A Case of bilateral concomitant inguinal and femoral hernias treated with transabdominal preperitoneal repair

Yasunori Uesato¹ and Mitsuhisa Takatsuki¹

¹University of the Ryukyus

June 12, 2020

Abstract

Bilateral concomitant inguinal and femoral hernias are very rare. Preoperative examinations may not be able to detect coexisting inguinal hernias. However, laparoscopic surgery can identify these subclinical hernias and repair them appropriately. We report about the use of laparoscopic surgery to repair coexisting bilateral inguinal and femoral hernias.

KEYWORDS

occult hernias; bilateral hernias; femoral hernia; subclinical hernias; laparoscopic surgery; TAPP.

ABBREVIATIONS

TAPP, transabdominal preperitoneal approach

CT, computed tomography

1 INTRODUCTION

Inguinal hernia repair is the most common operation performed by general surgeons¹. Inguinal hernias are generally diagnosed by physical findings and computed tomography (CT), but in rare cases, other hernias may be observed during surgery. If such hernias remain unobserved and unrepaired, they may result in chronic groin pain and complications including incarceration or strangulation may arise. Such occult hernias are often overlooked during open repair and may require additional postoperative treatment, particularly if located on the contralateral side. On performing inguinal hernia repair using laparoscopy, occult hernias can be easily detected and repaired during the same operation without additional incisions.²Herein, we report about a case of bilateral inguinal and femoral hernias diagnosed and repaired using the transabdominal preperitoneal approach (TAPP), wherein only a single right inguinal hernia was detected by preoperative examination. This work is reported accord to the SCARE criteria.³

2 CASE REPORT

The patient was a man in his 80s with no remarkable medical or family history. He presented at our emergency department with swelling and pain in the right groin. The right groin showed a swelling of 10

 \times 10 cm. Vital signs and blood tests were normal at the visit. Abdominal contrast-enhanced CT showed prolapse of the small intestine into the right groin (Fig. 1). The hernia was located outside the inferior abdominal wall artery, suggesting an indirect hernia. The size of the hernia gate was 3×2 cm. The left side did not show any apparent hernias. On confirming that there was no apparent intestinal ischemia, manual return was performed and the patient was hospitalized for observation. He was discharged 3 days later, after confirmation that there were no complications such as intestinal ischemia. It was agreed upon that surgery would be performed at a later date on a watch-and-wait basis. TAPP was used to repair it. The operation was performed in a supine position under general anesthesia. On placing the 12-mm port in the umbilicus using the open method and examining the abdominal cavity, the coexistence of a femoral hernia as well as an indirect inguinal hernia was observed on the right side. Further observation of the left side also revealed an indirect inguinal as well as a femoral hernia (Fig. 2). Although the patient was asymptomatic, surgery was also indicated for the left side, and a simultaneous repair was then performed. Two 5-mm ports were placed in the left and right abdomen, respectively, and the operation was performed with three ports in total. The first repair was performed on the right hernia. The peritoneum was linearly incised from the outside of the hernia tract toward the hernia tract, and the space between the peritoneum and the preperitoneal fat was separated to form a space for placing the mesh. Exfoliation was performed to include the thigh ring. The inside was sufficiently dissected up to the rectus abdominis muscle, and the outside was sufficiently dissected to the right upper iliac spine. Thereafter, a Bard 3D Max Light (R) mesh $(10 \times 15 \text{ cm})$ was placed and fixed to cover the inner inguinal ring, Hesselbach's triangle, and thigh ring completely. The incised peritoneum was surgically closed with 3-0 Vicryl sutures. The left hernia was repaired using the same procedure (Fig. 2). The postoperative progress of the patient was good, and he was discharged 2 days later. No complications or recurrence have been reported for 3 years since the surgery.

3 DISCUSSION

Groin hernias are common disorders, with a wide range of variations, and they may be difficult to diagnose preoperatively. As a result, the nature and numbers of hernias observed in surgery may differ from those identified at diagnosis. Of note, subclinical contralateral groin hernias and unsuspected femoral hernias in patients undergoing laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair are reported in 8%-28%⁴⁻⁷ and 7.2%-11.1% of patients, respectively.^{8, 9} In the present case, a right inguinal hernia was diagnosed, but a subclinical hernia was also found on the left side during laparoscopy. If an anterior approach had been performed, the left side hernia may not have been observed, necessitating repair at a later date. The present case was an extremely rare one as simultaneous bilateral inguinal and femoral hernias were observed. Reports of three or more simultaneous hernias are very few,^{10, 11, 12} and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of both bilateral inguinal and femoral hernias. Strangulation or incarcerated, but the inguinal ligament protruded from the cranial side, and CT did not detect this complication in the femoral hernia. Data suggest that femoral hernias are more common in women over the age of 50,¹⁴ which would have made it extremely difficult to suspect femoral hernias in the present case.

Laparoscopic surgery is the standard procedure for inguinal hernia repair and its advantages include a good view of the surgical field, reduction in wound pain, and, the most remarkable aspect, easy observation, diagnosis, and repair of subclinical and contralateral groin hernias.^{15, 16, 17} In this case, three additional hernias that could not be detected preoperatively, were successfully detected during laparoscopic surgery. The presence of a femoral hernia does not change the repair procedure. As in the case of the initial hernia, the repair operation is performed so that the preperitoneal space is removed, including the inner inguinal ring, Hesselbach's triangle, and thigh ring. The mesh is placed in a manner same as that used during as the repair of the non-coexisting type hernia, and the largest possible mesh is used. The wound is also similar to that incurred when repairing the non-coexisting type hernia and postoperative pain does not increase. We conclude that laparoscopic surgery offers a superior means of repairing groin hernias to the anterior approach, although the anterior approach may be the better method if solid adhesion of the preperitoneal

cavity is anticipated, such as after prostate surgery. In these cases, performing a prone-position CT scan before surgery is advisable as studies have shown that occult hernias are easier to detect with prone-position CT than with supine position CT.¹⁸

4 CONCLUSION

Synchronous bilateral hernias are very rare, and subclinical hernias, as reported in this case, are very difficult to diagnose preoperatively. Laparoscopic surgery is particularly useful as it allows the accurate diagnosis and repair of hernias in the weakened bilateral inguinal region.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the other members and staff for their contributions to the completion of our case report. The authors would like to thank Enago (www.enago.jp) for the English language review.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

FUNDING

No funding was obtained from the private or public sector for this research.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Affiliations

Department of Digestive and General Surgery, University of Ryukyus

207 Uehara, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan

Ph: +81-98-895-1163/Fax: +81-98-895-1421

Email: h116638@med.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

Contributions

All authors contributed to the acquisition and analysis of data. YU was major contributors in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Yasunori Uesato, M.D.

ETHICS DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Obtained from the patient in written.

Consent for publication

Witten consent to publish was obtained for the publication of all clinical details and images, and the consent form is available for review by the editor of the journal.

REFERENCES

1. McCormack K, Scott NW, Go PM, Ross S, Grant AM, Collaboration EUHT. Laparoscopic techniques versus open techniques for inguinal hernia repair. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003(1):CD001785.

2. van den Heuvel B, Munoz Brands RM, Beuerle EY, Dwars BJ. A rare case of a groin hernia: the Hesselbach's hernia. Hernia 2015;19(3):523-6.

3. Agha RA, Borrelli MR, Farwana R, Koshy K, Fowler AJ, Orgill DP, et al. The PROCESS 2018 statement: Updating Consensus Preferred Reporting Of CasE Series in Surgery (PROCESS) guidelines. Int J Surg 2018;60:279-82.

4. Tackett LD, Breuer CK, Luks FI, Caldamone AA, Breuer JG, DeLuca FG, et al. Incidence of contralateral inguinal hernia: a prospective analysis. J Pediatr Surg 1999;34(5):684-7; discussion 7-8.

5. Zheng R, Altieri MS, Yang J, Chen H, Pryor AD, Bates A, et al. Long-term incidence of contralateral primary hernia repair following unilateral inguinal hernia repair in a cohort of 32,834 patients. Surg Endosc 2017;31(2):817-22.

6. Jarrard JA, Arroyo MR, Moore BT. Occult contralateral inguinal hernias: what is their true incidence and should they be repaired? Surg Endosc 2019;33(8):2456-8.

7. Griffin KJ, Harris S, Tang TY, Skelton N, Reed JB, Harris AM. Incidence of contralateral occult inguinal hernia found at the time of laparoscopic trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal (TAPP) repair. Hernia 2010;14(4):345-9.

8. Crawford DL, Hiatt JR, Phillips EH. Laparoscopy identifies unexpected groin hernias. Am Surg 1998;64(10):976-8.

9. Dulucq JL, Wintringer P, Mahajna A. Occult hernias detected by laparoscopic totally extra-peritoneal inguinal hernia repair: a prospective study. Hernia 2011;15(4):399-402.

10. Bunting DM, Finlay IG. Laparoscopic repair of coexisting prevascular and obturator hernias. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2012;22(5):e304-6.

11. Tran HM, Tran K, Zajkowska M, Lam V, Hawthorne W. Single-incision laparoscopic intraperitoneal onlay mesh repair for the treatment of multiple recurrent inguinal hernias. JSLS 2014;18(3).

12. Matsevych OY, Koto MZ, Becker JHR. Multiple concurrent bilateral groin hernias in a single patient; a case report and a review of uncommon groin hernias: A possible source of persistent pain after successful repair. Int J Surg Case Rep 2016;29:204-7.

13. Hachisuka T. Femoral hernia repair. Surg Clin North Am 2003;83(5):1189-205.

14. Muzafer MH. Bilateral femoral hernia in a male. Br J Clin Pract 1988;42(6):252-3.

15. Patterson TJ, Beck J, Currie PJ, Spence RAJ, Spence G. Meta-analysis of patient-reported outcomes after laparoscopic versus open inguinal hernia repair. Br J Surg 2019;106(7):824-36.

16. Liem MS, van der Graaf Y, van Steensel CJ, Boelhouwer RU, Clevers GJ, Meijer WS, et al. Comparison of conventional anterior surgery and laparoscopic surgery for inguinal-hernia repair. N Engl J Med 1997;336(22):1541-7.

17. Roos MM, Verleisdonk EMM, Sanders FBM, Hoes AW, Stellato RK, Frederix GWJ, et al. Effectiveness of endoscopic totally extraperitoneal (TEP) hernia correction for clinically occult inguinal hernia (EFFECT): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2018;19(1):322.

18. Miyaki A, Yamaguchi K, Kishibe S, Ida A, Miyauchi T, Naritaka Y. Diagnosis of inguinal hernia by prone- vs. supine-position computed tomography. Hernia 2017;21(5):705-13.

Fig. 1 Abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography findings

1. External inguinal hernia in the right groin $(^{)}$. Noobvious hernias were found in the left side. (-) indicates the lower abdominal walk of the right group o

Fig. 2 Intraoperative findings

- 2. Inguinal and femoral hernias are observed on both sides (-).
- 2. An image of the right inguinal region after the preperitoneal cav-

has been removed. (-) indicates inner groin ring and

() indicates thigh ring. Image a fter placing the meshintheright groin. It covers the inner inguinal ring, Hesselbach's triangle of the string of the stri

3. An image of the left inguinal region after the preperitoneal cavity has been removed. (-) indicates inner groin

 $\label{eq:constraint} ring \ and \ (^{)} indicates thigh ring. Image a fter placing the meshinthele ftgroin. It covers the inner inguinal ring, Hesselbach's trianed \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf flace} a fter the inner inguinal ring and \ {\bf fter the inner inguina$

Hosted file

ity

Fig. 1.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/332724/articles/459144-a-caseof-bilateral-concomitant-inguinal-and-femoral-hernias-treated-with-transabdominalpreperitoneal-repair

Hosted file

Fig. 2.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/332724/articles/459144-a-caseof-bilateral-concomitant-inguinal-and-femoral-hernias-treated-with-transabdominalpreperitoneal-repair