Retroperitoneal malignant triton tumor in an adolescent with Neurofibromatosis type 1

Maitane Andion¹, Susana Buendía¹, Natalia Camarena¹, Daniel Azorín¹, Sara Sirvent Cerdá¹, and Pablo Morató¹

¹Hospital Infantil Universitario Nino Jesus

August 25, 2020

Abstract

Malignant triton tumor (MTT) is a very infrequent variant of the malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation. Up to 70% of cases are diagnosed in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1). It is a highly aggressive pathology with early relapses occurring in up to 50% of patients. Despite multimodal treatment the prognosis is poor, with long term survival rates not exceeding 15%. We present the case of an adolescent male with known NF1 diagnosed with an aggressive retroperitoneal MTT and disseminated pulmonary disease.

Introduction:

Malignant triton tumor (MTT) is a very rare variant of the malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MP-NST), with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation, which primarily affects young adult patients (1-3). Up to 70% of cases are diagnosed in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), although sporadic and radiotherapy related cases have been also described (1-6). Clinical course is highly aggressive, with relapses occurring in up to 50% of patients. The prognosis is very poor and, with multimodal treatment, reported 5-year survival rates do not exceed 15% (1-3).

We present the case of an adolescent male with known NF1 diagnosed with an aggressive retroperitoneal MTT and disseminated pulmonary disease.

Results:

An 18-year-old male diagnosed with NF1 (de novo mutation) presented with a 4-month history of abdominal pain and paresthesias in his right lower limb, associating loss of strength and sensory in the last month along with urinary obstruction. Physical examination showed abdominal distension with a palpable mass in addition to numerous subcutaneous neurofibromas, café-au-lait spots, Lisch nodules and axillary ephelides. Abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a large retroperitoneal mass with intense heterogeneous contrast enhancement and diffusion restriction as well as innumerable neurofibromas derived from intercostal nerves and lumbosacral plexus (Fig. 1). The suspected diagnosis was a MPNST within a previous neurofibroma. The patient underwent partial surgical resection of the large mass with infiltrated margins. The surgical specimen showed a neoplastic proliferation of spindle cells with marked pleomorphism, frequent mitosis and atypias, forming small fascicles with predominance of perivascular growth. Immunohistochemical staining demonstrated focal positivity for S100, myogenin and desmin, with a proliferative index (Ki 67) of approximately 50%, compatible with high-grade MPNST with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation (Fig. 2). After surgery the patient experience a great improvement of abdominal and lower limb pain as well as urinary obstruction resolution. PET-CT performed three weeks after surgery showed signs of local progression with soft tissue mass enlargement and high FDG uptake (SUV 26.7) together with multiple bilateral pulmonary nodules suggestive of metastasis. Bilateral bone marrow biopsy ruled out tumor infiltration.

The patient started chemotherapy treatment according to European Paediatric Soft Tissue Sarcoma Study Group protocol for rhabdomyosarcoma (EpSSG RMS 2005), based on vincristine (1.5 mg / m² / day, days +1, +8 and +15), ifosfamide (3000 mg / m² / day, days +1 and +2), actinomycin (1.5 mg / m² / day, day +1), and doxorubicin (30 mg / m² / day, days +1 and +2) in a 21-day interval. The aim of this systemic treatment was to achieve the control of metastatic disease to further consider surgical second-look followed by local radiotherapy. Unfortunately, symptoms progressed after the first cycle and the patient ultimately died of disease progression.

A whole exome sequencing analysis (WES) was performed on surgical material, identifying two genetic gains (duplication/amplification) affecting chromosomal region 7q31.2 of MET gene and 8q24.21 of MYC gene. Mutational disruption of SUZ12c.1214C>G and TP53 c.681delT were also revealed. No gene fusions were detected. This analysis was only available after the patient passed away and therefore could not be used for therapeutic purposes.

Discussion:

Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors account for about 5-10% of soft tissue sarcomas and are derived from either peripheral nerve Schwann cells or pre-existing neurofibromas. Among the different histological variants, 10% of MPNST show foci of skeletal muscle differentiation (1-3). This subtype of MPNST with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation was first described in a patient with NF1 by Masson and Martin in 1932 (7). The term MTT was suggested in 1973 by Woodruff referring to the ability of the Triton salamander to regenerate supernumerary limbs containing muscle, bone and neural components after the implantation of the cut end of the sciatic nerve into the soft tissue of its back (8). Since then, less than 200 cases of MTT have been reported to date, many of them in patients with NF1 who have a 10% life time risk of malignant transformation from plexiform neurofibromas.

Although most MTT cases are diagnosed in patients under 35 years of age, few cases have been reported in children and adolescents (9-19). Main series have shown no gender predilection and, although widely distributed, the head, neck and trunk have been described as the most common locations. Those MTT associated with NF1 constitute over 50-70% of cases and display a young age, male predominance, and frequent head and neck involvement. Nevertheless, sporadic cases tend to affect female patients at older age and are frequently located on the trunk (1-3, 10, 13, 19, 20). Up to 8% of reported cases have been related to previous radiation (4-6). Other unusual locations such as intracranial fossa, spinal cord, mediastinum, uterus or epididymis have been described in the literature (17, 21-29). Our patient presented with a huge retroperitoneal mass, which is an uncommon finding with less than 20 similar cases reported to date (30-35).

The histogenesis of these composite tumors has not been clearly defined. They may reflect the capability of the nerve sheath cells to induce mesenchymal differentiation or may be explained by the potential of the neural crest to differentiate into both Schwann and muscular tumoral cells. Woodruff proposed three criteria to establish the diagnosis of MTT, including tumor origin in peripheral nerves of patients with known NF1, predominance of Schwann cell growth pattern and the presence of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation in the absence of extension or metastasis of a rhabdomyosarcoma (8). Further on, Daimaru suggested the omission of the first criteria to include sporadic cases (36). It is currently assumed that histological diagnosis must be supported by immunohistochemical findings. S-100 and Leu-7 proteins positivity reflect nerve sheath differentiation, whereas the positivity of actin, desmin, myogenin, MyoD1 and vimentin confirm rhabdomyoblastic differentiation (1).

The WES analyses performed in our patient revealed both SUZ12c.1214C>G and TP53 c.681delT mutations, both variants not previously described. SUZ12 inactivating mutations have been related with NF1 and CDKN2A loss of function in the progression of neurofibromas to MPNST, and somatic mutations in TP53 have been also demonstrated in most MPNST/MTT samples (37). Gains affecting MET have been related with the development of MPNST from plexiform neurofibromas and might involve RAS deregulation contributing to therapy resistance (38). Our patient also presented with a MYC amplification, usual across many cancer types including MPNST by regulating proliferation, cell cycle and tumor vascularization (39).

As illustrated by the present case, the natural history of MTT is much more aggressive than MPNST. The percentage of patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis reaches 30-50% with pulmonary involvement being the most frequent location, whereas lymph node involvement has not been reported (1-3).

The therapeutic strategy for MTT is primarily based on wide surgical resection (R0). Although the role of radiotherapy is not well established, it should be considered after inadequate surgical excision or high risk of local recurrence due to large tumor size or high histological grade. Chemotherapy regimens may be reserved for disseminated or unresectable disease, second-line treatment or palliation (1-3, 13, 32). Despite a multidisciplinary approach the prognosis of MTT is very poor, with 5-year overall survival rates not exceeding 15%. Early local and distance metastasis occur in up to 50% of patients, especially in cases with large tumors, incomplete surgery, location in the trunk or retroperitoneum and associated NF1 (1-3, 10, 13, 20, 26, 32).

In conclusion, MTT is a very rare form of MPNST, typically associated with NF1, with an aggressive behaviour. No standard treatment has yet been accepted, although surgical resection followed by radiation therapy have demostyrated to be essential to achieve long term survival. The poor prognosis of this entity requires collaborative efforts to obtain biological information and develop new targeted therapeutic strategies in the future.

Conflicts of interest: none to declare.

The manuscript has not been submitted elsewhere nor previously published.

All authors have contributed to the manuscript in significant ways, have reviewed and agreed upon the manuscript content.

Acknowledgements: We thank Fermín Catalán Ramos for his detailed review of the manuscript and contributions.

References

- 1. Stasik CJ, Tawfik O. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation (malignant triton tumor). Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2006 Dec;130(12):1878-81.
- 2. McConnell YJ, Giacomantonio CA. Malignant triton tumors-complete surgical resection and adjuvant radiotherapy associated with improved survival. J Surg Oncol. 2012 Jul 1;106(1):51-6.
- 3. Kamran SC, Howard SA, Shinagare AB, Krajewski KM, Jagannathan JP, Hornick JL, et al. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors: prognostic impact of rhabdomyoblastic differentiation (malignant triton tumors), neurofibromatosis 1 status and location. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2013 Jan;39(1):46-52.
- 4. Yakulis R, Manack L, Murphy Jr AI. Postradiation malignant triton tumor. A case report and review of the literature. Arch pathol Lab Med. 1996 Jun;120(6):541-8.
- 5. Ozer E, Erkilic S, Bayazit YA, Mumbuc S, Aydin A, Kanlikama M.Malignant triton tumor of the supraclavicular region arising after radiotherapy. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2002 Oct;29(4):405-7.
- 6. Mut M, Cataltepe O, Söylemezoglu F, Akalan N, Ozgen T.Radiation-induced malignant triton tumor associated with severe spinal cord compression. Case report and review of the literature. Neurosurg. 2004 Mar;100(3 Suppl Spine):298-302.
- 7. Masson P, Martin JF. Rhabdomyomes des nerfs. Bull Assoc Franc Etude Cancer. 1938;27:751-67.
- 8. Woodruff JM, Chernik NL, Smith MC, Millett WB, Foote Jr FW.**Peripheral nerve tumors with rhabdomyosarcomatous differentiation (malignant "Triton" tumors)** Cancer. 1973 Aug;32(2):426-39.
- 9. Strauss BL, Gutmann DH, Dehner LP, Hirbe A, Zhu X, Marley EF, et al. Molecular analysis of malignant triton tumors. Hum Pathol. 1999 Aug;30(8):984-8.
- 10. Victoria L, McCulloch TM, Callaghan EJ, Bauman NM. Malignant triton tumor of the head and neck: a case report and review of the literature. Head Neck. 1999 Oct;21(7):663-70.
- 11. Chao MM, Levine JE, Ruiz RE, Kohlmann WK, Bower MA, Petty EM, et al. Malignant triton tumor in a patient with Li-Fraumeni syndrome and a novel TP53 mutation. Pediatr Blood

- Cancer. 2007 Dec;49(7):1000-4.
- 12. Okur FV, Oguz A, Karadeniz C, Citak C, Bayik P, Boyunaga O. Malignant triton tumor of the pelvis in a 2-year-old boy J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2006 Mar;28(3):173-6.
- 13. Terzic A, Bode B, Gratz KW, Stoeckli SJ. Prognostic factors for the malignant triton tumor of the head and neck. Head Neck. 2009 May;31(5):679-88.
- 14. Sönmez K, Türkyilmaz Z, Karabulut R, Kapisiz A, Eser EP, Memis L, et al. **A Triton tumor mimicking sacrococcygeal teratoma.** Pediatr Surg. 2009 Nov:44(11):e5-8.
- 15. Brtko J, Sejnová D, Ondková S, Macejová D. Malignant Triton tumour exhibits a complete expression pattern of nuclear retinoid and rexinoid receptor subtypes. Gen Physiol Biophys. 2009 Dec;28(4):425-7.
- 16. Engel EE, Brassesco MS, Valera ET, Nogueira-Barbosa MH, De Almeida Santos Yamashita M, Scrideli CA, et al. Clinico-genetic aspects of a pediatric non-neurofibromatosis type 1 malignant triton tumor with loss of chromosome X. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2012 Dec 15;59(7):1320-3.
- 17. Jaing TH, Chuang CC, Jung SM, Wu CT, Tseng CK, Chen CS. Malignant triton tumor of the cervical spine: report of one case and review of the literature. Pediatr Neonatol. 2015 Feb;56(1):58-61.
- 18. Mallah FA, Tanrikulu B, Corapcioglu F, Özek MM. Malignant triton tumor of trigeminal nerve-case report. Childs Nerv Syst. 2018 May;34(5):983-986.
- 19. Bian Y, Yongbo X, Xi Z, Zhao D, Wu H, Liu Y. A series of 10 malignant triton tumors in one institution. Medicine (Baltimore) 2009 Sep;98(36):e16797.
- 20. Brooks JS, Freeman M, Enterline HT. Malignant triton tumors. Natural history and immuno-histochemistry of nine new cases with literature review. Cancer. 1985 Jun 1;55(11)2543-9.
- 21. Hennig Y, Löschke S, Katenkamp D, Bartnitzke S, Bullerdiek J.A malignant triton tumor with an umbalanced translocation (1;13)(q10;q10) and an isochromosome (8)(q10) as the sole karyotypic abnormalities. Cancer Ghenet Cytogen. 2000 Apr 1;118(1):80-2.
- 22. Kim ST, Kim CW, Han GC, Park C, Jang IH, Cha HE, et al. Malignant triton tumor of the nasal cavity. Head Neck. 2001 Dec;23(12):1075-8.
- 23. Lang-Lazdunski L, Pons F, Jancovici R. Malignant "Triton" tumor of the posterior mediastinum: prolonged survival after staged resection. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003 May;75(5):1645-8.
- 24. Zisis C, Fragoulis S, Rontogianni D, Stratakos G, Bellenis I.Malignant triton tumour of the anterior mediastinum as incidental finding. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis. 2006 Dec;65(4):222-4.
- 25. McComb EN, McComb RD, DeBoer JM, Neff JR, Bridge JA. Cytogenetic analysis of a malignant triton tumor and a malignant peripheral nerve sheat tumor and a review of the literature. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1996 Oct 1;91(1):8-12.
- 26. Prieto R, Pascual JM, García-Cabezas MA, López-Barea F, Barrios L, González-Llanos F. Low grade malignant triton tumor in the lumbar spine: a rare variant of malignant peripheral nerve sheat tumor with rhabdomyoblastic differentiation. Neuropathology. 2012 Apr;32(2):180-9.
- 27. Gong Li, Liu XY, Zhang WD, Han XJ, Yao L, Zhu SJ et al. A rare case of malignant triton tumor in the cerebellopontine angle. Diagn Pathol. 2012 Apr 19;7:43.
- 28. Gao L, Song H, Mu k, Wang J, Guo B, Shi B, et al. **Primary epididymis malignant triton tumor:** case report and review of the literature. Eur J Med Res. 2015 Sep 21;20(1):79.
- 29. Bruzzone E, Melloni I, Barra S, Fraternali Orcioni G, Cocito L.A rare case of intracranial malignant triton tumor arising in the middle cranialfossa: a case and review of the literature. Folia Neuropathol. 2018;56(3):229-34.
- 30. Konishi N, Hiasa Y, Shimoyama T, Seki A, Mazima M. Malignant triton tumor with metastatic hemangiopericytoma in a patient associated with von Recklinghausen's disease. Acta Pathol Jpn. 1986 Mar;36(3):459-69.
- 31. Haddadin MH, Hawkins AL, Long P, Morsberger LA, Depew D, Epstein JI, et al. Cytogenetic study of malignant triton tumor: a case report. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 2003 Jul 15;144(2):100-5.
- 32. Thoennissen NH, Schliemann C, Brunnberg U, Schmidt E, Staebler A, Stegger L, et al. Chemotherapy in metastatic malignant triton tumor: report on two cases. Oncol Rep. 2007 Oct;18(4):763-

7.

- 33. Radovanovic D, Vukotic-Maletic V, Stojanovic D, Lalosevic DJ, Likic I, Stojsic Z, et al. **Retroperitoneal "Triton" tumor.** Hepatogastroenterology. Mar-Apr 2008;55(82-83):527-30.
- 34. Koutsopoulos AV, Mantadakis E, Katzilakis N, Lagoudaki ED, de Bree E, Stiakaki E, et al. Long term survival of a patient with a neurofibromatosis type 1 associated retroperitoneal malignant triton tumor after multi-modality treatment. Clin Neuropathol. 2011 Nov-Dec;30(6):333-5.
- 35. Li Z, Xiang J, Yan S, Gao F, Zheng S. Malignant triton tumor of the retroperitoneum: a case report and review of the literature. World J Surg Oncol. 2012 May 30;10:96.
- 36. Daimaru Y, Hashimoto H, Enjoji M. Malignant "triton" tumors: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study of nine cases. Hum Pathol. 1984 Aug;15(8):768-78.
- 37. Brohl AS, Kahen E, Yoder SJ, Teer JK, Reed DR. The genomic landscape of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors: diverse drivers of Ras pathway activation. Sci Rep. 2017 Nov 8:7(1):14992.
- 38. Peacock J, Pridgeon MG, Tovar EA, Essenburg CJ, Bowman M, Madaj Z, et al. **Genomic status** of *MET* potentiates sensitivity to MET and MEK inhibition in NK1-related malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. Cancer Res. 2018 Jul 1;78(13):3672-87.
- 39. Beroukhim R, Mermel CH, Porter D, Wei G, Raychaudhuri S, Donovan J, et al. **The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers.** Nature. 2010 Feb 18;463(7283):899-905.

Legends:

Fig 1.

Coronal (A) T2-weighted MRI of the abdomen show a huge retroperitoneal mass with partially well delineated margins and markedly heterogenous intensity signal, causing upper displacement of the right kidney and enlarging of neual foramina. Multiple subcutaneous, paraspinal and pelvic plexiform neurofibromas with the characteristic target sign are shown. Axial MRI demostrate a mostly homogeneous isointense retroperitoneal mass in T1- weighted image (B) with peripheral inhomogeneous contrast enhancement after gadolinum intravenous administration (C) and heterogeneous diffusion restriction in diffusion- weighted MRI (D) and ADC map (E).

Fig. 2.

Spindle cell neoplasm showing alternating celular and less celular areas (tapestry pattern) and geographical necrosis. HE, 4X (A). Focal positivity for S100 protein, showing up Schwann cell differentiation. S100 IHC, 10X (B). Focal positivity for myogening, evidencing skeletal muscle differentiation. Myogenin IHC, 10X (C).



