

“Older people aren’t my real patients”: qualitative evaluation of barriers and enablers to older person friendly hospitals

Alison Mudge¹, Adrienne Young¹, Prue McRae¹, Frederick Graham², Elizabeth Whiting³, and Ruth E. Hubbard⁴

¹Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital

²Princess Alexandra Hospital

³Metro North Hospital and Health Service

⁴The University of Queensland Faculty of Medicine

July 27, 2020

Abstract

Background, aims and objectives: With ageing global populations, hospitals need to adapt to ensure high quality hospital care for older inpatients. Older person friendly hospital (OPFH) principles and practices to improve care for older people are recognised, but many remain poorly implemented in practice. The aim of this study was to understand barriers and enablers to achieving OPFH from the perspective of key informants within an academic health system. Methods: Interpretive phenomenological study, using open-ended interviews conducted by a single researcher with experienced clinicians, managers, academics and consumers who had peer-recognised interest in care of older people. Initial coding was guided by the Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services (PARIHS) framework. Coding and charting was cross checked by three researchers, and themes validated by an expert reference group. Reporting was guided by COREQ guidelines. Results: Twenty interviews were completed (8 clinicians, 7 academics, 4 clinical managers, 1 consumer). Key elements of OPFH were: older people and their families are recognized and respected; skilled compassionate staff work in effective teams; and care models and environments support older people across the system. Valuing care of older people underpinned three other key enablers: empowering local leadership, investing in implementation and monitoring, and training and supporting a skilled workforce. Conclusions: Progress towards OPFH will require genuine partnerships between clinicians, consumers, health system managers, policy makers and academic organisations, and reframing the value of caring for older people in hospital.

“Older people aren’t my real patients”: qualitative evaluation of barriers and enablers to older person friendly hospitals

Running title: Enabling older person friendly hospitals

Alison M Mudge, PhD

Department of Internal Medicine and Aged Care, Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital;

University of Queensland School of Clinical Medicine

Alison.Mudge@health.qld.gov.au 61 7 36460854

Internal Medicine Research Unit, 6th floor block 7, Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospitals, Butterfield St Herston Queensland 4019 Australia

Adrienne Young, PhD

Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital.

Prue McRae, MPhil

Department of Internal Medicine and Aged Care, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital.

Frederick Graham, RN

Department of Internal Medicine, Princess Alexandra Hospital.

Elizabeth Whiting, FRACP

Metro North Hospital and Health Services.

Ruth E. Hubbard, MD

PA-Southside Clinical Unit, School of Clinical Medicine, The University of Queensland.

ABSTRACT

Background, aims and objectives: With ageing global populations, hospitals need to adapt to ensure high quality hospital care for older inpatients. Older person friendly hospital (OPFH) principles and practices to improve care for older people are recognised, but many remain poorly implemented in practice. The aim of this study was to understand barriers and enablers to achieving OPFH from the perspective of key informants within an academic health system.

Methods: Interpretive phenomenological study, using open-ended interviews conducted by a single researcher with experienced clinicians, managers, academics and consumers who had peer-recognised interest in care of older people. Initial coding was guided by the Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services (PARIHS) framework. Coding and charting was cross checked by three researchers, and themes validated by an expert reference group. Reporting was guided by COREQ guidelines.

Results: Twenty interviews were completed (8 clinicians, 7 academics, 4 clinical managers, 1 consumer). Key elements of OPFH were: older people and their families are recognized and respected; skilled compassionate staff work in effective teams; and care models and environments support older people across the system. Valuing care of older people underpinned three other key enablers: empowering local leadership, investing in implementation and monitoring, and training and supporting a skilled workforce.

Conclusions: Progress towards OPFH will require genuine partnerships between clinicians, consumers, health system managers, policy makers and academic organisations, and reframing the value of caring for older people in hospital.

Key words: health services; capacity building; health workforce; patient care team

“Older people aren’t my real patients”: qualitative evaluation of barriers and enablers to older person friendly hospitals

INTRODUCTION

Longer life expectancy and expectations of “baby boomer” consumers bring challenges for modern health systems as they adapt to the changing face of patient care. Increasing frailty and disability mean that older people are vulnerable to hospital-associated complications and poor outcomes^{1,2}. Pioneering models of geriatric care can reduce harm and deliver more efficient, patient-centred care for older people³. The principles developed in these models must be expanded beyond small specialist units to all acute care settings caring for older adults to realise the benefits for patients and the healthcare system⁴⁻⁶.

This challenge led to the concept of the Older Person Friendly Hospital (OPFH), and several authors have proposed supporting frameworks and practices⁷⁻¹¹ (Table 1). Common principles espoused by these frameworks include senior organisational leadership that addresses institutional ageism; a system that respects older patients’ choices about care and care delivery; staff equipped with geriatric knowledge and skills; evidence-based practices (EBP) to reduce hospital-associated complications such as delirium and falls; a

well-designed physical environment to promote function; and connections to promote smooth transitions across care settings.

The Queensland Statewide Older Person’s Health Clinical Network is a multidisciplinary network linking clinicians interested in care of older people across Queensland, Australia. In 2016, this group led a state-wide survey assessing older person friendly principles in hospital care, adapting a Canadian survey¹¹. The self-assessment survey was completed by clinical and executive leaders (e.g. directors of geriatrics, nursing, allied health and facility managers) in 23 hospitals across Queensland, demonstrating several consistent areas of strength and weakness (Table 2). Weaknesses included poorly coordinated clinical and executive leadership; limited engagement of older consumers; limited geriatric education and training across disciplines; limited recognition and prevention of functional decline and delirium; and poor integration of design principles outside specialist geriatric wards¹².

The current research aimed to gain an in-depth understanding of why these weaknesses occurred and how they might be addressed, by describing the experience of delivering, supporting or receiving hospital care for older people. Our objectives were to engage stakeholders with personal, clinical, management and academic experience in hospital care for older people, articulate a comprehensive vision of challenges and opportunities in achieving OPFH, and inform continuing system improvements.

METHODS

The study was conducted in hospitals within two publicly-funded health services in Brisbane and their associated universities. The project steering committee consisted of clinicians and academics from within these organisations with an interest in hospital care of older people. Steering committee members identified potential key informants within their clinical, consumer and academic networks, who in turn identified colleagues as additional participants. Potential participants were known by peers for their interest in care of older people, providing informed experiences about challenges and successes in improving older person hospital care. Participants included individuals from a range of backgrounds (clinical care delivery, clinical management, clinical research, teaching and training, and health consumer representative) to construct a multi-faceted understanding of the phenomenon of caring for older people in hospital, aligning with our constructivist worldview, i.e. a knowable world mediated by an individual’s conceptual lens¹³. Participants were purposively selected for maximum variation with respect to position, discipline, setting and experience level, and invited to participate via personalised email from AY. A sample size of 20 participants was pre-specified pragmatically for this time-limited project. Multi-site ethics committee approval was received (HREC/16/QRBW/485) and written consent provided by all participants.

Interviews were conducted by AY, a postdoctoral researcher with qualitative research experience. She had worked as an allied health professional (AHP) in one participating hospital, providing background to OPFH care, and was known to three participants. Interviews were undertaken at a time convenient to participants, in a private office space in each participant’s workplace. Each participant was provided with the previous survey report¹² as context. The interview began with a verbal and written summary of practice gaps identified (Table 2), inviting the participant to discuss their experiences with care of older people in acute settings related to these gaps. Beyond this opening statement, there were no set interview questions. Probing questions were used if required to elicit further information.

All interviews were digitally recorded using a dictaphone and transcribed verbatim by a professional transcription service, and cross-checked by AY. Field notes were taken during the interview for reference during debriefing sessions with the research team and during coding. Written transcripts were emailed to all participants for member checking; five participants provided clarification.

A hybrid deductive-inductive approach was taken to thematic analysis of interview data using an interpretive phenomenological approach (Fereday & Muir-Cochrane, 2006). In the first instance, a deductive approach used the *Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services* (PARIHS) framework^{14,15} as the analytical framework, to allow consideration of barriers and enablers to older person friendly care with an implementation focus. The first three steps of analysis were informed by the Framework Method¹⁶:

1. Familiarisation: review of field notes, audio-recording and transcription, noting initial thoughts and impressions
2. Identifying an analytical framework: pre-defined codes and explanatory notes developed based on PARIHS framework (Table 3).
3. Indexing: first four interviews coded independently by AY and AM, compared for consistency and framework refined with two additional codes generated from the data. Remaining interviews were coded independently by AY using the final framework. Indexing was completed using NVivo for Mac (version 10, QSR International).

An inductive approach was then taken to identify themes within and across data indexed under each code. AY, AM and RH independently reviewed data indexed at three representative codes and summarised themes. These were compared for consistency and discussed until consensus was achieved. AY and AM independently reviewed and summarised data indexed at the remaining codes, and identified themes within and across codes. Theme summaries, exemplar quotes and five transcripts each were provided to the other four authors for review to ensure that themes and selected quotes adequately represented the data.

Rigour was ensured through discussions within the project steering committee during data collection and analysis, and reporting using COREQ guidelines. Trustworthiness was supported by presenting preliminary themes back to participants (via email), and in workshop style at meetings of the Statewide Older Person's Health Clinical Network and the Statewide General Medical Network (each attended by approximately 40 participants, including clinicians, managers, and consumers), with participants invited to provide feedback.

RESULTS

We identified 42 potential key informants from eight institutions; 26 were invited to participate, of whom only one declined. Interviews were completed with 20 participants between October 2016 and February 2017. Participants were mostly female (n=16, 80%), and came from a range of discipline backgrounds as shown in Table 4. Mean duration of interviews was 50 minutes (SD 16). One participant was an appointed consumer representative, but several other participants discussed their health care experiences as carers of older family members, augmenting the consumer perspective. Participants also drew on previous experience working in other health services, community settings or organisations.

We identified seven major themes for the OPFH (Figure 1). Three core elements for achieving systematic, high quality care were:

- Older people and their families are recognised and respected
- Skilled compassionate staff work in effective teams
- Care models and environments support older people across the system

Four system enablers were identified to achieve these goals:

- Empower local leadership in older person friendly care
- Train and support a workforce skilled in care of older people
- Invest in implementing and monitoring evidence-based practices
- Value care of older people

The latter enabler underpinned all other elements and enablers, and required challenging an ageist and efficiency-driven culture.

Older people and their families are recognised and respected

Participants articulated that older patients need to be recognised as a group with particular and often complex care needs requiring specific knowledge and skills, and as legitimate and deserving recipients of acute care. There was a perception that many staff viewed older patients negatively, due to ageism, false expectations set during training, complex care that disrupts and delays hospital processes, and nihilism about outcomes.

“I think there is still a very strong... belief of ‘older people aren’t my real patients. They’re the exception. They’re the difficult ones’” P4, geriatrician, clinician “We need to generate more positive attitudes to ageing. People say they’re not working with older people, they’re acute care therapists, but that’s who they’ll end up working with” P11, AHP, academic

Older people needed to be recognised as individuals, with variation in their care needs, expectations, and life experience. Ageist stereotypes contributed to homogenisation of older people, reducing their individual value.

“I think [it’s often said that] ‘older people like this, older people like that’. Older people are actually more individual than you or me. Because over the lifespan, if you think about it, we just keep differentiating, don’t we?” P4, geriatrician, clinician

Some respondents noted that older people may be unable to advocate for their needs, due to generational characteristics or cognitive impairment. Family and carers of older people were recognised as important sources of information, providing advocacy and supporting individualised care. However, many participants reported a transactional approach to engagement e.g. information exchange rather than genuine partnership in decision making and care.

“We certainly had conversations and they were very respectful and polite and all that but it wasn’t shared decision making really.” P12, AHP, clinician (reflecting on consumer experience) “The carers are often so involved and really good advocates for those people but that’s not what you want when you’re trying to look after a patient... You probably don’t want someone telling you how that person likes their cup of tea or likes to eat their breakfast or take their shower.” P9, nurse, academic

In contrast, some respondents had poignant reflections about their personal experience as care-givers, recognising that family are often invisible and require confidence and health literacy to initiate engagement.

“It changed once they knew I was a nurse and it made me think ‘What happens to people who don’t have medical knowledge and can’t ask the questions?’... Up until then they’d walk into the room and ignore me.” P15, nurse, academic (reflecting on consumer experience)

Skilled compassionate staff work in effective teams

Knowledge and skills in care of older patients, particularly those with cognitive impairment, were seen as important for all hospital staff.

“Even from the people who work in the ward who are going to handle an older person, who are going to transfer an older person; how are they going to deal with an older person if he has dementia when he needs to move from A to B or he needs to have his meds? So everyone needs to have some sort of training.” P17, geriatrician, academic

Compassion was identified as an important attribute in caring for older people. Experiential learning could enhance compassion, while entrenched ageism, lack of knowledge and mentorship, negative experiences and competing values and demands could erode it.

“I do think that people start with a degree [of compassion] and it can be eroded over time, through experiences of lack of interdisciplinary teamwork, you know, lack of what they see as being important, not being valued.” P3, nurse, manager “They probably don’t think about it... It’s so easy when you work in that environment all the time. You become immune to what goes on” P9 nurse, clinician

Teamwork was discussed as a critical component of care of older inpatients. Respondents identified value and challenges working in multidisciplinary teams (MDT). Good teamwork provided opportunities for sharing information, prioritising workload, mutual learning and peer support.

“Different people bring the different skill mix to the situation... I actually love the interaction of the team because it really allows us to talk about the aspects of the older person care in a really MDT approach. We

know the view of the doctors, the doctors are able to learn the view of ours and I believe that we learn from each other.” P10, AHP, clinician

However, when multiple team members were involved in care there was a risk of overwhelming patients and families with poorly coordinated interactions. Within teams, professional silos could limit communication of important information, create duplication, and prevent individual providers taking responsibility beyond a limited scope.

“I would hear the nutrition assistant or the dietitian come around to the patient...just saying ‘how’d you go with your [breakfast] this morning’. And I thought, what a missed opportunity, because the nurse was there, you didn’t ask the nurse, you walked straight past them.” P3, nurse, manager “I think nurses are very quick to go ‘this is not something I know a lot about so I’ll flick it off sooner than I really need to because I know that there’s somebody more expert in that.’” P12, nurse, academic

Important enablers for promoting effective teamwork included face-to-face meetings, working together over time to develop trust and shared values, and open discussion of role boundaries.

“[These medical staff] don’t have any rapport with the allied health team... in comparison to teams that might meet three time a week so you’ve got a bit of rapport. You get to understand how they work, and they get to understand how you work... I think with teams that don’t meet and don’t really know each other, that’s really difficult.” P1, AHP, clinician

Care models and environments support older people across the system

There was broad recognition that improving care practices required a systematic and system-wide approach. This was challenged by existing professional and governance structures, which are based around “organs”, disciplines and acuity, leading to siloed practices and disjointed systems within and beyond the hospital.

“Why is it that cardiology isn’t also a good place for an older person and why is it age that determines whether you go to cardiology or not?” P4, geriatrician, clinician “This team comes and looks at X, and this team comes and looks at Y, but how are we all sort of pulling it together?” P14, nurse, academic

Respondents recognised the need for better relationships and communication beyond the hospital, particularly with residential aged care. Respondents admitted to poor mutual understanding, leading to assumptions and conflict in transitions between providers.

“People in both sectors are throwing their hands up in the air, saying “we’re just not being told what we need to be told.” P11, AHP, academic

There was tension between care of older people being a specialty versus being usual care, including some tension between geriatricians and general physicians. Staff with specialist skills could provide mentorship and support for generalist staff, but could also increase complexity, and the concept of specialist care models was challenged by the large number of older patients.

“Maybe all older people need a geriatrician just to look at, to watch over them, not necessarily be the primary carer but to actually have input into their care.” P2, nurse, manager “Sometimes [emergency department outreach service] is involved, [community interface service] is involved, the geriatrician’s involved and throw in the Older Persons’ Psychiatrist as well and then there’s a lot of varying opinions sometimes. I think we need to look at who is owning the patient.” P19, geriatrician, clinician

Respondents valued comprehensive screening and assessment for older inpatients, but recognised that screening could be inconsistent, and did not always translate into care practices. Reasons included professional boundaries and expectations, limited support systems, and balancing time spent between screening and delivering actions.

“Even the new care plans that we’ve got in place, it talks about cognition but it’s not clear. It’s just another ticked box. It refers to using the CAM [Cognitive Assessment Method], but then they don’t have the CAM and they don’t have that training.” P20, nurse, clinician “Most of the time, you do the assessment, you do

the discharge planning, and there's so little time left for the really proper targeted goal-oriented therapy" P10, AHP, clinician

There was a developing awareness of evidence-based environmental design features for older people, particularly by respondents with experience in residential aged care and specialist older person's wards. However, staff were often circumspect about their influence over decision makers, and whether the principles would be realised in practice.

"We don't actually think about what we do in these hospitals. We think about colour combinations as being something that is pleasant, whereas it's not necessarily that functional from an older person point of view." P2, nurse, manager "We are fighting to get that ACE [Acute Care of Elders] ward... It is being constructed, but it's the colour code and the dining area and the toilet design... Hopefully it's going to be the way we have planned." P5, geriatrician, clinician

Empower local leadership in older person friendly care

There was a recognised need for multi-level leadership to provide strategic and practical support for older person friendly practices. However, there was a lack of confidence in executive leadership and accountability, and challenges with governance structures which support existing organisational silos.

"I think it's in the back of everyone's mind but no-one's had the ability, if you like, to move it forward." P6, nurse, manager "We're going to have to have a new way of thinking about how we structure our governance. Even though I might have a service line to look after, my remit for the older person might have to be across the organisation and if that's the case then the other services have to be prepared for me to paddle in their place a little bit." P2, nurse, manager

As a result, change and advocacy were driven predominantly by passionate informal leaders, limited by time constraints of their 'real job' and their individual capacity to galvanise action. Poor role recognition and slow progress often led to frustration, but establishing alliances across traditional discipline and department boundaries helped gain momentum. There was also strong reliance on local champions, particularly nurse unit managers. They provided local leadership through motivating staff, setting expectations, coaching and modelling, but required continuing support.

"Unfortunately he's a one-man band... This is a huge organisation and as enthusiastic as one person is, there are still real limits around how quickly you can start." P12, nurse, academic "I would go to geriatric things and come back all keen and try to get basic age friendly principles into place, basic signage, and I had no traction." P20, nurse, clinician

Train and support a workforce skilled in care of older people

Respondents articulated the need for both a formal gerontology curriculum and opportunities for interacting with older people from early undergraduate years. Limited undergraduate training in care of older people was recognised across all professions, attributed to low priority by teaching institutions. Gerontology content was generally delivered as elective rather than core curriculum, clinical placements were limited, and there were minimal opportunities for post-graduate study in gerontology.

"Part of the challenge in preparing a workforce is that you need to develop [teamwork] skills just as much as the development of the technical and know-how skills that fascinate accrediting authorities but actually don't really serve people well in terms of being work-ready." P18, AHP, academic

Consequently, most training was occurring in the workplace. The importance of experiential learning was well articulated, but exposure to training was generally ad hoc, depending on early placements on geriatric wards or exposure to passionate champions. There was a recognised lack of skilled teachers and mentors with dedicated time to provide training and support, and reliance on individually-sought external conferences and courses.

"If you don't go through a geriatric unit or work with a geriatrician who is maybe working as a general

physician, other than that, I don't think they will get formal geriatric training." P5, geriatrician, clinician "It's just where you end up working. You're provided with on-the-job training... There's lots of external courses and conferences but there's nothing specific here." P6, nurse, manager

There were challenges in delivering hospital-based education including multi-level learning needs, competing mandatory training, limited education time, high staff numbers and turnover, and the limited value of traditional 'in-service' approaches for complex education and skills training. External agencies provided some educational opportunities, but there was a need for continuing support and 'hands-on' training, particularly in caring for people with cognitive impairment.

"If the skill mix is poor and you haven't got those other people with experience, then how do you actually get that?" P13, nurse, clinician "It's educating staff... coaching them and mentoring them is the difference. It's constant follow-up. All this in-service and interest groups and stuff like that, it's great. It's not enough. It's not enough to create change and keep motivating people." P16, nurse, clinician

Invest in implementing and monitoring evidence-based practices

Evidence-based practices (EBP) to achieve better outcomes for older people were well recognised, but poorly translated into practice. Barriers included lack of staff knowledge, lack of prioritisation by teaching and service organisations, resistance to change, and lack of investment in implementation and change management methods.

"It was interesting hearing all the research out there and all the money that's been invested into research. It stops there and that's the thing. There is so much stuff out there saying how we should be doing things. Then the next step is investing into implementing into practice." P16, nurse, clinician

Translational efforts included credible experts from other organisations, local adaptation of guidelines and standardised orders, education and mentoring, and local data to prioritise issues and highlight gaps in EBP. These efforts were driven largely by informal leaders. Progress required champions willing to change practice, and continuing support and monitoring within the local context.

"I think in [ward name] the model works better because they've got such passionate and enthusiastic staff so they're actually wanting to change the culture of how they care for older people." P19, geriatrician, clinician "I think people [staff] will fall unless we really monitor, I think they'll fall back to their old pattern." P2, nurse, manager

Although several respondents appeared confident that organisational audits, incident monitoring and benchmarking processes provided appropriate data for monitoring care and outcomes of older patients, others were sceptical about data quality and use, and identified a lack of age-stratified reporting. They articulated that investment in targeted measurement was essential, needing staff with skills and dedicated time for reliable data collection and interpretation.

"They'd tell you how wonderful all their data is and how they collect all this data. To me it's all smoke and mirrors. It's a bit like quality and safety data... there's not a lot of rigour in how it's collected." P12, nurse, academic

Value care of older people

Most respondents believed that staffing and resourcing to support care of older people was inadequate, because it was a low organisational priority. The powerful competing demand of throughput, with an emphasis on emergency department wait times, length of stay and patient discharge, was a major disincentive to person-centred and quality-focussed resource allocation. At the same time, care for older people was reported as more difficult and complex, requiring more resources and disrupting task-driven care pathways. This created challenges articulating the value proposition for investing in care of older people.

"Everything's about NEAT [National Emergency Access Targets]. They don't realise that it's actually what happens in between that effects NEAT" P19, geriatrician, clinician "The problem is that [geriatric

interventions] are often quite expensive and how do we make them cost effective and show our bean counters that they're actually of value?" P6, nurse, manager

Many respondents felt they were constantly arguing for resources for older patients, which were often secured in an ad hoc manner. Participants attributed successes to networking with other champions to pool resources and energy, and alignment with accreditation standards or other strategic policy. The consumer highlighted the under-utilised influence of politically aware older consumers.

"[Older consumers] are the guys that have the money, we've got the influence, and there's lots of us, and we're just starting to wake up to the fact that we've got to start making things a lot better for ourselves." P7, consumer

DISCUSSION

Using in-depth interviews with key informants, we propose an action-focussed model for hospitals to improve the experience and outcomes of older people (figure 1). This model emphasises intersections between how older patients and families are seen and involved in care, how staff are trained and connected, and how the care environment is created. Our respondents highlighted substantial challenges and opportunities in leadership, workforce development, and implementation of EBP required to support these elements, and combat an ageist and efficiency-driven culture.

The central importance of patient and family involvement supports and extends previous OPFH frameworks (table 1). Engagement must go beyond eliciting patient preferences and sharing information with families^{9,10}, to valuing older patients and their families as legitimate partners in care decisions and provision¹⁷. This is congruent with a systematic review of acute care experiences from the perspective of hospitalised older people and their carers¹⁸, which concluded that genuine engagement requires recognition, reciprocity, and involvement.

Previous frameworks have recognised the need for staff geriatric competencies, but our study indicates that compassion and teamwork are essential to complement geriatric knowledge, and require experiential learning. Consistent with other reports^{19,20}, our respondents reported lack of dedicated gerontology teaching time, and lack of expert teachers and mentors in undergraduate and graduate training across all disciplines. They identified that traditional teaching methods must be supported by opportunities for interdisciplinary training, and positive mentored experiences to demonstrate skills and values in practice. Creating such opportunities will require leadership¹¹ and cooperation between education and health care sectors to prioritise gerontology curriculum and support effective mentors.

Respondents recognised the central importance of geriatric care principles (e.g. comprehensive risk assessment linked to integrated multi-disciplinary care planning) and how teamwork and the physical care environment could enhance or challenge delivery of this evidence-based care^{7,10}. Many respondents were frustrated by perceived failure to translate EBP into everyday practice. This challenge has been recognised internationally, with poor uptake of effective demonstration models into other settings³. Effective implementation of EBP for older people requires leadership support²¹ but our findings illustrate the complexity of providing leadership in a system traditionally organised along disciplines and organ-based specialties. This leads to reliance on motivated individuals forced to build their own skills and networks. Strategic collaborations which empower and connect these individuals, encourage cross-disciplinary partnership, create legitimate capacity for role modelling for other staff, and advocate for investment in implementation of EBP could enhance organisational capability in care of older people. Robust systems for measuring and monitoring outcomes would support visibility and facilitate improvements⁷.

Our three key enablers of leadership, training and investment (Figure 1) are similar to the concepts of authority, awareness and resources identified in a review of successful dementia-friendly hospital practices²². Clearly identifying the value of caring for older people in hospital is essential to activate these enablers, but remains challenging in a context which prioritises efficiency and throughput²³. In a time-driven system, staff can feel helpless and frustrated by complex care needs (e.g. due to cognitive impairment or disease

complexity), and older patients can become isolated and ignored. Powerful social discourses about ageing support this behaviour⁶. Our respondents recognised that these additional needs could not be convincingly framed within the prevailing efficiency paradigm, and that progress requires aligning with other powerful policy incentives such as quality standards and consumer expectations. Strong collaboration with older consumers, reliable data for monitoring outcomes and benefits, and networking between champions and policy and practice leaders are potential strategies to shape a persuasive case for the OPFH¹⁰. Careful selection of communication strategies is critical to ensure thoughtful discourse and avoid perpetuating ageist and negative stereotypes of health system “burden” rather than recognition of legitimate and specific healthcare needs^{24,25}.

Strengths of our study include a multidisciplinary steering group and diverse respondents from varied setting and disciplines. Informants engaged enthusiastically and provided a comprehensive view from multiple perspectives. Internal and external validity were supported by several researchers coding and checking themes, member checking with participants, and discussion with expert groups from inside and outside the participating organisations. Our hybrid approach using a recognised implementation framework helped us move beyond descriptions to actionable themes to inform improvement. We also recognise some limitations. Participants for this study were deliberately selected as knowledgeable advocates for older person care, who may not recognise barriers and enablers experienced by other staff and consumers. Despite a diversity of disciplinary and practice backgrounds, most participants were female. The stimulus (survey report) provided to frame interviews, and snowball methods of recruitment, mean that participants may have been aware of the perspectives of the research team, which may have influenced their responses. Pragmatic constraints on sample size mean we cannot be certain that data saturation was reached, although consistent themes were voiced across diverse settings and disciplines. Our settings were metropolitan, and we did not sample policy makers, which may have under-represented codes related to the outer setting. We only had one consumer representative participant, but other participants drew on their personal consumer and carer experiences, and findings are congruent with studies of consumer and care perspectives^{18,23}.

Our findings inform actionable opportunities for clinicians, managers, consumers, academics and policy makers to work together to create hospitals which value care of the older person. Universities and training institutions should encourage access to gerontology curricula for all disciplines, and collaborate with services to provide mentored training experiences and practice teamwork skills. Clinicians and consumers must identify ways to engage older people and their families respectfully and meaningfully in care, and advocate for EBP. Hospital managers should recognise and promote local champions as key resources for training and translation, and invest in interdisciplinary teams and EBP, with age-stratified measurement of outcomes to monitor effectiveness. Policy makers must recognise that prioritising efficiency can create perverse cultural incentives with serious consequences for vulnerable older patients. Researchers can work collaboratively with all stakeholders to identify effective leadership structures for OPFH, implement EBP at scale, and validate reliable, feasible and responsive measures of care and outcomes that matter to older patients. Multi-level collaborative leadership is required to challenge ageism and truly value older people if we are to deliver OPFH.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

This work was supported by a Strategic Research Grant from Brisbane Diamantina Health Partners. The authors acknowledge Professor Len Gray and Professor Elizabeth Beattie for their contribution to the project expert reference group.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

REFERENCES

1. Hubbard RE, Peel NM, Samanta M, Gray LC, Mitnitski A, Rockwood K. Frailty status at admission to hospital predicts multiple adverse outcomes. *Age Ageing*. 2017;46:801-806.

2. Mudge AM, McRae P, Hubbard RE, Peel NM, Lim WK, Barnett AG. Hospital-associated complications of older people: a proposed multicomponent outcome for acute care. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2019;67(2):352-356.
3. Allen K, Ouslander JG. Age-Friendly Health Systems: their time has come. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2018;66:19-21.
4. Bakker FC, Olde Rikkert MG. Hospital care for frail elderly adults: from specialized geriatric units to hospital-wide interventions. *Interdiscip Top Gerontol Geriatr.* 2015;41:95-106.
5. Fulmer T, Mate KS, Berman A. The Age-Friendly Health System imperative. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017;66:22-24.
6. Parke B, Hunter KF. The care of older adults in hospital: if it's common sense why isn't it common practice? *J Clin Nurs.* 2014;23:1573-1582.
7. Boltz M, Capezuti E, Shabbat N. Building a framework for a geriatric acute care model. *Leadersh Health Serv.* 2010;23(4):334-360.
8. Chiou S, Chen L. Towards age-friendly hospitals and health services. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2009;49(Suppl 2):S3-S6.
9. Fulmer T, Berman A. Age-Friendly Health Systems: How Do We Get There? *Health Affairs Blog.* 2016. doi:10.1377/hblog20161103.057335. Published November 3 2016. Accessed November 18 2018.
10. Huang AR, Larente N, Morais JA. Moving towards the age-friendly hospital: a paradigm shift for the hospital-based care of the elderly. *Can Geriatr J.* 2011;14(4):100-103.
11. Wong KS, Ryan DP, Liu BA. A system-wide analysis using a Senior-Friendly Hospital Framework identified current practices and opportunities for improvement in the care of hospitalized older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2014;62:2163-2170.
12. Statewide Older Person's Health Clinical Network. How older person friendly are Queensland hospitals? State of Queensland (Queensland Health). https://www.health.qld.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0027/635652/sophcn-friendly-hospitals.pdf. Published 2016. Accessed July 18 2020.
13. Kayrooz C, Trvitt C. Research purpose and approach. In: *Research in Organisations and Communities.* Sydney: Allen & Unwin; 2005.
14. Harvey G, Kitson A. PARIHS revisited: from heuristic to integrated framework for the successful implementation of knowledge into practice. *Implement Sci.* 2016;11(1):33.
15. Kitson A, Harvey G, McCormack B. Enabling the implementation of evidence based practice: a conceptual framework. *Qual Health Care.* 1998;7(3):149-158.
16. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2013;13:117.
17. Regional Geriatric Program of Toronto. Senior Friendly Care. <https://www.rgptoronto.ca/initiatives/senior-friendly-care/>. Published 2018. Accessed July 18 2020.
18. Bridges J, Flatley M, Meyer J. Older people's and relatives' experience in acute care settings: systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2010;47:89-107.
19. Bardach SH, Rowles G. Geriatric education in the health professions: are we making progress? *Gerontologist.* 2012;52(5):607-618.
20. Liddle J, Beattie E, Gannon B, Bennett S, Pachana NA. Ageing as part of the curriculum for health-care professionals: A Queensland Survey. *Australas J Ageing.* 2020 online early. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.12775>

21. Bradley EH, Webster TR, Schlesinger M, Baker D, Inouye S. The roles of senior management in improving hospital experiences for frail older adults. *J Healthc Manag.* 2006;51(5):323-337.
22. Handley M, Bunn F, Goodman C. Dementia-friendly interventions to improve the care of people living with dementia admitted to hospitals: a realist review. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7:e015257, doi:015210.011136/bmjopen-012016-015257.
23. Parke B, Chappell NL. Transactions between older people and the hospital environment: a social ecological analysis. *J Aging Stud.*2010;24:115-124.
24. Lundjeberg N, Trucil D, Hammond E, Applegate W. When it comes to older adults, language matters: Journal of the American Geriatrics Society adopts modified American Medical Association style. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2017;65:1386-1388.
25. Grealish L, Bloomer M. Language and culture: Nurses positioned to lead hospital care for people living with dementia. *Collegian.*2020;27:245-247.

Table 1: Examples of previously described older person friendly hospital (OPFH) frameworks, including definitions and key constructs

Definition of OPFH (country)	Key constructs of OPFH framework
“An age-friendly hospital is a hospital promoting health, dignity and participation of persons of older ages” ⁸ (Taiwan)	Management policies Communication and services Physical environment Care processes
“Core components of a system-wide, acute care program designed to meet the needs of older adults” ⁷ (USA)	Guiding principles Leadership Patient- and family-centred approaches Geriatric staff competencies Interdisciplinary resources and processes Aging-sensitive practices Organisational structures Physical environment
“To promote excellence in hospital care for acutely ill older adults through the provision of evidence-based service delivery and patient-family focussed care. . . ” ¹⁰ (Canada)	A favourable physical environment Zero tolerance towards ageism at all organisational levels Comprehensive services using principles of the geriatric approach Assistance with appropriate decision-making Fostering links between the acute care hospital and the community
“An evidence-informed framework applied organization-wide to help hospitals achieve better outcomes for frail seniors” ¹¹ (Canada)	Organizational support Emotional and behavioural environment Processes of care Ethics in clinical care and research Physical environment
“An age-friendly health system is based on patients’ goals and values, and on improved outcomes and lower costs of care within the walls of the hospital and beyond” ⁹ (USA)	Leadership committed to addressing ageism A strategy to identify, coordinate with and support family caregivers A clear process for eliciting patient goals and preferences Clinical staff specifically trained in expert care of older adults Care teams that are high performing and can show measurable results for care of older adults A geriatric care prototype specific to older adults A systematic approach for coordinating care with organizations beyond the walls of the hospital

Table 2. Summary of findings from previous state-wide survey of older person friendly care¹²

Clinical and executive leadership	Developing clinical leadership Limited executive leadership Limited coordination (e.g. planning, monitoring, linkages)
Respected and involved consumers	Established systems for protecting decision making and advance care planning Limited involvement of older person in care planning and feedback
Skilled and compassionate staff	Limited training of hospital staff in care of older people Limited graduate education across all disciplines
Evidence-based assessment and management	Established systems for recognising and preventing pressure injuries, falls, adverse drug reactions and malnutrition Developing systems for integrated assessment, care planning and discharge planning Limited systems for recognising and preventing functional decline and delirium
Connected systems	Established systems for referral to subacute and post-acute care Poor communication between emergency department and residential care facilities
Well-designed physical environments	Developing use of older person friendly design principles in specialist units Limited use at organisational level

Table 3. Analytical Framework based on the *PARIHS* framework^{14,15}

Codes	Explanatory Notes
Evidence	Evidence
Clinical experience and local information	Experience of individuals, teams, services that influences delivery
Patient experience	Patient or family engagement in service delivery or design (e.g. co-design)
Research	Research evidence (e.g. papers, guidelines, conferences), data
Recipients	Recipients
Capability	Knowledge, skills, memory, attention and decision processes
Motivation	Social/professional role and identity, values, beliefs about care
Inner Context (ward and organisation)	Inner Context (ward and organisation)
Adequate resources ¹	Time, personnel, equipment, education materials
Culture	Specific to care of older people and factors influencing this
Experience with change	Past implementation/change experiences: positive/ negative
Leadership	Formal and informal leaders, ward/service line/executive, academic
Organisational priorities	Specific to care of older people and factors influencing this
Structure	Hospital organisational structure (reporting, governance, service lines)
Systems and processes	Models of care, processes for screening, referral, discharge planning
Outer context (health service district, state, national)	Outer context (health service district, state, national)
Environmental (in)stability	Change in political or social environment which may affect care
Incentives and mandates	External funding, restrictions, policies
Policy drivers, priorities	Broad political agenda relating to older people and/or health care
Inter-organisational networks and relationships	Networks and relationships with community (aged care, GPs, etc.)
Regulatory frameworks	Health care (i.e. accreditation standards) and university (i.e. research)
Society values and expectations ¹	Society perceptions related older people and/or health care

¹these codes were generated inductively from the interview data

Table 4 Characteristics of interview participants. Position and workplace were the primary setting identified by the participant; four participants identified themselves as academics and clinicians with joint positions between the university and health service.

	n (%)
Position Clinician	8 (40) 7 (35) 4 (20) 1 (5)
Academic	
Manager	
Consumer representative	
Discipline Nurse	10 (50) 5 (25) 4 (20) 1 (5)
Allied health professional	
Geriatrician	
Consumer	
Workplace Health service 1	10 (50) 5 (25) 3 (15) 2 (10)
Health service 2	
University 1	
University 2	
Gender Female	16 (80) 4 (20)
Male	

Figure 1. The older person friendly hospital (OPFH): summary of interview themes. Interlocking circular rings represent the central elements of high quality OPFH, ovals represent system enablers, and the rectangle represents the foundation value underpinning all other system enablers.

