Increased risk of abortion after frozen-thawed embryo transfer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes A and D

Qiumin Wang¹, Yanjun Zheng², Ping Li³, Guanqun Zhang⁴, Shanshan Gao⁴, Ze Wang⁴, Baozhen Hao⁴, and Yuhua Shi⁴

¹center for reproductive medicine, cheeloo college of medicine, Shandong University ²Center for Reproductive Medicine, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University ³Women and Children's Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University, 10 Zhenhai Road, 361003, Xiamen, China ⁴Affiliation not available

September 25, 2021

Abstract

Abstract Objectives: To investigate pregnancy outcomes after frozen-thawed embryo transfer (FET) according to polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) phenotypes. Design: Retrospective study. Setting: University-based centre for reproductive medicine. Participants: 8903 patients who underwent FET between January 2017 and October 2019. Methods: All patients were divided into PCOS and control groups, with the former categorised into four phenotype groups (PCOS phenotypes A, B, C, D) based on Rotterdam criteria. All patient data were retrospectively collected and evaluated. Main outcome measures: Pregnancy outcomes after FET consisted of biochemical, clinical and ectopic pregnancies, abortion, premature delivery and live birth. Results: Women with PCOS phenotype A experienced an increased incidence of biochemical pregnancy, clinical pregnancy and premature delivery compared to those with PCOS phenotype D and in the control group (P < 0.001, P = 0.005, P = 0.006, respectively), while incidences of ectopic pregnancy and live birth were comparable between all groups (P > 0.05). We found significantly higher abortion (P = 0.010) and lower ongoing pregnancy (P = 0.023) rates for women with PCOS phenotypes A and D (vs. control) were associated with an elevated risk of abortion (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 1.476, 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.077–2.024, P = 0.016; adjusted OR, 1.348, 95% CI, 1.080–1.682, P = 0.008, respectively). Conclusions: For the first time, our study demonstrates that women with PCOS phenotypes A and D show an increased risk of abortion after FET.

Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is considered a common endocrine disorder in women of reproductive age^1 , affecting 6–21% of women worldwide². Characteristics of PCOS include obesity, insulin resistance, hyperandrogenism, anovulation and polycystic ovaries³. Due to endocrine disorders and anovulation in women with PCOS, which lead to infertility⁴, such women usually require assisted reproductive technology (ART) to become pregnant.

Applying PCOS diagnostic criteria, four phenotypes are distinguished: phenotype A: coexistence of clinical hyperandrogenism/hyperandrogenemia (HA), oligomenorrhea/anovulation (OA) and polycystic ovaries (PCO); phenotype B: HA and OA without PCO; phenotype C: HA and PCO with regular ovulatory cycles; and phenotype D: OA coexisting with PCO². Patients with different PCOS phenotypes show different ovarian responses to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH)⁵, which might contribute to different pregnancy outcomes⁶. The previously published literature has mainly described pregnancy outcomes in patients with different PCOS phenotypes after fresh embryo transfer^{6,7}; patients with PCOS are prone to ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) during or after COH⁸. Clinically, a fresh embryo transfer is usually cancelled to reduce the risk of OHSS⁹. However, it has been reported that frozen-thawed embryo transfer (FET) can not only reduce the risk of OHSS, but also improve ART outcomes¹⁰. Recently, a multi-centre randomised controlled trial of infertile women with PCOS suggested FET led to a higher rate of live births, and a lower risk of abortion than did fresh embryo transfer¹¹. FET allows time for the use of preimplantation genetic technology¹². Therefore, a considerable number of infertile patients choose to undergo FET. However, PCOS is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, including an increased risk of abortion, premature delivery, pre-eclampsia and even neonatal outcomes^{13,14}. After removing the effect of COH on patients, studying the pregnancy outcomes of patients with different PCOS phenotypes after FET may better reflect the impact of different PCOS phenotypes on ART outcomes. Subsequent clinical management, which might optimise pregnancy outcomes, could then be carried out based on different PCOS phenotypes.

The aim of this study was to assess the effect of various PCOS phenotypes after FET on pregnancy outcomes.

Materials and Methods

Study design and patients

This study retrospectively collected and evaluated the clinical data of 8903 patients after FET at the Center for Reproductive Medicine, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, who were patients between January 2017 and October 2019. All women were between the ages of 20 to 40 years with a body mass index (BMI) of no more than 35 kg/m^2 , who underwent their first FET during their first *in vitro*fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycle at our centre.

Women were excluded from this study if they (i) underwent frozen-thawed oocyte cycle or preimplantation genetic testing cycles, (ii) were diagnosed with premature ovarian insufficiency or a decreased ovarian reserve, (iii) had a history of unilateral oophorectomy, recurrent spontaneous abortion or severe intrauterine adhesion, (iv) had medical conditions that contraindicated assisted reproductive technology or pregnancy, and (v) were diagnosed with hypertension, diabetes, abnormal renal function, uterine malformation or abnormal parental karyotypes. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Center for Reproductive Medicine, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University (2021-25).

All women were assigned to either PCOS or control groups. A diagnosis of PCOS was based on Rotterdam diagnostic criteria and if at least two of the following criteria were present: HA (hyperandrogenemia, defined as total testosterone levels above 48.1 ng/dL, and hirsutism with a total score [?]8 according to the Ferryman–Gallwey score); OA (defined as a delay of >35 days or < eight spontaneous hemorrhagic episodes/years); PCO (defined as [?]12 small follicles measuring 2–9 mm in at least one ovary or ovarian volume [?]10 cm³)¹⁵. Patients with PCOS were categorised into four phenotype groups according to Rotterdam criteria as follows¹⁶: phenotype A: HA+OA+PCO; phenotype B: HA+OA; phenotype C: HA+PCO; and phenotype D: OA+PCO.

Measurements

Patient data were obtained from our centre records, included age, BMI, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), duration and type of infertility, past medical history, a basic vaginal ultrasound, a basal hormone profile evaluation and outcomes of controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH), as well as laboratory and clinical features of FET cycles. Blood samples of a basal hormone profile were collected for assessment on days 2–5 of a spontaneous or progestin-induced menstrual cycle in all women. All the hormonal assays were performed in the laboratories of the Center for Reproductive Medicine, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University.

Treatment

All patients received a standard ovarian stimulation protocol (antagonist or long protocols), oocyte retrieval, fertilisation, embryo cultured and cryopreserved *in vitro*, and a luteal phase support protocol after embryo

transfer (ET), according to a routine method. All patients who underwent FET in this study were found unsuitable for undergoing a fresh embryo transfer or did not get a viable neonate after undergoing a fresh embryo transfer. The outpatient physician chose the most appropriate protocol based on clinical indications of the prepared endometrium, which mainly included a natural cycle, hormonal replacement therapy cycle and ovulation induction cycle. Frozen blastocysts were thawed and transferred, and subsequently provided with luteal phase support according to different endometrial preparation programs.

Outcomes of FET

The primary outcomes after FET were pregnancy outcomes consisting of biochemical pregnancy, clinical pregnancy (CP), ectopic pregnancy, abortion, premature delivery and live birth (LB). A biochemical pregnancy was indicated by a serum human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) level [?] 10 IU/L on day 14 after ET. A clinical pregnancy was considered detecting the presence of a gestational sac by ultrasonography on day 35 after ET. A diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy occurred when a developing blastocyst was found implanted outside the endometrial cavity. Abortion was defined as a clinical pregnancy lost before 28 weeks' gestation. Premature delivery was regarded as neonatal birth from the 28th to 37th week of gestation. Live birth was considered as the delivery of any viable neonate at 28 weeks of gestation or later.

Statistical analysis

Group means were compared using one-way analysis of variance (with the least significant difference posthoc test) for quantitative variables, and a chi-squared test for qualitative variables. Quantitative variables were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation, and qualitative variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Multivariate logistic regression analysis (backward: conditional) was performed to compare adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the effect of various PCOS phenotypes on pregnancy outcomes in FET, adjusted by the variates of P < 0.05 in univariate logistic regression analysis.

All analyses were performed with the use of SPSS software (version 23.0). Two-sided P values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

Results

Patients' characteristics and outcomes of COH

A total of 8903 patients who underwent FET were enrolled in the present study, and categorised as 1887 PCOS women and 7016 non-PCOS women. Of the 1887 women with PCOS, 452 showed phenotype A (HA+OA+PCO, 23.95% of PCOS), 88 presented with phenotype B (HA+OA, 4.66% of PCOS), 119 revealed phenotype C (HA+PCO, 6.31% of PCOS), and 1228 displayed phenotype D (OA+PCO, 65.08% of PCOS).

Patient's baseline characteristics and ovarian responses of the four PCOS phenotypes and control group are listed in **Table 1**. A significant difference did not exist in histories of spontaneous abortion and premature delivery between the four PCOS phenotypes and control group (P = 0.922, P = 0.495, respectively). No significant differences in the type of infertility, fasting blood-glucose (FBG), gonadotropin dose and high-quality embryos between the four PCOS phenotypes were found. Significant differences were observed with regard to age, BMI, SBP, DBP, duration of infertility, follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, total testosterone concentration (To), anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), antral follicle count (AFC), the number of follicles of diameter [?] 14 mm and estradiol (E_2) levels on the HCG trigger day, and the number of retrieved oocytes between the four PCOS phenotype and control groups (all P -values were < 0.001).

FET cycle characteristics and pregnancy outcomes

Table 2 summarises FET cycle characteristics and pregnancy outcomes for the four PCOS phenotype and control groups. A difference was found for the presence of a corpus luteum and endometrial thickness between the five groups (P < 0.001 for both). Women with PCOS phenotype A showed an increased incidence of biochemical pregnancy, CP and premature delivery compared to those with PCOS phenotype D and in the control group (P < 0.001, P = 0.005, P = 0.006, respectively), while the incidence of ectopic pregnancy and LB were comparable between the five groups (P = 0.596, P = 0.397, respectively). We also found a

significantly higher abortion rate (P = 0.010) and lower ongoing pregnancy rate (P = 0.023) among PCOS phenotypes A and D compared to control groups.

After adjusting for potential confounders, PCOS phenotypes A and D (vs. control) were associated with an elevated risk of abortion (adjusted OR, 1.476, 95% CI, 1.077–2.024, P = 0.016; adjusted OR, 1.348, 95% CI, 1.080–1.682, P = 0.008, respectively). PCOS phenotype A (vs. control) was not a significant risk factor for a preterm delivery after adjusting results for potential confounders (P = 0.144;**Table 3**). Potential confounders of abortion (P < 0.05 in univariate logistic regression analysis) included age, BMI, duration and type of infertility, FBG, the number of retrieved oocytes and good quality embryos, and the presence or absence of a corpus luteum. Potential confounders of premature delivery (P < 0.05 in univariate logistic regression analysis) included SBP, DBP, BMI, type of infertility, To, AMH, AFC and the number of transferred blastocysts in FET.

Discussion

Main findings

This study revealed a marked incidence of increased abortion in women of PCOS phenotype A and D groups after controlling for potential confounders. However, a finding of an elevated risk of premature delivery for the PCOS phenotype A group did not occur after controlling for potential confounders.

Strengths and limitations

In this study, we found, for the first time, that women with PCOS phenotypes A and D had an elevated risk of abortion after FET. This information will, for the first time, help clinicians develop individualised treatment plans to optimise ART outcomes. However, the investigation of several risk factors, such as environmental factors, physical activities and social factors, could not be included in this study. Due to the retrospective nature of this study, data on insulin resistance is lacking, and so was not included in the analysis. In addition, although we have the advantage of a large sample size, a large difference between groups was observed. Therefore, a further, large-scale and rigorous prospective validation study is necessary in future.

Interpretation

Abortion is a common complication of pregnancy. The aetiology of abortion is complex: obesity¹⁷, insulin resistance¹⁸, hyperandrogenism¹⁹, the quality of oocytes and endometrial abnormalities might be associated with the occurrence of abortion ²⁰⁻²². As reported in prior studies, women with PCOS are associated with an increased risk of abortion^{23,24}. In addition, Wang et al.²⁵ found that the incidence of abortion was increased in women who underwent ART, with a possible mechanism related to corpus luteum insufficiency.

In our study, the incidence of abortion was significantly increased in PCOS phenotypes A and D, and with the coexistence of OA and PCOM in PCOS phenotypes A and D. We speculate that the combination of OA and PCOM might increase the risk of abortion by affecting oocyte quality. A study by Barnes and colleagues reported that oocyte maturation and the fertility rate of anovulatory women were significantly lower than those of regular cycling women; their embryo development ratio followed a similar trend²⁶. Another study of anovulation in cows found that anovulation also leads to major shifts in gene expression in elongated conceptuses during preimplantation stages; transcripts involved with the control of energy metabolism and DNA repair were downregulated, whereas genes linked to apoptosis and autophagy were upregulated²⁷. Furthermore, a recent study revealed decreased oocyte quality in PCOM due to the abnormal activation of one-carbon metabolism and hypermethylation of mitochondrial DNA²⁸. These results support the above conjecture.

Moreover, we also observed that the rate for the presence of a corpus luteum (because of different endometrial preparation protocols for FET) in PCOS phenotypes A and D was significantly lower than that in controls, which might be another reason for the higher rate of abortion. In clinical practice, for PCOS women with OA, clinicians generally adopt a hormone replacement therapy (HRT) cycle to prepared the endometrium

for FET²⁹. Recently, Xu et al.³⁰ noticed that HRT cycles were related to a higher abortion rate, which is consistent with the results of a prior study³¹. The corpus luteum is an important source of hormones in pregnant women^{12,32}, but during endometrial preparation, a corpus luteum is absent in a HRT cycle. Additionally, administering exogenous hormone in a HRT cycle might increase the risk of thromboembolic events and could damage placentation, which may then lead to abortion^{33,34}.

It is generally known that obesity has an undesirable impact on women's reproduction³⁵. Obesity increases the rate of abortion³⁶ and is an independent risk factor for abortion^{37,38}. Obesity affects follicle development by affecting sex hormone secretion and metabolism³⁵; other studies have found adverse effects of obesity on the quality of the embryo ³⁹ and endometrial receptivity⁴⁰. In the present study, the BMI in PCOS phenotype A and D groups was significantly higher than that in the control group. Before the initiation of FET, obese women can reduce their weight to optimise pregnancy outcomes⁴¹. Interestingly, we also noticed that the age of the women in PCOS phenotypes A and D was lower than that of women in the control group. It is well documented that maternal age increases the incidence of $abortion^{42,43}$. It is possible that other factors masked the effect of age on abortion. The average age of patients was 30.62 + 4.07 years in our study, which may have a relatively small impact on abortion. Previous studies found that an advanced age increased the risk of abortion, usually over the age of 35 or $38^{44,45}$. In addition, previous studies have suggested that HA is associated with increased rates of abortion⁴⁶. However, this was not found in our study. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis also showed that HA does not increase the risk of abortion in patients with PCOS¹⁸.

Therefore, for women with PCOS phenotypes A and D, lifestyle interventions such as improved diet and increased exercise were used to reduce body weight before FET; natural or ovulation-induced cycles are recommended as a priority for endometrial preparation in FET, and an appropriately increased luteum phase supported. Additionally, pregnancy follow-up after obtaining a clinical pregnancy should be strengthened, and, with any sign of an abortion, treatment should be promptly provided.

Conclusions

In this study, we found, for the first time, that women with PCOS phenotypes A and D had an elevated risk of abortion after FET. We speculated that this was associated with the coexistence of OA and PCOM by affecting the quality of oocytes and embryos and the formation of a corpus luteum. The results of this study suggest that when performing FET, clinicians should individually manage women with PCOS phenotypes A and D to reduce the rate of abortion and achieve better pregnancy outcomes.

Disclosure of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Contribution to authorship

YH Shi and QM Wang conceived and designed this study. QM Wang contributed to the statistical analyses, interpretation of data and drafting of the manuscript. YJ Zheng and P Li performed statistical analyses and participated in discussions. GQ Zhang acquired the data. SS Gao and Z Wang analysed and interpreted the data. YH Shi and QM Wang participated in the discussion and critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Details of ethics approval

The institutional review board of the Center for Reproductive Medicine, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University approved this study (2021-25).

Funding

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China [2018YFC1003202 and 2016YFC1000604] and the Taishan scholar project special funds [No. ts201712103].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank clinicians, nurses, and laboratory staff for their contribution to this study. Moreover, the authors thank the infertile couples who participated in this study.

Data availability

The data and materials are available from the corresponding author on reasonable requests.

REFERENCES

1 Meier, R. K. Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. The Nursing clinics of North America 53, 407-420, doi:10.1016/j.cnur.2018.04.008 (2018).

2 Lizneva, D., Suturina, L., Walker, W., Brakta, S., Gavrilova-Jordan, L. & Azziz, R. Criteria, prevalence, and phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertility and Sterility* **106**, 6-15, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.05.003 (2016).

3 ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 194: Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Obstetrics and gynecology 131, e157-e171, doi:10.1097/aog.00000000002656 (2018).

4 Azziz, R., Carmina, E., Chen, Z., Dunaif, A., Laven, J. S., Legro, R. S. et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome. Nat Rev Dis Primers2, 16057, doi:10.1038/nrdp.2016.57 (2016).

5 Cela, V., Obino, M. E. R., Alberga, Y., Pinelli, S., Sergiampietri, C., Casarosa, E. *et al.* Ovarian response to controlled ovarian stimulation in women with different polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes. *Gynecol Endocrinol* **34**, 518-523, doi:10.1080/09513590.2017.1412429 (2018).

6 Ramezanali, F., Ashrafi, M., Hemat, M., Arabipoor, A., Jalali, S. & Moini, A. Assisted reproductive outcomes in women with different polycystic ovary syndrome phenotypes: the predictive value of anti-Mullerian hormone. *Reprod Biomed Online* **32**, 503-512, doi:10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.01.010 (2016).

7 Tal, R., Seifer, D. B., Khanimov, M., Malter, H. E., Grazi, R. V. & Leader, B. Characterization of women with elevated antimullerian hormone levels (AMH): correlation of AMH with polycystic ovarian syndrome phenotypes and assisted reproductive technology outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **211**, 59, e51-e58, doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2014.02.026 (2014).

8 Mourad, S., Brown, J. & Farquhar, C. Interventions for the prevention of OHSS in ART cycles: an overview of Cochrane reviews. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* $\mathbf{1}$, Cd012103, doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012103.pub2 (2017).

9 Blumenfeld, Z. The Ovarian Hyperstimulation Syndrome. Vitam Horm 107 , 423-451, doi:10.1016/bs.vh.2018.01.018 (2018).

10 Roque, M., Haahr, T., Geber, S., Esteves, S. C. & Humaidan, P. Fresh versus elective frozen embryo transfer in IVF/ICSI cycles: a systematic review and meta-analysis of reproductive outcomes. *Hum Reprod Update* **25**, 2-14, doi:10.1093/humupd/dmy033 (2019).

11 Chen, Z. J., Shi, Y., Sun, Y., Zhang, B., Liang, X., Cao, Y. *et al.* Fresh versus Frozen Embryos for Infertility in the Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *The New England journal of medicine* **375**, 523-533, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1513873 (2016).

12 Singh, B., Reschke, L., Segars, J. & Baker, V. L. Frozen-thawed embryo transfer: the potential importance of the corpus luteum in preventing obstetrical complications. *Fertil Steril* **113**, 252-257, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.12.007 (2020).

13 Roos, N., Kieler, H., Sahlin, L., Ekman-Ordeberg, G., Falconer, H. & Stephansson, O. Risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: population based cohort study. Bmj343, d6309, doi:10.1136/bmj.d6309 (2011).

14 Palomba, S., de Wilde, M. A., Falbo, A., Koster, M. P., La Sala, G. B. & Fauser, B. C. Pregnancy complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod Update* **21**, 575-592, doi:10.1093/humupd/dmv029 (2015).

15 Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS consensus workshop group. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertility and Sterility*81, 19-25, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2003.10.004 (2004).

16 Norman, R. J., Dewailly, D., Legro, R. S. & Hickey, T. E. Polycystic ovary syndrome. *The Lancet* **370**, 685-697, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(07)61345-2 (2007).

17 Qiu, M., Tao, Y., Kuang, Y. & Wang, Y. Effect of body mass index on pregnancy outcomes with the freeze-all strategy in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Fertil Steril* **112**, 1172-1179, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.08.009 (2019).

18 Sun, Y. F., Zhang, J., Xu, Y. M., Cao, Z. Y., Wang, Y. Z., Hao, G. M. *et al.* High BMI and Insulin Resistance Are Risk Factors for Spontaneous Abortion in Patients With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Undergoing Assisted Reproductive Treatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in endocrinology* **11**, 592495, doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.592495 (2020).

19 Zhang, Y., Zhao, W., Xu, H., Hu, M., Guo, X., Jia, W. *et al*.Hyperandrogenism and insulin resistanceinduced fetal loss: evidence for placental mitochondrial abnormalities and elevated reactive oxygen species production in pregnant rats that mimic the clinical features of polycystic ovary syndrome. *The Journal of physiology***597**, 3927-3950, doi:10.1113/jp277879 (2019).

20 Song, J., Wang, X., Guo, Y., Yang, Y., Xu, K., Wang, T. *et al.*Novel high-coverage targeted metabolomics method (SWATHtoMRM) for exploring follicular fluid metabolome alterations in women with recurrent spontaneous abortion undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Scientific reports* **9**, 10873, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47370-7 (2019).

21 Legro, R. S. Pregnancy considerations in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* **50**, 295-304, doi:10.1097/GRF.0b013e31803057ed (2007).

22 van der Spuy, Z. M. & Dyer, S. J. The pathogenesis of infertility and early pregnancy loss in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* **18**, 755-771, doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2004.06.001 (2004).

23 Yu, H. F., Chen, H. S., Rao, D. P. & Gong, J. Association between polycystic ovary syndrome and the risk of pregnancy complications: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine**95**, e4863, doi:10.1097/md.00000000004863 (2016).

24 Zehravi, M., Maqbool, M. & Ara, I. Polycystic ovary syndrome and reproductive health of women: a curious association. *International journal of adolescent medicine and health*, doi:10.1515/ijamh-2021-0031 (2021).

25 Wang, J. X., Norman, R. J. & Wilcox, A. J. Incidence of spontaneous abortion among pregnancies produced by assisted reproductive technology. *Hum Reprod* **19**, 272-277, doi:10.1093/humrep/deh078 (2004).

26 Barnes, F. L., Kausche, A., Tiglias, J., Wood, C., Wilton, L. & Trounson, A. Production of embryos from in vitro-matured primary human oocytes. *Fertil Steril* **65**, 1151-1156, doi:10.1016/s0015-0282(16)58330-7 (1996).

27 Santos, J. E., Bisinotto, R. S. & Ribeiro, E. S. Mechanisms underlying reduced fertility in anovular dairy cows. *Theriogenology* **86**, 254-262, doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.04.038 (2016).

28 Jia, L., Li, J., He, B., Jia, Y., Niu, Y., Wang, C. *et al*. Abnormally activated one-carbon metabolic pathway is associated with mtDNA hypermethylation and mitochondrial malfunction in the oocytes of polycystic gilt ovaries. *Scientific reports* **6**, 19436, doi:10.1038/srep19436 (2016).

29 Aslih, N., Dorzia, D., Atzmon, Y., Estrada, D., Ellenbogen, A., Bilgory, A. *et al.* Ovulatory-Based FET Cycles May Achieve Higher Pregnancy Rates in the General Population and among Anovulatory Women. *Journal of clinical medicine* **10**, doi:10.3390/jcm10040703 (2021).

30 Xu, H., Qiu, S., Chen, X., Zhu, S., Sun, Y. & Zheng, B. D6 blastocyst transfer on day 6 in frozen-thawed cycles should be avoided: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth***20**, 519, doi:10.1186/s12884-020-03224-z (2020).

31 Cerrillo, M., Herrero, L., Guillen, A., Mayoral, M. & Garcia-Velasco, J. A. Impact of Endometrial Preparation Protocols for Frozen Embryo Transfer on Live Birth Rates. *Rambam Maimonides medical journal* **8**, doi:10.5041/rmmj.10297 (2017).

32 Liu, X., Shi, W. & Shi, J. Natural cycle frozen-thawed embryo transfer in young women with regular menstrual cycles increases the live-birth rates compared with hormone replacement treatment: a retrospective cohort study. *Fertil Steril* **113**, 811-817, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.11.023 (2020).

33 Patel, S., Kilburn, B., Imudia, A., Armant, D. R. & Skafar, D. F. Estradiol Elicits Proapoptotic and Antiproliferative Effects in Human Trophoblast Cells. *Biol Reprod* **93**, 74, doi:10.1095/biolreprod.115.129114 (2015).

34 Hancke, K., More, S., Kreienberg, R. & Weiss, J. M. Patients undergoing frozen-thawed embryo transfer have similar live birth rates in spontaneous and artificial cycles. *J Assist Reprod Genet***29**, 403-407, doi:10.1007/s10815-012-9724-z (2012).

35 Talmor, A. & Dunphy, B. Female obesity and infertility. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* **29**, 498-506, doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2014.10.014 (2015).

36 Metwally, M., Ong, K. J., Ledger, W. L. & Li, T. C. Does high body mass index increase the risk of miscarriage after spontaneous and assisted conception? A meta-analysis of the evidence. *Fertil Steril* **90**, 714-726, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.07.1290 (2008).

37 Bellver, J., Rossal, L. P., Bosch, E., Zuniga, A., Corona, J. T., Melendez, F. *et al.* Obesity and the risk of spontaneous abortion after oocyte donation. *Fertil Steril* **79**, 1136-1140, doi:10.1016/s0015-0282(03)00176-6 (2003).

38 Zhou, H., Zhang, D., Luo, Z., Yang, A., Cui, N., Hao, G. *et al.* Association between Body Mass Index and Reproductive Outcome in Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Receiving IVF/ICSI-ET. *BioMed research international* **2020**, 6434080, doi:10.1155/2020/6434080 (2020).

39 Metwally, M., Cutting, R., Tipton, A., Skull, J., Ledger, W. L. & Li, T. C. Effect of increased body mass index on oocyte and embryo quality in IVF patients. *Reprod Biomed Online* **15**, 532-538, doi:10.1016/s1472-6483(10)60385-9 (2007).

40 Li, X., Ding, W., Liu, J. Y., Mao, Y. D., Huang, J., Wang, W.*et al.* [Effects of dyslipidemia on IVF/ICSI pregnancy outcome in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome]. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi* **53**, 402-408, doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-567x.2018.06.008 (2018).

41 Lee, Y. S., Biddle, S., Chan, M. F., Cheng, A., Cheong, M., Chong, Y. S. *et al.* Health Promotion Board-Ministry of Health Clinical Practice Guidelines: Obesity. *Singapore medical journal***57**, 472, doi:10.11622/smedj.2016141 (2016).

42 Magnus, M. C., Wilcox, A. J., Morken, N. H., Weinberg, C. R. & Haberg, S. E. Role of maternal age and pregnancy history in risk of miscarriage: prospective register based study. *Bmj* **364**, 1869, doi:10.1136/bmj.1869 (2019).

43 du Fosse, N. A., van der Hoorn, M. P., van Lith, J. M. M., le Cessie, S. & Lashley, E. Advanced paternal age is associated with an increased risk of spontaneous miscarriage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* **26**, 650-669, doi:10.1093/humupd/dmaa010 (2020).

44 Li, J., Liu, X., Hu, L., Zhang, F., Wang, F., Kong, H. *et al.*A Slower Age-Related Decline in Treatment Outcomes After the First Ovarian Stimulation for in vitro Fertilization in Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *Frontiers in endocrinology* **10**, 834, doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00834 (2019).

45 Weghofer, A., Munne, S., Chen, S., Barad, D. & Gleicher, N. Lack of association between polycystic ovary syndrome and embryonic aneuploidy. *Fertil Steril* **88**, 900-905, doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2006.12.018 (2007).

46 Yang, W., Yang, R., Yang, S., Li, J., Tu, B., Gao, C. *et al*.Infertile polycystic ovary syndrome patients undergoing in vitro fertilization with the gonadotropin-releasing hormone-antagonist protocol: role of hyperandrogenism. *Gynecol Endocrinol***34**, 715-718, doi:10.1080/09513590.2018.1431773 (2018).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and ovarian responses of four PCOS phenotype and control groups

Variables	Phenotype A $(n=452)$	Phenotype B $(n=88)$	Phenotype C (1
Age (years)	$28.89 \pm 3.43^{\rm d,e}$	29.53 ± 3.25^{e}	$28.43 \pm 3.42^{d,e}$
BMI (kg/m^2)	$25.59 \pm 3.74^{b,c,d,e}$	$24.66 \pm 3.54^{a,e}$	$24.59 \pm 3.66^{a,e}$
SBP	$117.27 \pm 11.88^{d,e}$	$117.08 \pm 12.42^{\rm e}$	115.47 ± 12.95^{e}
DBP	$71.16 \pm 9.15^{c,d,e}$	$70.53 \pm 10.39^{c,e}$	$67.53 \pm 9.47^{\mathrm{a,b,d}}$
Duration of infertility	$4.09 \pm 2.33^{c,e}$	$3.90{\pm}2.66$	$3.55{\pm}2.86^{\rm a,d}$
Type of infertility, n (%)			
Primary	$294/452 \ (65.0)^{\rm e}$	$54/88 \ (61.4)^{\rm e}$	$84/119 \ (70.6)^{\rm e}$
Secondary	158/452 (35) ^e	34/88 (38.6) ^e	$35/119(29.4)^{e}$
History of spontaneous abortion (%)	52/452 (11.5)	11/88 (12.5)	11/119 (9.2)
History of premature delivery (%)	2/452 (0.4)	0/88 (0.0)	0/119(0.0)
FBG (mmol/L)	5.26 ± 0.44^{e}	5.34 ± 0.45^{e}	$5.30 \pm 0.45^{\circ}$
Basal FSH (IU/L)	$5.62 \pm 1.51^{b,e}$	$6.01{\pm}1.45^{\mathrm{a,d}}$	5.75 ± 1.23^{e}
Basal LH (IU/L)	$11.83 \pm 5.79^{b,c,d,e}$	$8.13 \pm 4.52^{a,e}$	$8.40 \pm 5.47^{a,e}$
Basal To (ng/dL)	$62.50 \pm 14.35^{d,e}$	$60.46 \pm 12.81^{d,e}$	$61.66 \pm 12.03^{d,e}$
AMH (ng/mL)	$11.50 \pm 4.18^{b,c,d,e}$	$6.23 \pm 3.46^{a,c,d,e}$	$8.78 \pm 4.29^{a,b,e}$
AFC	$34.51 \pm 12.03^{b,c,d,e}$	$16.03 \pm 3.57^{a,c,d}$	$27.41 \pm 9.22^{a,b,d}$
Gn dose (IU)	$1791.77 \pm 968.01^{\rm e}$	$1940.91{\pm}1009.77$	1759.87 ± 814.84
No. of follicles of diameter [?]14 mm on HCG trigger day	$17.12 \pm 6.06^{b,d,e}$	$13.86 \pm 4.77^{a,c,d,e}$	$16.73 \pm 4.72^{b,e}$
E2 levels on HCG trigger day (pg/mL)	$6085.85 \pm 3355.60^{\mathrm{b,d,e}}$	$5360.55 \pm 3117.31^{a,e}$	5879.19 ± 3406.0
No. of retrieved oocytes	$19.04 \pm 8.77^{b,d,e}$	$15.23 \pm 7.29^{a,c,d,e}$	$18.85 {\pm} 6.96^{ m b,e}$
No. of good quality embryos	5.36 ± 3.46^{e}	$5.19{\pm}3.61$	5.83 ± 3.52^{e}

AMH, anti-Müllerian hormone; AFC, antral follicle count; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBG=fasting blood glucose; FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; Gn, gonadotropin; HCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; IU, international units; LH, luteinizing hormone; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome phenotype; SBP, systolic pressure; To, total testosterone concentration

- ^a P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype A
- $^{\rm b}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype B
- ^c P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype C
- $^{\rm d}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype D
- $^{\rm e}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with control group

Table 2 FET cycle characteristics and pregnancy outcomes of four PCOS phenotype and control groups

Variables	Phenotype A $(n=452)$	Phenotype B $(n=88)$	Phenotype C $(n=119)$	Phenotype	
The presence of corpus luteum					
Yes	86/452 (19.0) ^{c,e}	20/88 (22.7) ^{c,e}	62/119~(52.1) ^{a,b,d,e}	273/1228 (
No	366/452 (81.0) ^{c,e}	68/88 (77.3) ^{c,e}	57/119 (47.9) ^{a,b,d,e}	955/1228 (
No. of transferred blastocyst	1.03 ± 0.18	1.07 ± 0.25^{e}	1.04 ± 0.20	1.03 ± 0.18	
Endometrial thickness in FET (mm)	$9.1 \pm 1.4^{c,e}$	$9.5{\pm}1.9$	$9.6{\pm}1.5^{\mathrm{a,d}}$	$9.2 \pm 1.4^{c,e}$	
Biochemical pregnancy (%)	$362/452 \ (80.1)^{\rm d,e}$	63/88 (71.6)	91/119(76.5)	888/1228 (
CP (%)	321/452 (71.0) ^{d,e}	53/88(60.2)	82/119 (68.9)	797/1228 (
Ectopic pregnancy (%)	3/321 (0.9)	0/53 (0.0)	0/82 (0.0)	3/723(0.4)	
Abortion (%)	$66/321(20.6)^{\rm e}$	9/53 (17.0)	12/82(14.6)	153/797 (1	
Ongoing pregnancy (%)	252/321 (78.5) ^e	44/53(83)	70/82 (85.4)	641/797 (8	
Premature delivery (%)	$39/321 (12.1)^{d,e}$	5/53 (9.4)	8/82 (9.8)	61/797 (7.7	
LB (%)	252/452(55.8)	44/88 (50.0)	70/119 (58.8)	638/1228 (

The presence or absence of a corpus luteum was based on endometrial preparation protocols; that is, natural and ovulation induction cycles were considered to mean the formation of a corpus luteum, and a hormone replacement therapy cycle was regarded as meaning the absence of a corpus luteum.

CP, clinical pregnancy; FET, frozen-thawed embryo transfer; LB, live birth

^a P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype A

 $^{\rm b}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype B

 $^{\rm c}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype C

 $^{\rm d}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with phenotype D

 $^{\rm e}$ P -value < 0.05 compared with control group

Table 3 Crude and adjusted ORs of various PCOS phenotypes for abortion and premature delivery

	Crude OR (95% CI)	P-values	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P- values
Abortion (%)				
PCOS phenotype		.010		.036
PCOS-A	1.447 (1.091 - 1.920)	.010	1.476(1.077-2.024)	.016
PCOS-B	1.144(0.556-2.354)	.716	1.120 (0.537-2.337)	.763
PCOS-C	0.958(0.517-1.778)	.893	1.209(0.642 - 2.275)	.557
PCOS-D	1.328(1.094-1.614)	.004	1.348 (1.080-1.682)	.008
Premature delivery (%)				
PCOS phenotype		.006		.683
PCOS-A	1.932(1.355 - 2.756)	<.001	1.499(0.871 - 2.578)	.144
PCOS-B	1.455(0.575 - 3.683)	.429	1.178 (0.440-3.152)	.745
PCOS-C	1.510(0.721-3.162)	.274	1.271(0.558-2.894)	.569
PCOS-D	1.158 (0.869-1.542)	.316	1.053(0.737-1.504)	.776

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome