Two sides of the same coin? How quality improvement can be used to augment program evaluation in health professions education to promote social accountability

Allison Brown<sup>1</sup> and Lawrence Grierson<sup>2</sup>

April 9, 2021

## Abstract

Health professions education is in constant pursuit of new ways of teaching and assessment in order to improve the training of healthcare professionals. Educators are often challenged with designing, implementing, and evaluating programs in the context of their professional practice, particularly those in response to dynamic and emerging social needs. This article explores the synergies and intersections of two approaches – quality improvement and program evaluation – and the potential utility of their combinations within our field to design, evaluate, and most importantly, improve educational programming. We argue that the inclusion of established quality improvement frameworks within program evaluation provides a proven mechanism for driving change, can optimize programming within the multi-contextual education systems, and, ultimately, that these two approaches are complementary to one another. These combinations hold great promise for optimizing programming in alignment with social missions, where it has been difficult for institutions worldwide to generate and capture evidence of social accountability.

# Hosted file

JECP Manuscript - Brown & Grierson (04-05-2021 Version).pdf available at https://authorea.com/users/406713/articles/517272-two-sides-of-the-same-coin-how-quality-improvement-can-be-used-to-augment-program-evaluation-in-health-professions-education-to-promote-social-accountability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>McMaster University Faculty of Health Sciences

## Program Evaluation

- Conducting Program Evaluations Before Using QI

   Evaluate new or existing programs using established evaluation models (e.g., Kirkpatrick model, CIPP model, realist evaluation, etc.)

   Generate an understanding on what worked, what didn't work, and what else may have happened

   Identify areas for improvement that could be targeted using QI approaches

- Program Evaluations Following the Application of QI Methods

   Ensure that the areas which previously were identified as not working that were addressed using QI methods have been improved

   Continue to use QI tools and techniques until program can be sustained

   Provide evidence that the modifications to the program from the QI have increased the merit or worth of the program

### Quality Improvement

- Using Oil to Implement New Interventions

   Test a new program or intervention at a small scale using the Model for Improvement and Plan-Do-Study-Act Cycles (right)

   Abandom: use a different change concept or strategy

   Adapt: modify intervention, continue using PDSA cycles

   Adopt: accept the change, continue to spread and scale [proceed to PE]



- Using QI to Refine Existing Interventions Following Program Evaluation
   Use the Model for Improvement to target areas that warrant improvement
  identified from Provement and iterative PDSA cycles → Abandon, Adapt,
  or Acopt (as above)

Continue to use QI methods to iteratively improve the program until improvements outcomes are achieved and sustained