Minimally invasive mitral valve repair and ablation of concomitant atrial fibrillation in a patient with severe hemophilia A

Alexander Bogachev-Prokophiev¹, Ravil Sharifulin¹, Anastasiia Karadzha¹, Natalya Larionova¹, Vladimir Shmyrev¹, Igor Kornilov¹, Andrey Mamaev², Alexander Afanasyev¹, and Alexey Pivkin¹

¹FSBI National Medical Research Center named after E N Meshalkin ²National Research Center for Hematology

September 17, 2020

Abstract

Cardiac surgery in patients with hemophilia remains a serious problem due to the risk of bleeding complications. Here, we report successful treatment of mitral valve insufficiency and concomitant atrial fibrillation in a patient with severe hemophilia A.

Key clinical message

Minimally invasive mitral valve repair and ablation of atrial fibrillation, combined with FVIII level-controlled replacement therapy, can be safely performed in patients with severe hemophilia.

INTRODUCTION

Hemophilia A is a rare X-linked bleeding disorder caused by mutations in the gene that encodes factor VIII (FVIII) with a prevalence of 1/5000 live male births.¹ Disease severity is based on FVIII levels in the blood: the severe form is defined as a factor level < 1% of normal, the moderate form as a factor level of 1-5%, and the mild form as a factor level between 5% and 40%.² Cardiac surgery in patients with severe hemophilia remains a serious problem due to the risk of large intra- and postoperative blood loss, absence of evidence-based guidelines regarding factor replacement therapy, and anticoagulation treatment after valve intervention using cardiopulmonary bypass. Here, we report the successful, minimally invasive treatment of mitral valve insufficiency and concomitant atrial fibrillation (AF) in a patient with severe hemophilia A.

CLINICAL CASE

A 50-year-old male (62 kg body weight) with a history of hemophilia A entered our clinic with complaints of dyspnea during exercise, palpitations, and weakness. The FVIII level was 0.7% when hemophilia was first diagnosed. The patient had mitral valve prolapse with moderate regurgitation for over 12 years. A persistent form of AF was diagnosed a year prior to presentation at our clinic for which he received bisoprolol (2.5 mg/day).

Upon admission, the patient had deformation and limited mobility in the elbow and knee joints (due to recurrent hemarthrosis), apex systolic murmur, and an abnormal heart rhythm with a heart rate ranging from 55 to 160 beats per minute (bpm). An ECG revealed AF with a ventricular rate of 110 bpm. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) showed both mitral valve leaflets prolapse with severe regurgitation (vena contracta

of 0.8 cm, effective regurgitant orifice area of 45 mm²), significant enlargement of the left atrium (volume of 270 mL), left ventricular diastolic volume of 170 mL, and ejection fraction of 50%. The FVIII level was 8.7% after replacement therapy with 1000 IU FVIII (16 IU/kg) in 1 day. Inhibitory antibodies toward FVIII were not detected. CHA2DS2-VASc Score was 1.

A perioperative coagulation management strategy for this patient was developed. Thirty minutes preoperatively, he was administered 4000 IU (65 IU/kg) of FVIII concentrate and reached a 109% level of FVIII activity. Surgery was performed using a right anterolateral minithoracotomy (approximately 5 cm long) in the fifth intercostal space with femoral artery and vein cannulation. Tranexamic acid (1 g/hour) was infused, beginning with the skin incision to the completion of the procedure. Prior to cannulation, a heparin bolus of 300 IU/kg was administered and resulted in an activated clotting time [?] 400 s while on cardiopulmonary bypass, during which FVIII replacement therapy was not performed. Myocardial protection was performed using antegrade cardioplegia (Custodiol, Dr. F Köhler Chemie, Bensheim, Germany). The mitral valve was repaired by quadrangular resection of the posterior leaflet with sliding plasty and decalcification of the posterior annulus, and implantation of a flexible band (40 mm, CardioMed, Penza, Russia) (Figure 1).

The left and right atrial ablation was performed according to the Cox maze IV pattern³ using the nitrous oxide-based CryoICE Probe (AtriCure Inc., Mason, OH, USA). The left atrial appendage was excluded using a double-layer 3/0 polypropylene suture. After weaning the patient from bypass, protamine was administered to inactivate heparin. The cardiopulmonary bypass and cross-clamping times were 110 and 90 min, respectively. After inactivating heparin, 2000 IU (32 IU/kg) of FVIII was injected (Figure 2).

The patient was extubated 10 hours after being transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU). The early postoperative period was complicated by moderate heart failure; therefore, the patient received inotropic therapy during the first day. An episode of supraventricular tachycardia was noted in the ICU and cured by amiodarone infusion. The total drainage losses were 700 mL (first day), and 400 mL (second day). The patient received 500 mL of fresh frozen plasma on postoperative day 1. The minimum hemoglobin level was 89 g/L and blood transfusions were not required. The patient was transferred from the ICU to the general ward on postoperative day 3. Postoperatively, no anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy was prescribed.

Postoperative replacement therapy was maintained as follows. A bolus of 3000 IU (48 IU/kg) FVIII was administered 12 hours after the first injection, then 3000 IU of FVIII was continued every 12 hours on days 1–3, 2000 IU (32 IU/kg) every 12 hours on days 4–7, and 3000 IU (48 IU/kg) once per day on days 8–14. Beginning on postoperative day 15, 1000 IU FVIII (16 IU/kg) was administered every other day. The FVIII levels were measured twice a day during the first 3 days post-surgery and once every day until discharge.

TTE at the time of discharge revealed trivial mitral regurgitation. A 24 h Holter monitoring device confirmed a sinus rhythm with an average daily heart rate of 72 bpm and freedom from AF. The patient was discharged 15 days after surgery. The hemoglobin level at discharge was 119 g/L. Bisoprolol and amiodarone (2.5 and 200 mg/day, respectively) were prescribed for 3 months.

Two years post-surgery, the patient was classified with New York Heart Association class I heart failure, had sinus rhythm without AF paroxysms, and had no bleeding or thrombotic complications. TTE revealed trivial mitral insufficiency and a left ventricle ejection fraction of 60%.

DISCUSSION

Heart valve surgery in patients with severe hemophilia remains a significant challenge due to severe changes in the hemostatic system and increased risk of bleeding complications. There are no guidelines for the perioperative management of hemophiliacs undergoing cardiac surgery. Current practice is based on expert opinions, case reports, or small case series. In most cases, biological prostheses are used for valve replacement in hemophiliacs. Since life expectancy of patients with hemophilia has increased, the possibility of degeneration of biological prostheses and need for further surgery may carry an even greater risk to the patient. In the case of mitral insufficiency in young patients, valve repair is preferable to valve replacement as it potentially avoids the need for short-term anticoagulation treatment. The literature presents a few cases of mitral valve reconstruction in hemophilia A patients.⁴⁻⁷ Only one describes a minimally invasive mitral valve repair with concomitant atrium ablation.⁸Minimally invasive approach for mitral valve surgery has advantages over standard sternotomy, which may be especially important in patients with bleeding disorders. It reduces intra- and postoperative bleeding resulting in a decreased need for transfusion. Furthermore, ventilation time and ICU or hospital stays are shortened.^{9,10}

Factor replacement therapy is crucial for successful surgical treatment of hemophiliac patients. Patients with a pre-planned coagulation management strategy can successfully undergo complex cardiac surgery as patients without hemostatic disturbances. According to the recommendations of the World Hemophilia Federation,¹¹the following FVIII activity levels should be achieved in patients undergoing major surgical procedures: before surgery, 80–100%; postoperative days 1–3, 60–80%; postoperative days 4–6, 40–60%; and postoperative days 7–14, 30–50%. Other recommendations for patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting suggest that FVIII levels should be 80–100% until postoperative day 5 and above 50% after that.¹² In our case, we maintained FVIII levels above 80-100% during the first 3 days post-surgery and 50-100% until postoperative day 7. This strategy allowed us to prevent hemorrhagic complications in the postoperative period.

Although patients with hemophilia are relatively protected from thrombosis, there is still a risk of thromboembolism, especially in the presence of concomitant AF. According to frequently cited antithrombotic treatment algorithms for hemophiliacs with nonvalvular AF, antithrombotic regimens are based on baseline FVIII levels and risk of thromboembolic complications.¹³ Low-dose aspirin therapy is indicated in patients with high stroke risk (CHA2DS2-VASc Score [?]2) with baseline FVIII levels of 1–10% and patients with baseline FVII of 10–30%. Long-term anticoagulation treatment with vitamin K antagonists is indicated when factor levels are above 30%. In the presence of AF in hemophiliacs undergoing cardiac surgery, antithrombotic treatment remains unclear. Concomitant ablation and left atrial appendage closure should be considered to restore the sinus rhythm, reduce thromboembolic risk, and decrease dosages or not prescribe anticoagulants and antiplatelets in patients with low stroke risk.

CONCLUSION

This case demonstrates that minimally invasive mitral valve repair can be safely performed in patients with hemophilia. Using a comprehensive approach, including a FVIII level-controlled replacement therapy, a minimally invasive valve repair, and sinus rhythm restoration, allows to minimize the risk of complications in the perioperative and postoperative periods in patients with severe hemophilia A.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ABP: approved the final manuscript; RS: wrote the manuscript; AK: wrote the manuscript; NL: wrote the manuscript; VS: reviewed the manuscript; IK: reviewed the manuscript; AM: reviewed the manuscript; AA: wrote the manuscript; AP: wrote the manuscript and prepared figures.

REFERENCES

1. Berntorp E, Shapiro AD. Modern haemophilia care. Lancet . 2012;379(9824):1447-1456.

2. Blanchette VS, Key NS, Ljung LR, et al. Definitions in hemophilia: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost . 2014;12(11):1935-1939.

3. Bogachev-Prokophiev AV, Afanasyev AV, Pivkin AN, et al. A left atrial versus a biatrial lesion set for

persistent a trial fibrillation ablation during open heart surgery. $Eur\ J\ Cardiothorac\ Surg$. 2018;54(4):738-744.

4. Xu H, Henry D, Li C, Zhao H, Yang Y. Peri-cardiac surgery coagulation management in a severe hemophilia A patient: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(24):e15897.

5. Zatorska K, Orlowska-Baranowska E, Abramczuk E, et al. Short and long-term management of haemophilia A patient requiring heart valve surgery. *Haemophilia* . 2012;18(4):e352-e354.

6. Bhave P, McGiffin D, Shaw J, et al. Guide to performing cardiac surgery in patients with hereditary bleeding disorders. *J Card Surg*. 2015;30(1):61-69.

7. Miller VM, Vardas PN, Davies JE, Lewis CT. Robotic mitral valve repair in a

type B hemophiliac. J Card Surg . 2020;35(5):1129-1131.

8. Tran DQ, Moss E, Murphy DA, Kempton CL. Mitral valve repair in a Jehovah's witness with haemophilia A with high-titre inhibitor. *Haemophilia* . 2015;21(6):e523-e525.

9. Lange R, Voss B, Kehl V, Mazzitelli D, Tassani-Prell P, Günther T. Right minithoracotomy versus full sternotomy for mitral valve repair: A propensity matched comparison. *Ann Thorac Surg* . 2017;103(2):573-579.

10. Mihos CG, Santana O, Pineda AM, Lamas GA, Lamelas J. Right anterior minithoracotomy versus median sternotomy surgery for native mitral valve infective endocarditis. J *Heart Valve Dis* . 2014;23(3):343-349.

11. Srivastava A, Brewer AK, Mauser-Bunschoten EP, et al. Guidelines for the management of hemophilia. *Haemophilia* . 2013;19(1):e1-e47.

12. Kanellopoulou T, Nomikou E. Replacement therapy for coronary artery bypass surgery in patients with hemophilia A and B. J Card Surg . 2018;33(2):76-82.

13. Aguilar C. Antithrombotic therapy in a patient with mild haemophilia A and

atrial fibrillation: case report and brief review of the literature. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis . 2015;26(3):346-349.

Legends

Figure 1. Intraoperative photographs. A. Transesophageal echocardiography, severe mitral regurgitation. B. Quadrangular resection of the posterior leaflet with sliding plasty. C. Flexible band in the mitral valve position. D. Final transesophageal echocardiography revealed trivial mitral regurgitation.

Figure 2. Dynamics of FVIII (%), hemoglobin levels (g\L), and FVIII concentrate doses (IU\kg)



