c_{1}, a_{3} \neq-c_{1}$. By substituting (4.30) and (4.42) into (4.2), we get

$$
A u_{2}+B u_{3}+C=0,
$$

where $A=\left(a_{2}+c_{1}\right)\left(c_{1}-a_{3}\right), B=\left(a_{3}+c_{1}\right)\left(c_{1}-a_{2}\right), C=c_{1}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+2 d_{2}+2 d_{3}\right)-$ $a_{2}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{3}\right)-a_{3}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right)$. Since $u_{2}, u_{3}$ are linearly independent, $A, B$ and $C$ vanish identically. So we see that $a_{2}=a_{3}=c_{1} \neq 0$, and $d_{0}+d_{1}-d_{2}-d_{3}=0$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{2}^{\prime 2} & =c_{1} h_{2}+d_{2} \\
h_{3}^{\prime 2} & =c_{1} h_{3}+d_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By solving the above two equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{d_{2}}{c_{1}}  \tag{4.43}\\
& h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{4}\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{d_{3}}{c_{1}} \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{2}^{\prime}, c_{3}^{\prime}$ are real constants. Combining (4.31), (4.32), (4.43) and (4.44) we can assert that

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{-\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}+c .
$$

(2). In the case of $c_{2} \neq 0$, a straightforward computation shows that the solutions of (4.41) are of the forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=a_{2} e^{c_{2} u_{2}}-c_{1} u_{2}+d_{2}, \\
& X_{3}\left(u_{3}\right)=a_{3} e^{-c_{2} u_{3}}-c_{1} u_{3}+d_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{2}, a_{3}$ are real constants. Since $X_{2}^{\prime}, X_{3}^{\prime} \neq-c_{1}$, we obtain that $a_{2}, a_{3} \neq 0$. Then the expressions (4.4) and (4.5) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A u_{2}+B=0 \\
& C u_{3}+D=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=a_{2} c_{1} c_{2}^{2}, B=-2 a_{2} c_{1} c_{2}+a_{2} c_{2}^{2}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{3}\right), C=a_{3} c_{1} c_{2}^{2}, D=2 a_{3} c_{1} c_{2}+$ $a_{3} c_{2}^{2}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right)$. We must ensure that $A, B, C$ and $D$ are vanishing identically.

Note that $c_{2}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ are not equal to zero. It is easy to see that $d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}=0$ and $d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{3}=0$. An trivial verification shows that the equation (4.2) holds identically. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{F^{\prime 2}} & =d_{0} \\
h_{1}^{\prime 2} & =d_{1} \\
h_{2}^{\prime 2} & =a_{2} e^{c_{2} h_{2}}-d_{0}-d_{1} \\
h_{3}^{\prime 2} & =a_{3} e^{-c_{2} h_{3}}-d_{0}-d_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{2}, a_{3}, d_{0}, d_{1}$ are positive real constants. Integrating the above differential equations gives rise to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(w)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{0}}} w+c_{0}^{\prime} \\
& h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\sqrt{d_{1}}\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{c_{2}} \ln \left[\frac{d_{0}+d_{1}}{a_{2}} \sec ^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{0}+d_{1}}}{2}\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=-\frac{1}{c_{2}} \ln \left[\frac{d_{0}+d_{1}}{a_{3}} \sec ^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{0}+d_{1}}}{2}\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}$ are real constants. We thus get
$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{0}}}\left[\sqrt{d_{1}}\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{2}{c_{2}} \ln \frac{\cos \left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{0}+d_{1}}}{2}\left(x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\cos \left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{0}+d_{1}}}{2}\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)}+\frac{1}{c_{2}} \ln \frac{a_{3}}{a_{2}}\right]+c_{0}^{\prime}$.
Case C. $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime}$ are not equal to each other and $G^{\prime} \neq-X_{1}^{\prime},-X_{2}^{\prime},-X_{3}^{\prime}$. We can write (4.8) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{\prime \prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{G^{\prime}+X_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{X_{2}^{\prime \prime}-X_{3}^{\prime \prime}}{X_{2}^{\prime}-X_{3}^{\prime}} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (4.45) with respect to $u_{1}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-G^{\prime \prime 2}+X_{1}^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} G^{\prime}-X_{1}^{\prime} X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+X_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}=0 \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analysis similar to that in the proof of (4.46) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-G^{\prime \prime 2}+X_{2}^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} G^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}+X_{2}^{\prime \prime 2}=0 \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting equation (4.46) from equation (4.47), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}\right)-G^{\prime}\left(X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(X_{1}^{\prime} X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{2}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+\left(X_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}-X_{2}^{\prime \prime 2}\right)=0 \tag{4.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Subcase C.1. $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. By taking the partial derivative of (4.48) with respect to $u_{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{(4)}}{G^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}} \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way, one can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G^{(4)}}{G^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{3}^{\prime}}=\frac{X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X_{2}^{\prime}-X_{3}^{\prime}}=\frac{X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{2}^{\prime}}=K \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a real constant. It follows from (4.50) that

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\prime \prime \prime} & =K G^{\prime}+d  \tag{4.51}\\
X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =K X_{1}^{\prime}+m  \tag{4.52}\\
X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =K X_{2}^{\prime}+m  \tag{4.53}\\
X_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =K X_{3}^{\prime}+m, \tag{4.54}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m, d \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants.
Substituting (4.52) into (4.46), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-G^{\prime \prime 2}-m G^{\prime}=X_{1}^{\prime} X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}-d X_{1}^{\prime} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-G^{\prime \prime 2}-m G^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime} X_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{2}^{\prime \prime 2}-d X_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{4.56}\\
& G^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-G^{\prime \prime 2}-m G^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime} X_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}-X_{3}^{\prime \prime 2}-d X_{3}^{\prime} \tag{4.57}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4.55)-(4.57) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime} G^{\prime \prime \prime}-G^{\prime \prime 2}-m G^{\prime}=k_{1} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Substituting (4.51) into (4.58), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
K G^{2}-G^{\prime \prime 2}+(d-m) G^{\prime}=k_{1} \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.59) with respect to $u_{1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K G^{\prime}-2 G^{\prime \prime \prime}+(d-m)=0 \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.51) into (4.60), we obtain

$$
m+d=0 .
$$

Hence, we can rewrite (4.51) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime \prime \prime}=K G^{\prime}-m \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving (4.52)-(4.54) and (4.61), we get the following three cases:
When $K=k^{2}>0$ with $k>0$, the solutions to equations (4.51)-(4.54) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=a_{0} \cosh (k w)+b_{0} \sinh (k w)+\alpha w+c_{0} \\
& X_{i}=a_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}\right)+b_{i} \sinh \left(k u_{i}\right)-\alpha u_{i}+c_{i} \tag{4.62}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ and $\alpha=\frac{m}{k^{2}}=\frac{m}{K}$ are real constants. Since (4.62) must satisfy (4.2), these parameters are restricted. Substituting (4.62) into (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i} \sinh \left(k u_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} B_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}\right)-\alpha k \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} u_{i} \sinh \left(k u_{i}\right)-\alpha k \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i} u_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} C_{i} \sinh \left(k u_{i}+k u_{j}\right)+\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} D_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}+k u_{j}\right)+A_{4} \sinh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right) \\
& +B_{4} \cosh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right)-a_{0} \alpha k\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right) \sinh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right) \\
& -b_{0} \alpha k\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right) \cosh \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right)+E=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since these hyperbolic functions are linearly independent, all of the coefficients must be zero.

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $a_{i}, b_{i}=0,0 \leq i \leq 3$, which contradicts to $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.

If $\alpha=0$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} a_{1}-b_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} a_{3}-b_{2} b_{3}=0,  \tag{4.63}\\
a_{0} a_{2}-b_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} a_{3}-b_{1} b_{3}=0, \\
a_{0} a_{3}-b_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}-b_{1} b_{2}=0, \\
a_{1} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2}=0, \\
a_{2} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1}=0, \\
a_{3} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}=0, \\
b_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
b_{1}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
b_{2}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
b_{3}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0, \\
a_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{1}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{2}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{3}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the equations (4.62) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=a_{0} \cosh (k w)+b_{0} \sinh (k w)+c_{0}  \tag{4.64}\\
& X_{i}=a_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}\right)+b_{i} \sinh \left(k u_{i}\right)+c_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3 \tag{4.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, at most one of $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ is zero. According to the seventh and the eleventh equations of (4.63), we can obtain $c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$. The proof falls naturally into four subcases.
(1). $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=X_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Then $a_{i}=b_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=0$, which contradicts to the assumption of Case C.
(2). One of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}, X_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ is not equal to 0 . Without loss of generality we can assume that $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then $a_{1}=b_{1}=a_{2}=b_{2}=0$, and $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=0$, which contradicts the assumption of Case C.
(3). Two of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}, X_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ are not equal to 0 . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0, X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0, X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then $a_{1}=b_{1}=0$, at most one of the terms $a_{2}, b_{2}$ is 0 , at most one of $a_{3}, b_{3}$ is 0 and $c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}=0, c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}=0$.

If $a_{0}, b_{0}, a_{2}, b_{2}, a_{3}, b_{3} \neq 0$, the first, second and sixth equations of (4.63) reduce to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{2} a_{3}+b_{2} b_{3}=0  \tag{4.66}\\
a_{0} a_{2}-b_{0} b_{2}=0 \\
a_{3} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, the above three equations are contradictory.
If $a_{0}=0, b_{0} \neq 0\left(\right.$ or $\left.a_{0} \neq 0, b_{0}=0\right)$, then $a_{2}=b_{2}=a_{3}=b_{3}=0$, which contradicts to $X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ and $X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.

If $a_{2}=0, b_{2} \neq 0\left(\right.$ or $\left.a_{2} \neq 0, b_{2}=0\right)$, then $a_{0}=b_{0}=a_{3}=b_{3}=0$, which contradicts to $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ and $X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.

If $a_{3}=0, b_{3} \neq 0$ (or $a_{3} \neq 0, b_{3}=0$ ), then $a_{0}=b_{0}=a_{2}=b_{2}=0$, which contradicts to $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ and $X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.
(4). All of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ are not equal to 0 . Then (4.63) can be
rewritten as $c_{0}=c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} a_{1}-b_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} a_{3}-b_{2} b_{3}=0  \tag{4.67}\\
a_{0} a_{2}-b_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} a_{3}-b_{1} b_{3}=0 \\
a_{0} a_{3}-b_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}-b_{1} b_{2}=0 \\
a_{1} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2}=0 \\
a_{2} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1}=0 \\
a_{3} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where at least one of $a_{i}, b_{i}$ is nonzero for any $0 \leq i \leq 3$.
When $K=-k^{2}<0$ with $k>0$, the solutions of (4.51)-(4.54) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=a_{0} \cos (k w)+b_{0} \sin (k w)+\alpha w+c_{0} \\
& X_{i}=a_{i} \cos \left(k u_{i}\right)+b_{i} \sin \left(k u_{i}\right)-\alpha u_{i}+c_{i} \tag{4.68}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ and $\alpha=-\frac{m}{k^{2}}=\frac{m}{K}$ are real constants. Since (4.68) must satisfy (4.2), these parameters are restricted. Substituting (4.68) into (4.2) gives rise to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i} \sin \left(k u_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} B_{i} \cos \left(k u_{i}\right)+\alpha k \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} u_{i} \sin \left(k u_{i}\right)-\alpha k \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i} u_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} C_{i} \sin \left(k u_{i}+k u_{j}\right)+\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} D_{i} \cos \left(k u_{i}+k u_{j}\right)+A_{4} \sin \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right) \\
& +B_{4} \cos \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right)+a_{0} \alpha k\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right) \sin \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right) \\
& -b_{0} \alpha k\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right) \cos \left(k u_{1}+k u_{2}+k u_{3}\right)+E=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since these trigonometric functions are linearly independent, all of the coefficients must be zero.

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $a_{i}, b_{i}=0,0 \leq i \leq 3$, which contradicts to $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.
If $\alpha=0$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} a_{1}+b_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} a_{3}+b_{2} b_{3}=0,  \tag{4.69}\\
a_{0} a_{2}+b_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} a_{3}+b_{1} b_{3}=0, \\
a_{0} a_{3}+b_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}+b_{1} b_{2}=0, \\
a_{1} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2}=0, \\
a_{2} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1}=0, \\
a_{3} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}=0, \\
b_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
b_{1}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
b_{2}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
b_{3}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0, \\
a_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{1}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{2}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{3}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the equations (4.68) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=a_{0} \cos (k w)+b_{0} \sin (k w)+c_{0} \\
& X_{i}=a_{i} \cos \left(k u_{i}\right)+b_{i} \sin \left(k u_{i}\right)+c_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3 \tag{4.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, there is one possibility: $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}, X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then (4.69) can be rewritten as $c_{0}=c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} a_{1}+b_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} a_{3}+b_{2} b_{3}=0  \tag{4.71}\\
a_{0} a_{2}+b_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} a_{3}+b_{1} b_{3}=0 \\
a_{0} a_{3}+b_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} a_{2}+b_{1} b_{2}=0 \\
a_{1} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{2}=0 \\
a_{2} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{2}-a_{1} b_{3}-a_{3} b_{1}=0 \\
a_{3} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{3}-a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where at least one of $a_{i}, b_{i}$ is nonzero for any $0 \leq i \leq 3$.
Consider $K=0$. For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, the solutions of (4.51)-(4.54) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=-\frac{m}{6} w^{3}+a_{0} w^{2}+b_{0} w+c_{0} \\
& X_{i}=\frac{m}{6} u_{i}^{3}+a_{i} u_{i}^{2}+b_{i} u_{i}+c_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3 \tag{4.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (4.72) into (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \beta^{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{3} u_{i}^{4} u_{j}+6 \beta^{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{3} u_{i}^{2} u_{j}^{3}+18 \beta^{2} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^{3} u_{i} u_{j}^{2} u_{k}^{2}+12 \beta^{2} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^{3} u_{i} u_{j} u_{k}^{3} \\
& -\beta \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(a_{0}+a_{i}\right) u_{i}^{4}-4 a_{0} \beta \sum_{i \neq j}^{3} u_{i} u_{j}^{3}-6 a_{0} \beta \sum_{i \neq j}^{3} u_{i}^{2} u_{j}^{2} \\
& +6 \beta\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}-a_{0}\right) \sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^{3} u_{i} u_{j} u_{k}^{2}-2 \beta \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(b_{i}+b_{0}\right) u_{i}^{3}+\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} A_{i} u_{i} u_{j}^{2} \\
& -4 a_{0}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}\right) u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} B_{i} u_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} C_{i} u_{i} u_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} D_{i} u_{i}+E=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta=\frac{m}{6}$ is a real constant. Since these functions are linearly independent, all of the coefficients must be zero. It is clear that $\beta=\frac{m}{6}=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)-b_{1}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)-b_{2}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)-b_{3}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0, \\
& 2 a_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)-2 a_{1}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)-\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)=0, \\
& 2 a_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)-2 a_{2}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)-\left(b_{1}+b_{3}\right)\left(b_{0}+b_{2}\right)=0, \\
& 2 a_{0}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)-2 a_{3}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)\left(b_{0}+b_{3}\right)=0, \\
& a_{0}\left(b_{1}-b_{2}-b_{3}\right)-a_{1}\left(b_{0}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)=0, \\
& a_{0}\left(b_{2}-b_{1}-b_{3}\right)-a_{2}\left(b_{0}+b_{1}+b_{3}\right)=0, \\
& a_{0}\left(b_{3}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)-a_{3}\left(b_{0}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)=0, \\
& a_{1}\left(b_{0}+b_{2}\right)+a_{2}\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\right)+a_{0} b_{3}=0, \\
& a_{1}\left(b_{0}+b_{3}\right)+a_{3}\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\right)+a_{0} b_{2}=0, \\
& a_{2}\left(b_{0}+b_{3}\right)+a_{3}\left(b_{0}+b_{2}\right)+a_{0} b_{1}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}\left(a_{0}+a_{2}\right)+a_{0} a_{2}=0, \\
& a_{1}\left(a_{0}+a_{3}\right)+a_{0} a_{3}=0, \\
& a_{2}\left(a_{0}+a_{3}\right)+a_{0} a_{3}=0, \\
& a_{0}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equations (4.72) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=a_{0} w^{2}+b_{0} w+c_{0} \\
& X_{i}=a_{i} u_{i}^{2}+b_{i} u_{i}+c_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3 \tag{4.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, we have $a_{0} \neq 0$, and then $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=0$. We next analyze the four subcases.
(1). $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=X_{3}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Then $a_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq 3$. This gives $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=$ $0, c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$. This contradicts to the fact that $X_{i}=h_{i}^{\prime 2}=c_{i}>0,1 \leq i \leq 3$.
(2). Only one of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ is not equal to 0 . Without loss of generality, suppose $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0, X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then $a_{1}=a_{2}=0, a_{3} \neq 0$. This contradicts to the fact that $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=0$.
(3). Two of the terms $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ are not equal to 0 . Without loss of generality, we suppose $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=0, X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0, X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then $a_{1}=0, a_{2} \neq 0, a_{3} \neq 0$. This clearly forces $a_{0}=0$, which contradicts to the fact that $G^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.
(4). $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{2}^{\prime \prime}, X_{3}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. Then $a_{i} \neq 0,1 \leq i \leq 3$. It follow that $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}$, and then $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, a contradiction.
Subcase C.2. $G^{\prime \prime}=0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=c w+d \tag{4.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, d$ are real constants. Therefore, equation (4.46) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(c+X_{1}^{\prime}\right) X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+X_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}=0 \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving (4.75), the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=-c u_{1}+a_{1} e^{b_{1} u_{1}}+c_{1} . \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=-c u_{i}+a_{i} e^{b_{i} u_{i}}+c_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3 \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, substituting (4.77) into (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -c \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} b_{i} u_{i} e^{b_{i} u_{i}}+\sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^{3}\left[3 a_{i} c-a_{i} b_{i}\left(d+c_{j}+c_{k}\right)\right] e^{b_{i} u_{i}} \\
& -\sum_{i \neq j}^{3} a_{i} a_{j}\left(b_{i}+b_{j}\right) e^{b_{i} u_{i}+b_{j} u_{j}}+3 c\left(d+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since these exponential functions are linearly independent, all of the coefficients must vanish.

If $c \neq 0$, and hence

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0  \tag{4.78}\\
a_{1} b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}=a_{3} b_{3}=0 \\
3 a_{1} c-a_{1} b_{1}\left(d+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0 \\
3 a_{2} c-a_{2} b_{2}\left(d+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)=0 \\
3 a_{3} c-a_{3} b_{3}\left(d+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0 \\
a_{1} a_{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)=0 \\
a_{1} a_{3}\left(b_{1}+b_{3}\right)=0 \\
a_{2} a_{3}\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, (4.78) reduce to $d+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0$; if $a_{1}=a_{2}=b_{3}=$ 0 , according to (4.78), we obtain $a_{3}=0$. Similarly, if $a_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=0$ or $b_{1}=b_{2}=b_{3}=0$, we can deduce that $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$. Hence, we obtain $X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{3}^{\prime}=-c$, which contradicts to the assumption that the terms $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime}$ are not equal to each other.

If $c=0$, and hence

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} b_{1}\left(d+c_{2}+c_{3}\right)=0,  \tag{4.79}\\
a_{2} b_{2}\left(d+c_{1}+c_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{3} b_{3}\left(d+c_{1}+c_{2}\right)=0, \\
a_{1} a_{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right)=0, \\
a_{1} a_{3}\left(b_{1}+b_{3}\right)=0, \\
a_{2} a_{3}\left(b_{2}+b_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, the equations (4.77) and (4.74) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{i}=a_{i} e^{b_{i} u_{i}}+c_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3  \tag{4.80}\\
& G=d \tag{4.81}
\end{align*}
$$

As $G=\frac{1}{F^{\prime 2}}$ we have $G=d>0$. According to the assumption that $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime}$ are not equal to each other and $G^{\prime} \neq-X_{1}^{\prime},-X_{2}^{\prime},-X_{3}^{\prime}$, we can obtain that $a_{i}, b_{i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, which contradicts to (4.79).

In summary, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 4.1. Let $f$ be a differentiable quasi-sum production function given by (4.1) and denote $X_{i}=h_{i}^{\prime 2}$ and $G=\frac{1}{F^{\prime 2}}$. Then the production hypersurface of $f$ is minimal if and only if, up to a suitable translation, one of the following cases holds:
(1) The production function $f$ is a linear function;
(2) The function is given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=F\left(\frac{c_{1}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{c_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)
$$

where $c_{1} \neq 0$ and $F=\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{c\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right)^{3}-\left(c_{1} w+\sum_{i=1}^{3} d_{i}\right)}} d w$;
(3) The function is given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{-\frac{4}{c_{1}} h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}+\frac{4}{c_{1}^{2}}\left(d_{0}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right)}
$$

where $c_{1}<0$ and $h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ is a solution of equation (4.20);
(4) The function is given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-x_{1}^{2}}
$$

(5) The function is given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{2}{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{2}+d_{3}}} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{c_{0}}{c} \sec ^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{2}+d_{3}}}{2} x_{1}\right) e^{\sqrt{d_{2}} x_{2}+\sqrt{d_{3}} x_{3}}-1},
$$

where $c, c_{0}, d_{2}, d_{3}$ are positive constants;
(6) The function is given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\sqrt{-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}+\frac{4}{c_{1}} h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+\frac{4}{c_{1}^{2}}\left(d_{0}+d_{1}+d_{2}\right)}
$$

where $c_{1} \neq 0$ and $h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)$ is a solution of equation (4.38);
(7) The function is given by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{0}}}\left[\sqrt{d_{1}} x_{1}+\frac{2}{c_{2}} \ln \frac{\cos \left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{0}+d_{1}}}{2} x_{3}\right)}{\cos \left(\frac{c_{2} \sqrt{d_{0}+d_{1}}}{2} x_{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{c_{2}} \ln \frac{a_{3}}{a_{2}}\right]
$$

where $d_{0}, d_{1}$ are positive constants, and $a_{2}, a_{3}, c_{2}$ are nonzero constants;
(8) Case $K=k^{2}, k>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=a_{0} \cosh (k w)+b_{0} \sinh (k w), \\
& X_{i}=a_{i} \cosh \left(k u_{i}\right)+b_{i} \sinh \left(k u_{i}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ satisfy the relations (4.67);
(9) Case $K=-k^{2}, k>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G=a_{0} \cos (k w)+b_{0} \sin (k w), \\
& X_{i}=a_{i} \cos \left(k u_{i}\right)+b_{i} \sin \left(k u_{i}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 3)$ satisfy the relations (4.71).

## 5. Final remark and examples

Note that, in fact, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 provide a new method to construct new minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{4}$. Generally, it is hard to give explicit parametric equations of minimal hypersurfaces, but this is quite important in differential geometry. For $n>3$, the situation becomes more complicated and we leave this problem for further study.

In the next, we state three examples of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1.
Example 5.1. Consider $K>0$ in Theorem 3.2. Without loss of generality we can assume that $k=1$. Consider the following constants:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{0}=0, & b_{0}=1, & c_{0}=-1 \\
a_{1}=0, & b_{1}=1, & c_{1}=-1 \\
a_{2}=2, & b_{2}=2, & c_{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=\cosh w-\sinh w=e^{-w} \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=\cosh u_{1}-\sinh u_{1}=e^{-u_{1}}  \tag{5.1}\\
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=-2+2 \cosh u_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving (5.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(w)=2 e^{\frac{w}{2}}+c^{\prime} \\
& h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=2 \ln \frac{\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)}{2} \\
& h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=2 \ln \tan \frac{\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ are real constants. So we obtain a family of two-factor quasi-sum production functions with minimality as follows:

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right) \tan \frac{\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)}{2}+c^{\prime}
$$

Example 5.2. Consider $K=0$ in Theorem 3.2. We choose the following constants:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0}=0, & b_{0}=-4, \quad c_{0}=4 \\
a_{1}=0, & b_{1}=4, \quad c_{1}=0 \\
a_{2}=0, & b_{2}=4, \quad c_{2}=0
\end{array}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=4 w^{2}-4 w \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=4 u_{1}  \tag{5.2}\\
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=4 u_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving (5.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(w)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(2 w-1+\sqrt{4 w^{2}-4 w}\right)+c^{\prime} \\
& h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ are real constants. Hence one gets another a family of minimal quasi-sum production functions with two inputs as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(2\left(\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-1\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{4\left(\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}-4\left(\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)+c^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 5.3. Let $K>0$ in Theorem 4.1. Without loss of generality we can assume that $k=1$. Consider the following constants:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{0}=1, & b_{0}=1 \\
a_{1}=1, & b_{1}=1 \\
a_{2}=1, & b_{2}=1, \\
a_{3}=1, & b_{3}=-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(w)=\cosh w+\sinh w=e^{w} \\
& X_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=\cosh u_{1}+\sinh u_{1}=e^{u_{1}}  \tag{5.3}\\
& X_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=\cosh u_{2}+\sinh u_{2}=e^{u_{2}} \\
& X_{3}\left(u_{3}\right)=\cosh u_{3}-\sinh u_{3}=e^{-u_{3}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Solving (5.3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(w)=-2 e^{-\frac{w}{2}}+c^{\prime} \\
& h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=-2 \ln \left(-\frac{x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}}{2}\right) \\
& h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=-2 \ln \left(-\frac{x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}}{2}\right), \\
& h_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=2 \ln \left(\frac{x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}$ and $c_{3}^{\prime}$ are constants. Hence we obtain a family of minimal quasi-sum production models with three inputs as follows:

$$
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\frac{\left(x_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{2}+c_{2}^{\prime}\right)}{x_{3}+c_{3}^{\prime}}+c^{\prime} .
$$
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