## Efficacy and safety of treatment with omalizumab for chronic spontaneous urticaria- a systematic review for the EAACI Biologicals Guidelines

Ioana Agache<sup>1</sup>, Claudio Rocha<sup>2</sup>, Yang Song<sup>2</sup>, Pablo Alonso-Coello<sup>3</sup>, Ivan Sola<sup>4</sup>, Jessica Beltran<sup>2</sup>, Margarita Posso<sup>5</sup>, Cezmi Akdis<sup>6</sup>, Mubeccel Akdis<sup>7</sup>, Knut Brockow<sup>8</sup>, T. Chivato<sup>9</sup>, Stefano R. Del Giacco<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Eiwegger<sup>11</sup>, Kilian Eyerich<sup>12</sup>, Ana Giménez Arnau<sup>13</sup>, Jan Gutermuth<sup>14</sup>, Emma Guttmann-Yassky<sup>15</sup>, Marcus Maurer<sup>16</sup>, Graham Ogg<sup>17</sup>, Peck Ong<sup>18</sup>, Liam O'Mahony<sup>19</sup>, Jürgen Schwarze<sup>20</sup>, Thomas Werfel<sup>21</sup>, Carlos Canelo-Aybar<sup>2</sup>, Oscar Palomares<sup>22</sup>, and Marek Jutel<sup>23</sup>

July 8, 2020

## Abstract

This systematic review evaluates the efficacyand safety of omalizumab for chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU). Pubmed,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Transylvania University of Brasov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Biomedical Research Institute Sant Pau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hospital Sant Pau

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Biomedical Research Institute

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>IMIM$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>University of Zurich

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>University of Zürich

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Technical University of Munich

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Univ CEU San Pablo

 $<sup>^{10} \</sup>rm University$  of Cagliari Faculty of Medicine

 $<sup>^{11}{\</sup>rm The~Hospital~for~Sick~Children}$ 

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ TUM

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Hospital del Mar. Institut Mar d'Investigacions Mediques

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Rockefeller University

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup>mathrm{Charit\acute{e}}$  Universitätsmedizin Berlin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>University of Oxford

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Childrens Hospital Los Angeles, University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine

 $<sup>^{19} \</sup>mathrm{University}$  College Cork National University of Ireland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Hannover Medical School

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Complutense University of Madrid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>Wroclaw Medical University

EMBASE and Cochrane Library were searched for RCTs. Critical and important CSU-related outcomes were considered. The risk of bias and the certainty of the evidence were assessed using GRADE. Ten RCTs including 1620 subjects aged 12 to 75 years old treated with omalizumab for 16 to 40 weeks were evaluated. Omalizumab 150 mg: does not result in clinically meaningful improvement(high certainty) of the urticaria activity score (UAS)7 (mean difference (MD) -5; 95%CI -7.75 to -2.25) and the itch severity score(ISS)7 (MD -2.15; 95% CI -3.2 to -1.1); does not increase (moderate certainty) quality of life (QoL) (Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI); MD -2.01; 95%CI -3.22 to -0.81); decreases (moderate certainty) rescue medication use (MD -1.68; 95%CI -2.95 to -0.4). Omalizumab 300 mg:results in clinically meaningful improvements(moderate certainty)of the UAS7 (MD -11.05; 95%CI -12.87 to -9.24), theISS7 (MD -4.45; 95%CI -5.39 to -3.51), and QoL (high certainty)(DLQI; MD -4.03; 95% CI -5.56 to -2.5); decreases (moderate certainty) rescue medication use (MD -2.04; 95%CI -3.19 to -0.88) and drug-related serious AEs (RR 0.77; 95%CI 0.20 to 2.91).

## Hosted file

SR\_omalizumab\_forCU -submitted.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/323366/articles/468042-efficacy-and-safety-of-treatment-with-omalizumab-for-chronic-spontaneous-urticaria-a-systematic-review-for-the-eaaci-biologicals-guidelines

## Hosted file

figures and tables-submitted.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/323366/articles/468042-efficacy-and-safety-of-treatment-with-omalizumab-for-chronic-spontaneous-urticaria-a-systematic-review-for-the-eaaci-biologicals-guidelines