The ecological importance of moss ground cover in dry shrubland restoration within an irrigated agricultural landscape matrix

Rebecca Dollery¹, Mike Bowie¹, and Nicholas Dickinson¹

¹Lincoln University Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences

September 28, 2021

Abstract

1. Kānuka (*Kunzea serotina*, Myrtaceae) dryland shrubland communities of the lowland plains of South Island (Te Wai Pounamu) New Zealand (Aoteoroa) contain a ground cover largely consisting of mosses, predominantly *Hypnum cupressiforme*. There has been no previous study of the role of mosses in this threatened habitat which is currently being restored within a contemporary irrigated and intensively-farmed landscape that may be incompatible with this component of the ecosystem. 2. The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of moss ground cover on hydrology, nitrogen (N) availability and vascular plant interactions, and in relation to nutrient spillover from adjacent farmland. Experimental work was a combination of glasshouse experiments and field-based studies. 3. Extremes of soil temperature and moisture were found to be mediated by the moss carpet, which also influenced N speciation; available N declined with moss depth. The moss layer decreased the amount of germination and establishment of vascular plants but, in some cases, enhanced their growth. Spillover of mineral nitrogen and phosphate from farmland enhanced invasion of exotic grasses which may have benefited from conditions provided by the moss carpet. 4. Synthesis: We found the moss layer to be crucial to ecosystem functioning in these dry habitats with low nutrient substrate. However, when the moss layer is accompanied by nutrient spillover it has the potential to increase exotic weed encroachment. Our results emphasise the importance of non-vascular plant inclusion in restoration schemes but also highlights the importance of mitigating for nutrient spillover.

The ecological importance of moss ground cover in dry shrubland restoration within an irrigated agricultural landscape matrix

Rebecca Dollery ^{1,*}, Mike H Bowie¹, Nicholas M Dickinson¹

¹ Department of Pest-Management and Conservation, Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand.

*Author for correspondence (Email: Rebecca.Dollery@lincolnuni.ac.nz)

Data accessibility statement: We confirm that should the manuscript be accepted, the data will be archived in an appropriate public repository (Dryad) and the data DOI included at the end of the article.

Abstract

- 1. Kānuka (*Kunzea serotina*, Myrtaceae) dryland shrubland communities of the lowland plains of South Island (Te Wai Pounamu) New Zealand (Aoteoroa) contain a ground cover largely consisting of mosses, predominantly *Hypnum cupressiforme*. There has been no previous study of the role of mosses in this threatened habitat which is currently being restored within a contemporary irrigated and intensively-farmed landscape that may be incompatible with this component of the ecosystem.
- 2. The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of moss ground cover on hydrology, nitrogen (N) availability and vascular plant interactions, and in relation to nutrient spillover from adjacent farmland. Experimental work was a combination of glasshouse experiments and field-based studies.

- 3. Extremes of soil temperature and moisture were found to be mediated by the moss carpet, which also influenced N speciation; available N declined with moss depth. The moss layer decreased the amount of germination and establishment of vascular plants but, in some cases, enhanced their growth. Spillover of mineral nitrogen and phosphate from farmland enhanced invasion of exotic grasses which may have benefited from conditions provided by the moss carpet.
- 4. Synthesis : We found the moss layer to be crucial to ecosystem functioning in these dry habitats with low nutrient substrate. However, when the moss layer is accompanied by nutrient spillover it has the potential to increase exotic weed encroachment. Our results emphasise the importance of non-vascular plant inclusion in restoration schemes but also highlights the importance of mitigating for nutrient spillover.

Keywords : biodiversity conservation; ecological restoration; ecosystem function and services; *Hypnum* cupressiforme; kānuka; *Kunzea*; mineral nitrogen; soil moisture.

Introduction

Most mosses are poikilohydric and ectohydric, with limited ability to regulate water loss, acquiring moisture and nutrients via external conduction from surface water or dry deposition (rainfall, mist droplets and airborne dust) (Proctor et al. 2007). In arctic, boreal and arid ecosystems, prolific ground cover has enabled mosses to exert influence on soil temperature and nitrogen availability, evapotranspiration and vascular plant interactions (Belnap 2006; Betts et al. 1999; Bonan and Shugart 1989; During and Tooren 1990; Gornall et al. 2007). It has been suggested that mosses can be a potential source of nutrients and moisture to vascular plants, supplying leaked nutrients from their cells upon rehydration (Wilson and Coxson 1999), or possibly through associations with mycorrhiza networks (Davey and Currah 2006). The moss layer can also assist germinating seeds and seedlings, buffering harsh abiotic conditions and providing camouflage against seed predation (During and Tooren 1990; Rayburn et al. 2012). Conversely, mosses may limit available resources to surrounding vascular plants by sequestering nutrient precipitation inputs and accumulating organic matter (Cornelissen et al. 2007). An association with cyanobacteria fixes nitrogen which is retained by the moss rather than releasing it into the soil (Rousk et al. 2016). In the arctic, alteration of soil temperature and moisture have been shown to influence microbe activity and rates of mineralisation and nitrification (Gornall et al. 2007).

The role of mosses in ecosystem function is complex and are often poorly understood (Bond-Lamberty et al. 2011; Chamizo et al. 2016) and research surrounding the topic in New Zealand is almost entirely lacking (DeLucia et al. 2003; Michel et al. 2013) in spite of mosses accounting for >90% ground cover in many habitats (Pfeiffer 2003). Even in some of the driest habitats mosses, and particularly *Hypnum cupressiforme*, forms an almost continuous carpet, for example under stands of the canopy shrub kānuka (*Kunzea serotina*, Myrtaceae) of lowland New Zealand (Beever 1986; Macmillan 1976; Molloy and Ives 1972).

This research aimed to explore the role of the moss carpet within the kānuka stands associated with ecological restoration of a dryland habitat that has virtually disappeared from the contemporary irrigated intensively-farmed landscapes of the lowland plains of eastern South Island in New Zealand (Bowie et al. 2016). In view of their natural ground cover prominence, it is likely that mosses have a functional role in the restoration of these ecosystems. It is also possible that these highly modified landscapes are unsuitable for the establishment of mosses. This has perspectives both in terms of the possible juxtaposition of these two land uses, and also whether restoration of dryland habitat has a role in provision of ecosystem services.

Site and Methods

Site Description

Field experiments were undertaken in two semi-natural shrubland remnants located on the Canterbury Plains: an 18 ha privately owned remnant (Spencer-Bower Remnant, SBR), -43°42'91.13" S, 172°43'53.98" E)

and a Department of Conservation 2.3 ha Scientific Reserve at Eyrewell (ESR -43°38'31.56" S, 172°19'46.43"). Both were fenced but surrounded by irrigated dairy pasture since the 1990's for ESR and 2014 for SBR. These remnants comprised canopies of kānuka (*Kunzea serotina* de Lange and Toelken, Myrtaceae) with a sparse understory containing native and adventive species of vascular plants, including prickly mingimingi (*Leptecophylla juniperina* C.M. Weiller, Ericaceae) and a pioneer species *Pomaderris amoena* Colenso, Rhamnaceae . In excess of 70% of ground cover consisted of bryophytes, mainly *H. cupressiforme* Hedwe. var. *cupressiforme* . The climate of the region is dry with a prevalence of strong north-westerly föhn winds, warm summers, cool winters and < 650 mm rainfall, leading to low humidity and high evapotranspiration rates (Macara 2016). The predominant soil type at the sites is classified as Lismore, a free draining, shallow, stony silty loam of low fertility (pH 5.1, nitrate 0.21 mg L⁻¹, and ammonium 1.64 mg L⁻¹, Olsen P 8.34 µg g⁻¹).

Soil Moisture and Temperature Sampling

Soil moisture was measured during winter and summer months. Six depths of moss (0 - 1; 1.1 - 2; 2.1 - 4; 4.1 - 6; 6.1 - 8; >8 cm) were idenitifed and sampled within the ESR remnant. Three soil cores (5 cm diameter, 7.5 cm depth) were extracted and bulked under each moss depth prior to analysis. Gravimetric soil moisture content was determined on freshly-collected field-moist soil sieved to 4 mm (Blakemore et al. 1987). Soil temperature was measured beneath three different depths of moss (0, 3, 9 cm; n=4) for 19 days during the winter (June 2016) and summer (December 2016 and January 2017). HOBO(R) Pro v2 weatherproof data loggers connected with 1.8m cables to two external temperature probes were placed 5 cm beneath the soil surface and left *in situ* for 3 days to calibrate prior to data collection. Four data loggers failed during winter and two during summer under the 9 cm moss covering.

Available Soil Nitrogen Analysis

Available nitrogen in the soil was determined from fresh soil samples collected under four depths of moss (0, 3, 6 and 9 cm) during the winter and summer months within ESR (n=8) and under three depths of moss (0, 3 and 8 cm) from SBR (n>13). Gravimetric moisture content was determined and a further 4 g subsample of field moist soil was extracted with 2M KCl (potassium chloride) to estimate nitrate (NH₃⁻-N) and ammonium (NH₄⁺-N) concentrations using standard methodologies (Blakemore et al. 1987). The extracts were analysed using Flow Injection Analyser (FIA) (Foss FIAstar 5000 triple channel with SoFIA software V1.30).

Glasshouse Study

The effect of the moss layer on establishment and growth of vascular plants was investigated in a glasshouse experiment. Seeds of native broom (*Carmichaelia australis*, n=5) K. serotina and P. amoena (n=10) were cleaned, inspected for damage under a microscope and placed together in 20 trays, each containing one of five treatments (n=4): Eyrewell soil (to 2 cm depth) and four H. cupressiforme moss layers of 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 cm depth on Eyrewell soil. Based on earlier findings, P. amoena seeds were pre-treated in boiled water 12 hours immediately prior to use. Trays were located inside an automatically watered mist tent located in a glasshouse. Seeds were monitored weekly for germination and left to establish for 6 months, apart from K. serotina which was left for 4 months. On completion of the experiment, plants were carefully harvested, washed, and oven-dried (72 hrs, 60°C) and soil moisture content and KCl-extractable nitrate and ammonium were determined.

Field Study

Within each remnant, 6 transects of 80 m were identified starting at the fenceline, adjacent to the irrigated pasture, and towards the opposite edge comprising a road (south-north, centre point approximately 50 m). Measurements were taken at the fenceline and at 10 m intervals. At each sampling point plant composition and percentage cover, for vascular and non-vascular plants, was collected using a 1 m²quadrat. Three soil cores (2.5 cm diameter and 7.5 cm depth) were bulked from each quadrat. Soil samples were analysed for

moisture and KCL extractable nitrogen. The remainder of the samples were air dried, ground and sieved to 2mm. Plant available P was analysed following the Olsen P method . The resultant Murphy Riley extractant was read at 880 nm on a Shimadzu UV mini-1240 spectrophotometer. Soil pH was analysed using a suspension of 10 g air-dried soil with 25 mL dionised water left to stabilise for 12 h and analysed using S20 SevenEasyTM pH meter.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analysis and graphical representation was carried out using Minitab® (V 17.2.1) and SigmaPlot (V 12.3). Pearson's correlation was used to define the relationship between moss depth and soil moisture and temperature. Data were analysed using ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD tests and two-way ANOVA, and Kruskal-Wallis tests for germination and establishment experiments.

Results

Soil Moisture and Temperature

Gravimetric soil moisture was positively correlated with moss depth during the summer (r = 0.35) and negatively correlated during the winter months (r = -0.39, Fig. 1). Moisture was highest under thicker moss $(F_{5,79}=3.60, p < 0.01)$ in winter and vice versa in summer $(F_{5,107}=3.91; p < 0.005)$. There was marginally less fluctuation of soil temperature when the surface was covered by moss (Fig. 1). In winter, temperatures were lower under moss cover in the daytime and higher during the night $(F_{1,908}=21.93; p < 0.001)$; mean diurnal fluctuation of bare soil was 1.3° C compared to 0.4° C under a thin layer of moss. During the summer, there was a diurnal fluctuation of 1.39° C for bare soil and 0.68° C for the thin moss cover $(F_{2,4554}=22.55; p < 0.001)$. In summer, no differences in daytime soil temperature could be attributed to the moss layers.

Soil Nitrogen

Soil ammonium and nitrate concentrations in bare soil were within the range expected for typical soils (2-30 mg L⁻¹ and 1-20 mg L⁻¹ respectively (Allen et al. 1989)) although nitrate levels were low and below 1.0 mg L⁻¹ in winter (Fig. 2). Both nitrate and ammonium were higher in bare soil than under a moss covering (p < 0.05).

Glasshouse Study

By the end of the experimental period, germination rates were highest in bare soil for *C. australis* (H(4)=13.06, p = 0.011) as were establishment rates for *C. australis* (H(4)=15.54, p = 0.004) and *K. serotina* (H(4)=10.75, p = 0.029) (Fig. 3).*Kunzea serotina* biomass was highest in bare soil (H(4)=36.09, p < 0.001), whilst moss cover increased biomass for *P. amoena*; particularly robust plants were observed beneath the deeper moss layers (H(4)=19.89, p = 0.001). All seeds in the moss treatments germinated without contact with the soil and observation of rooting revealed that all plants were rooting into the dead moss layer beneath the live moss, as well as in the soil. Soil moisture and nitrate concentrations were not significantly different between the treatments but there was less nitrate under deeper moss (Table 1). *C. australis* produced root nodules (for nitrogen fixation) in the moss treatments but not in bare soil.

Field Study

Clear patterns of plant cover were observed in relation to distance into both remnants, away from the fenceline and adjacent pasture (Fig. 4). Moss cover increased with increasing distance from the pasture ($F_{(8,99)}=10.83$, p < 0.001) whilst the canopy cover was lowest at the fenceline ($F_{(8,99)}=3.40, p = 0.002$). There was a negative correlation between exotic grass cover and associated litter and distance into the centre of the remnants, this was significant for ESR ($H_{(8)}=18.65, p = 0.017$). Olsen P concentrations were highest at 0 and 10 m from the fenceline with a maximum mean of 7.9 µg g⁻¹, and lowest near the middle of the remnants ($F_{(8,96)}=3.05, p$ =0.004). Nitrate concentrations were highest closer to the fenceline and also lowest in the middle of the remnants ($F_{(8,82)}$ =2.16, p =0.039). The soil pH and ammonium concentrations did not differ significantly throughout the remnants.

Discussion

Soil Moisture and Temperature

This study showed that *H. cupressiforme* influences soil moisture and temperature within kānuka dryland shrubland soils by reducing annual and diurnal fluctuations. This is probably due to higher heat conduction capacity of water compared to the air which fills spaces within the moss (McLaren and Cameron 1996) producing less heat transfer in dry summer months and increased transfer in wet winter months. The soil was wetter in summer under moss layers probably due to high water holding capacity of the moss moderating transfer of water to the soil, run-off and evaporation (Bu et al. 2015; Michel et al. 2012). Moss may also have harvested water vapour from the atmosphere. Deeper moss probably provides a physical buffer to ground frost, reducing heat transfer capacity in winter. In boreal forests it has been reported that moss cover can intercept 23% of total rainfall although this is thought to be much less in New Zealand forests (DeLucia et al. 2003; Price et al. 1997). Moss is metabolically active during the wetter winter months (Proctor et al. 2007) potentially further reducing soil moisture due to evapotranspiration.

Mediation of soil temperature and moisture may benefit vascular plants on these exposed sites on dry, welldrained soils by improving water availability in the dry summer months. Vascular plants in the Lismore soil are known to reach wilting point at around 10-15% soil moisture (Drewitt 1979); in this study soil under a moss layer deeper than 6 cm was maintained above this range. These effects may become more significant with time since climate change is predicted to increase drought frequency and exacerbate soil moisture deficit in these habitats. However, competitive exclusion of adventive weeds in these dry habitats may be diminished by the retention of water availability (van der Wal et al. 2005).

Impact on Nitrogen

The main process likely to be governing available soil nitrogen under moss ground cover in these habitats is interception and use by mosses. Soil nitrate and ammonium concentrations were lower under moss than in bare soil, and soil nitrate decreased with increasing moss cover. In cooler climates this has been attributed to the effect of the moss cover on temperature and moisture, in turn affecting the microbes which facilitate ammonification and nitrification (Gornall et al. 2007). No extreme temperature variation was observed in this study and, although *H. cupressiforme* extracts may have anti-microbial properties (Altuner et al. 2014).

H. cupressiforme assimilates ammonium more readily than nitrate which explains a decrease in NH_4^+ -N during the winter months when the moss is metabolically active. In earlier studies, *H. cupressiforme* and other mosses have been shown to be effective at absorbing nutrients, including NH_4^+ -N in significant quantities, from wet and dry deposition, acting as a barrier to the soil and the rooting zone (Turetsky 2003).

Soil ammonium was most reduced beneath moss at intermediate depths of 3 cm where it is possible that lack of the thick, dead plant layer underneath the moss allows for NH_4^+ -N uptake from the soil in addition to that deposited on the surface (Wang et al. 2014). An increase of moss depth increases the distance of actively growing shoots form the soil surface making soil NH_4^+ -N unattainable potentially explaining the increase in ammonium concentrations beneath deeper moss layers (Bates 1994). The present study also showed a reduction in nitrate soil concentrations under moss layers, probably due to nitrate formation being a product of microbial ammonification.

In addition to preventing NH_4^+ -N, and therefore NO_3^- -N, reaching the soil by interception and utilisation, mosses are also thought to recycle N within tissues, sequestering N for long periods of time and delaying release to soil and the vascular plant rooting zone (Turetsky 2003). A study of feather mosses within a boreal forest found that mosses had been sequestering $1.8 \text{ kg N} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{year}^{-1}$ for the past 5000 years (Lagerström et al. 2007). This is equivalent to approximately 1% of the annual fertiliser applications of N to dairy pasture in New Zealand (Chapman et al. 2018).

Interactions with Vascular Plants

Although exotic grasses and weeds are responsive to additions of nitrate and phosphorus (Blackshaw et al. 2004) an inhibitory effect was observed on moss cover. It is likely that moss reduction was a function of the competitive, shading and smothering presence of the exotic grass and associated litter facilitated by increased nutrients (van der Wal et al. 2005).

Moss cover in the present study also negatively affected germination of the native species. Dormancy of seeds and inhibition of germination can be a response to far-red light conditions altered by the moss cover thereby inhibiting germination (Van Tooren and Pons 1988). Neither K. serotina nor P. amoena germinate as effectively in dark conditions (Burrows 1996; Haines et al. 2007) and it is likely that the small seeds of each species dropped through the moss carpet following initial sowing, limiting the exposure to light. C. australis can germinate in dark conditions (Grüner and Heenan 2001) but germination rates were lower in the moss treatments; the moss may have acted as a barrier, preventing the radicle from reaching the soil (Jeschke and Kiehl 2008). Although some mosses boast allelopathic substances that can inhibit vascular plant germination (Michel et al. 2011), it is not reported in H. cupressiforme. Those C. australis and P. amoena plants that established in the moss treatments resulted in higher biomass; reduced fluctuation in soil moisture and temperature under the moss layer may have influenced growth (Ren et al. 2010).

Mosses may use and sequester nitrogen restricting transfer to the vascular plant rooting zone, thereby constricting invasion. This was implied in the glasshouse study; nitrate can be inhibitive to nodulation for nitrogen fixation (Brewin 1991) and *C. australis* only produced nodules in the moss layers, indicating less nitrate. Alteration of soil nutrition may also indirectly shape soil microbial communities (Delgado-Baquerizo et al. 2017) which are central to biogeochemical cycles (Philippot et al. 2013). There are also suggestions that *H. cupressiforme* may have plant extracts which further modify microbial communities, potentially altering soil chemistry (Altuner et al. 2014).

Nutrient addition in the form of spillover into the remnants from the neighbouring pasture was observed in the field study with regard to mineral nitrogen and Olsen P. The alteration of soil chemistry and potential influence on microbial communities was negatively correlated with moss cover and positively correlated with exotic grass cover species which are adapted the more fertile soils (Meurk and Swaffield 2000). The effects of the moss carpet particularly on soil moisture and temperature may have been beneficial to those individuals that established promoting smothering of mosses and a further alteration in soil chemistry (Hobbie 2015). Therefore, although the moss layer may provide an effective tool in preventing spread of invasive plants by retaining a nutrient poor substrate, in the presence of increased nutrient deposition from nutrient spillover exotic weeds may initially benefit from abiotic conditions within the moss to further encroach and alter the habitat.

Conclusions

The effect of the terricolous moss cover in this low rainfall, typically nutrient poor environment is clearly significant but it is complex and involves hydrology, nutrient cycling and biotic interactions (Chamizo et al. 2016). Moss may be important in maintaining low nutrient soil conditions which promote native species regeneration rather than exotic species encroachment. This importance should drive efforts to conserve its presence in existing remnant habitats and indicate a requirement to incorporate it into ecological restoration schemes where ecosystem functioning is vital (Michel et al. 2013). However, where competitive exclusion has been mediated by nutrient spillover, the beneficial effects of the moss on the hydrological cycle may increase exotic species encroachment and alter soil chemistry further exasperating deterioration of the habitat. Therefore, ecological restoration in areas with soils of low nutrition should thoroughly consider and mitigate

for the effects of nutrient spillover which could facilitate encroachment of weed species and decline of moss flora.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ngai Tahu Farming Ltd and the Forest and Bird Stocker Scholarship for providing research funding. The Department of Conservation the Spencer-Bower family provided permission to access the remnants.

Table and Figures

Table 1. Soil moisture and mineral nitrogen concentrations under each moss depth on completion of the plant establishment experiment in the glasshouse.

Treatment (moss depth cm)	% Soil Moisture (±SE)	NO_3 ⁻ Concentration mg L ⁻¹ (±SE)	NO_4^+ Concentration mg L ⁻¹ (±SE)
0	15.57(5.76)	$0.41 \ (0.175)$	3.11 (0.88)
2.5	38.40(2.67)	0.62(0.136)	4.90 (1.41)
5	25.68(9.28)	0.46(0.236)	3.26(1.02)
7.5	23.46(5.38)	0.19(0.056)	2.191(0.67)
10	26.86(8.07)	0.17 (0.091)	2.73 (0.92)

Figure 1. Mean gravimetric soil moisture and temperature (5 cm depth, \pm SEM) beneath moss of increasing depth under the kānuka canopies in the field plots at SBR and ESR during the summer and winter months. Hours are based on a 24 hour clock. Means are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Hosted file

image1.emf available at https://authorea.com/users/438396/articles/539599-the-ecologicalimportance-of-moss-ground-cover-in-dry-shrubland-restoration-within-an-irrigatedagricultural-landscape-matrix

Figure 2. Mean nitrate and ammonium (\pm SEM) within soil samples under different depths of moss from under the kānuka canopies in the field plots at SBR and ESR during the summer and winter months. All means are significantly different (p < 0.05) except for Summer NO₃⁻-N.

Hosted file

image2.emf available at https://authorea.com/users/438396/articles/539599-the-ecologicalimportance-of-moss-ground-cover-in-dry-shrubland-restoration-within-an-irrigatedagricultural-landscape-matrix

Figure 3. Germination and establishment rate (%) of seeds from three native species within moss of varying depths and total dry biomass (g) of all plants for each species in each treatment.

Hosted file

image3.emf available at https://authorea.com/users/438396/articles/539599-the-ecologicalimportance-of-moss-ground-cover-in-dry-shrubland-restoration-within-an-irrigatedagricultural-landscape-matrix

Figure 4. Relationship between biotic and abiotic parameters in relation to distance from fenceline adjacent to irrigated pasture into the centre of the dryland kānuka remnants (centre point noted by red dotted line). Means that do not share a letter (either abc or ABC) are significantly different (p < 0.05) whilst no letters denote no significant difference.

Hosted file

image4.emf available at https://authorea.com/users/438396/articles/539599-the-ecologicalimportance-of-moss-ground-cover-in-dry-shrubland-restoration-within-an-irrigatedagricultural-landscape-matrix

References

Allen S E, Grimshaw H M, Parkinson J A and C Q 1989 Chemical analysis of ecological materials. Blackwell Scientific Publications.

Altuner E M, Canli K and Akata I 2014 Antimicrobial Screening of *Calliergonella cuspidata, Dicranum polysetum* and *Hypnum cupressiforme*. J Pure Appl Microbiol 8, 539-545.

Bates J 1994 Responses of the mosses *Brachythecium rutabulum* and *Pseudoscleropodium purum* to a mineral nutrient pulse. Functional Ecology, 686-693.

Beever J E 1986 Mosses of the Poor Knights Islands, northern New Zealand. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 16, 259-273.

Belnap J 2006 The potential roles of biological soil crusts in dryland hydrologic cycles. Hydrological processes 20, 3159-3178.

Betts A K, Goulden M and Wofsy S 1999 Controls on Evaporation in a Boreal Spruce Forest. Journal of Climate 12, 1601-1618.

Blackshaw R E, Brandt R N, Henry Janzen H and Entz T 2004 Weed species response to phosphorus fertilization. Weed Science 52, 406-412.

Blakemore L C, Searle P L and Daly B K 1987 Methods for Chemical Analysis of Soils. NZ Soil Bureau Scientific Report 80.

Bonan G B and Shugart H H 1989 Environmental factors and ecological processes in boreal forests. Annual review of ecology and systematics 20, 1-28.

Bond-Lamberty B, Gower S T, Amiro B and Ewers B E 2011 Measurement and modelling of bryophyte evaporation in a boreal forest chronosequence. Ecohydrology 4, 26-35.

Bowie M H, Black L, Boyer S, Dickinson N M and Hodge S 2016 Persistence of biodiversity in a dryland remnant within an intensified dairy farm landscape. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 40, 1.

Brewin N J 1991 Development of the legume root nodule. Annual review of cell biology 7, 191-226.

Bu C, Wu S, Han F, Yang Y and Meng J 2015 The combined effects of moss-dominated biocrusts and vegetation on erosion and soil moisture and implications for disturbance on the Loess Plateau, China. PloS one 10, e0127394.

Burrows C J 1996 Germination behaviour of seeds of the New Zealand woody species *Alectryon excelsus*, *Corynocarpus laevigatus*, and *Kunzea ericoides*. NEW ZEALAND JOURNAL OF BOTANY 34, 489-498.

Chamizo S, Belnap J, Eldridge D J, Cantón Y and Issa O M 2016 The Role of Biocrusts in Arid Land Hydrology. In Biological Soil Crusts: An Organizing Principle in Drylands. pp 321-346. Springer.

Chapman D F, Lee J M, Rossi L, Cosgrove G P, Stevens D R, Crush J R, King W M, Edwards G R and Popay A J 2018 Implications of grass-clover interactions in dairy pastures for forage value indexing systems. 1. Context and rationale. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research 61, 119-146.

Cornelissen J H, Lang S I, Soudzilovskaia N A and During H J 2007 Comparative cryptogam ecology: a review of bryophyte and lichen traits that drive biogeochemistry. Annals of Botany 99, 987-1001.

Davey M L and Currah R S 2006 Interactions between mosses (Bryophyta) and fungi. Canadian Journal of Botany 84, 1509-1519.

Delgado-Baquerizo M, Reich P B, Khachane A N, Campbell C D, Thomas N, Freitag T E, Abu Al-Soud W, Sorensen S, Bardgett R D and Singh B K 2017 It is elemental: soil nutrient stoichiometry drives bacterial diversity. Environmental microbiology 19, 1176-1188.

DeLucia E H, Turnbull M H, Walcroft A S, Griffin K L, Tissue D T, Glenny D, McSeveny T M and Whitehead D 2003 The contribution of bryophytes to the carbon exchange for a temperate rainforest. Global Change Biology 9, 1158-1170.

Drewitt E 1979 Relationships between grain yield, grain N%, and grain weight in irrigated and non-irrigated late winter-and spring-sown Karamu wheat. New Zealand journal of experimental agriculture 7, 169-173.

During H J and Tooren B F V 1990 Bryophyte interactions with other plants. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 104, 79-98.

Gornall J, Jonsdottir I, Woodin S and Van der Wal R 2007 Arctic mosses govern below-ground environment and ecosystem processes. Oecologia 153, 931-941.

Gruner I and Heenan P 2001 Viability and germination of seeds of *Carmichaelia* (Fabaceae) after prolonged storage. New Zealand Journal of Botany 39, 125-131.

Haines L, Ennis I, Blanchon D and Triggs C 2007 Propagating the pale-flowered kumarahou (*Pomaderris hamiltonii*) and kumarahou (*Pomaderris kumeraho*) from seeds. New Zealand Journal of Botany 45, 91-100.

Hobbie S E 2015 Plant species effects on nutrient cycling: revisiting litter feedbacks. Trends in ecology & evolution 30, 357-363.

Jeschke M and Kiehl K 2008 Effects of a dense moss layer on germination and establishment of vascular plants in newly created calcareous grasslands. Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants 203, 557-566.

Lagerstrom A, Nilsson M C, Zackrisson O and Wardle D 2007 Ecosystem input of nitrogen through biological fixation in feather mosses during ecosystem retrogression. Functional Ecology 21, 1027-1033.

Macara G 2016 The Climate and Weather of Canterbury: 2nd Edition. In NIWA Science and Technology Series Number 68, NIWA.

Macmillan B 1976 Biological reserves of New Zealand: 2. Bryophytes of Bankside Reserve, Canterbury Plains. New Zealand Journal of Botany 14, 131-133.

McLaren R G and Cameron K C 1996 Soil science: sustainable production and environmental protection. Oxford University Press, USA.

Meurk C and Swaffield S 2000 A landscape ecological framework for indigenous regeneration in rural New Zealand-Aotearoa. Landscape and Urban Planning 50, 129-144.

Michel P, Burritt D J and Lee W G 2011 Bryophytes display allelopathic interactions with tree species in native forest ecosystems. Oikos 120, 1272-1280.

Michel P, Payton I J, Lee W G and During H J 2012 Impact of disturbance on above-ground water storage capacity of bryophytes in New Zealand indigenous tussock grassland ecosystems. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 37, 0-0.

Michel P, Payton I J, Lee W G and During H J 2013 Impact of disturbance on above-ground water storage capacity of bryophytes in New Zealand indigenous tussock grassland ecosystems. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 37, 114-126.

Molloy B P J and Ives D W 1972 Biological reserves of New Zealand 1. Eyrewell scientific reserve, Canterbury. New Zealand Journal of Botany 10, 673-700.

Pfeiffer T 2003 Terricolous bryophyte vegetation of New Zealand temperate rain forests: communities, adaptive strategies and divergence patterns. J. Cramer in der Gebruder Borntraeger Verlagsbuchhandlung.

Philippot L, Spor A, Henault C, Bru D, Bizouard F, Jones C M, Sarr A and Maron P-A 2013 Loss in microbial diversity affects nitrogen cycling in soil. The ISME journal 7, 1609-1619.

Price A, Dunham K, Carleton T and Band L 1997 Variability of water fluxes through the black spruce (*Picea mariana*) canopy and feather moss (*Pleurozium schreberi*) carpet in the boreal forest of Northern Manitoba. Journal of Hydrology 196, 310-323.

Proctor M C, Oliver M J, Wood A J, Alpert P, Stark L R, Cleavitt N L and Mishler B D 2007 Desiccationtolerance in bryophytes: a review. The Bryologist 110, 595-621.

Rayburn A P, Davidson J B and White H M 2012 Possible Effects of Moss on Distribution and Performance of a Threatened Endemic Primrose. Western North American Naturalist 72, 84-92.

Ren H, Ma G, Zhang Q, Guo Q, Wang J and Wang Z 2010 Moss is a key nurse plant for reintroduction of the endangered herb, *Primulina tabacum* Hance. Plant Ecology 209, 313-320.

Rousk K, Sorensen P L and Michelsen A 2016 Nitrogen Transfer from Four Nitrogen-Fixer Associations to Plants and Soils. Ecosystems 19, 1491-1504.

Turetsky M R 2003 The Role of Bryophytes in Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling. The Bryologist 106, 395-409.

van der Wal R, Pearce I S and Brooker R W 2005 Mosses and the struggle for light in a nitrogen-polluted world. Oecologia 142, 159-168.

Van Tooren B v and Pons T 1988 Effects of temperature and light on the germination in chalk grassland species. Functional ecology, 303-310.

Wang J-n, Shi F-s, Xu B, Wang Q, Wu Y and Wu N 2014 Uptake and recovery of soil nitrogen by bryophytes and vascular plants in an alpine meadow. Journal of Mountain Science 11, 475.

Wilson J A and Coxson D S 1999 Carbon flux in a subalpine spruce-fir forest: pulse release from *Hylocomium* splendens feather-moss mats. Canadian journal of botany 77, 564-569.