CYP1A expression in freshwater fish of western New York as an indicator of pollution levels

Rebecca Williams¹, Teri Koetsier², Seema Johnson², Kayla Miller², Theresa Taggart², and Amber Dinchman¹

¹The College of Wooster

²Houghton College

September 24, 2021

Abstract

Various species of freshwater fish regulate the expression of certain proteins in response to environmental contamination. Previous research has shown that CYP1A expression increases in response to contaminant levels, and can result in increased tumor formation. Fish in contaminated environments would thus benefit by downregulating the expression of CYP1A to reduce tumor prevalence as an adaptive strategy. Alternatively, regulation of the CYP1A protein in fish can serve as a bioindicator of the pollution level of an environment. This study evaluated CYP1A expression in twelve different species of freshwater fish from seven bodies of water throughout western NY including Cuba Lake, Genesee River, Hanging Bog, Love Canal, Moss Lake, Rushford Lake and Tift Nature Preserve. Western blot analysis was used to measure CYP1A expression as a marker of site pollution and potential fish population adaptation. It was hypothesized that low CYP1A expression at a site with known contamination would suggest signs of adaptation to pollution levels present. Furthermore, if at least one sample from a species showed CYP1A expression, then the CYP1A antibody (Caymen Chemical, USA; 173132) had compatibility with that species, eliminating falsely suspected adaptation. The results from this study suggest possible adaptation of fish may be occurring in the polluted Tift Nature Preserve and Genesee River. In contrast, CYP1A expression in fish from Cuba Lake, Hanging Bog, Love Canal, Moss Lake, and Rushford Lake appear to represent known pollution levels and adaptation is not likely occurring. Results from this study are preliminary and next steps include collection and analysis of sediment to provide a stronger correlation between pollution at sites and CYP1A expression.

Introduction

Freshwater fish can reveal information concerning levels of aqueous contamination through regulation of the CYP1A protein. Fish that feed along the floor of water systems are more likely to indicate contamination than fish that feed along surface because toxins often reside in the sediment of freshwater bodies due to their low aqueous solubility (Andrade, 2004). Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) toxins in particular have been found to have extremely low aqueous solubility, on the order of nanograms per liter (Fetzer, 2002). Although these CYP1A-inducing toxins are found in the sediment of freshwater, they may not always induce CYP1A expression in bottom-feeding fish. In one study of The River Narva, researchers found that despite elevated PAH levels from nearby mining, CYP1A induction was low, suggesting adaptation of fish over time to high levels of toxins in their environments (Tuvikene, 1999).

This current study explored the effect of contaminants on CYP1A expression in freshwater fish from seven bodies of water across western New York to measure of adaptation. Bottom feeding freshwater fish included in this study are black bullhead (*Ameiurus melas*), brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*), pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*), smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), white suckers (*Catostomus commersonii*), rock bass (*Ambloplites rupestris*), golden shiners (*Notemigonus*

crystoleucas) and Rudd (*Scardinius erythrophthalmus*). Fish that feed along the surface or upper levels of water bodies were included as other possible bioindicators. These included bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*), Northern pike (*Esox lucius*) and fallfish (*Semotilus corporalis*).

The migratory and feeding patterns of each species impacts which contaminants they are exposed to. Small-mouth bass feed along the bottom and top of the water, are primarily carnivorous, and migrate up to 60 miles in the colder months of the year (Whitlock, 2014). Largemouth bass also feed along the surface or shallows of the water. In the summer, they tend to move toward deeper waters after spawning season (Minnesota DNR). Northern pike swim closer to the surface on sunny days and migrate during their spawning season between February and March (Ovidio, 2005). White suckers migrate anywhere from 100 yards to 4 miles during their spawning season, from April to June (Raney, 1942). Bluegill tend to inhabit vegetated, quiet, shallow areas and do not migrate. Occasionally, they swim in deeper areas to avoid higher water temperatures (Schultz, 2004). Pumpkinseed do not migrate and feed primarily on gastropods on both surface and bottom layers of the water (Mittelbach, 1999). Golden shiners never migrate and feed in numerous water levels (Reeb, 2002). Rock bass live in small, shallow, cool streams among the rocks or vegetation (Schultz, 2004). Fallfish feed on plankton and insects in clear areas of water and tend to stay in their native areas (NYS Dept. of Environmental Conservation). Rudd feed along the surface, middle, and bottom of water and remain with the same school of fish throughout their lifetimes (Schultz, 2004). Lastly, Brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*), are especially good indicators of water and sediment contamination. Their benthic nature causes them to take up and bioaccumulate toxins from the sediment (Azevedo, 2012). Furthermore, bullhead have the ability to live in highly contaminated environments and have demonstrated adaptive responses to CYP1A inducers by increasing tumour suppressor p53 expression and decreasing CYP1A expression (Williams & Hubberstey, 2014).

On the other hand, direct correlations between levels of CYP1A expression within the fish and pollution levels in the water validate procedures involving the measurement of this gene for the quantification of water pollution (Azevedo, 2012; Pelayo, 2011). Bullhead in locations with tremendous amounts of pollutants such as PAHs and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), another class of contaminants that are prevalent in sediment, have demonstrated increased CYP1A expression in addition to skin and liver tumors (Pyron, 2001). PAHs are produced as a result of contamination from industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, municipal waste, and incomplete combustion of petroleum, gas, coal, and wood (Willett, 2001; Andrade, 2004). This type of contamination is the result of social and economic development, as PCBs and PAHs are often dumped into bodies of water as industrial waste (Nacci et al., 1999). Members of this group of PAHs containing four or more benzene rings (e.g. benzo[a]pyrene, BaP) specifically interact with the CYP1A family of enzymes by binding to aryl-hydrocarbon receptors (AHRs) resulting in elevated levels of CYP1A expression (Willett, 2001; Wills, 2010). The CYP1A protein can then, in turn metabolize PAHs such as BaP, into mutagenic end forms that interact negatively with DNA, resulting in the formation of adducts (Hodek et al., 2013).

Upregulation of CYP1A is a tightly monitored system used to eradicate chemicals from the body (Ma, 2007). The role of CYP1A in the removal of toxins makes the enzyme useful in contaminated environments. Activated CYP1A adds an oxygen atom to a carcinogen, allowing the toxin to become soluble in water and excretion from the body follows (Pfeifer, 2002). However, CYP1A can also metabolize those toxins to their mutagenic form, leading to tumor formation and other complications within the fish (Wills, 2010). Decreased CYP1A expression reduces the possibility of these negative effects and consequently increases the likelihood that a fish will survive in a contaminated environment. Research suggests that fish with a higher tolerance (i.e. downregulation of CYP1A to reduce tumor formation) for contamination will be more fit to survive and produce offspring that also possess higher tolerance for similar environments. Over time, this causes a genetic shift towards a population that is resistant to pollution (Klerks & Levinton, 1989, Nacci et al., 1999, Wills et al., 2010). Alternatively, if a trait is not inherited and occurs within the lifetime of an organism, this is considered to be a non-genetic inheritance (Meyer et al., 2002). Adaptation may not occur at all in small freshwater systems if the population goes extinct before resistance can develop or the group is not genetically diverse enough to allow for adaptation (Hamilton, et al., 2017).

Studies have confirmed that resistance to contaminants can be heritable rather than non-genetically maternally influenced. A study by Wills et al. demonstrated that killifish can adapt to carcinogens like BaP. Laboratory-bred killifish larvae, whose parents were captured from two sites in Virginia, the contaminated Elizabeth River and King's Creek, a reference site, were exposed to two doses of aqueous BaP or DMSO (a vehicle). Doses were between 10-400 ug/L depending on the type of the assay being conducted. The Elizabeth River is known to be contaminated with creosote, a complex mixture of PAHs, the result of a former wood-treatment facility between 1926-1992 (Bieri, 1986). Results showed that laboratory-raised KC larvae had significant induced CYP1A activity after exposure to BaP whereas ER fish did not. KC larvae also had a greater reduction in whole body BaP concentration over time, higher frequency of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA lesions and hepatic lesions at higher concentrations of BaP. These results demonstrated that ER fish appeared to have acquired a heritable defense mechanism against genotoxicity and subsequent carcinogenesis (Wills et al., 2010). Similarly, genetic adaptation was tested in PCB contaminated New Bedford Harbour, MA fish populations. Heritable decreased sensitivity to DLC was observed up to second generation laboratory-raised offspring (Nacci et al., 1999). It has also been shown that increased tolerance to metal contamination, including cadmium, nickel, and cobalt, occurs rapidly in *Limnodrilus* worms after chronic exposure to those metals. Worms were collected from Foundry Cove in Cold Spring, New York, where metal pollution began thirty years earlier. The worms were cultured, and newborns were separated each month. Two generations of offspring remained resistant to continuous metal exposure, showing that resistance could be hereditary (Klerks & Levinton, 1989).

There are long-term risks associated with adaptation to contaminants. These include decreased levels of fitness when placed in unstressed conditions as it is not evolutionarily favorable to exhibit contaminant tolerance when it is not necessary (Nacci et al., 1999). Additionally, adaptation in one gene can lead to physiological compensation or secondary adaptations as a consequence to fitness costs (Whitehead et al., 2017).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate CYP1A levels in species of freshwater fish from seven bodies of water across western NY: Cuba Lake, Genesee River, Hanging Bog, Love Canal, Moss Lake, Rushford Lake and Tiff Nature Preserve (Figure 1). It was hypothesized that if low CYP1A levels were observed in a site with known contamination, then fish populations may be adapting. Furthermore, if CYP1A expression was observed in at least one sample of a species, then the CYP1A antibody used was compatible with that species and would eliminate false assumptions of adaptation.

Some of the sites included in this study had various known contaminants present while others did not have any known sources of contamination. See Table I for details.

Materials and Methods

Fish Capture

Fish for this study were captured from seven sites across western New York (Figure 1 for map, Table II for details) using hoop nets during the months of March - June, 2016. Nets were left at site locations overnight and collected the next day. Not all fish captured were used but at least $n = 1$ of a species was used if caught. Weight and length of species were noted but sex and age were not.

Tissue extraction, Western blot and densitometric analysis

Samples were brought back to the lab and sacrificed using MS-222 (Sigma, USA). Animal protocols were in accordance with Houghton College's Animal Care Committee. All animals were treated and euthanized humanely. Liver tissue was extracted and preserved in RNAlater (Sigma, USA). CYP1A protein levels can be measured in various organs, particularly the liver, where they are most concentrated. This makes it a primary organ of study for measuring contamination levels (Van Veld et al., 1997).

Protein was extracted (500 uL of Tris HCl pH 7.4, 100 uL of IGEPAL, 0.25% NaDeoxycholate, 1mM EGTA pH 8.0, 0.2% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5% antifoam A) from each of the liver tissues that had been preserved in RNAlater for analysis on 10% acrylamide (Bio-Rad, USA). A positive control (BR2) was loaded onto each

gel. This sample is a first generation farm-raised bullhead whose parents were taken from a moderately clean site (Belle River, Ontario, Canada) and exposed to 75mg/kg of BaP to induce CYP1A expression.

Membranes were blocked in 1% milk for one hour. The CYP1A (Millipore, USA; MAB 1501), actin (Caymen Chemical, USA; 173132) and goat anti-mouse hrp antibodies (Sigma, USA; A4416) were applied at a concentration of 1:10,000. Actin was used as a loading control for each blot. All blots were imaged for less than 2 minutes using West Femto SuperSignal substrate (Thermo Fisher, USA).

Densitometric Analysis

Band density was measured using Image Lab software and quantified in terms of relative front of bands and volume of each band (Bio-Rad, USA). The band volumes were used in densitometric analysis. Due to variation in actin intensity, each sample's CYP1A volume was divided by the volume of actin it expressed in order to normalize the dataset on a blot. This yielded a CYP1A:actin ratio for each sample. The BR2 control was expressed consistently across these blots but its intensity also varied. The average CYP1A:actin expression for all samples on a blot was normalized for this variation by dividing each CYP1A:actin ratio by the quantified BR2:actin ratio on that blot.

Statistical Analysis

We tested the hypothesis that CYP1A expression would not differ between sites that varied in pollution levels using a one-way ANOVA. Statistical significance was determined by using a one-way ANOVA to compare CYP1A expression between all sites.

Results:

CYP1A expression in western New York

The aim of this study was to quantify pollution levels of the following bodies of water across western New York: Cuba Lake, Genesee River, Hanging Bog, Moss Lake, Love Canal and Tift Nature Preserve (see Figure 1 for map and Table II for site details). To do this, CYP1A expression was measured in the liver tissue of twelve different species from various sites (see Table II).

CYP1A expression in each liver tissue sample was measured using western blot analysis. Densitometric analysis was used to quantify and rank CYP1A expression. Densitometric values considered CYP1A expression of all fish species for a particular site. Sites were compared to determine relative known pollution levels between them. In the order of highest to lowest magnitude of average CYP1A expression, the following results were obtained: Love Canal, Cuba Lake, Rushford Lake, Tift Nature Preserve and Moss Lake. No CYP expression was detected in both the Genesee River and Hanging Bog (densitometric value = 0). The Love Canal had 48.3x more CYP expression than Cuba Lake, whose fish had 1.08x more CYP expression than Rushford Lake, whose fish had 22.6x more CYP expression than Tift Nature Preserve, whose fish had 21.5x more CYP expression than Moss Lake.

Results of the one-way ANOVA demonstrated that site did not have a significant effect on CYP1A expression ($p = 0.637$). Though no significant difference in CYP1A expression between sites was observed, varying levels of CYP1A were detected between sites.

CYP1A antibody species recognition

From the twelve different species that were captured, the following showed cross reactivity with the CYP1A antibody (MAB 1501) that was used: black bullhead, bluegill, brown bullhead, crappie, northern pike, rudd and white sucker. No cross-reactivity was observed with the following species: trout, bass, golden shiner, pike or fall fish.

Discussion

In this study, CYP1A expression was measured in 12 different species of fish from 7 different sites across western New York (see Figure 1). The results of this experiment show that fish from the Love Canal appeared

to have the greatest CYP1A expression among the fish from all locations. The Love Canal tragedy is well documented and has a significant history of pollution. This partly dug canal, once envisioned to be a source of hydroelectricity, was never completed and was instead used as a dump site by the Hooker Plastic and Chemical Company between 1942-1953 (Phillips et al., 2007) who then covered the waste with dirt and sold the property for a dollar (Beck, 1979). This land was purchased and houses and a school were built. After a record rainfall, leaching began and chemicals were distributed throughout the area, resulting in unusually high miscarriages and birth defects (Beck, 1979). Subsequently, the site was declared an environmental state of emergency twice and residents were evacuated. As a result of cleanup and remediation, this Superfund site is now habitable (EPA). Similar to what was observed in fish from the Love Canal, the effects of PAHs in the sediment were previously observed in bullhead in the form of elevated fluorescent aromatic compounds, EROD activity, CYP content and CYP1A protein when compared to Black Creek, a reference site (Eufemia et al., 1997).

The Hanging Bog and Moss Lake have undetectable and low CYP1A expression respectively, which corresponds to the known lack of aryl hydrocarbon antagonists at both of these sites (Table II), suggesting that findings obtained from both likely provide an accurate representation of the current quality of water. Moss Lake, in particular could be considered a reference site used for comparison. The brown bullhead from Rushford Lake has shown success with MAB1501 in a previous study, eliminating the possibility of false conclusions (Williams and Hubberstey, 2014). For the Hanging Bog however, MAB1501 cross reactivity with crappie was not observed on any other blot, so a false conclusion cannot be eliminated. No other studies have used the MAB1501 antibody to measure CYP1A in crappie, so it remains unknown if the antibody cross reacts with that species.

In comparison to Moss Lake and the Hanging Bog, which are known to be relatively clean bodies of water, both the Genesee River and Tift Nature Preserve have known sources of contamination (Table II). Based on this information, the CYP1A expression observed was lower than expected and suggests adaptation could be occurring in these fish. Alternatively, the results observed in Tift Nature Preserve could be the outcome of ongoing remediation efforts of the Buffalo Museum of Science (Tift Nature Preserve, 2016), reducing potential CYP1A inducers and subsequently, CYP1A expression. Beyond remediation, most of the fish captured at Tift Nature Preserve were bluegill, which are known to feed along the surface or upper levels of water (Table I). Therefore, they may not be exposed to the same contaminants as bottom feeders and thus may not accurately reflect pollution levels or potential adaptation (Andrade, 2004). All samples taken from the Genesee River were rock bass. This species showed cross-reactivity with MAB 1501 in one sample taken from Cuba Lake, providing evidence against a false conclusion for adaptation in the Genesee River (Figure IIa).

Next, Rushford Lake and Cuba Lake had intermediate levels of CYP1A expression in comparison to the Love Canal (lower than) and Moss Lake and Tift Nature Preserve (higher than). Both of these bodies of water are known to be contaminated by sources of oil, possibly resulting in similar CYP1A expression levels in fish from both of these sites. PAHs found in oil are able to induce CYP1A expression as an indicator of exposure to petroleum and oil spills can result in persistent CYP1A expression as was evidenced up to 10 years after the initial *Exxon Valdez* oil spill in two nearshore species of fish in Prince William Shores, Alaska. Recent exposure could be more accurately determined by measuring FAC in bile (Jewett et al., 2002). In another study, caged salmon, purposed for a sentinel response, showed a time and thus dose-dependent response to PAHs after the *Braer* oil spill, demonstrating the relationship between the presence of oil and CYP1A expression (Stagg et al., 2000). All fish caught at these two locations are bottom feeders (brown bullhead, pumpkinseed and smallmouth bass) who do not migrate, dissuading the idea that they do not accurately reflect their environment. These three species have served as bioindicators (Baumann et al., 1991; van der Hurk et al., 2017; Anderson et al., 2003) and demonstrated the ability to adapt as a species (Murdoch and Hebert, 1994; Vila-Gespert et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2003) suggesting that unless adaptation is occurring in these populations, CYP1A expression observed is an accurate representation of the contamination present in the sediment and these fish can be used for bioindication.

Though variation in CYP1A expression was observed across sites, no significant difference was measured ($p > 0.637$). We propose that this could have been due to diversity in the fish populations used. Only Moss Lake, Rushford and the Genesee River had homogenous populations of fish. Cuba Lake, Tift Nature Preserve, Love Canal and the Hanging Bog had heterogenous populations and this factor needs to be taken into consideration when drawing conclusions of fish used as bioindicators or suggesting adaptation of a population (Zhang et al., 2017). Because the Hanging Bog is considered to be a clean site, no CYP1A expression was expected. But Cuba Lake, Tift Nature Preserve and the Love Canal have known sources of contamination in addition to mixed fish populations (Table II). One study by Rasanen and colleagues compares the CYP1A expression of whitefish to that of northern pike when exposed to two PAHs, retene and pyrene. In nature, both species are exposed to retene when they lay eggs along the bottom of a lake. The study found that retene induced CYP1A expression in whitefish, while pyrene did not ($p < 0.05$) and was not measurably expressed in northern pike in response to retene (Rasanen et al., 2011). Variation in the response of different species to specific PAHs demonstrates that it is helpful to study homogenous fish populations so that conclusions could be drawn about individual species from different locations. The lack of statistical significance observed in this study is likely due to the variation between sites in both species and type of pollution. In the future, collecting a consistent sample of the same species would improve accuracy.

Another aspect to consider is the interaction of various chemicals in the sediment and how those combinations may influence induction of CYP1A expression. In a study completed by Willet et al., killifish were dosed with either 5mg/kg or 50 mg/kg of BaP or fluoranthene (FL), another PAH. BaP induced significant CYP1A-mediated EROD treatment on its own but in conjunction with FL, did not. Furthermore, FL was able to noncompetitively inhibit BaP-induced EROD activity, possibly by upstream mechanisms (Willet et al., 2001). On the other hand, a study by Wassenberg and Di Giulio showed that FL and BNF, another known PAH, together increased deformity in killifish embryos. In this study, embryos were exposed to a wide variety of PAHs individually and in combination with each other. Some of these included BNF, ANF, PBO, and FL. ANF is a known CYP1A inhibitor, as well as an AHR antagonist. BNF on its own induced significant levels of EROD activity, while ANF significantly inhibited EROD activity. But when BNF and ANF were combined, the embryos deformed to the extent that EROD activity could not be determined. The same was true when BaP was combined with ANF. BaP did not cause significant EROD induction on its own, but combined with ANF, induced significant deformity in embryos. Similarly, FL did not affect the embryos EROD levels on its own, but when combined with BNF, high levels of EROD induction were observed. PBO elevated levels of deformity in embryos on its own and when combined with BNF, significant EROD activity was induced (Wassenberg & Di Giulio, 2004). Observations from this study suggests a need to consider the effects of multiple compounds on CYP1A expression.

Conclusion:

CYP1A expression has been used in the past as a bioindicator of pollution. However, some fish may adapt to pollution and contamination over time so that they eventually express much lower levels of CYP1A protein (Chivittz et al., 2016, Wills et al., 2009). This study is the first of its kind in western New York and should be continued so that CYP1A expression can be used to monitor pollution levels in various bodies of water. If contamination is decreasing due to remediation efforts, fish may express lower levels of CYP1A. But if fish are expressing lower levels of CYP1A without any change in pollution, there is a strong possibility the suspect population has adapted over time to its surroundings.

For future studies, sediment in all sites should be monitored frequently for fluctuations in contaminants over time and distribution to determine whether CYP1A inducers are present and leading a population towards adaptation. Sediment composition would also help to determine which compounds are capable of inducing CYP1A expression as not all PAHs are equally capable of inducing CYP1A expression (Stagg et al., 2000). Analysis of additional biomarkers would further our knowledge as to the effects of chemical exposure on different fish populations (Eufemia et al., 1997). Additional studies, including the capture and subsequent breeding and crossbreeding between sites of bottom-feeding species would strengthen discernment between adaptation and use of CYP1A for site assessment (Nacci et al., 1999). Finally, it would be beneficial to gather

larger sample sizes of bottom-feeding species specifically to increase confidence when drawing conclusions as differences in biomarker expression can exist between species as well (van den Hurk, 2017).

It is important to note that since this study was conducted, various remedial efforts for these water sources have taken place. At Tift Nature Preserve, there are ongoing restoration efforts based on a plan proposed in 2019 (Habitat Restoration Plan, 2019). Cleanup efforts at Genesee River are being organized under a voluntary cleanup program (NYDEC). In an article posted last year, Monroe County officials stated that remediation of the Genesee River has progressed nearly to completion, but are still underway (Orr, 2020). The remaining water sources explored in this study (Rushford Lake, Love Canal, Cuba Lake, Hanging Bog, and Moss Lake) have not had any recent remediation efforts (NYDEC).

Acknowledgements:

Special thanks to Anthony Burdo, Meghan Oswald, Frances Quigley, Lydia Brown, Jacqueline Clark, Stephanie McMahon, and Tanner Hoffman for all their help with fish collection and technical support. We would also like to thank the Houghton College Summer Research Institute and Biology Department for funding this project. We would like to thank Becca Crouch for her assistance with transportation. We would like to thank the University of Windsor for the use of the BR2 sample. We would like to thank Gary Kohl from Bio-Rad for technical support. We would like to thank Dave Spiering for his expertise at Tift Nature Preserve. We would also like to thank the Moss Lake Nature Conservancy for granting us permission to collect fish and the New York State Department of Conservation for granting us a license to collect fish from all other sites.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Accessibility Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The data will be archived at Dryad and this process has already been initiated.

References

- Anderson, M. J., Cacela, D., Beltman, D., Teh, S. J., Okihiro, M. S., Hinton, D. E., Denslow, N. & Zelikoff, J. T. (2003). Biochemical and toxicopathic biomarkers assessed in smallmouth bass recovered from a polychlorinated biphenyl-contaminated river. *Biomarkers* ,8 (5), 371-393.
- Andrade, V. M., Silva, J. D., Silva, F. R., Heuser, V. D., Dias, J. F., Yoneama, M. L., & Freitas, T. R. (2004). Fish as bioindicators to assess the effects of pollution in two southern Brazilian rivers using the Comet assay and micronucleus test. *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 44 (5), 459-468.
- Azevedo, J. S., Sarkis, J. E., Hortellani, M. A., & Ladle, R. J. (2012). Are catfish (Ariidae) effective bioindicators for Pb, Cd, Hg, Cu and Zn? *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 223 (7), 3911-3922.
- Baumann, P. C., Mac, M. J., Smith, S. B., & Harshbarger, J. C. (1991). Tumor frequencies in walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum*) and brown bullhead (*Ictalurus nebulosus*) and sediment contaminants in tributaries of the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* , 48 (9), 1804-1810.
- Bieri, R. H., Hein, C., Huggett, R. J., Shou, P., Slone, H., Smith, C., & Su, C. W. (1986). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in surface sediments from the Elizabeth River subestuary. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* , 26 (2), 97-113.

- Bligh, J. (1976). Introduction to acclimatory adaptation-including notes on terminology. *Environmental Physiology of Animals*, edited by J Bligh, J.L. Cloudsley Thompson, A.G. MacDonald, New York:Wiley, 219-229.
- Chivittz, Cintia C., Pinto, Debora P., Ferreira, Roger S., Sopezki, Mauricio da S, Fillmann, Gilberto, Zanette, Juliano. (2016). Responses of the CYP1A Biomarker in *Jenynsia Multidentata* and *Phalloceros Caudimaculatus* and Evaluation of a CYP1A Refractory Phenotype. *Chemosphere*, 144, 925-931.
- Eufemia, N. A., Collier, T. K., Stein, J. E., Watson, D. E., & Di Giulio, R. T. (1997). Biochemical responses to sediment-associated contaminants in brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) from the Niagara River ecosystem. *Ecotoxicology*, 6 (1), 13-34.
- EPA. United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Retrieved July 20th, 2017 from: <https://cumulis.epa.gov/supercpad/cursites/csitinfo.cfm?id=0201290>
- Fetzer, J. C. (2002). The Determination of Aqueous Solubility for Several Large PAHs. *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, 22 (3/4), 321-326.
- Habitat Restoration Plan Niagara River Area of Concern. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. 2019 Oct [accessed 2021 Apr 14]. <https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/regions.-pdf/niagareaohabitat2019.pdf>
- Hamilton, Patrick B. Rolshausen, G. Webster, Tamsyn, M. Uren, Charles, Tyler R. (2017). Adaptive capabilities and fitness consequences associated with pollution exposure in fish. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 372(1712).
- Hodek, P., Koblihov, J., Kizek, R., Frei, E., Arlt, V. M., & Stiborov, M. (2013). The relationship between DNA adduct formation by benzo [a] pyrene and expression of its activation enzyme cytochrome P450 1A1 in rat. *Environmental toxicology and pharmacology*, 36 (3), 989-996.
- Jewett, S. C., Dean, T. A., Woodin, B. R., Hoberg, M. K., & Stegeman, J. J. (2002). Exposure to hydrocarbons 10 years after the Exxon Valdez oil spill: evidence from cytochrome P4501A expression and biliary FACs in nearshore demersal fishes. *Marine Environmental Research*, 54 (1), 21-48.
- Klerks, P. L., & Levinton, J. S. (1989). Rapid evolution of metal resistance in a benthic oligochaete inhabiting a metal-polluted site. *The Biological Bulletin*, 176 (2), 135-141.
- Klips, R. A., Sweeney, C. R., & Gall, W. K. (1993). Vascular Plants of Tiff Nature Preserve. *Unpublished Report*, 76.
- Ma, Q., & Lu, A. Y. (2007). CYP1A induction and human risk assessment: An evolving tale of in vitro and in vivo studies. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, 35 (7), 1009-1016. Retrieved March 15, 2016.
- Meyer, J. N., Diane, N. E., & Richard, D. T. (2002). Cytochrome P4501A (CYP1A) in killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*): Heritability of altered expression and relationship to survival in contaminated sediments. *Toxicological Sciences*, 68 (1), 69-81.
- Minard, J. History of Cuba, New York. Retrieved June 13, 2016, from <http://history.rays-place.com/ny/cubany.htm>
- Minnesota DNR. Bass biology and identification. (2016). Retrieved June 15, 2016, from <http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/fish/bass/biology.html>
- Mittelbach, G. G., Osenberg, C.W., & Wainwright, P.C. Variation in feeding morphology between pumpkin-seed populations: Phenotypic plasticity or evolution? (1999). *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 1 (1), 111-128. Retrieved from <http://www.evolutionary-ecology.com/abstracts/v01/1015.html>

- Murdoch, M. H., & Hebert, P. D. (1994). Mitochondrial DNA diversity of brown bullhead from contaminated and relatively pristine sites in the Great Lakes. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* ,13 (8), 1281-1289.
- Nacci, D., Coiro, L., Champlin, D., Jayaraman, S., Mckinney, R., Gleason, T. R., Munns, W.R. Jr, Specker, J.L., Cooper, K. R., (1999). Adaptations of wild populations of the estuarine fish *Fundulus heteroclitus* to persistent environmental contaminants. *Marine Biology*, 134 (1), 9-17.
- New York Department of Environmental Conservation (2016). Genesee River Watershed. Retrieved July 21, 2016, from<http://www.dec.ny.gov/lands/48371.html>
- NYS Department of Environmental Conservation. Common Minnows. Retrieved June 10, 2016, from<http://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7040.html>
- Ovidio, M., & Philippart, J. (2003). Long range seasonal movements of northern pike (*Esox lucius* L.) in the barbel zone of the River Ourthe (River Meuse basin, Belgium). *Aquatic Telemetry: advances and applications*, 191-202.
- Orr, S. Four decades and \$460M later, Genesee River cleanup reaching milestone (2020). *Wellsville Daily Reporter* .
- Pelayo, S., López-Roldán, R., González, S., Casado, M., Raldúa, D., Cortina, J., & Piña, B. (2011). A zebrafish scale assay to monitor dioxin-like activity in surface water samples. *Analytical & Bioanalytical Chemistry* , 401 (6), 1861-1869.
- Pfeifer, G. P., Denissenko, M. F., Olivier, M., Tretyakova, N., Hecht, S. S., & Hainaut, P. (2002). Tobacco smoke carcinogens, DNA damage and p53 mutations in smoking-associated cancers. *Oncogene*,21 (48), 7435-7451.
- Phillips, A. S., Hung, Y. T., & Bosela, P. A. (2007). Love canal tragedy. *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities* ,21 (4), 313-319
- Pyron, M., Obert, E. C., & Wellington, R. (2001). Tumor rates and population estimates of Brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*) in Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*,27 (2), 185-190.
- Raney, E. C., & Webster, D. A. (1942). The spring migration of the common white sucker, *Catostomus c. Commersonnii* (Lacepede), in Skaneateles lake inlet, New York. *Copeia* , 1942 (3), 139.
- Rasanen, K. M., Vehniainen, E., & Oikari, A. O. (2011). Different sensitivities of whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*) and northern pike (*Esox lucius*) eleutheroembryos to photoinduced toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds* , 31 (2), 65-83.
- Reebs, S. G. (2002). Plasticity of diel and circadian rhythms in fishes. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* , 12 (4), 349–371.
- Rhodes, M. Rushford Lake. Retrieved June 9, 2016; Allegany County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan–2011. Retrieved June 9, 2016.
- Schultz, K. (2004). *Ken Schultz’s field guide to freshwater fish* . United States: John Wiley, The virtual aquarium of Virginia Tech. Minnows. Retrieved June 10, 2016, from<http://web1.cnre.vt.edu/efish/families/fallfish.html>
- Spiering, D. J. (2009). Tifft Nature Preserve Management Plan. *Tifft Nature Preserve, Buffalo, NY* .
- Stagg, R. M., Rusin, J., McPhail, M. E., McIntosh, A. D., Moffat, C. F., & Craft, J. A. (2000). Effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on expression of CYP1A in salmon (*Salmo salar*) following experimental exposure and after the Braer oil spill. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry* , 19 (11), 2797-2805.
- Tifft Nature Preserve (2016) . About Tifft Nature Preserve. Retrieved July 8, 2016, from <http://www.tifft.org/tifft/aboutus>

Tools For Science, CSB/SJU. (n.d.). ANOVA. Retrieved July 09, 2017, from http://www.physics.csbsju.edu/stats/anova_NGROUP_NMAX_form.html

Tuvikene, A., Huuskonen, S., Koponen, K., Ritola, O., Mauer, U., & Lindström-Seppä, P. (1999). Oil shale processing as a source of aquatic pollution: monitoring of the biologic effects in caged and feral freshwater fish. *Environmental Health Perspectives* ,107 (9), 745.

Underhill, L. (1999). *The unequal hours: Moments of being in the natural world* . Athens: University of Georgia Press.

van den Hurk, P., Gerzel, L. E., Calomiris, P., & Haney, D. C. (2017). Phylogenetic signals in detoxification pathways in Cyprinid and Centrarchid species in relation to sensitivity to environmental pollutants. *Aquatic Toxicology* , 188 , 20-25.

Van Veld, P. A., Vogelbein, W. K., Cochran, M. K., Goksøyr, A., & Stegeman, J. J. (1997). Route-specific cellular expression of Cytochrome P4501A (CYP1A) in fish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) following exposure to aqueous and dietary benzo[a]pyrene. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 142 (2), 348-359.

Vila-Gispert, A., Fox, M. G., Zamora, L., & Moreno-Amich, R. (2007). Morphological variation in pumpkinseed *Lepomis gibbosus* introduced into Iberian lakes and reservoirs; adaptations to habitat type and diet?.*Journal of Fish Biology* , 71 (1), 163-181.

Wassenberg, D. M., & Di Giulio, R. T. (2004). Synergistic Embryotoxicity of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Agonists with Cytochrome P4501A Inhibitors in *Fundulus heteroclitus*. *Environmental Health Perspectives* , 112 (17), 1658-1664.

Whitehead A., Clark B. W., Reid NM, Hahn M. E., Nacci D. When evolution is the solution to pollution: Key principles, and lessons from rapid repeated adaptation of killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) populations. *Evol Appl*. 2017;00:1–22.

Whitlock, J. (2014). *Micropterus dolomieu* (bass). Retrieved June 15, 2016, from http://animaldiversity.org/site/accounts/information/Micropterus_dolomieu.html

Willett, K. L., Wassenberg, D., Lienesch, L., Reichert, W., & Giulio, R. T. (2001). In vivo and in vitro inhibition of CYP1A-dependent activity in *Fundulus heteroclitus* by the polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon fluoranthene. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*,177 (3), 264-271. Retrieved March 15, 2016.

Williams, R., & Hubberstey, A. (2014). Benzo(a)pyrene exposure causes adaptive changes in p53 and CYP1A gene expression in Brown bullhead (*Ameiurus nebulosus*). *Aquatic Toxicology*,156 , 201-210.

Wills, L. P., Jung, D., Koehn, K., Zhu, S., Willett, K. L., Hinton, D. E., & Di Giulio, R. T. (2010). Comparative chronic liver toxicity of benzo[a]pyrene in two populations of the Atlantic killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) with different exposure histories.*Environmental Health Perspectives* , 118 (10), 1376-1381.

Zhang, J., Liu, G., Wang, R., & Huang, H. (2017). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the water-SPM-sediment system from the middle reaches of Huai River, China: Distribution, partitioning, origin tracing and ecological risk assessment. *Environmental Pollution*, 230 , 61-71.

Table 1. Contaminants present at each site

Site Name	Sources of Contamination
Moss Lake (ML) Figure 1.D	Relatively clean body of water, owned by Nature Conservancy (Underhill, 1999)

Tifft Nature Preserve (T) Figure 1.C	Transshipment center for coal and iron ore, landfill from 1950's-1960's. (Tifft Nature Preserve, 2016) Superfund site (inactive hazardous waste site). Barrels of naphthalene were uncovered and removed in 1983. (Spiering, 2009) Soil content varies throughout the preserve. Former soil chemistry analysis reported the presence of minerals (calcium, magnesium, potassium and phosphorus) throughout the preserve as a result of dumping of slag. Surface soil samples identified heavy metals (chromium, copper, lead, zinc) throughout the preserve as a result of dumping of foundry sand and slag. Deep, underlying soil contents include coal, cinder, slag, construction and foundry waste. (Klips et al., 1993)
Rushford Lake (RL) Figure 1.D	Oil wells in nearby Rushford and Cuba, NY. (Rhodes, 2011)
Love Canal (LC) Figure 1.B	Municipal, industrial hazardous waste dump site in the 1920s. Carcinogens, including benzene, corroded waste drums. Superfund site. (NY DEC)
Genesee River (GR) Figure 1.D	Urban and industrial runoff near Rochester, NY (NY DEC)
Cuba Lake (CL) Figure 1.D	Seneca Oil Spring (Minard, 2016)
Hanging Bog (HB) Figure 1.D	No known contaminants. Man-made bog, current wildlife management area. (NY DEC)

Table II. Site locations and fish species captured across western New York

Location (latitude, longitude)	Fish Type (quantity)
Love Canal 43.095662, -78.934339 43.0823488, -78.9596629 43.088226, -78.958560	Golden Shiner (2) 1.1, 1.3 Northern Pike (1), 1.2 Fallfish (1), 2.1 Northern Pike (2), 3.1-3.2 Rudd (2), 4.1-4.2 White suckers (4), 5.1-5.4
Rushford Lake 42.383232, -78.222755	Brown bullhead (4), 1.1-1.4
Moss Lake 42.399619, -78.185771	Black bullhead (10), 1.1-1.10 Brown bullhead (6), 2.1-2.6
Genesee River 42.463088, -78.106179 42.341876, -78.102836	Rock bass (3), 1.1-1.3 Rock bass (2), 1.4-1.5
Tifft Nature Preserve 42.8461723, -78.8616481	Bluegill (10), 1.1-10.1 Largemouth bass (1), B1 Brown bullhead (1), A1
Cuba Lake 42.257847, -78.294773	Rock bass (3), 1.1, 2.1-2.2 Pumpkin seed (6), 3.1-3.6
Hanging Bog 42.307603, -78.248694	Brown bullhead (1), 1.1 Crappie (2), 2.1-2.2

Figure 1 . Sampling sites across western New York. This map shows locations where 12 different species of fish were captured. Part (A) depicts the geographic range of sites and parts (B), (C) and (D) are enlarged to provide greater detail and demonstrate proximity between sites. Specific geographic coordinates (latitude, longitude) and species of fish caught are listed in Table II.

Figure. 2. CYP1A and Actin Expression in Freshwater Fish of Western New York. (A) Spaces labeled

“SB” were filled with sample buffer in all western blots and should not express CYP1A or actin. Some SB wells do show expression, most likely due to spillover from neighboring wells. Spaces labeled “Ld” represent the protein ladder. Blot *iii* contained samples from Rushford Lake (1.4, 1.3, 1.2, and 1.1) and Moss Lake (2.10, 2.9, 2.8, 2.7). Blot *ix* contained samples from Cuba Lake (3.4, 3.5, and 3.6) and Hanging Bog (1.1, 2.1, 2.2). Each sample expressed quantifiable actin. Each blot showed CYP1A expression in the control BR2 sample. Samples in bold print showed CYP1A expression. All sample names can be matched to their species in Table II. (B) CYP1A and actin expression were quantified using chemiluminescence. The amount of CYP1A of a sample was divided by the amount of actin for that sample, yielding its CYP1A:Actin ratio. The average CYP1A:Actin expression for all samples on a blot was normalized for variation by the quantified BR2:actin ratio on the same blot. The error bars represent $\pm$ SE of the mean of samples for each site. Finally, a one-way ANOVA was conducted for the dataset ($p = 0.637$), meaning no significant difference in CYP1A expression between sites was observed.

Hosted file

Figure2.pdf available at <https://authorea.com/users/434988/articles/538319-cyp1a-expression-in-freshwater-fish-of-western-new-york-as-an-indicator-of-pollution-levels>