

# Reconstruction of Hemimaxillectomy Defect with Buccal Fat Pad Flap, Iliac Bone Graft and Implant-Supported Dental Prostheses: A Case Report

Ali Hassani<sup>1</sup>, Mahboube Hasheminasab<sup>2</sup>, Nariman Nikparto<sup>2</sup>, and lotfollah kamali hakim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Islamic Azad University Tehran Medical Sciences

<sup>2</sup>Affiliation not available

April 28, 2020

## Abstract

Soft tissue, bone, and teeth reconstruction is a challenge in patients with maxillary defects due to surgical resections. This article presents a 21-year-old male patient with a history of hemimaxillectomy. Secondary reconstruction was performed using buccal fat pad flap, free iliac crest bone graft, and dental implants

## Main clinical message

In order to achieve a fixed implant supported prosthesis in a post-hemimaxillectomy patient, ideal soft and hard tissue rehabilitation is necessary. Here, a staged approach of soft tissue closure in two layers with local flaps followed by anterior iliac crest bone graft resulted in predictable and satisfactory outcome.

## Introduction

The maxillary bone has a key role in the midface by supporting the adjacent structures including orbit, nose, and palate. Thus, any defect in maxilla may result in functional and aesthetic impairments. Two main causes of maxillary defects include trauma and resection due to pathologic lesions.

Surgical and nonsurgical approaches have been proposed to reconstruct maxillary defects. Nonsurgical approaches, including conventional prosthetic obturators, have disadvantages including poor aesthetics, lack of retention and limited ability to regain normal function (1). Surgical treatment includes local flaps, including pedicled flaps from the buccal fat pad or temporalis muscle flaps, and free grafts with or without microvascular pedicle. Pedicled buccal fat pad flap (PBFPPF) is widely used for soft tissue closure in maxillary defects or oro-antral fistulas (OAF) (2). Re-epithelialization of this flap occurs with minimum complications (3). Furthermore, the iliac bone graft is one of the best options of choice for hard tissue reconstruction in the maxillofacial region, providing a sufficient cortico-cancellous bone for large defects (4).

This article presents a 21-year-old male with OAF and oro-nasal Fistula due to right-side palatal pleomorphic adenoma (PA) and subsequent hemimaxillectomy. The defect was reconstructed secondarily with PBFPPF and iliac bone graft followed by implant-supported prostheses.

## Case presentation:

The presented case is a 21-year-old male with a history of right-side palatal (PA) who had undergone subtotal maxillectomy in 2008, which resulted in a large communication between the oral and nasal cavities and maxillary sinus (figure 1) along with subsequent anesthetic problems. He used a partial removable denture to seal the gap and replace the lost teeth for 6 years. However, lack of stability and retention as

well as poor aesthetic were the drawbacks of the prosthetic treatment. He also complained about unstable occlusion, chronic soft tissue irritation, and inflammation, as well as the removable nature of the prosthesis.

In respect to his chief complaints, the treatment goal was to provide a fixed implant-supported prosthesis, for which soft and hard tissue reconstruction seemed necessary.

First, the soft tissue closure of the defect was obtained in two layers. The inner layer was nasal epithelium and a part of palatal mucosa, which was sutured to the sinus epithelium, and the outer layer was buccal fat pad, which was sutured to vascular-pedicle palatal full-thickness flap. A Tetracycline-mixed tie-over was placed as a dressing on the exposed bone for one week. (figure2).

Bone reconstruction was performed 7 months later. Prior to the surgery, a complete wax-up reconstruction of the defect was performed on stereolithography model and a dental splint was made to replicate the final prosthesis (figure 3). The recipient site was prepared by a dissection between the sinus lining mucosa and the palatal mucosa with Metzenbaum scissors through a palatal incision. Considering the defect size and the amount of corticocancellous bone needed, the anterior iliac crest was chosen as the donor site. A bone block of 40\*30\*13 millimeters (mm) was harvested and placed into the defect with regard to the dental splint. The graft was fixed with titanium mesh plates and screws. Tension-free soft tissue closure was also obtained (figure 4).

Five months later the titanium mesh and screws were removed and 4 dental implants (Dentium Co., Korea) were placed in the teeth position 2 (4.5\*14 mm), 3 (4.5\*10 mm), 7 (3.6\*14 mm) and 8 (4\*14 mm). Guided bone regeneration was also performed on buccal surfaces of 2 and 6 implants using allograft material and collagen membrane. Due to adequate primary stability, healing abutments were also placed (figure 5). Four months later, the definite fixed implant-supported prosthesis was delivered to the patient.

At the 24<sup>th</sup> month follow-up, no signs of pain or implants luxation, discharge or irritation of peri-implant soft tissue were noticed. No bleeding was observed on probation at the depth of 2 to 4 mm. Radiographic examination showed 1 mm bone loss around implants in the position of teeth number 7 and 8. (figure 6)

## DISCUSSION

Reconstruction of maxilla due to therapeutic maxillary resection is an ongoing clinical challenge. Palatal obturators are considered as the main nonsurgical treatment options for these palatal defects. However, the long-term use of these obturators is associated with food impaction, nasal speech, and mucosal irritation, while frequent prosthetic adjustments are also inevitable (5) (6). Instead, implant-supported prosthesis along with soft and hard tissue reconstruction using local or free flaps seem to be the promising options for these reconstructions (6) (7). This is especially true in young patients with an underlying systemic condition and no evidence of recurrence. However these treatments are time-consuming, require several surgeries and are accompanied by variable failure rates.

Several flaps have been suggested to reconstruct soft tissue in the literature. The PBFPF is widely used for soft tissue reconstructions of palatal defects due to its rich blood supply and undifferentiated mesenchymal stem cell content (8). Mesenchymal stem cells can act as an endothelial progenitor and promote tissue vascularization (9) (10). Furthermore, ease of access and manipulation are among other benefits of PBFPF flap. Moreover, in case of utilizing PBFPF, a proper soft tissue bed for a bone graft should also be obtained in order to eliminate the need for microvascular flaps.

Scapular flaps and fibula free grafts, other than iliac crest free grafts, are also proposed for hard tissue reconstruction. Since scapula provides a limited volume of bone for several dental implants in our case, this donor site was not chosen (11).

Although microvascular free grafts of fibula are considered the most successful treatment options for facial reconstructions (12), the relatively more complicated surgical procedure compared to iliac crest grafts led us to use free iliac graft, since anterior iliac crest also provides sufficient amount of corticocancellous bone to

bridge the defect and place dental implant (13). Furthermore, the PBFPG, which was placed in the defect prior to bone reconstruction, was a proper soft tissue bed for free iliac graft (14).

## Conclusion

Implant-supported fixed prosthesis is reported to improve stability, retention and aesthetic outcomes. For this purpose hard and soft tissue reconstruction of large defects is necessary. Proper reconstruction of the defects with various donor sites is encouraged to improve the quality of life of the patients.

## Abbreviations

PBFPF: Pedicled buccal fat pad flap

OAF: Oro-antral fistulas

PA: Pleomorphic adenoma

mm: Millimeters

## References

1. Futran ND, Mendez E. Developments in reconstruction of midface and maxilla. *The Lancet Oncology*. 2006;7(3):249-58.
2. Baumann A, Ewers R. Application of the buccal fat pad in oral reconstruction. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2000;58(4):389-92.
3. Kakibuchi M, Fujikawa M, Hosokawa K, Hikasa H, Kuwae K, Kawai K, et al. Functional reconstruction of maxilla with free latissimus dorsi-scapular osteomusculocutaneous flap. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2002;109(4):1238-44; discussion 45.
4. Almainian M, Al-Bargi HH, Manson P. Complication of anterior iliac bone graft harvesting in 372 adult patients from may 2006 to may 2011 and a literature review. *Craniofacial trauma & reconstruction*. 2013;6(4):257.
5. Futran ND, Wadsworth JT, Villaret D, Farwell DG. Midface reconstruction with the fibula free flap. *Archives of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery*. 2002;128(2):161-6.
6. Moreno MA, Skoracki RJ, Hanna EY, Hanasono MM. Microvascular free flap reconstruction versus palatal obturation for maxillectomy defects. *Head & neck*. 2010;32(7):860-8.
7. Bernhart BJ, Huryn JM, Disa J, Shah JP, Zlotolow IM. Hard palate resection, microvascular reconstruction, and prosthetic restoration: A 14-year retrospective analysis. *Head & Neck: Journal for the Sciences and Specialties of the Head and Neck*. 2003;25(8):671-80.
8. Farré-Guasch E, Martí-Pagès C, Hernández-Alfaro F, Klein-Nulend J, Casals N. Buccal fat pad, an oral access source of human adipose stem cells with potential for osteochondral tissue engineering: an in vitro study. *Tissue Engineering Part C: Methods*. 2010;16(5):1083-94.
9. Miranville A, Heeschen C, Sengenès C, Curat C, Busse R, Bouloumie A. Improvement of postnatal neovascularization by human adipose tissue-derived stem cells. *Circulation*. 2004;110(3):349-55.
10. Samman N, Cheung L, Tideman H. The buccal fat pad in oral reconstruction. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*. 1993;22(1):2-6.
11. Clark JR, Vesely M, Gilbert R. Scapular angle osteomyogenous flap in postmaxillectomy reconstruction: defect, reconstruction, shoulder function, and harvest technique. *Head & Neck: Journal for the Sciences and Specialties of the Head and Neck*. 2008;30(1):10-20.
12. Wijbenga JG, Schepers RH, Werker PM, Witjes MJ, Dijkstra PU. A systematic review of functional outcome and quality of life following reconstruction of maxillofacial defects using vascularized free fibula

flaps and dental rehabilitation reveals poor data quality. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery*. 2016;69(8):1024-36.

13. Fonseca RJ. *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery-E-Book: 3-Volume Set*: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2017.

14. Mertens C, Freudlsperger C, Bodem J, Engel M, Hoffmann J, Freier K. Reconstruction of the maxilla following hemimaxillectomy defects with scapular tip grafts and dental implants. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2016;44(11):1806-11.

Figure 1- Pre operation photography of the patient (profile of smile)

Figure 2- Soft tissue closure and 4 month follow up after surgery

Figure 3- Wax op on sterilitography

Figure 4- Bone graft

Figure 5- Implant insertion

Figure 6 – After 2 year follow up

The individual contributions for each author is as follows:

1. **Ali hassani:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources Supervision.
2. **Mahboube Hasheminasab:** Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources Supervision, Software Supervision, Writing - review & editing
3. **Nariman Nikparto:** Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing
4. **Lotfollah kamali hakim:** corresponding, Investigation, Validation, Writing - original draft











