Magnetic evidence for an extended hydrogen exosphere at Mercury

Daniel Schmid¹, Helmut Lammer², Ferdinand Plaschke³, Audrey Vorburger⁴, Nikolay Erkaev⁵, Peter Wurz⁴, Yasuhito Narita⁶, Martin Volwerk⁷, Wolfgang Baumjohann⁸, and Brian J. Anderson⁹

¹Space Research Institute Graz (IWF), Austrian Academy of Sciences (OeAW)
²Space Research Inst., Austrian Acad. Sciences
³IGEP, Technische Universität Braunschweig
⁴University of Bern
⁵Institute of Computational Modeling
⁶Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences
⁷Space Research Institute, OEAW
⁸Space Research Institute
⁹John Hopkins Univ.

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Remote observations by the Mariner10 and MESSENGER spacecraft have shown the existence of hydrogen in the exosphere of Mercury. However, to date the hydrogen number densities could only be estimated indirectly from exospheric models, based on the remotely observed Lyman-alpha radiances for atomic hydrogen (H), and the detection threshold of the Mariner10 occultation experiment for molecular hydrogen (H_2). Here we show the first on-site determined altitude-density profile of atomic H, derived from in-situ magnetic field observations by MESSENGER. The results reveal an extended H exosphere with densities that are ~1-2 orders of magnitude larger than previously predicted. Using an exospheric model that reproduces the H altitude-density profile, allows us to constrain the so far unknown H_2 density at the surface which is ~2-3 orders of magnitude smaller than previously assumed. These findings demonstrate the importance (1) of dissociation processes in Mercury's exosphere and (2) of in-situ measurements giving complementary evidence of processes to remote observations, that will be realized in the near future by the BepiColombo mission.

Magnetic evidence for an extended hydrogen exosphere at Mercury

D. Schmid¹, H. Lammer¹, F. Plaschke², A. Vorburger³, N. V. Erkaev⁴, P. Wurz³, Y. Narita¹, M. Volwerk¹, W. Baumjohann¹, and B. J. Anderson⁵

5	¹ Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstraße 6, 8042 Graz, Austria
6	² IGEP, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany
7	³ Physics Institute, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
8	⁴ Institute of Computational Modelling SB RAS, Krasnoyarsk, Russian Federation
9	⁵ The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, Maryland, USA

Corresponding author: D. Schmid, Daniel.Schmid@oeaw.ac.at

10 Abstract

Remote observations by the Mariner10 and MESSENGER spacecraft have shown the 11 existence of hydrogen in the exosphere of Mercury. However, to date the hydrogen 12 number densities could only be estimated indirectly from exospheric models, based on 13 the remotely observed Lyman- α radiances for atomic H, and the detection threshold of 14 the Mariner10 occultation experiment for molecular H₂. Here we show the first on-site 15 determined altitude-density profile of atomic H, derived from in-situ magnetic field 16 observations by MESSENGER. The results reveal an extended H exosphere with den-17 sities that are $\sim 1-2$ orders of magnitude larger than previously predicted. Using an 18 exospheric model that reproduces the H altitude-density profile, allows us to constrain 19 the so far unknown H₂ density at the surface which is $\sim 2-3$ orders of magnitude 20 smaller than previously assumed. These findings demonstrate the importance (1) of 21 dissociation processes in Mercury's exosphere and (2) of in-situ measurements giving 22 complementary evidence of processes to remote observations, that will be realized in 23 the near future by the BepiColombo mission. 24

25

²⁶ Index terms: 6235; 0328; 5421; 7837; 7823

Keywords: Mercury; pick-up ion cyclotron waves; hydrogen exosphere; hydrogen
 surface density

²⁹ 1 Plain language summary

Mercury has an exosphere that contains a variety of species. So far, only two 30 spacecraft have probed the space environment around Mercury: Mariner 10 in 1974, 31 and MESSENGER four decades later. Optical-observations found that Mercury has an 32 abundance of hydrogen in the exosphere. To date no in-situ measurements of hydrogen 33 are available to determine its exact number density: exospheric models that used the 34 optical observations as constrains could only estimate it. For the first time we derive 35 an in-situ density H profile from magnetic field measurements of MESSENGER. From 36 the observations of so-called pick-up ion cyclotron waves in the magnetic field data, 37 it is possible to derive the local H number density, necessary to excite these waves. 38 The results reveal an extended atomic H exosphere with densities decreasing from 39 $\sim 100 - 10 \,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$ between $2400 - 15000 \,\mathrm{km}$ above the surface. The unexpected large 40 H densities can only be explained by dissociation processes of H_2 molecules. Here 41 we introduce an exospheric model that includes such dissociation processes, which led 42 us for the first time also constrain the H_2 number density. The results suggests that 43 atomic H has additional sinks near the surface, most likely through chemical reactions 44 with OH and O, and that the photochemistry of H_2O in general play an important 45 role for Mercury's exospheric composition. 46

$_{47}$ 2 Introduction

Mercury, the innermost planet of our solar system, is surrounded by a tenuous 48 exosphere containing a variety of species that originate from the solar wind, microm-49 eteoroids and the planetary surface. Atomic hydrogen was one of the first exospheric 50 species detected by the Mariner 10 spacecraft in 1974, based on Lyman- α emissions 51 (Broadfoot et al., 1974). Four decades later the detection of the hydrogen exosphere 52 was confirmed by Ultraviolet Visible Spectrometer (UVVS (McClintock et al., 2007)) 53 observations of the MErcury Surface, Space Environment, GEophysics and Ranging 54 (MESSENGER (Solomon et al., 2007)) spacecraft (Vervack et al., 2009, 2010, 2016). 55 The measured radiances are related to the total number of Lyman- α photons emitted 56 along the line-of-sight, to obtain the respective column density of the emitting hydro-57 gen. By taking different lines-of-sight, it is possible to determine the exospheric hy-58 drogen column density as a function of altitude. However, to obtain hydrogen number 59

density profiles the measured column densities need to be compared with the output 60 of exospheric models (Chamberlain, 1963; Bishop and Chamberlain, 1989; Wurz and 61 Lammer, 2003; Killen et al., 2007; Mura et al., 2007; Wurz et al., 2010, 2019; Jones 62 et al., 2020). Early models that are fitted to the measured hydrogen radiances yield 63 maximum atomic (H) and molecular (H₂) hydrogen densities near Mercury's surface of 64 $< 1000 \,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$ and $< 2 \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{cm^{-3}}$, respectively (Kumar, 1976). Later studies derived 65 1-2 orders of magnitude lower H surface densities (Hunten et al., 1988; Vervack et al., 66 2009) and, based on the detection threshold of the Mariner 10 occultation experiment 67 (Boradfoot et al., 1976), an upper limit of the H₂ surface density of $\leq 1.4 \times 10^7$ cm⁻³ 68 (Hunten et al., 1988; Killen and Ip, 1999). 69

To date, however, the neutral hydrogen exosphere has been measured only on ba-70 sis of remote optical detections. In this study we determine for the first time the local H 71 density profile from in-situ (magnetic field) observations. We survey the magnetic field 72 data of MESSENGER in the solar wind for so called ion cyclotron waves (ICWs) which 73 were specifically generated by freshly ionized H atoms. As the neutral H atoms from 74 Mercury's exosphere become photoionized they start to gyrate around the background 75 magnetic field (IMF) and get picked-up by the solar wind. Since the velocity of the new 76 born planetary protons (couple of km/s) is very different from the solar wind velocity 77 (hundreds of km/s), the solar wind plasma becomes unstable to different plasma waves 78 via resonant and non-resonant instabilities (Gary et al., 1991). As has already been 79 shown at Mars and Venus, and since recently also at Mercury, most prominently ICWs 80 are excited by this instability (Mazelle et al., 2004; Russell, 2006; Delva et al., 2008; 81 Schmid et al., 2021). Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the ICWs generation 82 mechanism. ICWs are transverse electromagnetic waves near the proton cyclotron 83 frequency. They propagate nearly parallel to the background magnetic field and are 84 either left- or right-hand elliptically polarized: Theory suggests that left-hand polar-85 ized waves are produced by a perpendicular pick-up geometry (background magnetic 86 field perpendicular to the plasma flow). Right-hand polarized waves are produced by 87 a parallel pick-up geometry (background magnetic field parallel to the plasma flow) 88 (Wu and Davidson, 1972; Wu et al., 1973). In the solar wind mainly parallel pick-up 89 takes place, due to the small angle between the interplanetary magnetic field and the 90 solar wind streaming direction which is typically $\sim 30^{\circ}$ (James et al., 2017; Schmid 91 et al., 2021). The right-hand polarized waves propagate in sunward direction with a phase speed on the order of the Alfvén velocity ($v_{\rm A} = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}}$, with B the magnetic field strength, μ_0 the permeability of free space and ρ the mass density of the charged 92 93 94 particles in the plasma). That speed is slower than the solar wind velocity. Hence, 95 the waves are carried in anti-sunward direction over the spacecraft, thereby reversing 96 the right-hand polarization by the anomalous Doppler shift (Mazelle and Neubauer, 97 1993). Consequently, in the spacecraft frame a left-hand polarization is observed. In 98 that frame, the waves are shown to be always observed at the local ion gyrofrequency, 99 since the new-born exospheric ions have a negligible velocity relative to the spacecraft. 100 This immediately excludes confusion with ion cyclotron waves generated at the bow 101 shock by back-streaming solar wind protons, because those waves will be observed at 102 the spacecraft with frequencies very different from the local proton gyrofrequency due 103 to their large velocity relative to the spacecraft (Delva et al., 2008, 2011). 104

To identify ICWs that are specifically generated by the pick-up of freshly ionized 105 planetary hydrogen, we search for time intervals with large transverse magnetic field 106 fluctuations, which are left-hand polarized in the spacecraft frame, and close to the 107 local proton gyrofrequency. From the observed wave power of the identified ICWs we 108 are able to derive the local atomic H density, necessary to produce the observed waves 109 and obtain the first in-situ determined altitude density profile of hydrogen around Mer-110 cury. Based on the determined H density profile we also introduce an exospheric model 111 that includes hydrogen photochemistry that can explain the origin of the discovered 112



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the pick-up ion cyclotron generation mechanism. (1) the atomic hydrogen (H, gray dots) get ionized by photons from the sun (purple line); (2) the newborn ions (H⁺, blue) start to gyrate around the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF, green lines) and get picked-up; (3) In the solar wind frame of reference the freshly picked-up ions form a secondary distribution in velocity space that is highly unstable to the cyclotron wave instability and ion cyclotron waves (ICWs, orange lines) are excited.

extended atomic H exosphere and which allows us to constrain the so far unknown
 hydrogen density at the surface.

3 Materials and Methods

¹¹⁶ Ion cyclotron wave identification criteria

Our starting point is the recently published pick-up ion cyclotron wave (ICW) event list that consists of 5455 events in the space environment around Mercury (Schmid et al., 2021). To identify the pick-up generated ion cyclotron waves, they used the 20 Hz magnetic field observations of MESSENGER (Anderson et al., 2007; Solomon et al., 2007) between March 2011 and April 2015 and applied the following steps to a ~ 100 s long sliding interval:

- 1. Within each interval the magnetic field data are transformed into a mean-fieldaligned (MFA) coordinate system, where the parallel component, $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{||} = \mathbf{B}_0/|\mathbf{B}_0|$, is given by the average magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}_0 = [B_{\mathbf{x},0}, B_{\mathbf{y},0}, B_{\mathbf{z},0}]$, and the perpendicular components in this coordinate system are chosen to be $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\perp 2} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{||} \times [0, 0, 1]|$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\perp 1} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\perp 2} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{||}$.
- 2. Each interval (2048 datapoints) is split into 7 sub-intervals of ~ 30 s (512 datapoints) with 50% overlap. The magnetic field data of each sub-interval are Fourier transformed and the power spectral density matrix is evaluated.

- 3. The diagonal elements of the matrix give the in-phase power densities, par-131 allel (P_{\parallel}) and perpendicular $(P_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (P_{\perp 1} + P_{\perp 2}))$ to the mean magnetic 132 field (\mathbf{B}_0) . The off-diagonal elements of the matrix yield the out-of-phase cross 133 powers, i.e., the field rotation sense around the mean field. The complex off-134 diagonal elements of the spectral matrix are used to determine the ellipticity 135 and the handedness of the observed wave (Means et al., 1972; Fowler et al., 136 1967; Arthur et al., 1976; Samson and Olson, 1980). Negative/positive signs 137 refer to left/right-handed polarization of the wave in the spacecraft frame. 138
- 4. To evaluate the coherency between the input signals in a particular frequency range and to obtain how stable the components are in phase, the degree of polarization (DOP) of each sub-interval is determined. 100% indicates a pure state wave and values less than 70% indicate noise (Samson and Olson, 1980).

The arithmetic means of the obtained power densities and ellipticities of the 7 143 sub-intervals are calculated. A crucial condition for ion cyclotron waves generated by 144 local ion pick-up is that the observed wave frequency in the spacecraft frame is the 145 same as in the plasma frame (no Doppler-shift) and thus close to the local proton 146 gyrofrequency (Delva et al., 2008). To provide a reliable identification, we calculate 147 the proton gyrofrequency $f_{c,H^+} = qB_0/(2\pi m)$ and error range $\Delta f_{c,H^+} = q\sigma_B/(2\pi m)$, 148 with proton mass m, charge q and the average and standard deviation of the magnetic 149 field magnitude B_0 and σ_B , for each ~ 100 s time interval and apply the following 150 criteria in the frequency range $\Delta F = [0.8 \cdot (f_{c,H^+} - \Delta f_{c,H^+}), f_{c,H^+} + \Delta f_{c,H^+}]$: 151

- The power density per component is integrated in the frequency range ΔF to account for power maxima just below the calculated gyro frequency. The ratio between the integrated perpendicular E_{\perp} and parallel fluctuations $E_{||}$ is evaluated and needs to be larger than 5: $E_{\perp}/E_{||} > 5$.
- Within ΔF the ellipticity ϵ should be smaller than -0.5, to ensure a left-handed polarization of the observed wave.
- The degree of polarization DOP of all sub-intervals is required to be larger than 0.7 within ΔF , to maintain large coherency of the observed wave and that the signal-to-noise ratio is high.
- 160 161 162

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

• The maximum of the perpendicular fluctuating field P_{\perp} is within the limits of ΔF , to ensure that the observed wave is dominated by the ion cyclotron mode.

Figure 2 depicts an example of an identified ion cyclotron wave. Panel S1(a) 163 shows the magnetic field observation in mean-field-aligned (MFA) coordinates. The 164 two perpendicular components (red and blue) are coherent and their fluctuations dom-165 inate over the parallel magnetic field variations (green). This can be also seen in Panel 166 S1(b) where the perpendicular component of the power spectral density (red) pre-167 vails over the parallel component (green), indicating that the observed wave is rather 168 transverse than compressional around the proton cyclotron frequency $f_{c,H^+} = 0.43 \text{ Hz}$ 169 (marked as solid black line). The area between the two dashed lines illustrates the 170 integration frequency range ΔF , used to evaluate the power densities, ellipticity and 171 degree of polarization. Panel S1(c) shows the hodogram in each plane of the MFA co-172 ordinate system for the time interval from Panel S1(a). The observed wave is almost 173 circularly left-hand polarized with an estimated ellipticity of ~ -0.70 . 174

For this study only those time intervals when MESSENGER was located in the solar wind are preselected. Utilizing an extended boundary dataset (Winslow et al., 2013; Philpott et al., 2020), 3969 (of 5455) time intervals were allocated in the solar wind.



Figure 2. Example of an identified ion cyclotron wave (ICW). Panel (a) shows the magnetic field observations in mean-field-aligned (MFA) coordinates, panel (b) the power spectrum, and panel (c) hodograms of the perpendicular and parallel magnetic field components.

¹⁷⁹ Injection velocity estimate

Due to the limitation of the plasma measurements of MESSENGER, we use a 180 solar wind propagation model (Tao et al., 2005) (provided by the AMDA database) 181 that was successfully applied for Mercury (Schmid et al., 2021) to get an approximate 182 estimate of the plasma density $n_{\rm SW}$ and velocity $V_{\rm SW}$ of the solar wind during the 183 ICW observation period. As the injection velocity (\mathbf{V}_{inj}) we use the aberrated solar 184 velocity (\mathbf{V}_{SW}) , modified by the orbital motion of Mercury $(\mathbf{V}_{Mercury})$ as provided 185 by the Navigation and Ancillary Information Facility (NAIF, (Acton, 1996)) $\mathbf{V}_{inj} =$ 186 $-\mathbf{V}_{SW} + \mathbf{V}_{Mercurv}$. The injection velocity vector is also used to determine the solar 187 zenith angle (SZA), which is used to select events dayside of the terminator (SZA <188 90°), to maintain that the observed waves are freshly generated from local ion pick-up 189 and to omit waves that might already diminish (Delva et al., 2009). From the 3969 190 preselected time intervals, 2247 pertain to observations dayside of the terminator. 191 These 2247 ICWs finally constitute the dataset for this study. 192

193 4 Results

Based on an automatic search algorithm, with specific selection criteria for ICWs generated by the pick-up of planetary protons, we identify 2247 ICWs during 4 years of MESSENGER solar wind observations upstream of the Mercury terminator (Schmid et al., 2021). These 2247 ICWs yield the basis for this study.

ICWs should be generated locally through initial ionization of the neutral atomic 198 H. To test this, we transform the observation locations into electromagnetic coordi-199 nates to examine possible asymmetries with respect to the convection electric field. 200 ICWs propagate with speeds that are on the order of (or lower than) the local Alfvén 201 velocity (V_A) , which should be much lower than the injection speed (V_{inj}) (Delva et al., 202 2009). Thus, we also evaluate the $V_{\rm A}/V_{\rm inj}$ ratios during the ICWs detection. Since the 203 initial velocity of the neutral hydrogen before ionization is negligible in the planetary 204 frame, we assume that the injection velocity of the new-born exospheric ions dirctly 205 corresponds to the aberrated solar wind velocity in the solar wind frame of reference. 206

Figure 3(a) shows the position of the 2247 ICWs in the local electromagnetic 207 coordinate system: $X_{\rm MBE}$ points sunward, opposite to the aberrated solar wind ve-208 locity $(\mathbf{V}_{ini}), Y_{MBE}$ is positive in the direction of the background magnetic field (\mathbf{B}_0) 209 component, perpendicular to X_{MBE} , and the Z_{MBE} axis is positive in the direction of 210 the convection electric field ($\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{V}_{inj} \times \mathbf{B}_0$). There are several indications in Fig. 211 3(a) that the observed ICWs are generated locally: (1) ICWs occur at large posi-212 tive X_{MBE} , far from the planet, suggesting that the ICWs should have propagated 213 against the solar wind flow with a velocity faster than the solar wind speed, which is 214 very unlikely. (2) ICWs are evenly distributed between $\pm Z_{\text{MBE}}$ and since there is no 215 known mechanism to move ions across the magnetic field against the electric field into 216 the negative motional electric field region, the ICWs needed to be generated locally 217 (Delva et al., 2008). Panel (b) depicts the normalized occurrence rate of the estimated 218 $V_{\rm A}/V_{\rm ini}$ ratios. The histogram confirms the second assumption: The Alfvén velocity 219 is significantly smaller than the injection velocity of the new-born exospheric ions into 220 the background (solar wind) plasma. From the results in Fig. 3 we conclude that the 221 underlying assumptions for reliable density estimations are fulfilled. 222

From the observed wave power of the ICWs we derive the required pick-up proton densities in the same way as previous studies did at Venus (Delva et al., 2009): The total free energy, E_{free} , which is required to excite cyclotron waves from a pick-up ion ring-beam distribution is approximately given by (Huddleston and Johnstone, 1992):

$$E_{\rm free} = \frac{1}{4} m_{\rm i} n_{\rm H^+} V_{\rm A} V_{\rm inj} \left[(1 + \cos(\alpha))^2 + (1 - \cos(\alpha))^2 \right].$$
(1)



Figure 3. Observationally evidence that the ion cyclotron waves are locally generated from the pick-up of newborn ions. Panel (a) shows the position of the 2247 ion cyclotron waves (ICWs) in electromagnetic coordinates: X_{MBE} points sunward opposite to the injection velocity \mathbf{V}_{inj} , Y_{MBE} is positive in direction of the mean magnetic field \mathbf{B}_0 component perpendicular to X_{MBE} , and the Z_{MBE} axis is positive in direction of the convection electric field $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{V}_{\text{inj}} \times \mathbf{B}_0$. Panel (b) shows the normalized occurrence rate of the ratio between the local Alfvén and injection velocity (V_A/V_{inj}) during the ICW observation.

Here, m_i and n_{H^+} are the mass and density of the pick-up ions, V_A is the local Alfvén 227 velocity, calculated from the modeled solar wind density and the in-situ magnetic 228 field measurements, V_{inj} is the plasma injection velocity and $\alpha(\mathbf{V}_{inj}, \mathbf{B}_0)$ is the pitch 229 angle between the plasma injection velocity and background magnetic field. Inverting 230 Eq.1 for $n_{\rm H^+}$ yields the pick-up ion density, under the assumption that the entire 231 free energy of the ring-beam distribution is transferred to the wave and corresponds 232 to the observed ICW energy, $E_{\rm free} = \int_{\Delta F} P_{\perp} df$ (Delva et al., 2009); $n_{\rm H^+}$ can thus 233 be understood as a lower limit for lower energy transfer rates. Hybrid simulations 234 have shown that the ICWs grow rapidly until a quasi-steady level is reached after 235 60 - 100 ion gyrations (Cowee et al., 2012). It should be mentioned that simulations 236 assume specific seed particle distributions which develop in time in an isolated system. 237 Under quasi-stationary conditions that the freshly produced (through photoionization) 238 and lost (to the solar wind) ions are in equilibrium, however, the characteristic time 239 $(2\pi f_{c,H^+} \cdot t)$ of 60 – 100 ion gyrations until the ICWs fully develop, can be directly 240 applied to an open system as is the case here. Based on the (conservative) assumption 241 that the full energy transfer from the ions to the waves takes 100 gyro periods, the pick-242 up ion density in this time should be balanced by the ion production rate, which can 243 be estimated by multiplying the neutral hydrogen density $n_{\rm H}$ with the photoionization 244 rate ν : 245

$$\frac{n_{\rm H^+} 2\pi f_{\rm H^+}}{100} = n_{\rm H}\nu,\tag{2}$$

with $n_{\rm H^+}$ being the estimated pick-up ion density from Eq. 1 and $f_{\rm H^+}$ the gyrofre-246 quency. The photoionization rate varies significantly with the solar activity and the 247 radial distance of Mercury to the Sun. Therefore, we modified the ionization rate 248 according to the Flare Irradiance Spectral Model (FISM-P, (chamberlain et al., 2008)) 249 of Mercury, which reflects the solar activity, and the radial distance of Mercury to 250 the sun during the ICW observations: First we normalized the FISM-P irradiance be-251 tween [0, 1], where 0 corresponds to $0.028 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}s^{-1}nm^{-1}}$ and 1 to $0.1 \,\mathrm{Wm^{-2}s^{-1}nm^{-1}}$ 252 (which in turn corresponds to the minimum and maximum spectral irradiance index 253 at 121.5 nm during solar cycle 24). Based on the normalized FISM-P irradiance index 254 we interpolate the corresponding photoionization rate at Earth's orbit between mini-255 mum $7.26 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (quiet Sun = 0) and maximum $1.72 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (active Sun = 1) 256 (Huebner and Mukherjee, 2015). In the last step, we rescale this ionization rate from 257 Earth's orbit (1 AU) to the square of the distance of Mercury during the ICW ob-258 servation $(0.31 - 0.47 \,\mathrm{AU})$. Figure 4 shows the radial dependence of the estimated 259 H number density. The radial distance is given from the planet's center in Mercury 260 radii $(R_{\rm M} = 2440 \,\rm{km})$ (top axis), and from the surface (bottom axis). The blue boxes 261 represent the the median number densities of H and the upper/lower quartiles. The 262 black error bars are the maximum and minimum densities within the $0.5 R_{\rm M}$ bins. 263 The obtained medians decrease from $\sim 100 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ to $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ between $2 R_{\mathrm{M}}$ and 264 7.5 $R_{\rm M}$. The relationship is approximately logarithmic between number density and 265 radial distance. Although the number densities from individual ICW events can vary 266 considerably within the bins (see error bars), the differences between the upper and 267 lower quartiles are small. 268

Interestingly, the obtained H density profile follows the trend of exospheric Monte 269 Carlo models, which have successfully been applied in previous studies (Wurz and 270 Lammer, 2003; Wurz et al., 2010; Pfleger et al., 2015), but are 1-2 orders of magnitude 271 larger and lie in-between the atomic (H) and molecular (H_2) profiles of these models 272 (see e.g Fig. 3 in (Wurz et al., 2019)). This might indicate that dissociation processes, 273 which have not been included in the models, may play an important role in Mercury's 274 exospheric composition. The dashed green line in Fig. 4 show the result of the H_2 275 profile that is modeled with a kinetic Monte Carlo model (see Appendix A) for the 276 commonly assumed surface number density of 1.4×10^7 cm⁻³, given by the upper limits 277 derived from the detection threshold of the Mariner 10 occultation experiment (Hunten 278 et al., 1988; Killen and Ip, 1999). From this H_2 profile we model the corresponding 279 ionization, dissociation and recombination products that result in H_2^+ , H^+ and H 280 atoms (details of the model are given in Appendix A). The solid green line shows the 281 resulting H density profile originating from the dissociated H_2 molecules, which are 282 most likely the major source for H atoms at altitudes that are $> 1.5 R_{\rm M}$. Although the 283 modeled density profile reproduces the trend of the altitude H density profile obtained 284 from the in-situ ICW observations, the modeled densities are still higher, indicating 285 that the H₂ surface density should be lower than $\sim 1.4 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. The best results 286 that reproduce the inferred H densities from the ICW observations are obtained for a 287 H_2 surface density of ~ 8 × 10⁴ cm⁻³ (dashed red line). The red lines correspond to 288 the H₂ and H profiles based on this lower H₂ surface density which is $\sim 2-3$ orders 289 of magnitude lower than the previously assumed surface density of $\leq 1.4 \times 10^7 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. 290

²⁹¹ 5 Conclusions and discussion

²⁹² So far, only remote measurements of hydrogen Lyman- α emissions have been ²⁹³ used to evaluate the hydrogen exosphere at Mercury. In this study we present for the ²⁹⁴ first time the number density profile of hydrogen in Mercury's exosphere, based on in-



Figure 4. Altitude density profile of hydrogen around Mercury. Boxplot of the estimated hydrogen densities as a function of the radial observation distance. The black error bar indicate the minimum and maximum number density within each $0.5 R_{\rm M}$ bin. The boxes indicate the lower and upper quartiles and the horizontal blue lines the median number density of each bin. The gray dots depict the derived hydrogen number densities of the 2247 ICW events. The green and red lines are the simulation results obtained from an exospheric model of atomic H (solid lines) that originate from dissociation of H₂ molecules (dashed lines), based on H₂ surface number densities of $1.4 \times 10^7 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$ (green lines) and $8 \times 10^4 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$ (red lines), respectively.

situ magnetic field measurements of ion cyclotron waves (ICWs) by the MESSENGER
 spacecraft.

For this study we assume that the observed ICW wave energy exactly corresponds 297 to the free energy in the ring-beam distribution of the pick-up ions (Huddleston and 298 Johnstone, 1992). Simulations, however, show that the energy transfer from the ions 299 to the waves might be 3-4 times smaller, because the energy is distributed between 300 wave growth and ion heating (Cowee et al., 2007). Consequently, the number den-301 sities obtained in this study might be underestimated. The variability of the energy 302 transfer efficiency might also result in the broad distribution of estimated number 303 densities at similar radial radial distances from Mercury (see black error bars in Fig. 304 4). However, these simulations only consider a rather perpendicular pick-up geom-305 etry with only small parallel relative drift velocities. Due to the small cone angle 306 between the interplanetary magnetic field and solar wind velocity, the ICWs used in 307 this study are rather generated under quasi-parallel pick-up configurations (Schmid 308

et al., 2021). Theoretical studies on the growth rates for parallel and perpendicular 309 pick-up geometries suggest that perpendicular picked-up ions produce ICWs with low 310 growth rates and that parallel picked-up ions generate ICWs with large growth rates 311 (Wu and Davidson, 1972; Wu et al., 1973). Therefore, the observed ICWs are most 312 likely fully developed in less than the assumed 100 ion gyro periods. Together with the 313 assumption that all of the free energy from the pick-up ions is transferred to the ICW 314 the derived H density may thus be understood as a lower limit, but is still larger than 315 predicted by recent exospheric models, which assume a thermal H atom population 316 with dayside H atom surface densities of $23 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ (Hunten et al., 1988; Killen and Ip, 317 1999). 318

In order to make an quantitative statement on the reliability of the estimated 319 hydrogen densities in this study, we transform them to Lyman- α radiances and com-320 pare them to the previously detected radiances of MESSENGER and Mariner 10. 321 The radiance R is given in rayleight by $4\pi R = g \cdot N/10^6$, where N is the inte-322 grated column density along the line-of-sight in $\operatorname{atoms} \operatorname{cm}^{-2}$ and g the photon scat-323 tering coefficient (referred to as g-value). The g-value for hydrogen at Mercury is 324 5.3×10^{-3} photons atoms⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Hunten et al., 1988). When the exosphere of Mer-325 cury is viewed externally along the line-of-sight of the spacecraft that is tangent to a 326 spherical shell of radius r (i.e. radial vector form the center of the planet), the column 327 density N at this position can be expressed in terms of the local scale height h and the 328 local density of scattering hydrogen atoms $n_{\rm H}$ with $N = n_{\rm H} \cdot \sqrt{2\pi \cdot h \cdot r}$ (Chamberlain 329 and Hunten, 1987). h can be directly obtained from Fig. 4 by e-folding of the hydrogen 330 density $n_{\rm H}$ at position r. Based on this simple approach we are able to transform the 331 estimated hydrogen densities $n_{\rm H}$ to their Lyman- α radiances at various altitudes and 332 thus obtain the expected airglow around Mercury. The blue line in Fig. 5 shows the 333 Lyman- α radiances obtained from the median (blue dots), upper and lower quartile 334 (blue errorbar) of the observed hydrogen densities in Fig. 4. The gray and black dots 335 indicate the Lyman- α observations of MESSENGER and Mariner 10 during their fly-336 bys (Vervack et al., 2011; Ishak, 2019). Although the hydrogen densities derived from 337 the ICW observations are more than one order of magnitude larger than estimated by 338 previous models, they are still in good agreement with the upper limits of the Lyman-339 α radiances measured by MESSENGER and Mariner 10, suggesting that the derived 340 densities are in the correct order of magnitude. 341

A number of exospheric models and computational simulations have been de-342 veloped to explain these highly complex and interrelated source and loss processes to 343 understand the composition of Mercury's exosphere (Wurz and Lammer, 2003; Killen 344 et al., 2007; Mura et al., 2007; Wurz et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2020). Concerning 345 hydrogen in Mercury's exosphere, H⁺ ions that originate in the solar wind, and a frac-346 tion of ionized exospheric H atoms that are accelerated in Mercury's magnetosphere 347 (Anderson et al., 2011) and backscattered to the surface will partly diffuse and via 348 recombinative desorption degasing to the exosphere mainly as H_2 molecules (Hunten 349 et al., 1988; Potter, 1995; Tucker et al., 2019), while another part will produce H_2O 350 from reactions with OH groups on or within the H-saturated regolith grain interfaces. 351 The grains are saturated with solar wind H, thus the H_2 recombination might happen 352 in the grains as well, and the H_2 will diffuse out, since it is chemically less bound to 353 the mineral than the H atom (Jones et al., 2020). Thus, H₂ formation competes with 354 the production of OH and H_2O in the regolith. In experiments it is found that on the 355 Moon only $\sim 2\%$ of the implanted H⁺ is released as H₂ (Crandall et al., 2019). How-356 ever, on the Moon the OH is only observed at higher latitudes, where the temperature 357 is low enough. On Mercury's dayside the temperature is too high that this process 358 becomes important, but close to the terminator the temperature is cooler where this 359 might happen. Although the solar wind proton flux is higher at Mercury's orbit com-360 pared to the Moon at 1 AU, the planets magnetosphere protects large areas from solar 361 wind precipitation, which may indicate that the H_2 formation in the surface is also a 362



Figure 5. Airglow of hydrogen Lyman- α radiances around Mercury. The blue line shows the Lyman- α radiances obtained from the median (blue dot), upper and lower quartile (blue errorbar) of the hydrogen densities boxplot in Fig. 4. The gray and black dots show the Lyman- α observations of MESSENGER and Mariner 10 during their flybys (Vervack et al., 2011; Ishak, 2019).

not very efficient process (Hunten et al., 1988). Therefore, these studies suggested that 363 photolysis of exospheric OH and H_2O molecules, stemming from the bombardment of 364 micrometeoroids (Boradfoot et al., 1976; Hunten et al., 1988; Killen et al., 1997; Killen 365 and Ip, 1999), may be a much more efficient source of H_2 near the surface than chem-366 ical reactions in the surface (Jones et al., 2020). Note that these micrometeoroids 367 are smaller and more common in comparison to the large meteoroids, which might 368 yield transient enhancements of the exosphere at high altitudes (Mangano et al., 2007; 369 Jasinski et al., 2020). 370

One can estimate the H₂O density at Mercury's surface by using the estimated 371 flux of $1 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ of H₂O from the vaporization of micrometeoroids (Killen et al., 372 1997). If we scale the H_2O photodissociation time for average solar activity at 1 AU 373 (Huebner and Mukherjee, 2015) to Mercury's average orbital distance of 0.38 AU, we 374 obtain $\sim 10^4$ s. The average micrometeorid related column density of H₂O is then 1 × 375 $10^{12} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. By using an average temperature of 4000 K for the ejecta gas/water vapor 376 (Wurz and Lammer, 2003) one obtains a scale height for micrometeorid related H_2O 377 vapor of ~ 500 km. This would yield a surface number density of 2×10^4 cm⁻³. This 378 value is lower than the upper limit of possible H_2O surface density of $1.5 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, 379 estimated by earlier studies (Hunten et al., 1988). However, there will also be a thermal 380 H_2O population on Mercury, that is produced by surface reactions and evaporation 381 from ice deposits on the nightside or from the planet's interior (Hunten et al., 1988; 382 Killen et al., 1997, 1997; Moses et al., 1999; Deutsch et al., 2019). H_2O molecules will 383 be dissociated in H and OH and $\sim 13\%$ will yield H₂ and O atoms (Gombosi et al., 384 1986; Hunten et al., 1988). Photochemical reactions most likely enhance the lifetime 385 of H₂O molecules near Mercury's surface by ~ 8 times (Hunten et al., 1988). 386

Moreover, a fraction of OH will be adsorbed at the surface where it also reacts 387 with H so that H_2O can be recycled near the surface too. Previous studies showed that 388 the number density of thermally released H_2O molecules decreases fast to negligible 389 values above $1.3 R_{\rm M}$. The hotter micrometeoroid-related H₂O population reaches $2 R_{\rm M}$ 390 with a number density of a few cm^{-3} . Because of the decreasing availability of OH 391 and O molecules at these distances, H atoms that will be produced via dissociation 392 of H₂ molecules will not be efficiently removed by photochemical processes with these 393 molecules. Although, H atoms (that are produced from H_2O molecules that originate 394 from vaporized micrometeoroids) may contribute to the atomic H number densities, 395 which we have inferred from the ICW observations upstream of the bow shock in the 396 solar wind, we expect that the main source of our derived H number densities between 397 $2 - 8 R_{\rm M}$ is the dissociation of H₂ molecules. 398

The simulation output that reproduces the observationally derived H density at 399 distances that are > 1.5 $R_{\rm M}$ best, yields a H₂ surface density of ~ 8 × 10⁴ cm⁻³. Such 400 an H₂ surface density yields a modeled atomic H density of $100 - 10 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ between 401 $2 - 8 R_{\rm M}$ (solid red line in Fig. 4) with an escape rate of dissociated H atoms of 402 $\sim 6 \times 10^{25} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. From our analysis, we can therefore constrain the so far unknown 403 and overestimated H₂ surface number density to $\sim 8 \times 10^4 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. This value allows 404 us to study in the future the details of the solar wind implantation into Mercury's 405 regolith that leads to H, H₂, OH, H₂O production and exospheric release as well as 406 H_2O photochemistry in the exosphere. It will give us the opportunity to investigate 407 and separate the H_2O sources and sinks on the innermost planet of the solar system. 408 We expect that future measurements by the BepiColombo mission, in particular by 409 the STROFIO and PICAM instruments of the SERENA package (Orsini et al., 2021a, 410 2021b), will help refine our knowledge about Mercury's exosphere. 411

⁴¹² Appendix A Exospheric H_2 , H modeling

In this study we apply an exospheric Monte Carlo model that was successfully 413 applied in previous studies related to Mercury's exosphere (Wurz and Lammer, 2003; 414 Wurz et al., 2010; Pfleger et al., 2015). The Monte Carlo model assumes angular 415 and velocity distributions at the surface in three dimensions as prescribed by a release 416 process. Here we assume that the H_2 molecules are thermalized near the surface so 417 that they result in a thermal distribution with a corresponding surface temperature of 418 $638.74 \,\mathrm{K}$. The H₂ molecules follow individual trajectories through the exosphere until 419 420 the molecules are ionized, dissociated, hit the surface or are lost from Mercury's gravity field. For integrating a system of ordinary equations for dissociation and ionization 421 from the planetary surface up to 8 Mercury radii, we use the obtained loss rate L, that 422 can also be expressed by a modified Jeans escape formula that is based on a shifted 423 Maxwellian particle distribution 424

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{k_{\rm B}T_0}{2m_{\rm H_2}}} \frac{N_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} F(u), \quad F(u) = \int_{\sqrt{\lambda}}^{\infty} \left[1 - (1 - 2uv)e^{2uv}\right] \frac{v}{u^2} e^{-v^2 - u^2} \, dv. \tag{A1}$$

Here, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, $m_{\rm H_2}$ the mass of molecular hydrogen and T_0 and N_0 are the surface temperature and density. F(u) is the velocity distribution function, with u the mean velocity normalized to the local thermal speed $\sqrt{2k_{\rm B}\frac{T_0}{m_{\rm H_2}}}$, determined by solving u = F(u). In the limit u = 0, the function F(u) is reduced to $F(u) = (1 + \lambda)e^{-\lambda}$, which yields the usual Jeans escape formula with λ the Jeans parameter given by $(GMm_{\rm H_2})/(k_{\rm B}T_0R_{\rm M})$. Here, G is the gravitational constant and M and $R_{\rm M}$ are Mercury's mass and radius.

The H, H⁺ and H₂⁺ profiles were obtained after integrating the system of ordinary equations for ionization, dissociation and recombination, which can be written as

$$\frac{1}{r^2} L \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{\mathrm{d}r} = \alpha_2 \xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+} \rho^2 (\xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+} + 2\xi_{\mathrm{H}^+}) + \nu_{\mathrm{d}} (1 - s - \xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+}) - 2\alpha_3 (s - \xi_{\mathrm{H}^+})^2 (s + 1) \rho^3$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2} L \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+}}{\mathrm{d}r} = \nu_{\mathrm{i}2} \rho (1 - s - \xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+}) - \alpha_2 \xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+} (\xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+} + 2\xi_{\mathrm{H}^+}) \rho^2$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2} L \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{\mathrm{H}^+}}{\mathrm{d}r} = \nu_{\mathrm{i}1} \rho (s - \xi_{\mathrm{H}^+}) - \alpha_1 \xi_{\mathrm{H}^+} (\xi_{\mathrm{H}_2^+} + 2\xi_{\mathrm{H}^+}) \rho^2$$
(A2)

Although, atom recombination has only a small influence in the results, in accordance to previous works (Yelle, 2004; Tian et al., 2008; Erkaev et al., 2016, 2017) the process is included and treated as a three body reaction $H + H + M \rightarrow H_2 + M$. Eqs. A2 were solved in normalized quantities and the distance r from the planetary center is normalized to R_p . L is normalized to $(N_0 m_{H_2} V_{T_0} R_p^2)$, where $V_{T_0} = \sqrt{k_B T_0/m_H}$, N_0 is the H_2 number density at the planetary surface. The total density ρ is normalized to $(N_0 m_{H_2})$. The normalized rates with the normalization factor in brackets can be written as

$$\nu_{d} = 6.103 \cdot 10^{-7} (R_{p}/V_{T_{0}})$$

$$\nu_{i1} = 8.623 \cdot 10^{-7} (R_{p}/V_{T_{0}})$$

$$\nu_{i2} = 5.537 \cdot 10^{-7} (R_{p}/V_{T_{0}})$$

$$\alpha_{1} = 4 \cdot 10^{-12} (300/T_{0})^{0.64} (N_{0}R_{p}/V_{T_{0}})$$

$$\alpha_{2} = 2.3 \cdot 10^{-8} (300/T_{0})^{0.4} (N_{0}R_{p}/V_{T_{0}})$$

$$\alpha_{3} = 5.7 \cdot 10^{-32} (300/T_{0})^{1.6} (2N_{0}^{2}R_{p}/V_{T_{0}})$$
(A3)

Here, ρ is the total mass density, s is the ratio of the atomic (H + H⁺) and total mass 442 density, ξ_{H^+} , $\xi_{H^+_2}$ are the mass fractions of the atomic (H⁺) and molecular (H⁺₂) ions, 443 α_1, α_2 and α_3 are the coefficients of recombination $(H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H), (H_2^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2)$ 444 and $(H+H \rightarrow H_2)$, respectively, and ν_{i1} , ν_{i2} and ν_d are rates of photoionization of H, H₂ 445 and dissociation, respectively. Note that the ionization and dissociation rates of H and 446 H_2 correspond to the average values over all 2247 ICW events. The H_2 photoionization 447 and dissociation rates were calculated for each event separately according to the Flare 448 Irradiance Spectral Model (FISM-P, (chamberlain et al., 2008)) and radial distance 449 from Mercury to the Sun during the event observation (see also section: Hydrogen 450 density estimate from ICW observation), and that the recombination coefficients are 451 taken from previous publications (Johnstone et al., 2015; Yelle, 2004). 452

From the studied hydrogen-bearing species, molecular hydrogen is considered to be the major constituent and thus the total mass density is assumed to be approximately equal to that of the H₂ density.

⁴⁵⁶ We further determine the density ρ -function by interpolating the H₂ profile from ⁴⁵⁷ Monte Carlo simulation H₂ results. Using the determined loss rate *L* and density ρ , ⁴⁵⁸ we integrate the system of Eqs. A2 with respect to quantities ξ_{H^+} , $\xi_{H_2^+}$ and *s*, which ⁴⁵⁹ finally yields the radial distributions of the dissociated atomic hydrogen and ionized ⁴⁶⁰ particles.

461 Acknowledgments

⁴⁶² DS like to thank M. Delva for fruitful discussions on pick-up ion cyclotron waves and
 ⁴⁶³ acknowledge the support from the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) ASAP
 ⁴⁶⁴ MERMAG-4 under contract 865967.

Author contributions DS initiated this study, did the analysis, and wrote the 465 paper. HL gave the initial idea of the exospheric model, used to explain the observa-466 tionally derived results for constraining Mercruy's molecular hydrogen surface density, 467 and contributed to writing and editing the manuscript. FP and MV contributed to the 468 analysis, the interpretation of the results, and helped editing the paper. NVE and AV 469 set up the simulations and contributed the simulation results. WB, YN and PW gave 470 valuable input to the manuscript and helped evaluating the paper. BJA guaranteed 471 the quality of magnetic field data of MESSENGER. 472

Data availability The magnetic field (MAG) data from the MESSENGER space craft are public available at the NASA Planetary Data System (PDS) and can be re trieved on their website (https://pds-ppi.igpp.ucla.edu/search/view/?f=yes&id=
 pds://PPI/MESS-E_V_H_SW-MAG-4-SUMM-CALIBRATED-V1.0/DATA/MS0).

⁴⁷⁷ The numerical results in Fig. 4 are produced by the model described in Appendix A.

The solar wind density and velocity data were obtained from the AMDA database
(http://amda.cdpp.eu/). All data are open-access and can be downloaded on their
website via the Workspace Explorer under: Solar Wind Propagation Models/Mercury/Tao
Model/SW/Input OMNI.

The orbital motion of Mercury were retrieved from the Navigation and Ancillary Information Facility (NAIF), publicly accessible on the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) webpage (https://wgc.jpl.nasa.gov:8443/webgeocalc/#StateVector).

The solar spectral irradiance to determine the solar acitvity during the event obsevations were obtained from the Flare Irradiance Spectral Model for Mercruy (FISM-P, (chamberlain et al., 2008)), provided by the LASP Interactive Solar iRradiance Datacenter (LISIRD), publicly accessible on their webpage (https://lasp.colorado.edu/
 lisird/data/fism_p_ssi_mercury/).

490 **References**

508

- B. J. Anderson, M. H. Acuña, D. Lohr, J. Scheifele, A. Raval, H. Korth, and
 J. Slavin. The Magnetometer Instrument on MESSENGER. Space Sci. Rev., 131:417-450, 2007.
- B. J. Anderson, C. L. Johnson, H. Korth, M. E. Purucker, R. M. Winslow, J. A.
 Slavin, S. C. Solomon, R. L. McNutt, J. M. Raines, and T. H. Zurbuchen. The
 Global Magnetic Field of Mercury from MESSENGER Orbital Observations. *Science*, 333(6051):1859–1862, 2011.
- C. H. Acton. Ancillary data services of NASA's Navigation and Ancillary Informa tion Facility. *Planet. Space Sci.*, 44(1):65–70, 1996.
- C. W. Arthur, R. L. McPherron, and J. D. Means. A comparative study of three techniques for using the spectral matrix in wave analysis. *Radio Science*, 11(10):833–845, 1976.
- J. Bishop and J. W. Chamberlain. Radiation pressure dynamics in planetary exospheres: A "natural" framework. *Icarus*, 81(1"):145–163, 1989.
- A. L. Broadfoot, S. Kumar, M. J. S. Belton, and M. B. McElroy. Mercury's Atmo sphere from Mariner 10: Preliminary Results. Science, 185(4146):166–169,
 1974.
 - A. L. Broadfoot, D. E. Shemansky, and S. Kumar. Mariner 10: Mercury atmosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 3(10):577–580, 1976.
- M. H. Burger, R. M. Killen, W. E. McClintock, A. W. Merkel, R. J. Vervack, T. A.
 Cassidy, and M. Sarantos. Seasonal variations in Mercruy's dayside calcium
 exosphere. *Icarus*, 238:51–58, 2014.
- T. A. Cassidy, A. W. Merkel, M. H. Burger, M. Sarantos, R. M. Killen, W. E. Mc Clintock, and R. J. Vervack. Mercury's seasonal sodium exosphere: MESSEN GER orbital observations. *Icarus*, 248:547–559, 2015.
- J. W. Chamberlain. Planetary coronae and atmospheric evaporation. *Planet. Space Sci.*, 11(8):901–960, 1963.
- J. W. Chamberlain, D. M. Hunten. Theory of Planetary Atmospheres, 2nd ed. New York: Academic Press, Chapter 6:292, 1987.
- P. C. Chamberlin, T. N. Woods, and F. G. Eparvier. Flare Irradiance Spectral Model (FISM): Flare component algorithms and results. *Space Weather*, 6:1542–7390, 2008.
- A. A. Christou, R. M. Killen, and M. H. Burger. The meteoroid stream of comet
 Encke at Mercury: Implications for MErcury Surface, Space ENvironment,
 GEochemistry, and Ranging observations of the exosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42: 7311—7318, 2015.
- P. B. Crandall, J. J. Gillis-Davis, and R. I. Kaiser. Untangling the origin of molecular hydrogen in the lunar exosphere. *Astrophys. J.*, 887:27, (7pp), 2019.
- M. M. Cowee, S. P. Gary, and H. Y. Wei. Pickup ions and ion cyclotron wave amplitudes upstream of Mars: First results from the 1D hybrid simulation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39(8), 2012.
- M. M. Cowee, D. Winske, C. T. Russell, and R. J. Strangeway. 1D hybrid simulations of planetary ion-pickup: Energy partition. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34(2), 2007.
- M. Delva, C. Mazelle, and C. Bertucci. Upstream Ion Cyclotron Waves at Venus and Mars. Space Sci. Rev., 162:25–24, 2011.
- M. Delva, M. Volwerk, C. Mazelle, J. Y. Chaufray, J. L. Bertaux, T. L. Zhang, and
 Z. Vörös. Hydrogen in the extended Venus exosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 36(1), 2009.

- M. Delva, T. L. Zhang, M. Volwerk, Z. Vörš, and S. A. Pope. Proton cyclotron waves in the solar wind at Venus. J. Geophys. Res: Planets, 113(E9), 2008.
- A. N. Deutsch, J. W. Head, and G. Neumann. Age constraints of Mercury's polar deposits suggest recent delivery of ice. *Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 520:26–33, 2019.
- N. V. Erkaev, H. Lammer, P. Odert, K. G. Kislyakova, C. P. Johnstone, M. Güdel,
 and M. L. Khodachenko. EUV-driven mass-loss of protoplanetary cores with
 hydrogen-dominated atmospheres: the influences of ionization and orbital
 distance. MNRAS, 460(2):1300–1309, 2016.
- N. V. Erkaev, P. Odert, H. Lammer, K. G. Kislyakova, L. Fossati, A. V. Mezent sev, C. P. Johnstone, D. I. Kubyshkina, I. F. Shaikhislamov, and M. L. Kho dachenko. Effect of stellar wind induced magnetic fields on planetary obstacles
 of non-magnetized hot Jupiters. MNRAS, 470(4):4330–4336, 2017.
- W. Exner, S. Simon, D. Heyner, and U. Motschmann.
 osphere on the Structure of the Magnetosphere.
 Physics, 125(7):e2019JA027691, 2020.
 Influence of Mercury's Ex-*J. Geophys. Res: Space*
- R. A. Fowler, B. J. Kotick, and R. D. Elliott. Polarization analysis of natural and artificially induced geomagnetic micropulsations. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 72(11):2871–2883, 1967.
- S. P. Gary. Electromagnetic Ion / Ion Instabilities and Their Consequences in Space
 Plasmas a Review. Space Sci. Rev., 56(3-4):373-415, may 1991.
- T. I. Gombosi, A. F. Nagy, and T. E. Cravens. Dust and neutral gas modeling of the inner atmospheres of comets. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 24:667–700, 1986.

563

564

565

566

567

583

584

- D. E. Huddleston and A. D. Johnstone. Relationship between wave energy and free energy from pickup ions in the comet Halley environment. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 97(A8):12217–12230, 1992.
- W. F. Huebner and J. Mukherjee. Photoionization and photodissociation rates in solar and black body radiation fields. *Planet. Space Sci.*, 106:11–45, 2015.
- D. M. Hunten, T. H. Morgan, and D. E. Shemansky. *The Mercury atmosphere*, pages 562–612, 1988.
- B. Ishak. Mercury: the view after MESSENGER. Contemporary Physics, 60(4):341–341, 2019.
- M. K. James, S. M. Imber, E. J. Bunce, T. K. Yeoman, M. Lockwood, M. J. Owens, and J. A. Slavin. Interplanetary magnetic field properties and variability near Mercury's orbit. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 122: 7907-7924, 2017.
- J. M. Jasinski, L. H. Regoli, T. A. Cassidy, R. M. Dewey, J. M. Raines, J. A. Slavin,
 A. J. Coates, D. J. Gershman, T. A. Nordheim, and N. Murphyand. A transient enhancement of Mercury's exosphere at extremely high altitudes inferred
 from pickup ions. *Nat. Commun.*, 11: 4350, 2020.
- C. P. Johnstone, M. Güdel, A. Stökl, H. Lammer, L. Tu, K. G. Kislyakova,
 T. Lüftinger, P. Odert, N. V. Erkaev, and E. A. Dorfi. The Evolution of
 Stellar Rotation and the Hydrogen Atmospheres of Habitable-zone Terrestrial
 Planets. Astrophys. J. Lett., 815(1):L12, 2015.
 - B. M. Jones, M. Sarantos, and T. M. Orlando. A New In Situ Quasi-continuous Solar-wind Source of Molecular Water on Mercury. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 891(2):L43, 2020.
- R. Killen, G. Cremonese, H. Lammer, S. Orsini, A. E. Potter, A. L. Sprague,
 P. Wurz, M. L. Khodachenko, H. I. M. Lichtenegger, A. Milillo, and Mura.
 Processes that Promote and Deplete the Exosphere of Mercury. Space Sci. Rev., 132(2-4):433-509, 2007.
- R. Killen, D. Shemansky, and N. Mouawad. Expected Emission from Mercury's Ex ospheric Species, and their Ultraviolet-Visible Signatures. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 181(2):351–359, 2009.
- R. M. Killen, J. Benkhoff, and T. H. Morgan. Mercury's Polar Caps and the Generation of an OH Exosphere. *Icarus*, 125(1):195–211, 1997.

The surface-bounded atmospheres of Mercury and the R. M. Killen and W.-H. Ip. 595 Moon. Reviews of Geophysics, 37(3):361–406, 1999. 596 Mercury's Atmosphere: A Perspective after Mariner 10. S. Kumar. Ikarus. 597 28(4):579-591, 1976.598 V. Mangano, A. Milillo, A. Mura, S. Orsini, E. DeAngelis, A.M. DiLellis, and P. 599 Wurz. The contribution of impact-generated vapour to the hermean atmo-600 sphere. Planet. Space Sci., 55(11):1541-1556, 2007. 601 C. Mazelle and F. M. Neubauer. Discrete wave packets at the proton cyclotron fre-602 quency at comet P/Halley. Geophys. Res. Lett., 20(2):153–156, 1993. 603 C. Mazelle, D. Winterhalter, K. Sauer, J. G. Trotignon, M. H. Acuña, 604 K. Baumgärtel, C. Bertucci, D. A. Brain, S. H. Brecht, M. Delva, E. Dubinin, 605 M. Øieroset, and J. Slavin. Bow Shock and Upstream Phenomena at Mars. 606 Space Sci. Rev., 111(1):115–181, 2004. 607 W. E. McClintock and M. R. Lankton. The Mercury Atmospheric and Surface Com-608 position Spectrometer for the MESSENGER Mission. Space Sci. Rev., 131(1-609 4):481-521, 2007. 610 J. D. Means. Use of the three-dimensional covariance matrix in analyzing the 611 polarization properties of plane waves. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 612 77(28):5551-5559, 1972.613 A. W. Merkel, T. A. Cassidy, R. J. Vervack, W. E. McClintock, M. Sarantos, M. H. 614 Burger, and R. M. Killen. Seasonal variations of Mercury's magnesium dayside 615 exosphere from MESSENGER observations. Icarus, 281:46–54, 2017. 616 J. I. Moses, K. Rawlins, K. Zahnle, and L. Dones. External Sources of Water for 617 Mercury's Putative Ice Deposits. Icarus, 137(2):197–221, 1999. 618 A. Mura, A. Milillo, S. Orsini, and S. Massetti. Numerical and analytical model of 619 Mercury's exosphere: Dependence on surface and external conditions. Planet. 620 Space Sci., 55(11):1569–1583, 2007. 621 S. Orsini, S. A. Livia, H. Lichtenegger, S. Barabasha, A. Milillo, E. De Angelis, M. 622 Phillips, G. Laky, M. Wieser, A. Olivieri, C. Plainaki, G. Ho, R.M. Killen, J.A. 623 Slavin, P. Wurz, J.-J. Berthelier, I. Dandouras, E. Kallio, S. McKenna-Lawlor, 624 S. Szalai, K. Torkar, O. Vaisberg, F. Allegrini, I.A. Daglis, C. Dong, C.P. Es-625 coubet, S. Fatemi, M. Fränz, S. Ivanovski, N. Krupp, H. Lammer, F. Leblanc, 626 V. Mangano, A. Mura, H. Nilsson, J.M. Raines, R. Rispoli, M. Sarantos, H.T. 627 Smith, K. Szego, A. Aronica, F. Camozzi, A.M. Di Lellis, G. Fremuth, F. 628 Giner, R. Gurnee, J. Hayes, H. Jeszenszky, F. Tominetti, B. Trantham, J. 629 Balaz, W. Baumjohann, D. Brienza, U. Bührke, M.D. Bush, M. Cantatore, 630 S. Cibella, L. Colasanti, G. Cremonese, L. Cremonesi, M. D'Alessandro, D. 631 Delcourt, M. Delva, M. Desai, M. Fama, M. Ferris, H. Fischer, A. Gaggero, 632 D. Gamborino, P. Garnier, W.C. Gibson, R. Goldstein, M. Grande, V. Gr-633 ishin, D. Haggerty, M. Holmström, I. Horvath, K.-C. Hsieh, A. Jacques, R.E. 634 Johnson, A. Kazakov, K. Kecskemety, H. Krüger, C. Kürbisch, F. Lazzarotto, 635 F. Leblanc, M. Leichtfried, R. Leoni, A. Loose, D. Maschietti, S. Massetti, F. 636 Mattioli, G. Miller, D. Moissenko, A. Morbidini, R. Noschese, F. Nuccilli, C. 637 Nunez, N. Paschalidis, S. Persyn, D. Piazza, M. Oja, J. Ryno, W. Schmidt, 638 J.A. Scheer, A. Shestakov, S. Shuvalov, K. Seki, S. Selci, K. Smith, R. Sor-639 dini, J. Svensson, L. Szalai, D. Toublanc, C. Urdiales, A. Varsani, N. Vertolli, 640 R. Wallner, P. Wahlstroem, P. Wilson, and S. Zampieri. SERENA: Particle 641 Instrument Suite for Determining the Sun-Mercury Interaction from Bepi-642 Colombo. Space Sci. Rev., 217, 2021. 643 S. Orsini, S.A. Livia, H. Lichtenegger, S. Barabasha, A. Milillo, E. De Angelis, M. 644 Phillips, G. Laky, M. Wieser, A. Olivieri, C. Plainaki, G. Ho, R.M. Killen, J.A. 645 Slavin, P. Wurz, J.-J. Berthelier, I. Dandouras, E. Kallio, S. McKenna-Lawlor, 646 S. Szalai, K. Torkar, O. Vaisberg, F. Allegrini, I.A. Daglis, C. Dong, C.P. Es-647 coubet, S. Fatemi, M. Fränz, S. Ivanovski, N. Krupp, H. Lammer, F. Leblanc, 648 V. Mangano, A. Mura, H. Nilsson, J.M. Raines, R. Rispoli, M. Sarantos, H.T.

650 651 652 653	 Smith, K. Szego, A. Aronica, F. Camozzi, A.M. Di Lellis, G. Fremuth, F. Giner, R. Gurnee, J. Hayes, H. Jeszenszky, F. Tominetti, B. Trantham, J. Balaz, W. Baumjohann, D. Brienza, U. Bührke, M.D. Bush, M. Cantatore, S. Cibella, L. Colasanti, G. Cremonese, L. Cremonesi, M. D'Alessandro, D.
654	Delcourt, M. Delva, M. Desai, M. Fama, M. Ferris, H. Fischer, A. Gaggero,
655	D. Gamborino, P. Garnier, W.C. Gibson, R. Goldstein, M. Grande, V. Gr-
656	ishin, D. Haggerty, M. Holmström, I. Horvath, KC. Hsieh, A. Jacques, R.E.
657	Johnson, A. Kazakov, K. Kecskemety, H. Krüger, C. Kürbisch, F. Lazzarotto,
658	F. Leblanc, M. Leichtfried, R. Leoni, A. Loose, D. Maschietti, S. Massetti, F.
659	Mattioli, G. Miller, D. Moissenko, A. Morbidini, R. Noschese, F. Nuccilli, C.
660	Nunez, N. Paschalidis, S. Persyn, D. Piazza, M. Oja, J. Rvno, W. Schmidt,
661	J.A. Scheer, A. Shestakov, S. Shuvalov, K. Seki, S. Selci, K. Smith, B. Sordini,
662	J. Svensson, L. Szalai, D. Toublanc, C. Urdiales, A. Varsani, N. Vertolli, R.
663	Wallner, P. Wahlstroem, P. Wilson, and S. Zampieri, Correction to: SERENA:
664	Particle Instrument Suite for Determining the Sun-Mercury Interaction from
665	BeniColombo Snace Sci Rev 217 2021
666	L C Philpott C L Johnson B L Anderson and B M Winslow The Shape of
667	Mercury's Magnetonause: The Picture From MESSENCER Magnetometer
660	Observations and Future Prospects for BeniColombo L Geonbus Res: Space
668	Diservations and Future Prospects for Deprediction. J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics 125(5):1560–1583 2020
669	M Delagar H I M Lightenegran D Wurz H Lamman E Kallia M Alba A
670	Mure S. McKenne Lewler, I. A. Mertin Fernandez, 2D modeling of Mer
671	mura, S. McKellia-Lawior, J. A. Martin-remaindez. 5D-modeling of Mer-
672	115.00 101 2015
673	D. Dehemi M. Seventez and D. Janehez, A. Commuchanging Model of the Material
674	P. Pokorny, M. Sarantos, and D. Janches. A Comprehensive Model of the Meteoroid
675	Environment around Mercury. <i>The Astrophysical Journal</i> , 805(1), 2018.
676	A. E. Potter. Unemical sputtering could produce sodium vapor and ice on Mercury.
677	Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(23):3289–3292, 1995.
678 679	and Venus. Advances in Space Research, 38(4):745–751, 2006.
680	J. C. Samson and J. V. Olson. Some comments on the descriptions of the polar-
681	ization states of waves. Geophysical Journal International, 61(1):115–129, 04
682	1980.
683	D. Schmid, Y. Narita, F. Plaschke, M. Volwerk, R. Nakamura, and W. Baumjo-
684	hann. Pick-Up Ion Cyclotron Waves Around Mercury. Geophys. Res. Lett.,
685	48(9):0094-8276, 2021.
686	J. A. Slavin and R. E. Holzer. Solar wind flow about the terrestrial planets, 1.
687	Modeling bow shock position and shape. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics,
688	86(A13):11401-11418, 1981.
689	S. C. Solomon, R. L. McNutt, R. E. Gold, and D. L. Domingue. MESSENGER Mis-
690	sion Overview. Space Sci. Rev., 131:3–39, 2007.
691	C. Tao, R. Kataoka, H. Fukunishi, Y. Takahashi, and T. Yokoyama. Magnetic field
692	variations in the jovian magnetotail induced by solar wind dynamic pressure
693	enhancements. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 110(A11), 2005.
694	F. Tian, J. F. Kasting, HL. Liu, R. G. Roble. Hydrodynamic planetary thermo-
695	sphere model: 1. Response of the Earth's thermosphere to extreme solar EUV
696	conditions and the significance of adiabatic cooling. J. Geophys. Res., 113(E5),
697	2008.
698	O. J. Tucker, W. M. Farrell, R. M. Killen, and D. M. Hurley. Solar Wind Implan-
699	tation Into the Lunar Regolith: Monte Carlo Simulations of H Retention in
700	a Surface With Defects and the H_2 Exosphere. J. Geophys. Res: Planets,
701	124(2):278-293, 2019.
702	R. J. Vervack, R. M. Killen, A. L. Sprague, M. H. Burger, A. W. Merkel, and M.
703	Sarantos. Early MESSENGER Results for Less Abundant or Weakly Emitting
704	Species in Mercury's Exosphere. In EPSC-DPS Joint Meeting 2011, volume

705	2011, page 1131, 2011.
706	R. J. Vervack, W. E. McClintock, E. T. Bradley, R. M. Killen, A. L. Sprague,
707	N. Mouawad, N. R. Izenberg, M. C. Kochte, and M. R. Lankton. MESSEN-
708	GER Observations of Mercury's Exosphere: Discoveries and Surprises from
709	the First Two Flybys. In Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, Lunar and
710	Planetary Science Conference, page 2220, 2009.
711	R. J. Vervack, W. E. McClintock, R. M. Killen, A. L. Sprague, B. J. Anderson,
712	M. H. Burger, E. T. Bradley, N. Mouawad, S. C. Solomon, and N. R. Izenberg.
713	Mercury's Complex Exosphere: Results from MESSENGER's Third Flyby.
714	Science, 329(5992):672–675, 2010.
715	R. J. Vervack Jr., R. M. Killen, W. E. McClintock, A. W. Merkel, M. H. Burger,
716	T. A. Cassidy, and M. Sarantos. New discoveries from MESSENGER and
717	insights into Mercury's exosphere. Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(22):11,545–11,551,
718	2016.
719	R. M. Winslow, B. Anderson, C. Johnson, J. Slavin, H. Korth, M. Purucker, D. N.
720	Baker, and S. Solomon. Mercury's magnetopause and bow shock from MES-
721	SENGER Magnetometer observations. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics,
722	118:2213–2227, 2013.
723	C. S. Wu and R. C. Davidson. Electromagnetic instabilities produced by neutral-
724	particle ionization in interplanetary space. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics,
725	77(28):5399–5406, 1972.
726	C. S. Wu, R. E. Hartle, and K. W. Ogilvie. Interaction of singly charged interstellar
727	helium ions with the solar wind. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 78(1):306–
728	309, 1973.
729	P. Wurz, D. Gamborino, A. Vorburger, and J. M. Raines. Heavy Ion Composition of
730	Mercury's Magnetosphere. J. Geophys. Res: Space Physics, 124(4):2603–2612,
731	2019.
732	P. Wurz and H. Lammer. Monte-Carlo simulation of Mercury's exosphere. <i>Icarus</i> ,
733	164(1):1–13, 2003.
734	P. Wurz, J. A. Whitby, U. Rohner, J. A. Martin-Fernandez, H. Lammer, and
735	C. Kolb. Self-consistent modelling of Mercury's exosphere by sputtering.
736	micro-meteorite impact and photon-stimulated desorption. Planetary and
737	Space Science, 58(12):1599–1616, 2010.
738	R. V. Yelle. Aeronomy of extra-solar giant planets at small orbital distances. <i>Ikarus</i> ,

⁷³⁹ 170(1):167–179, 2004.