Adverse events related to Atricure EPi-Sense Coagulation Device - Analysis of the FDA MAUDE database

Aakash Sheth[1], Zaki Al-Yafeai[1], and Paari Dominic[2]

[1]LSUHSC-S
[2]LSUHSC Shreveport

September 24, 2021

Abstract

Introduction: The Atricure EPi-Sense Device is used for the hybrid convergent procedure, an emerging treatment for persistent atrial fibrillation and long standing persistent atrial fibrillation. However, data on the AE related to the EPi-Sense device are scarce. Methods: Keyword "EPI-SENSE" was searched on the MAUDE database. There were 80 device reports from 2016-2020. After excluding reports when the device was not returned for evaluation, 79 device reports were included for final analysis. Results: The adverse events were broadly classified into 11 categories. The most common complications were pericardial effusion (25.3%), stroke (17.7%) and atrio-esophageal fistula (AEF) (8.9%). Death was reported in 15 (19%) cases, 3 of which were due to pulmonary embolism, 6 due to AEF, 3 due to unknown cause, 1 due to sepsis, 2 due to events related to acute renal failure (ARF). Discussion: Pericardial effusion is a common AE reported in patients with convergence procedure and is well documented in the CONVERGE trial. Convergent procedure is unique in that the epicardial ablations are performed on the posterior wall with the radiofrequency probe directed towards the heart and away from the esophagus which in theory should reduce esophageal injuries. Despite that, a high number of AEF were noticed. Lastly, there were also some reports of saline perfusion malfunction which can lead to injuries due to overheating. Conclusion: This analysis of the AE related to the EPi-Sense device highlights several major adverse events that are previously unreported.

Adverse events related to Atricure EPi-Sense Coagulation Device - Analysis of the FDA MAUDE database

Running title: Adverse events of Atricure EPi-Sense Device

Aakash R Sheth[1], MD; Zaki Al Yafeai, MD[1]; Paari Dominic[1], MD

1: The Department of Medicine and Center of Excellence for Cardiovascular Diseases & Sciences, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-Shreveport, Louisiana

Corresponding author:

Paari Dominic, MD

Associate Professor of Cardiology

LSU Health Sciences Center, Shreveport, LA

Ph: (318) 675-5941Fax: (318) 675-5686

E-mail: pdomi2@lsuhsc.edu

Funding : This publication was supported by an Institutional Development Award from the National Institutes of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under grant number P20GM121307 to C.G. Kevil.

Disclosures :

Aakash Sheth: None

Zaki Al Yafeai: None

Dominic Paari: None

Words : 900 (including text and references)

References: 4

Figures/tables: *1*

All authors have read and approve the final manuscript

Key Words:

Hybrid Convergent Procedure, Atricure EPi-Sense Device, Adverse Events, Atrio-Esophageal Fistula, CONVERGE Trial

The Atricure EPi-Sense (EPi-sense) is a recently FDA approved device, used for the hybrid convergent procedure, an emerging treatment for persistent atrial fibrillation (AF) and long standing persistent atrial fibrillation (LSPAF). With the exception of adverse events (AE) published in the recent CONVERGE trial, there is a paucity of evidence regarding the AE related to the use of this device. Therefore, the primary objective of this analysis is to interrogate the post-marketing surveillance data from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database to evaluate the complications associated with EPi-sense [1].

We searched for keyword "EPi-SENSE" on the MAUDE database on 01/18/2021. There were 80 reports from 2016-2020. With more than 12,000 convergent procedures performed till date, using the EPi-sense device (based on personal communication with the device representatives) this represents an AE rate of less than 1%. The device was returned for evaluation in 79 reports which were then included in the final analysis. Although the indications for the EPi-sense were not specified on the MAUDE database, the manufacturer recommends its use solely for the hybrid convergent procedure. There was no mention of the type of atrial fibrillation treated on any device reports.

The AE were broadly classified into 11 categories as seen in table 1. The three most common categories of AE were: Cardiac and pericardial injury or inflammation: 27 (34%) events, Embolic: 20 (25%) events, and esophageal injury: 9 (11%) events. Many of the serious AE such as atrio-esophageal fistulas (AE-Fistulas), strokes, pericardial effusions, cardiac perforations and several others preceded the unfortunate event of death. Therefore, they were included in both categories. The most common AE was pericardial effusion, reported in 20 (25.3%) reports, followed by stroke in 14 (17.7%) cases. In contrast to CONVERGE trial which reported no deaths or AE-fistulas, 19% (15 patients) of cases reported to MAUDE died and 8.9% (7 patients) developed AE-fistulas. Pleural effusion was reported in 6 (7.6%) device related AE. 2 events (2.5%) each of acute renal failure, new onset heart failure, atrial fibrillation with rapid ventricular response and ventricular fibrillation were reported. Device malfunction was also reported in 5 (6.4%) of cases, out of which 4 cases were of malfunction of saline perfusion. One event was reported as system malfunction with no further details. Transient ischemic attack (TIA) and pulmonary embolism (PE) were reported in 3 cases each, but no further information on their anti-coagulation status was provided. 4 events of diaphragmatic, 1 report of incisional and 1 report of pericardial window hernias were reported.

Pericardial effusion is a common AE reported in patients with convergence procedure and is well documented in the CONVERGE trial, a randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy of hybrid convergent procedure to conventional catheter ablation in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation [2]. The CONVERGE trial protocol was therefore amended to recommend administration of a prophylactic regimen of steroids or NSAIDS to prevent it. Esophageal injuries are common in atrial fibrillation procedures involving posterior wall ablation techniques [3], where the radiofrequency energy is usually directed towards the esophagus.

Convergent procedure is unique in that the epicardial ablations are performed on the posterior wall with the radiofrequency probe directed towards the heart and away from the esophagus. This should, in theory, reduce the AE-Fistulas and other esophageal injuries by reducing the number of posteriorly directed endocardial burns. However, there were reports of saline perfusion malfunction. As noted by Wats. K et. al. [4], the saline infusion system in the EPi-Sense device is meant to cool the device, improve energy penetration and prevent char. The malfunction of this system could lead to absence of saline infusion to cool the device in certain cases causing higher chances of injuries due to overheating. The occurrence of embolic phenomenon reflect interruption of periprocedural anticoagulation regimen. In-fact, several reports on the MAUDE database actually mention failure of compliance of anticoagulation regimen.

There are major limitations of using the MAUDE database. One of them is the underreporting of AE, especially those occurring because of clinician's error. This is important in a relatively novel procedure like the convergent procedure which involves a steep learning curve and high chances of operator error. In addition, the type of atrial fibrillation and history of prior ablation procedures in these cases, which might affect the proportion of AE were unknown. Lastly, there is no way to determine if these adverse events were related specifically to the endocardial or the epicardial part of the procedure, a limitation which also applies to the findings reported in the CONVERGE trial since no clarification was provided. Nevertheless, our report highlights the most important adverse events associated with the use of Atricure EPI-sense device and the need for continued surveillance of safety profiles, patient outcomes and device failures.

References

1. MAUDE. Manufacturer and user facility device experience [Internet]. Access data.fda.gov. 2020 [cited 30 January 2021]. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfmaude/search.cfm.
2. DeLurgio DB, Crossen KJ, Gill J, et al. Hybrid Convergent Procedure for the Treatment of Persistent and Long-Standing Persistent Atrial Fibrillation: Results of CONVERGE Clinical Trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2020;13(12):e009288.\
3. Halbfass P, Berkovitz A, Pavlov B, et al. Incidence of acute thermal esophageal injury after atrial fibrillation ablation guided by prespecified ablation index. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2019;30(11):2256-2261.
4. Wats K, Kiser A, Makati K, et al. The Convergent Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Procedure: Evolution of a Multidisciplinary Approach to Atrial Fibrillation Management. Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev. 2020;9(2):88-96.

Figure Legend:

Figure 1: Adverse events related to the Atricure EPi-Sense Coagulation Device. The table shows various different complications associated with the use of the Atricure EPi-Sense coagulation device. The complications are grouped into 11 categories. Percentages reflect proportion of the total AEs reported.

Complication	**N (%)**	**Complication**	**N (%)**
Embolic		**Pulmonary**	
Transient Ischemic Attack	3 (3.8%)	Pleural effusion	6 (7.6%)
Stroke	14 (17.7%)	Pneumothorax	1 (1.3%)
Pulmonary Embolism	3 (3.8%)		
		Esophageal	
Rhythm		Esophageal burn - non perforation	1 (1.3%)
Bradycardia	1 (1.3%)	Esophageal perf	1 (1.3%)
Atrial Fibrillation	2 (2.5%)	Atrio-esophageal perforation	7 (8.9%)
Ventricular Fibrillation	2 (2.5%)		
Ischemic		**New onset Heart Failure**	2 (2.5%)
Acute Myocardial Infarction	1 (1.3%)		
		Acute Renal Failure	2 (2.5%)

Complication	**N (%)**	**Complication**	**N (%)**
Device			
System malfunction	1 (1.3%)	**Hernia**	
Malfunction of saline perfusion	4 (5.1%)	Incisional hernia	1 (1.3%)
		Diaphragmatic hernia	4 (5.1%)
Cardiac and Pericardial injury or inflammation		Pericardial window hernia	1 (1.3%)
Pericardial effusion	20 (25.3%)		
Cardiac tamponade	4 (5.1%)	**Miscellaneous**	
Dressler syndrome	1 (1.3%)	Hemidiaphragmatic paralysis	1 (1.3%)
Cardiac perforation	2 (2.5%)	Excessive bleeding	1 (1.3%)
		Death	15 (19.0%)

Figure 1: Adverse events related to the Atricure EPi-Sense Coagulation Device. Percentages reflect proportion of the total AEs reported