## Off Pump Coronary Artery Bypass in patients with severe LV dys-function. Is it really more challenging?

Panagiotis Sarris-Michopoulos<sup>1</sup>, Evan Markell<sup>2</sup>, Alejandro Macias<sup>3</sup>, and Michael Magarakis<sup>1</sup>

January 11, 2021

## Abstract

CABG (Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting) has been the treatment of choice for coronary artery disease for over 50 years and is the most common cardiac surgery procedure performed. Traditionally CABG was performed with the use of cardiopulmonary bypass and the use of cardioplegia to allow the surgeon to operate on a stable field. In the mid-1990s, interest emerged in performing CABG without the use of cardiopulmonary bypass - off pump CABG. This invited commentary focuses on sharing our experience with Low Ejection fraction off-pump CABG and why this approach could be beneficial to this patient population.

## Off Pump Coronary Artery Bypass in patients with severe LV dysfunction. Is it really more challenging?

Panagiotis Sarris-Michopoulos, MS, Evan Markell PA-C, MMS, Alejandro Macias, MD, Michael Magarakis, MD

Institutions and Affiliations:

University of Miami/Miami VA; Department of Surgery; Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery; Cardiac Surgery section

Running Head: Low EF Off-Pump Coronary Bypass Surgery

Conflict of Interest: None.

Word Count: 671

Corresponding Author:

Michael Magarakis, MD

University of Miami/Jackson Memorial Hospital/Miami Veterans Affairs Healthcare System

1295 N.W. 14th St. Suite H

Miami, FL 33136

Phone: 305-689-2780 & 410-440-1003

Email: mmagarakis@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Miami VA Medical Center - University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>University of Miami Health System

CABG (Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting) has been the treatment of choice for coronary artery disease for over 50 years and is the most common cardiac surgery procedure performed<sup>1</sup>. CABG has been shown to improve ischemic symptoms and prolong survival<sup>2-4</sup>.

Traditionally CABG was performed with the use of cardiopulmonary bypass and the use of cardioplegia to allow the surgeon to operate on a stable field. In the mid-1990s, interest emerged in performing CABG without the use of cardiopulmonary bypass off pump CABG. These efforts were done in order to reduce postoperative complications associated with the use of cardiopulmonary bypass, which included: systemic inflammatory response, cerebral dysfunction, and operative time<sup>5-13</sup>.

In a recent report by Ananthanarayanan et al. the team presented their experience with off pump CABG on a large cohort of patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and severe left ventricular dysfunction <sup>14</sup>. In this study, they included 211 patients with severe LV dysfunction that underwent Off pump CABG and were able to identify some key points.

More importantly, they showed that preoperative EF is not a predictor of adverse outcomes; in fact, what is more important is the dimension of the left ventricle. The existing evidence in the literature on this subject is controversial, however this report points to the fact that dilated ventricles do worse compared to ventricles with low EF and otherwise normal dimensions.

Increased diameter of the LV indicates adverse ventricular remodeling and ventricular dilation with scarring. This is an irreversible state from which the heart will not recover regardless of revascularization. On the other hand, if the LV size is preserved, scar formation and adverse ventricular remodeling has not occurred yet and revascularization may be beneficial in the long run. In addition, dilated hearts are more prone to malignant arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death and this is especially true in patients with heart failure. Reports indicate that LV dilatation is better than EF to predict arrhythmias and sudden death <sup>15,16</sup>.

As of now, amongst surgeons with solid operative experience, outcomes have shown to be similar between off-pump vs. on-pump CABG<sup>13,17</sup>. There continues to be paucity in data on surgical strategies regarding how to perform Off pump CABG in patients with very low EF. Our group recently published a study in the Journal Of Cardiac Surgery on our approach.

Although cardiac surgeons sometimes shy away from performing off pump CABG in patients with low EF, that should not be the case. We believe that performing an Off pump CABG on a patient with low EF secondary to ischemic cardiomyopathy is technically easier and perhaps safer compared to a patient with active ischemia and normal EF.

The most important aspect of this is the heart's preconditioning to ischemia. A heart with active ischemia and normal EF is not preconditioned and may not tolerate manipulation well during off pump bypass surgery. These hearts can be very hyperdynamic and the walls move robustly so performing a distal anastomosis can be more challenging. In addition, the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery is way far to the left under the sternum and more manipulation is needed to perform the most critical anastomosis (LIMA-LAD).

On the other hand, when one performs Off pump CABG on a patient with low EF, it is often a technically easier operation. The heart is preconditioned to ischemia and is less "unpredictable". It usually tolerates more manipulation. Due to ventricular remodeling from chronic ischemia, the interventricular septum and LAD are often much closer to the right side towards the operating surgeon. The walls and heart are "quieter", and the distal anastomoses can be easier to perform; the myocardium is preconditioned to ischemia so there is more lie way in performing the operation.

We want to congratulate the authors for their interesting study and although we realize the challenge of performing Off pump CABG in patients with low EF in terms of long-term survival and outcomes, at the same time we would like to emphasize on the potential technical advantages that make this patient population a "good fit" for off pump coronary surgery.

## REFERENCES:

Melly L, Torregrossa G, Lee T, Jansens JL, Puskas JD. Fifty years of coronary artery bypass grafting. J Thorac Dis. 2018; 10(3):1960

Ferguson TB, Jr., Hammill BG, Peterson ED, DeLong ER, Grover FL, Committee STSND: A decade of change—risk profiles and outcomes for isolated coronary artery bypass grafting procedures, 1990-1999: a report from the STS National Database Committee and the Duke Clinical Research Institute. Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Ann Thorac Surg 2002, 73(2):480-489; discussion 489-490.

Grover FL, Shroyer AL, Hammermeister K, Edwards FH, Ferguson TB, Jr., Dziuban SW, Jr., Cleveland JC, Jr., Clark RE, McDonald G: A decade's experience with quality improvement in cardiac surgery using the Veterans Affairs and Society of Thoracic Surgeons national databases. Ann Surg 2001, 234(4):464-472; discussion 472-464.

Hoffman SN, TenBrook JA, Wolf MP, Pauker SG, Salem DN, Wong JB: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing coronary artery bypass graft with percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: one- to eight-year outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003, 41(8):1293-1304.

Weiland AP, Walker WE: Physiologic principles and clinical sequelae of cardiopulmonary bypass. Heart Lung 1986, 15(1):34-39.

Benetti FJ, Naselli G, Wood M, Geffner L: Direct myocardial revascularization without extracorporeal circulation. Experience in 700 patients. Chest 1991, 100(2):312-316.

Cleveland JC, Jr., Shroyer AL, Chen AY, Peterson E, Grover FL: Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting decreases risk-adjusted mortality and morbidity. Ann Thorac Surg 2001, 72(4):1282-1288; discussion 1288-1289.

Plomondon ME, Cleveland JC, Jr., Ludwig ST, Grunwald GK, Kiefe CI, Grover FL, Shroyer AL: Off-pump coronary artery bypass is associated with improved risk-adjusted outcomes. Ann Thorac Surg 2001, 72(1):114-119.

Wan S, Izzat MB, Lee TW, Wan IY, Tang NL, Yim AP: Avoiding cardiopulmonary bypass in multivessel CABG reduces cytokine response and myocardial injury. Ann Thorac Surg 1999, 68(1):52-56; discussion 56-57.

Levy JH, Tanaka KA: Inflammatory response to cardiopulmonary bypass. Ann Thorac Surg 2003, 75(2):S715-720.

Savageau JA, Stanton BA, Jenkins CD, Frater RW: Neuropsychological dysfunction following elective cardiac operation. II. A six-month reassessment. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1982, 84(4):595-600.

Taylor KM: Brain damage during cardiopulmonary bypass. Ann Thorac Surg 1998, 65(4 Suppl):S20-26; discussion S27-28.

Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, Taggart DP, Hu S, Straka Z, Piegas LS, Avezum A, Akar AR, Lanas Zanetti F et al: Five-Year Outcomes after Off-Pump or On-Pump Coronary-Artery Bypass Grafting. N Engl J Med 2016, 375(24):2359-2368.

Ananthanarayanan C, Patel K, Wadhawa V, Patel A, Doshi C, Kothari J, Shah P. Midterm outcome of Off Pump – CABG for severe LV dysfunction – Does LV size and function predict their midterm outcome. Journal of Cardiac Surgery. 2020

Aiba T, Tomaselli GF. Electrical remodeling in the failing heart. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2010;25(1):29

Narayanan K, Reinier K, Teodorescu C, Uy-Evanado A, Aleong R, Chugh H, et al. Left ventricular diameter and risk stratification for sudden cardiac death. JAHA. 2014;3(5):e001193

Diegeler A, Borgermann J, Kappert U, Breuer M, Boning A, Ursulescu A, Rastan A, Holzhey D, Treede H, Riess FC et al: Off-pump versus on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. N Engl J Med

 $2013,\ 368(13):1189\text{-}1198.$