

# Plastic responses of survival and fertility following heat stress in pupal and adult *Drosophila virilis*

Benjamin Walsh<sup>1</sup>, Steven Parratt<sup>1</sup>, Natasha Mannion<sup>1</sup>, Rhonda Snook<sup>2</sup>, Amanda Bretman<sup>3</sup>, and Tom Price<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Liverpool

<sup>2</sup>University of Stockholm

<sup>3</sup>University of Leeds

September 25, 2021

## Abstract

The impact of rising global temperatures on survival and reproduction is putting many species at risk of extinction. In particular, it has recently been shown that thermal effects on reproduction, especially limits to male fertility, can underpin species distributions in insects. However, the physiological factors influencing fertility at high temperatures are poorly understood. Key factors that affect somatic thermal tolerance such as hardening, the ability to phenotypically increase thermal tolerance after a mild heat shock, and the differential impact of temperature on different life stages, are largely unexplored for thermal fertility tolerance. Here, we examine the impact of high temperatures on male fertility in the cosmopolitan fruit fly *Drosophila virilis*. We first determined whether temperature stress at either the pupal or adult life-history stage impacts fertility. We then tested the capacity for heat-hardening to mitigate heat-induced sterility. We found that thermal stress reduces fertility in different ways in pupae and adults. Pupal heat stress delays sexual maturity, whereas males heated as adults can reproduce initially following heat stress, but lose the ability to produce offspring. We also found evidence that while heat-hardening in *D. virilis* can improve high temperature survival, there is no significant protective impact of this same hardening treatment on fertility. These results suggest that males may be unable to prevent the costs of high temperature stress on fertility through heat-hardening which limits a species' ability to quickly and effectively reduce fertility loss in the face of short-term high temperature events.

## Introduction

Climate change is increasing the frequency of extreme temperature events (Christidis, Jones & Stott 2015). A major research priority is to assess which organisms will be able to maintain fitness and cope with the changing climate. Initial efforts to explore the impact of rising temperatures on biodiversity mostly considered how thermal stress affects survival (Deutsch *et al.* 2008; Kellermann *et al.* 2012; Pinsky *et al.* 2019). While the impact of climate change on survival is clearly important, it has also been known for around a century that fertility is vulnerable to high temperatures in some species (Young & Plough 1926; Cowles 1945). Heat-induced sterility occurs across diverse taxa including crops (Matsui *et al.* 1997) and livestock (Karaca *et al.* 2002), so species where fertility is lost at temperatures far below the lethal limit may represent both a major economic and conservation concern (Walsh *et al.* 2019) with potentially worrying implications for humanity's resilience against climate change. Fertility loss is generally sex-specific, with males often more sensitive to fertility loss than females (Sales *et al.* 2018; Iossa 2019; Walsh *et al.* 2020; Zwoinska *et al.* 2020). Recent work has found that the highest temperatures *Drosophila* species are found at worldwide is strongly correlated to laboratory measurements of their lethal temperature, or the temperature at which males lose fertility, whichever is the lower (Parratt *et al.* 2021; van Heerwaarden & Sgrò 2021). This suggests that species distributions may often be restricted by their upper thermal limits to fertility in nature. However, we still know relatively little about the physiological factors that affect fertility loss at high temperatures.

In holometabolous insects, it is widely known that survival at high temperatures can be affected by the life-stage at which thermal stress occurs (Zhang *et al.* 2015; Moghadam *et al.* 2019). Studies on heat-induced sterility in males typically use either a single long-term stress across age-groups (Rohmer *et al.* 2004; Porcelliet *al.* 2016), or an acute stress to individuals from a single age-group (Jørgensen, Sørensen & Bundgaard 2006; Sales *et al.* 2018; Walsh *et al.* 2020; Jørgensen *et al.* 2021). However, it has recently been shown in the flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* that the extent of male fertility loss depends on the life-stage exposed to thermal stress (Sales, Vasudeva & Gage 2021). Here, pupal and immature adults show the highest sterility after thermal stress as compared with larval and mature adults. This study reveals a critical period in the life-cycle of *T. castaneum* where fertility is particularly vulnerable to heat-stress of immature individuals. In order to uncover any general patterns in thermal sensitivity of fertility across life-stages, research should examine this across species.

One way organisms can cope with thermal stress is to plastically invest resources into thermal protection after receiving a signal that the risk of extreme high temperatures has increased. For example, exposure to a short-term moderately stressful sub-lethal heat can cause organisms to make physiological changes that allow them to better survive extreme temperatures (Loeschcke & Hoffmann 2007; Moghadam *et al.* 2019). This response is called heat hardening, and is widespread in animals and plants (Bilyk, Evans & DeVries 2012; Neuner & Buchner 2012; Moghadam *et al.* 2019). The positive impacts of hardening in ectotherms are generally thought to occur through the upregulation of heat-shock proteins such as HSP70 (Sørensen, Dahlgaard & Loeschcke 2001). When the individual thereafter experiences extreme temperatures, the increased concentration of heat-shock proteins reduces the thermal damage. Hardening has been shown to mitigate the deleterious effects of high temperatures on a multitude of traits, including survival (Heerwaarden, Kellermann & Sgrò 2016; Moghadam *et al.* 2019) and the ability to locate resources such as food or mating sites (Loeschcke & Hoffmann 2007). In the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, individual survival is improved at high temperatures through hardening, however the amount of protection provided changes depending on the life-stage measured (Moghadam *et al.* 2019). In this case, pupae show strong protection through heat-hardening, whereas adults' hardening capacity is minimal. Clearly, a full understanding of heat-hardening itself is difficult without examining multiple life-stages.

While the capacity of individuals to improve survival through heat-hardening is widespread, it remains unclear whether individuals can utilise hardening to mitigate heat-induced sterility. Some studies suggest that there is a trade-off between hardening and reproduction (Krebs & Loeschcke 1994), but other examples found hardening improves mating behaviour (Sambucetti & Norry 2015) and, in a few species heat-hardened individuals show greater offspring production after thermal stress (Sarup *et al.* 2004; Jørgensen, Sørensen & Bundgaard 2006). Heat-induced sterility occurs at sub-lethal temperatures in many organisms (Walsh *et al.* 2019), including ~44% of a panel of 43 *Drosophila* species (Parratt *et al.* 2021). So it is likely that, in the marginal populations of particularly vulnerable species, a male's fitness could be greatly improved by maintaining fertility at sub-lethal stress temperatures. If males can plastically harden to prevent fertility loss at extreme temperatures, then populations may have the capacity to better cope with sub-lethal but stressful heat events.

Here, we explore the impact of high temperatures on male fertility in the cosmopolitan fruit fly *Drosophila virilis*, an extremely widespread model species. Critically, it has previously been demonstrated that male *D. virilis* can be sterilised by thermal stress well below their lethal temperature limit (80% of adult males sterile after four hours at 35°C, 80% of adult males dead after four hours at 38°C) (Walsh *et al.* 2020; Parratt *et al.* 2021). This sterilisation of males at survivable temperatures makes *D. virilis* an ideal species to look for heat hardening of fertility. We test the impact of temperature stress on fertility across life-history stages, heating individuals as either pupae or adults. Further, we demonstrate the capacity for heat-hardening to improve survival at extreme temperatures and subsequently test if this hardening response can also mitigate heat-induced sterility. Importantly, we measure how fertility changes over an individual's age, to better understand the long-term fitness implications of thermal stress and hardening at different life-stages.

## Materials and Methods

In overview, we test if heat-shocks experienced during pupal and adult life-history stages result in male sterility. We also test if a brief period of heat-hardening can ameliorate these effects. In a series of experiments, adult and pupal male *D. virilis* were exposed to a 1 hour heat hardening treatment followed immediately by a 4 hour heat stress, and assayed for survival and fertility over 1-2 weeks to reveal temporal patterns in fertility loss and restoration. We chose a 4 hour stress because midday rises to high temperature are relatively common (Geletič, Lehnert & Jurek 2020), and we think it is ecologically reasonable that a fly in nature might be exposed to these conditions for a few hours. Moreover, it is an experimentally tractable time period, and previous work has demonstrated this method can create male sterility in many *Drosophila* species, including *D. virilis* (Walsh *et al.* 2020; Parratt *et al.* 2021).

## Animal stock maintenance

Stocks of *Drosophila virilis* (Cambridge Fly Facility StrainvS-4, isolated in 1991), were kept in a temperature-controlled room at 23°C, 12:12 L:D and ambient humidity. Although a long term laboratory stock, this stock was included in a recent analysis of upper thermal limits from 36 *Drosophila* species that found no significant association between time in culture and any upper thermal limit (Parratt *et al.* 2021), suggesting it is a reasonable model for the species. Stocks were maintained at moderate density (50 – 100 flies per 300ml bottle culture) on ‘Propionic’ medium (10g agar, 20g yeast extract, 70g cornmeal, 10g soya flour, 80g malt extract, 22g molasses, 14ml 10% nipagin, 6ml propionic acid, 1000ml H<sub>2</sub>O). Ovipositing adults were tipped to new food every week to prevent overlapping generations and were replaced with fresh sexually mature adult flies every 4-6 weeks.

## Pupal heat-stress

### Survival

Pupae were collected from stock vials within 24 hours of pupation, allocated to vials of 20 pupal flies. Three vials were allocated to each treatment (giving 60 flies total per treatment, ~30 males, as sex cannot be determined in young pupae). These vials were randomly assigned to 3D-printed floating racks into pre-heated water baths (Grant TXF200) for 1 hour at either a control non-hardening temperature at 23°C (‘no hardening’) or a range of hardening temperatures (‘hardening’: 34, 35 & 36°C). These are non-lethal pupal temperatures that also do not significantly sterilise males (Walsh *et al.* 2020). After this hardening treatment, they were immediately moved into different pre-heated water-baths for 4-hours at either 23°C (‘benign’) or at a range of sub-lethal to lethal temperatures between 37 - 41°C (‘stress’). Immediately following treatment, vials were returned to benign conditions (23°C) and emerging individuals were collected and sexed. This allowed us to assess survival of pupae at extreme temperatures, and gave us an idea of whether survival may be sex specific. However, as we were unable to determine the sex of the pupae prior to stress, we could not explicitly test for sex differences in survival thermal tolerance.

### Fertility

Pupae were allocated to 3D-printed floating racks in pre-heated water-baths set to 23°C (‘no hardening’) or 36°C (‘hardening’) for 1h as above. Immediately following hardening, they were transferred into pre-heated water baths at 23°C (‘benign’) or 38°C (‘stress’), chosen as the highest temperature not resulting in significant mortality from a prior study (Walsh *et al.* 2020). After four hours at their treatment temperature, vials were subsequently removed from the water-baths and returned to benign temperatures (23°C). Emerging males were collected and immediately moved into individual vials with 4 sexually mature virgin female partners each. These groups were moved into new vials every 2 days for 7 times, giving a total of 8 vials across 16 days where fertility was recorded. Estimates of *Drosophila* survival rates in nature suggest 16 days represents a substantial portion of their expected adult lifespan (Powell 1997). Males were deemed as qualitatively fertile at any given time-point if there was evidence of larvae present in the vial (either via direct observation of larvae or observing larval tracks in the food).

## Adult heat-stress

### Survival

Virgin males and females (all 7 days old) were separated and allocated to vials of 10 flies per vial of their respective sex. These vials were randomly allocated to 3D-printed floating racks in pre-heated water-baths for one hour at a hardening temperature at 23°C ('no hardening') or 33°C ('hardening', determined as the highest temperature in which no sterility is observed (Parratt *et al.* 2021)). After this hardening treatment, vials were immediately moved into different pre-heated water-baths for four hours at either 23°C ('benign') or 38°C ('stress', determined as lowest lethal temperature from (Parratt *et al.* 2021)). Immediately following treatment, vials were returned to benign conditions (23°C) and left for 24 hours to ensure that any flies that were immobilised by heat but not killed could recover. After 24 hours, the number of surviving males and females from each treatment was assessed.

## Fertility

Virgin males were allocated to vials (10 per treatment) and treated in pre-heated water-baths at 23°C ('non-hardening') or 33°C ('hardening') for 1h as above. Immediately following heat-hardening, flies were transferred into pre-heated water baths at 34°C for a further 4 hours ('stress', chosen as the lowest whole-degree Celsius temperature at which *D. virilis* are sterilised (Parratt *et al.* 2021)). Vials were subsequently removed from the water-baths and males were placed in new individual vials with 4 virgin female partners each. Previous experiments have shown that, when stressed as adults, male *D. virilis* initially retain fertility for several days and then become sterilised (Walsh *et al.* 2019). Hence, unlike our assay with pupal-stress flies, we did not passage males to new vials every 2 days immediately. Instead, we gave males an initial 7-day period in a single vial with 4 females. We then gave each male 4 new virgin females and passaged each group every 2 days for 4 times.

## Statistical analyses

Measuring fertility which is a long-term adult trait when individuals are heated during different life-stages introduces significant temporal biases. We decided to measure fertility from the earliest possible time-point post-stress, and continue to measure over time. This allowed us to capture any visible loss/regain of fertility. Flies do not breed as pupae, so fertility cannot be measured immediately following heat-stress during this stage. Therefore, in order to understand how these responses change depending on life-stage, we measured fertility over a substantial period of time after stress for both pupae and adults. Due to the inherent differences this introduced, we analysed pupal and adult heat-stress separately, so comparisons of responses between stages can only be qualitative.

Data were analysed using variations on linear models. We assessed model fit by plotting patterns in residuals against fits and against predictors. All statistical analyses were completed in R (version 3.5.0), using the packages: binom (Dorai-Raj 2014), car (Fox 2011), "ggplot2" (Wickham 2016) and "survival" (Therneau 2015). We did model selection using Wald Chi-squared likelihood ratio-tests, removing non-significant interactions. We retained all main effects and reported statistics of these from type II likelihood ratio tests using the 'Anova' function from the 'car' package (Fox 2011).

### 1a) Pupal survival after heat-stress

We chose 36°C as our single experimental 'hardening' temperature since it is the highest temperature that does not reduce fertility when males experience it for 4h (Walsh *et al.* 2020; Parratt *et al.* 2021). We analysed pupal survival after heat stress using a logistic regression with survival as a Bernoulli response variable. Stress temperature, hardening treatment (non-hardened or hardened at 36°C), and their interaction were fitted as explanatory variables. To determine whether the hardening temperature altered its protective effect, we analysed pupal survival of all flies hardened at 34, 35, and 36°C prior to heat stress at the key stress temperature of 40°C where protection is observed. We performed a logistic regression with survival as a Bernoulli response variable. We used hardening temperature as the explanatory variable. Note that the 34 and 35°C hardening temperatures were not measured at 37 and 38°C temperature stress at this preliminary stage, as these temperatures are non-lethal after a 4h stress (Walsh *et al.* 2020).

### 1b) Adult survival after heat-stress

As every fly stressed at control temperatures (23°C) survived, we analysed adult survival at the chosen stress temperature (38°C) only, using a logistic regression with survival as a Bernoulli response variable and sex (male or female), hardening treatment (non-hardened or hardened), and their interaction as explanatory variables.

## 2a) Pupal fertility over time

We analysed the effect of heat stress on fertility over time with inverse Cox proportional hazard survival analyses (using the “survival” package (Therneau 2015)). This allowed us to model the time in days post-eclosion until focal individuals become fertile. We fit the time point at which fertility (scored as the presence of larvae) was observed as our response variable with heat treatment (benign or stress), hardening treatment (non-hardened or hardened) and their interaction as independent variables.


## 2b) Adult fertility over time

We examined whether there was an immediate effect of heat stress on fertility, and whether hardening affects this response. We used a logistic regression with day 1 fertility as a Bernoulli response variable and stress (benign or stressed), hardening treatment (non-hardened or hardened), and their interaction as explanatory variables.

Adult fertility over time was analysed using two separate approaches due to the observed delayed sterility and how the experimental design was constructed around it. This allowed us to pull apart different hypotheses and test them. We first tested whether heat-stress reduced fertility from day 7 onwards compared to benign temperature controls, due to delays in adult sterility. To do this we used a mixed effect logistic regression on non-hardened flies, with fertility as a Bernoulli response variable and stress, time, and their interaction as explanatory variables. Fly ID was used as a random effect to account for non-independence in the data.

We then tested whether hardening can improve fertility over time in stressed males. We used a mixed effect logistic regression on stressed flies, with fertility as a Bernoulli response variable and hardening, time, and their interaction as explanatory variables. Fly ID was used as a random effect to account for repeated measures in the data.

## Results



**Figure 1:** Proportion of surviving individuals after a 4-hour heat stress. Focal individuals were subjected to a pre-stress ‘hardening’ treatment for 1-hour immediately prior to temperature stress. **a)** *D. virilis* individuals of unknown sex were heated during the pupal stage and subjected to a range of stressful temperatures. A range of hardening temperatures were also used to examine the hardening response. Note that the 34 and 35°C hardening temperatures were not measured at 37 and 38°C temperature stress. **b)** Male and female *D. virilis* were heated during the adult stage 7 days post-emergence, and subjected to two stress temperatures (23°C: benign, 38°C, stress). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.


### 1a) Survival after pupal heat-stress

When focusing on a single hardening temperature (36°C) compared with non-hardened controls, we found that pupal survival probability was significantly affected by the interaction between hardening and heat-stress temperature ( $\chi^2_{(5)} = 33.74$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure 1a). Specifically, pupae heat-hardened at 36°C showed significantly improved survival at higher stress temperatures over non-hardened pupae. Between the 3 hardening temperatures of 34, 35 and 36°C, we found no effect of hardening temperature ( $\chi^2_{(2)} = 2.040$ ,  $p = 0.361$ ; Figure 1a) on individual survival at the pupal stress temperature of 40°C.

### 1b) Survival after adult heat-stress

There was no interaction between hardening and sex for adult survival at 38°C ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.000$ ,  $p = 0.999$ ; Figure 1b). However, we found a main effect of hardening on survival ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 41.321$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure 1b).

Survival is significantly higher if adults have experienced a 1h hardening treatment at 33°C, as compared to non-hardened controls. We also found a main effect of sex, with lower survival in females than males ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 16.891$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure 1b).



**Figure 2:** Cumulative fertility of male *D. virilis* over time after a 4h heat-stress. Focal individuals were subjected to a pre-stress hardening treatment for 1h immediately prior to temperature stress. The age at heat-stress is represented using an arrow, and the life-stage of the individual is represented using grey (pupal) and white (adult) background. **a)** Individuals were heated during the pupal stage at either benign (23°C) or stressful (38°C) temperatures. Individuals were exposed to a 1h hardening treatment at 23°C ('non-hardening') or 36°C ('hardening') prior to heat-stress. Focal males were given a single group of virgin females at the first day post-eclosion. **b)** Individuals were heated during the adult stage at either benign (23°C) or stressful (35°C) temperatures. Individuals were exposed to a 1h hardening treatment at 23°C ('non-hardening') or 33°C ('hardening') prior to heat-stress. Focal males were given access to 2 groups of virgin females: one from days 1 to 6 post-heat, and another fresh set of virgin females from day 7 post-heat, to account for delayed sterility of males. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

## 2a) Fertility after pupal heat-stress

There was no interaction between pupal hardening and stress temperatures on the age of reproductive maturity (ARM) (Cox proportional hazard test interaction term:  $HR = 0.3831$ ,  $\chi^2_{(1)} = 1.096$ ,  $p = 0.295$ ; Figure 2a). However, high pupal stress temperatures increase the time after eclosion until males can produce offspring (Cox proportional hazard test interaction term:  $HR = -0.8862$ ,  $\chi^2_{(1)} = 23.27$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure 2a). This extends the ARM, with many males eventually becoming fertile. Pupal hardening does not significantly reduce ARM at the stress temperature of 38°C (Cox proportional hazard test interaction term:  $HR = 0.1034$ ,  $\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.338$ ,  $p = 0.561$ ; Figure 2a).

## 2b) Fertility after adult heat-stress

Adult males were given an initial group of virgin females to mate with, and there was no interaction between stress temperature and hardening treatment on immediate fertility of adult males ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.244$ ,  $p=0.621$ ; Figure 2b, days 13-19). We also found no effect of heat-stress on immediate fertility ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 2.286$ ,  $p=0.130$ ; Figure 4, days 13-19), and no main effect of hardening on fertility at this initial time point ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.590$ ,  $p= 0.443$ ; Figure 2b, days 13-19).

From 7 days post heat-stress onwards in non-hardened flies, there was no interaction between heat-stress and time ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 3.333$ ,  $p=0.068$ ; Figure 2b, days 19-27). However, we found that heat stress significantly reduced fertility through a main effect of stress ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 28.444$ ,  $p< 0.001$ ; Figure 2b, days 19-27). Stressed males had lower fertility than controls after 7 days post heat-stress. We found no significant effect of time on fertility after day 7 ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 2.413$ ,  $p= 0.120$ ; Figure 2b, days 19-27) meaning fertility remained low post 7 days.

There was no interaction between hardening and time on fertility at the stress temperature of 34°C when measured after day 7 ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 2.1824$ ,  $p= 0.140$ ; Figure 2b, days 19-27). Hardening also did not affect fertility of heat-stressed adults ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 0.1319$ ,  $p= 0.717$ ; Figure 2b, days 19-27) meaning hardening does not change the sterility pattern induced by thermal stress, even though there was a main effect of time on fertility ( $\chi^2_{(1)} = 4.265$ ,  $p= 0.039$ ; Figure 2b, days 19-27), where fertility increased slightly as the experiment progressed.

## Discussion

We found functionally different impacts of thermal stress at different life-history stages on fertility in *Drosophila virilis*. Pupal heat stress delays the age of reproductive maturity (ARM), whereas adult heat stress sterilises most males. Many stressed adult males are fertile immediately post-heat stress but lose fertility over a week and remain permanently sterile for the duration measured. Heat-induced sterility in *Drosophila melanogaster* has been associated with disruptions to spermatid elongation during spermatogenesis (Rohmer *et al.* 2004). Therefore, it is possible that mature sperm stored in the seminal vesicles of adult males are relatively unharmed and can be used by stressed males, whereas immature sperm are destroyed and the capacity to produce sperm is disrupted. However, it is unclear why pupae appear to recover fertility over the course of the experiment, whereas adults remain sterile. Benign adult males saw a drop-off in fertility over the last two time-points. Therefore, it is possible that the combination of heat-induced sterility and natural ageing prevent heated adult males from recovering fertility over the experiment. Exploring how fertility is affected by high temperature at the pupal and adult stages by looking at sperm production over an individual's lifetime may be necessary to disentangle these differences.

We found pupae were more thermally robust than adults. At 38°C, non-hardened adult *D. virilis* cannot survive, whereas pupae show high survival, and their ARM is delayed but eventually recovers. This contrasts with some previous studies that find pupae to be a particularly sensitive life-stage to thermal stress. For example, a recent study examining flour beetles found that pupae and immature males are the most vulnerable life-stages to both fertility loss and survival at high temperatures (Sales, Vasudeva & Gage 2021). Similarly, in *D. melanogaster*, non-hardened pupae have only slightly lower upper lethal limits than adults (Moghadam *et al.* 2019). With no obvious pattern in how life-stage interacts with heat-induced death and sterility across species groups, it is clear that studies on thermal limits should consider examining all life stages that are likely to be exposed to high temperatures in the wild.

As expected, we found *D. virilis* can improve high temperature survival through prior hardening at sub-lethal stress temperatures. This response occurs in both life-history stages measured. The effect is sex-specific in adults such that heat-hardened males show higher survival over heat-hardened females at lethal temperatures. A meta-analysis on sex differences in acclimation capacity, including four *Drosophila* species, found no significant differences in overall acclimation capacity between males and females (Pottier *et al.* 2021). However, the authors found that where differences between sexes exist, females appear to have higher acclimation capacity than males. It has previously been shown that *D. virilis* female fertility is robust to high pupal temperatures when compared with male fertility (Walsh *et al.* 2020). It follows that females would



be able to utilise the improved survival at high temperatures by reproducing. This makes the finding that heat-hardened males actually show higher survival than females surprising, as it is difficult to see the fitness benefit gained by permanently sterilised males surviving high temperatures.

In contrast to survival, we found no significant protective impact of this same hardening treatment on fertility at sterilising temperatures. This is true for both pupae and adults, suggesting that, although prior heat-hardening improves survival at lethal temperatures, it does not protect male fertility. Whereas previous studies found a positive impact of heat-hardening on reproduction (Jørgensen, Sørensen & Bundgaard 2006), here we find no measurable benefit of heat-hardening on fertility. Given the clear physiological plastic response we demonstrate for survival, it is highly surprising that fertility is not also protected. This suggests it may be difficult for thermal limits to fertility to be improved during short-term high-temperature events.

We tested relatively short periods of hardening and stress, but longer-term acclimation to high temperatures can influence reproduction. In the flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*, adult male development at stressful temperatures results in males producing sperm with shorter tails (Vasudeva *et al.* 2019). This is shown to be an adaptive morphological shift, with shorter sperm doubling performance when males are reproducing at high temperatures. Similarly, a recent study in *D. melanogaster* found that a three-day acclimation period prior to mating increases mating success by around 70% at stressful temperatures (Stazione, Norry & Sambucetti 2019). It is known that the timing of heat-shock and heat-hardening/acclimation can drive differences in the response to temperature stress (Weldon, Terblanche & Chown 2011; Zhang, Storey & Dong 2021). Possibly, there is a delay for any physiological response to ‘kick-in’ before components of fertility can be protected. Many experiments demonstrating thermal plasticity of reproductive traits utilise multiple-day stress treatments (Stazione, Norry & Sambucetti 2019; Vasudeva *et al.* 2019), or delays between ‘hardening’ and thermal stress (Jørgensen, Sørensen & Bundgaard 2006). However, natural populations caught during the peak midday sun of a heatwave may not realistically have the opportunity to ‘ramp-up’ their physiological response. Clearly plasticity in reproductive traits is possible, however its general capacity to allow organisms to cope with climate change is still unclear (Sgrò, Terblanche & Hoffmann 2016). If a similar lack of strong or robust short-term heat-hardening for fertility is found across taxa, then organisms may be more vulnerable to climate change than previously thought.

Superficially, it seems that improving survival of males via heat-hardening may be less beneficial to fitness than previously thought, given that males may be alive but permanently sterilised. Parratt *et al.* (2021) found that males from 19 of 43 *Drosophila* species could survive apparently permanently sterilising temperatures, suggesting there must be a biological explanation. The adaptive benefit of heat-hardening is particularly confusing if it protects survival without allowing individuals any opportunities to reproduce. However, a key finding here is that both life-stages measured still have a limited capacity to reproduce after heat-shock. Males heated as pupae are eventually sexually mature, and heated adult males can reproduce within a few days, before long-term sterility manifests. Therefore, the improved survival at extreme temperatures may provide more males with these limited opportunities to use up surviving mature sperm, without protecting reproductive traits directly. It is also possible that if males sterilised as adults were kept long term, they may restore some fertility over time. Alternatively, male hardening could simply be a neutral by-product of selection on females for survival at high temperatures, as females are far better able to maintain fertility at near-lethal temperatures (Walsh *et al.* 2020).

To gain a more complete understanding of how natural populations will be affected by heat-waves, measuring the difference of survival and fertility between life-stages will be important. Our findings also suggest that research needs to consider that heat-hardening may not be a sufficient plastic rescue mechanism, although heat hardening effects on fertility in more taxa need to be tested. Importantly, studies showing the positive effects of heat-hardening should consider whether surviving individuals are fully fertile. This will allow researchers to more fully understand the adaptive benefits of these responses.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jolanta Tanianis-Hughes for assistance with experiments. **Author contributions:** Con-

ception: BW, SP & TP. Methodology & data collection: BW, NM & SP. Data curation: BW. Analysis: BW & SP. Original Draft: BW & TP. Review & Editing: All Authors. **Funding** : This work was funded by a Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) “Adapting to the Challenges of a Changing Environment” (ACCE) Doctoral Training Partnership studentship to B.S.W., and NERC grant NE/ P002692/1 to T.A.R.P., AB and RS. **Data and materials availability**: The data and analysis code are available at: [https://datadryad.org/stash/share/bw3gtN7IvgxhlgknEXDK\\_oODNOqOiRiZ5ZV5YJ4gjLg](https://datadryad.org/stash/share/bw3gtN7IvgxhlgknEXDK_oODNOqOiRiZ5ZV5YJ4gjLg)

## References

- Bilyk, K.T., Evans, C.W. & DeVries, A.L. (2012) Heat hardening in Antarctic notothenioid fishes. *Polar biology*, **35**, 1447-1451.
- Christidis, N., Jones, G.S. & Stott, P.A. (2015) Dramatically increasing chance of extremely hot summers since the 2003 European heatwave. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 46-50.
- Cowles, R.B. (1945) Heat-induced sterility and its possible bearing on evolution. *The American Naturalist*, **79**, 160-175.
- Deutsch, C.A., Tewksbury, J.J., Huey, R.B., Sheldon, K.S., Ghalambor, C.K., Haak, D.C. & Martin, P.R. (2008) Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 6668-6672.
- Dorai-Raj, S. (2014) binom: Binomial Confidence Intervals For Several Parameterizations.
- Fox, J.W., Sanford (2011) *An R Companion to Applied Regression* . Sage Thousand Oaks (CA).
- Geletič, J., Lehnert, M. & Jurek, M. (2020) Spatiotemporal variability of air temperature during a heat wave in real and modified landcover conditions: Prague and Brno (Czech Republic). *Urban Climate*, **31**, 100588.
- Heerwaarden, B., Kellermann, V. & Sgrò, C.M. (2016) Limited scope for plasticity to increase upper thermal limits. *Functional ecology*, **30**, 1947-1956.
- Iossa, G. (2019) Sex-specific differences in thermal fertility limits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **34**, 490-492.
- Jørgensen, K.T., Sørensen, J.G. & Bundgaard, J. (2006) Heat tolerance and the effect of mild heat stress on reproductive characters in *Drosophila buzzatii* males. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **31**, 280-286.
- Jørgensen, L.B., Malte, H., Ørsted, M., Klahn, N.A. & Overgaard, J. (2021) A unifying model to estimate thermal tolerance limits in ectotherms across static, dynamic and fluctuating exposures to thermal stress. *Scientific Reports*, **11**, 1-14.
- Karaca, A., Parker, H., Yeatman, J. & McDaniel, C. (2002) The effects of heat stress and sperm quality classification on broiler breeder male fertility and semen ion concentrations. *British poultry science*, **43**, 621-628.
- Kellermann, V., Overgaard, J., Hoffmann, A.A., Fløjgaard, C., Svenning, J.-C. & Loeschcke, V. (2012) Upper thermal limits of *Drosophila* are linked to species distributions and strongly constrained phylogenetically. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **109**, 16228-16233.
- Krebs, R. & Loeschcke, V. (1994) Costs and benefits of activation of the heat-shock response in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Functional ecology* , 730-737.
- Loeschcke, V. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2007) Consequences of heat hardening on a field fitness component in *Drosophila* depend on environmental temperature. *The American Naturalist*, **169**, 175-183.
- Matsui, T., Namuco, O.S., Ziska, L.H. & Horie, T. (1997) Effects of high temperature and CO2 concentration on spikelet sterility in indica rice. *Field Crops Research*, **51**, 213-219.
- Moghadam, N.N., Ketola, T., Pertoldi, C., Bahrndorff, S. & Kristensen, T.N. (2019) Heat hardening capacity in *Drosophila melanogaster* is life stage-specific and juveniles show the highest plasticity. *Biology letters*, **15**,

20180628.

Neuner, G. & Buchner, O. (2012) Dynamics of tissue heat tolerance and thermotolerance of PS II in alpine plants. *Plants in alpine regions* , pp. 61-74. Springer.

Parratt, S.R., Walsh, B.S., Metelmann, S., White, N., Manser, A., Bretman, A.J., Hoffmann, A.A., Snook, R.R. & Price, T.A. (2021) Temperatures that sterilize males better match global species distributions than lethal temperatures. *Nature Climate Change*, **11**, 481-484.

Pinsky, M.L., Eikeset, A.M., McCauley, D.J., Payne, J.L. & Sunday, J.M. (2019) Greater vulnerability to warming of marine versus terrestrial ectotherms. *Nature*, **569**, 108-111.

Porcelli, D., Gaston, K.J., Butlin, R.K. & Snook, R.R. (2016) Local adaptation of reproductive performance during thermal stress. *Journal of evolutionary biology*, **30**, 422-429.

Pottier, P., Burke, S., Drobniak, S.M., Lagisz, M. & Nakagawa, S. (2021) Sexual (in) equality? A meta-analysis of sex differences in thermal acclimation capacity across ectotherms. *Functional ecology* .

Powell, J.R. (1997) *Progress and prospects in evolutionary biology: the Drosophila model* . Oxford University Press.

Rohmer, C., David, J.R., Moreteau, B. & Joly, D. (2004) Heat induced male sterility in *Drosophila melanogaster*: adaptive genetic variations among geographic populations and role of the Y chromosome. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **207**, 2735-2743.

Sales, K., Vasudeva, R., Dickinson, M.E., Godwin, J.L., Lumley, A.J., Michalczyk, L., Hebberecht, L., Thomas, P., Franco, A. & Gage, M.J. (2018) Experimental heatwaves compromise sperm function and cause transgenerational damage in a model insect. *Nature Communications*, **9**, 1-11.

Sales, K., Vasudeva, R. & Gage, M.J. (2021) Fertility and mortality impacts of thermal stress from experimental heatwaves on different life stages and their recovery in a model insect. *Royal Society Open Science*, **8**, 201717.

Sambucetti, P. & Norry, F.M. (2015) Mating success at high temperature in highland-and lowland-derived populations as well as in heat knock-down selected *Drosophila buzzatii*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **154**, 206-212.

Sarup, P., Dahlgaard, J., Norup, A.M., Jørgensen, K., Hebsgaard, M. & Loeschcke, V. (2004) Down regulation of Hsp70 expression level prolongs the duration of heat-induced male sterility in *Drosophila buzzatii*. *Functional ecology*, **18**, 365-370.

Sgro, C.M., Terblanche, J.S. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2016) What can plasticity contribute to insect responses to climate change? *Annual review of Entomology*, **61**, 433-451.

Sorensen, J., Dahlgaard, J. & Loeschcke, V. (2001) Genetic variation in thermal tolerance among natural populations of *Drosophila buzzatii*: down regulation of Hsp70 expression and variation in heat stress resistance traits. *Functional ecology*, **15**, 289-296.

Stazione, L., Norry, F. & Sambucetti, P. (2019) Heat-hardening effects on mating success at high temperature in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **80**, 172-177.

Therneau, T. (2015) A Package for Survival Analysis in S.

van Heerwaarden, B. & Sgro, C.M. (2021) Male fertility thermal limits predict vulnerability to climate warming. *Nature Communications*, **12**, 1-11.

Vasudeva, R., Sutter, A., Sales, K., Dickinson, M.E., Lumley, A.J. & Gage, M.J. (2019) Adaptive thermal plasticity enhances sperm and egg performance in a model insect. *eLife*, **8**, e49452.

- Walsh, B.S., Mannion, N.L., Price, T.A. & Parratt, S.R. (2020) Sex-specific sterility caused by extreme temperatures is likely to create cryptic changes to the operational sex ratio in *Drosophila virilis*. *Current Zoology* .
- Walsh, B.S., Parratt, S.R., Hoffmann, A.A., Atkinson, D., Snook, R.R., Bretman, A. & Price, T.A. (2019) The impact of climate change on fertility. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **34**, 249-259.
- Weldon, C.W., Terblanche, J.S. & Chown, S.L. (2011) Time-course for attainment and reversal of acclimation to constant temperature in two *Ceratitis* species. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **36**, 479-485.
- Wickham, H. (2016) *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis* . Springer-Verlag New York.
- Young, W.C. & Plough, H.H. (1926) On the sterilization of *Drosophila* by high temperature. *The Biological Bulletin*, **51**, 189-198.
- Zhang, W., Chang, X.-Q., Hoffmann, A., Zhang, S. & Ma, C.-S. (2015) Impact of hot events at different developmental stages of a moth: the closer to adult stage, the less reproductive output. *Scientific Reports*, **5**, 10436.
- Zhang, W.y., Storey, K.B. & Dong, Y.w. (2021) Synchronization of seasonal acclimatization and short-term heat hardening improves physiological resilience in a changing climate. *Functional ecology*, **35**, 686-695.
- Zwoinska, M.K., Rodrigues, L.R., Slate, J. & Snook, R.R. (2020) Phenotypic Responses to and Genetic Architecture of Sterility Following Exposure to Sub-Lethal Temperature During Development. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **11**, 573.