Indirect measurements of the composition of ultrafine particles in the Arctic late-winter

Deanna Myers¹, Michael J. Lawler², Roy Mauldin³, Steven Sjostedt⁴, Manvendra K Dubey⁵, Jonathan P.D. Abbatt⁶, and James Smith²

¹Unknown ²University of California, Irvine ³University of Colorado Boulder ⁴Morgan Community College ⁵Los Alamos National Laboratory (DOE) ⁶University of Toronto

November 24, 2022

Abstract

We present indirect measurements of size-resolved ultrafine particle composition conducted during the Ocean–Atmosphere–Sea Ice–Snowpack (OASIS) Campaign in Utqiagvik, Alaska, during March 2009. This study focuses on measurements of size-resolved particle hygroscopicity and volatility measured over two periods of the campaign. During a period that represents background conditions in this location, particle hygroscopic growth factors (HGF) at 90% relative humidity ranged from 1.45-1.51, which combined with volatility measurements suggest a mixture of ~30% ammoniated sulfates and ~70% oxidized organics. Two separate regional ultrafine particle growth events were also observed during this campaign. Event 1 coincided with elevated levels of H_2SO_4 and solar radiation. These particles were highly hygroscopic (HGF=2.1 for 35 nm particles), but were almost fully volatilized at 160 °C. The air masses associated with both events originated over the Arctic Ocean. Event 1 was influenced by the upper marine boundary layer, while Event 2 spent more time closer to the surface and over open ocean leads, suggesting marine influence in growth processes. Event 2 particles were slightly less hygroscopic (HGF=1.94 for 35nm and 1.67 for 15nm particles), and similarly volatile. We hypothesize that particles formed during both events contained 60-70% hygroscopic salts by volume, with the balance for Event 1 being sulfates and oxidized organics for Event 2. These observations suggest that primary sea spray may be an important initiator of ultrafine particle formation events in the Arctic late-winter, but a variety of processes may be responsible for condensational growth.

- 1 Indirect measurements of the composition of ultrafine particles in the Arctic late-winter
- 2 Deanna C. Myers¹, Michael J. Lawler¹, Roy L. Mauldin², Steven Sjostedt³, Manvendra Dubey⁴,
- 3 Jonathan Abbatt⁵, James N. Smith¹
- ¹Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA, 92697, USA
- ⁵ ²Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309, USA
- ⁶ ³Morgan Community College, Fort Morgan, CO, 80701, USA
- 7 ⁴Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 87545, USA
- 8 ⁵Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3H6, Canada
- 9

10 Abstract

11 We present indirect measurements of size-resolved ultrafine particle composition conducted 12 during the Ocean-Atmosphere-Sea Ice-Snowpack (OASIS) Campaign in Utgiagvik, Alaska, during 13 March 2009. This study focuses on measurements of size-resolved particle hygroscopicity and volatility 14 measured over two periods of the campaign. During a period that represents background conditions in this 15 location, particle hygroscopic growth factors (HGF) at 90% relative humidity ranged from 1.45-1.51, 16 which combined with volatility measurements suggest a mixture of $\sim 30\%$ ammoniated sulfates and $\sim 70\%$ 17 oxidized organics. Two separate regional ultrafine particle growth events were also observed during this 18 campaign. Event 1 coincided with elevated levels of H₂SO₄ and solar radiation. These particles were 19 highly hygroscopic (HGF=2.1 for 35 nm particles), but were almost fully volatilized at 160 °C. The air 20 masses associated with both events originated over the Arctic Ocean. Event 1 was influenced by the upper 21 marine boundary layer, while Event 2 spent more time closer to the surface and over open ocean leads, 22 suggesting marine influence in growth processes. Event 2 particles were slightly less hygroscopic 23 (HGF=1.94 for 35nm and 1.67 for 15nm particles), and similarly volatile. We hypothesize that particles 24 formed during both events contained 60-70% hygroscopic salts by volume, with the balance for Event 1 25 being sulfates and oxidized organics for Event 2. These observations suggest that primary sea spray may be an important initiator of ultrafine particle formation events in the Arctic late-winter, but a variety of 26 27 processes may be responsible for condensational growth.

29 1 Introduction

30 Aerosol particles in the Arctic are known to exhibit seasonal variability in their chemical and 31 physical properties. In many high-latitude regions, winter and early spring are dominated by Arctic Haze, 32 a phenomenon characterized by long-range transport of anthropogenic pollutants and resulting in the 33 highest mass concentrations of particulate matter (Barrie, 1986; Rahn, 1981; Tunved et al., 2013). 34 Accumulation mode particles dominate during this period, largely from transport of anthropogenic 35 pollution originating from Eurasia (Barrie, 1986; Frossard et al., 2011; Heintzenberg, 1982; Law & Stohl, 36 2007; Quinn et al., 2017; Rahn, 1981; Tunved et al., 2013). A persistent boundary layer effectively traps 37 pollution over the Arctic, coastal Eurasia, and much of Canada until late spring, when it recedes to polar 38 north (Barrie, 1986). Late spring and summer are less anthropogenically-influenced, and thus are 39 characterized by lower particle concentrations (Browse et al., 2012; Croft et al., 2016; Garrett et al., 2011; 40 Ström et al., 2003; Tunved et al., 2013). During that period, marine biogenic emissions and 41 photochemistry are key drivers of atmospheric chemistry (Dall'Osto, et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2002; 42 Tunved et al., 2013). 43 Several recent studies have shown that the formation and growth of sub-100 nm diameter 44 ultrafine particles (UFP) occur readily in the Arctic atmosphere (Allan et al., 2015; Asmi et al., 2016; 45 Baccarini et al., 2020; Chang et al., 2011; Collins et al., 2017; Dall'Osto et al., 2017; Dall'Osto et al., 2018; Giamarelou et al., 2016; Heintzenberg et al., 2015; Karl et al., 2013, 2012; Kecorius et al., 2019; 46 47 Kolesar et al., 2017; Kupiszewski et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2016; Tunved et al., 2013; Willis et al., 48 2016; Ziemba et al., 2010). However, few to date have focused on the composition of Arctic UFP and 49 most observations have occurred during summer. Prior spring and summer studies have attributed UFP 50 formation events to photochemical sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) production (Covert & Heintzenberg, 1993), with 51 sulfate considered an important particle component (Nyeki et al., 2005; Wiedensohler et al., 1996). These 52 employed filters and impactors for offline chemical analysis, biasing these results to larger particles that 53 may not represent the composition of UFPs. Size-resolved nanoparticle composition of Arctic UFPs has 54 been studied using mostly indirect measurements, with a focus on formation and growth events during 55 late spring and summer. In the Canadian Arctic, UFP formation was observed to occur freely in the 56 marine boundary layer, with complementary gas-phase measurements suggesting marine biogenic sources 57 of organic and sulfur-containing gas-phase precursors (Burkart et al., 2017). Several observations of UFP 58 formation and growth from other Arctic locations associated these phenomena with oxidized products of 59 dimethylsufide (DMS) like methanesulfonic acid (MSA) and H₂SO₄, suggesting a marine biogenic

- 60 influence (Abbatt et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2011; Dall'Osto et al., 2018; Ferek et al., 1995;
- 61 Ghahremaninezhad et al., 2016; Leaitch et al., 2013; Quinn et al., 2002). Indirect measurements of

62 summertime particle composition in Ny-Alesund, Svalbard, indicated that organic vapor condensation 63 plays a large role in UFP formation (Kecorius et al., 2019). Organic compounds were found to contribute 64 to the growth of newly formed UFPs in the Canadian Arctic, with a small contribution from sulfur-65 containing compounds (Tremblay et al., 2019). Willis et al., (2016) showed that growth of sub-20 nm particles to ~50 nm coincided with the presence of organics, trimethylamine, and MSA in particles 80 nm 66 67 and larger, suggesting the particles grew by condensation of MSA and other lower-volatility organic 68 species. Model results from Canadian Arctic observations using a paired chemical transport-microphysics 69 model indicate that ternary nucleation from H_2SO_4 , ammonia (NH₃), and water, followed by condensation 70 from marine biogenic species and biogenically derived sulfur compounds, accounts for more than 90% of 71 the simulated number concentration for particles larger than 20 nm (Croft et al., 2019). Other 72 measurements performed in late-summer suggest that iodine (Allan et al., 2015; Baccarini et al., 2020) 73 and marine nanogels are responsible for some observed UFP formation events (Dall'Osto et al., 2017; 74 Karl et al., 2013; C Leck et al., 2013; Caroline Leck & Bigg, 2010). Measurements made during 11 75 particle formation events aboard the Swedish icebreaker Oden in August and September of 2018 found 76 that these events coincided with elevated levels of iodic acid (HIO₃) and relatively low levels of H_2SO_4 77 (Baccarini et al., 2020). Fragmentation of primary marine biological particles, where nano-granules are 78 released from evaporation of cloud/fog droplets while H2SO4 nucleates to form clusters, both grow 79 through condensation of low-volatility vapors, and then coagulate to form particles larger than 3nm, has 80 been proposed to explain numerous UFP formation events observed in the high Arctic during late spring 81 and summer (Karl et al., 2013). Taken together, these results suggest gas-phase ammonia, amines, 82 organics, oxidized sulfur species, and fragmentation of primary marine particles contribute to the 83 formation and growth of UFPs in the late spring and early summer in this region.

84 Winter and early spring measurements of UFP formation events and the composition of these 85 particles are largely missing. This is due in part to the dominant accumulation mode that biases bulk and 86 sub-micron measurements towards larger particles. Some insights into the mechanisms of UFP formation 87 can nonetheless be gained through such measurements. Analysis of sub-micron aerosol particle 88 composition during particle formation events in Tiksi, Siberia, made by aerosol mass spectrometry 89 (AMS) found that while summertime events were driven by oxidation of biogenic low-volatility gases, 90 early spring events are likely due to oxidation of anthropogenic precursors of Arctic Haze (Asmi et al., 91 2016). Filter samples have shown submicron particles are largely composed of sea salt and non-sea-salt 92 sulfates (nss sulfate) (Kirpes et al., 2018, 2019; Patterson et al., 1967; Quinn et al., 2002; Tomasi et al., 93 2012). Long-term measurements taken at Utgiagvik (formerly Barrow), Alaska are consistent with other 94 measurements indicating nss sulfate is a major component of submicron wintertime aerosol, but the 95 concentration decreased by ~60% between 1976 and 2008. Elemental analysis indicated that while source

regions remained the same over this time period, emissions decreased (Quinn et al., 2009). Soot particle
AMS (SP-AMS) measurements made in Greenland have linked UFP formation in February through May
to MSA and molecular iodine, suggesting contributions from both biotic and abiotic sources (Dall'Osto,
et al., 2018). Since most winter-time measurements to date have been performed on bulk aerosol, Arctic

- 100 UFP composition is still not understood in the winter and early spring. More measurements are needed in
- 101 order to understand the mechanisms by which new particles form in this important region.

102 We seek to address this measurement gap by reporting indirect measurements of UFP 103 composition made during the Ocean - Atmosphere - Sea Ice - Snowpack (OASIS) Campaign in 104 Utgiagvik, Alaska during March 2009. A period from 27 - 31 March was determined to have winds from 105 the Arctic Ocean, with particle properties measured during this time representing background conditions. 106 Two separate nanoparticle growth events were observed during this that campaign (12 -14 March), where 107 particles grew from 5 nm to ~ 20 nm in diameter. Size-resolved hygroscopicity and volatility measured 108 during these events were analyzed to hypothesize the species involved the growth of these newly formed 109 particles.

110 **2 Methods**

111 **2.1 Site description**

112 Measurements were made during the OASIS field campaign in Utqiagvik, Alaska during March and April 2009. The focus of this paper is on two periods – one that featured measurements of two 113 114 separate UFP growth events occurring during 12 - 14 March, and a second background period from 27 - 14115 31 March. All times are reported in Alaska Daylight Time (AKDT; UTC – 8 hours) and are referred to as 116 "local time" in this work. Particle measurements were collected in a Ouonset hut at the U.S. Navel Arctic 117 Research Laboratory (NARL) and trace gas and surface meteorology measurements were performed 118 approx. 1 km away in buildings located near the Barrow Arctic Research Center (BARC). Numerous 119 measurements of key trace gases and particle properties, as well as of meteorology, were made over the 120 course of the campaign; those pertinent during the time periods of interest are outlined below.

121 **2.2** Air mass origin and station meteorology

Air mass backward trajectories were calculated to determine source influences for each of the ultrafine particle events observed using the NOAA Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) transport model (Rolph et al., 2017; Stein et al., 2015). Backward trajectories of P2-hour duration were determined for air masses arriving 50 meters above ground level (AGL) at the

126 measurement site at the beginning and halfway through the UFP events (Event 1: 3/12/09 04:07 – 19:02

ADT; Event 2: 3/13/09 20:37 - 3/14/09 3:47 ADT) using the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS)

128 1° meteorology. Satellite images from NASA Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer

129 (MODIS)/Aqua Sea Ice Extent with temporal resolution of 5 minutes and spatial resolution of 1 kilometer

130 were used to identify leads and areas of open water in the sea ice (Hall & Riggs, 2015). Images were

131 analyzed for 10 – 14 March, corresponding to the timespan of the HYSPLIT back trajectories calculated

132 for each UFP growth event observed.

133Sonic anemometers (Applied Technologies, Sonic Anemometer/Thermometer model SATI/3K)

134 were used to measure three-dimensional wind velocities at 10 Hz. Wind speed and direction were

135 obtained from vector-averaging the horizontal wind velocities over 1-minute intervals and are accurate to

 $\pm 0.03 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$, respectively. Wind direction data are color-coded to identify periods with likely

137 local influences at the measurement sites (labelled "Utgiagvik", "local building" and "local NNW") and

- 138 periods with minimal local influence (labelled "clean"). Time periods with "calm" winds were those with
- 139 minimal wind.

140 Solar radiation was measured at the NOAA Barrow Atmospheric Baseline Observatory,

141 approximately 3.5 km northeast of NARL. We report 1-minute measurements of downwelling global

142 solar radiation (W m⁻²), performed with an unshaded Precision Spectral Pyranometer (Eppley).

143 **2.3 Particle physical, chemical, and radiative properties**

144 2.3.1 Particle number size distributions

145 Particle number size distributions for particles with electrical mobility diameter of 4 nm to 1 µm 146 were collected using a particle size distribution system consisting of 3 instruments that measure with a 5-147 minute time resolution. A nanometer scanning mobility particle sizer (nano-SMPS) comprised of a home-148 built bipolar neutralizer, a TSI model 3085 Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA), a home-built high 149 voltage and flow control system, and an Ultrafine Condensation Particle Counter (UCPC, TSI model 150 3025a), covered the particle mobility range of 4 to 30 nm. A scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS), 151 identical to the nano-SMPS except for the use of a TSI model 3081 DMA and a standard condensation 152 particle counter (CPC, TSI model 7620, modified to run at 1.2 lpm aerosol flow rate), measured particles 153 of diameter ~22 to ~225 nm. An optical particle counter (OPC, Lasair model 1002 PMS, Inc.) measured 154 particle number-size distributions over the diameter range of 0.1 to 1 µm. Measured distributions were 155 combined to create a continuous size distribution, which was used also in calculations of particle growth rates following the method outlined in Dal Maso et al. (2005) and gamma (Γ ; Section 3.3) (Kuang et al., 156 157 2010). Size distributions were corrected for the transmission efficiency of the inlet tubing using

theoretically predictions that assume laminar flow, the latter of which was confirmed by our inlet flowrate measurements (Hinds, 1999).

160 2.3.2 Particle optical properties

161 Bulk ambient particle absorption and scattering coefficients were measured at 781 nm using a 162 photoacoustic soot spectrometer (PASS-1, Droplet Measurement Technologies using principles and protocols described in Flowers et al. (2010). Aerosol particle absorption coefficients (β_{abs}) at 781 nm were 163 164 directly measured using the photoacoustic technique and scattering coefficients (β_{sca}) were measured 165 simultaneously on the same dry aerosol sample with an integrating nephelometer. Noise was removed from collected data using a bandpass filter, with a band set between 0 and 12 M m⁻¹ for scattering data, 166 and 0 and 30 M m⁻¹ for the absorption data. The instrument was zeroed every 40 minutes to eliminate 167 168 systematic drifts and the reported measurements were averaged in 150 second bins to enhance signal to 169 noise. Particle single scattering albedo (SSA) was calculated as follows:

170
$$SSA = \frac{\beta_{sca}}{\beta_{sca} + \beta_{abs}}$$
 (1)

171 Calculated values of SSA were used to determine the relative absorbing versus scattering properties of 172 bulk particles during periods of interest and were also used to identify periods of local pollution such as 173 that provided by snow removal equipment that have low SSA due to absorbing soot emissions, which 174 would not have been identified from wind direction measurements.

175 2.3.3 Indirect measurements of particle chemical properties

176 A hygroscopicity tandem differential mobility analyzer (HTDMA) measured the hygroscopic 177 growth factor of size-selected particles at 90% relative humidity (RH). In this home-built instrument, 178 which is also described in detail elsewhere (Lance et al., 2013), particles were neutralized with a unipolar 179 neutralizer, dried to $\sim 2\%$ RH, and then size-selected by the first DMA (home-built, but identical in design to TSI model 3081). Dry particle sizes studied had electrical mobility diameters ($Dp(RH_{dry})$ of 15, 35, 50, 180 181 75, and 110 nm. Size-selected particles then passed to the conditioning chamber, where they were 182 exposed to a controlled 90% RH. The resulting size distribution was measured by a second, identical 183 DMA and a CPC (TSI model 3010). Sheath and excess flows in the DMAs were 5 lpm with an aerosol 184 flow of 0.6 lpm. Data was corrected for variations in relative humidity in the second DMA using methods 185 outlined in Gysel et al. (2009) and Keith and Arons (1954). All corrected size distributions during the 186 periods discussed below consisted of a single mode, suggesting internally mixed aerosol. These size 187 distributions were fitted with a Gaussian curve and the peak of the curve was used to represent the peak 188 diameter of the humidified mode $Dp(RH_{humid})$. Hygroscopic growth factor (HGF) was calculated using:

189
$$HGF = \frac{Dp(RH_{humid})}{Dp(RH_{dry})}$$
(2)

190 From measurements of HGF, we then estimate the volume fraction of representative particulate

191 compounds using the Zdanovskii-Stokes-Robinson (ZSR) relation:

192 $HGF_{meas} = (\sum_k \varepsilon_k HGF_k^3)^{1/3}$

where ε_k is the volume fraction of pure component k in the particle and HGF_k is the growth factor of pure component k (Malm & Kreidenweis, 1997; Stokes & Robinson, 1966).

195 A volatility TDMA (VTDMA) measured the volatility of size-selected particles at different temperatures. Ambient particles were neutralized and size-selected by the first DMA (TSI model 3081) at 196 197 mobility diameters of 15, 35, 75, 110, and 165 nm. Particles then passed through a fast stepping/scanning 198 thermodenuder built by Aerodyne Research, Inc., and modeled after the system described by Huffman et 199 al. (2008). The thermodenuder was stepped at temperatures of $T_D = 30, 40, 80, \text{ and } 160 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and time was 200 allowed during each step for the temperature to stabilize before measurement. The particle number size 201 distribution was measured with the second DMA (TSI model 3081) and CPC (TSI model 3010). The 202 sample flow rate through the denuder was set to 0.6 LPM, which was determined to be optimal for this 203 design by Wehner et al. (2002). Like the HTDMA, sheath flows in the DMAs were 5 lpm with a particle 204 flow of 0.6 lpm. Data are reported at each temperature as the volume fraction remaining, VFR, which is 205 defined as:

$$206 \quad VFR = \frac{V_T}{V_{30^{\circ}C}} \tag{3}$$

207 where V_T is the integrated total particulate volume (assuming spherical particles) at temperature, T. We note that ambient atmospheric temperatures during the measurement periods generally ranged from -20 to 208 209 -30 °C while the VTDMA maintained a temperature within the Quonset hut of ~14 °C, likely leading to 210 evaporation of some particulate compounds prior to the initial size-selection. For this reason, and because 211 the Quonset hut temperature was poorly controlled, we chose to divide V_T by the integrated volume of 212 particles exposed to our lowest controlled temperature, 30 °C ($V_{30^{\circ}C}$), in our calculations of VFR. Prior to 213 analysis, some instrument noise was easily identified in data as repetitive modes present throughout the 214 sampling and calibration periods and was consequently removed.

Both the HTDMA and VTDMA were calibrated at the site, before and after the observation period, using ammonium sulfate aerosol that was aerosolized using a commercial aerosol generator (TSI model 3076). These experiments confirmed that both instruments were operating properly and that no further adjustments to the data, other than those described above, were needed.

219 2.4 Trace Gas Analysis

Gas-phase concentration measurements of H_2SO_4 , OH, and MSA were obtained using a selected ion chemical ionization mass spectrometer (SICIMS). Measurements were made using an inlet ~ 1.5 m above the snow surface in a building located ~ 500 m east of the particle measurement location. Details of this instrument have been previously reported in Tanner et al., (1997) and Mauldin et al., (1998). Data were calibrated and are presented as 30-second averages.

225 **3 Results and Discussion**

226 **3.1 Campaign overview**

The OASIS Campaign took place from late February through mid-April 2009, with particle measurements beginning on 5 March. The foci of the campaign were on gas-phase exchanges between the ocean, atmosphere, sea ice, and snowpack, the impact of these processes on oxidation capacity in the remote Arctic atmosphere, and how they may change with a changing climate (NCAR, 2012). The measurement period during late winter and early spring is chemically interesting because of the





Figure 1. Meteorological data and bulk particle properties during the entire OASIS observation period. Plotted above are wind direction (color-coded to show relative direction of origin) and downwelling solar radiation. The lower plot is the particle number size distribution (diameters 4 to 1000 nm) and single scattering albedo (SSA) measured at 781 nm (black). The focus of this work is a background period (27 - 31 March) and two distinct ultrafine particle growth events (12 - 14 March), which are boxed in blue.

233 course of this campaign, photochemistry became progressively more important during the observation

period (Fig. 1). Several major findings from OASIS have thus far related to gas-phase photochemical

halogen chemistry, with a particular focus on bromine, including its efficacy in ozone (O_3) depletion

compared to chlorine (Thompson et al., 2015) and its recycling process (Frieß et al., 2011; Liao et al.,

237 2012).

238

239 Throughout the course of the campaign, there are two prominent features in the particle size 240 distribution measurements: sub-20 nm particles appear at the site at an initial diameter of 5 nm in bursts, 241 with no *in situ* nucleation observed at the site as evidenced by the lack of sub-5 nm diameter particles; 242 and a continuous mode centered at 200 nm (Figure 1). Note that the campaign-averaged value of SSA is ~ 0.8 , with decreases to values ~ 0.5 during periods with "local building" and "local NNW" influence 243 244 (Figure 1). This indicates that local particle emissions are more highly absorbing than ambient Arctic particles in this region, which has been observed in numerous Arctic sites during this time of year 245 246 (Bodhaine et al., 1981; Clarke et al., 1984; Patterson et al., 1967; Polissar et al., 2001; Tomasi et al., 247 2012).

248

249 **3.2 Background period**

We begin by reporting measurements made during a period (27 - 31 March) that we identified as 250 251 "clean" in order to explore regional Arctic UFP physico-chemical properties and address the lack of 252 winter and spring observations. Figure 2 shows a summary of the data that include, to the best of our 253 knowledge, the first indirect composition measurements of size-selected, sub-500 nm atmospheric 254 particles in the Utqiagvik area. Bulk SSA was, on average, 0.861 ± 0.055 , indicating internally mixed 255 black carbon (BC) in this region, and showed relatively low variability, suggesting that local emissions 256 did not substantially bias our measurements during this period. Like the rest of the campaign, sub-20 nm 257 particle concentrations were very low with total number concentration during the period averaging 380 cm⁻³. In comparison to other Arctic measurements made in March, this is lower than the concentration 258 259 measured in Siberia (Asmi et al., 2016), but about twice as high as that observed in Svalbard (Tunved et 260 al., 2013) and Greenland (Nguyen et al., 2016). These differences in particle concentration exemplify the 261 variability in particle properties throughout different Arctic locations.

Figure 2c shows *HGF* measured at 90% RH for 35, 75, and 110 nm size-selected ambient particles. Data for 15 nm particles are missing for both HTDMA and VTDMA instruments due to the extremely low concentrations of these particles. The figure also includes published *HGF* data for laboratory-generated sea salt aerosol ($D_p = 50$ nm) (Zieger et al., 2017), ammonium sulfate (Asmi et al., 2010; Hämeri et al., 2000; Sjogren et al., 2007), black carbon (Weingartner et al., 1995), and a seawater



Figure 2. Particle properties measured during the background period, showing (a) H_2SO_4 concentration (red), downwelling solar radiation (black); (b) particle size distribution for particle sizes between 4 and 1000 nm in diameter, and single scattering albedo (black); and (c) measured particle growth factors for 35 nm (black, dashed), 75 nm (gold), and 110 nm (green, dashed) particles. Also plotted are reference growth factors for sea salt (light blue; Zieger et al., 2017), seawater proxy with organic material (gray hashed; Fuentes et al., 2011), ammonium sulfate (red, 35 – 110 nm size selected; Hämeri et al., 2000), and black carbon (black, Weingartner et al., 1995).

- proxy containing varying amounts of organic material ($D_p = 40$ nm) (Fuentes et al., 2011). For the
- 268 duration of the background period, ambient particles were less hygroscopic than sea salt and seawater
- 269 proxy references, with average HGFs of 1.45 ± 0.12 ($D_p = 35$ nm), 1.48 ± 0.11 ($D_p = 75$ nm), and 1.51 ± 0.12
- 270 $0.12 (D_p = 110 \text{ nm})$ measured. There is a small degree of size-dependence on HGF during this period,
- although the values and their respective standard deviations all lie within the same range.
- Figure 3 shows the *VFR* for ambient 35, 75 and 110 nm size-selected particles. Negligible particle
- 273 volume is lost at all sizes upon heating to 40 °C (3.52 ± 0.011 %, 3.53 ± 0.20 % and 2.54 ± 0.78 %,
- respectively), which corresponds to the loss of higher-volatility compounds such as semivolatile organics
- 275 (Burtscher et al., 2001; Häkkinen et al., 2012; Kreidenweis et al., 1998). Volume loss at 80 °C, indicative
- of evaporation of lower-volatility organic species (Burtscher et al., 2001; Häkkinen et al., 2012;
- 277 Kreidenweis et al., 1998) and certain higher volatility inorganic salts (Bergin et al., 1997), accounts for
- $278 = 80.8 \pm 0.2$ % of the volume for sampled 35 nm particles, 84.3 ± 0.2 % of the volume for sampled 75 nm
- particles and 65.7 ± 0.9 % of the volume for 110 nm sampled particles. Nearly all particle volume was
- lost upon heating to 160 °C; at this temperature, 3.0 ± 0.1 % of the total volume of 35 nm size-selected
- particles, 2.9 ± 0.2 % of the total volume of 75 nm size-selected particles and 4.7 ± 2.3 % of the total

volume of 110 nm size-selected particles remained. This likely is BC and may explain the average SSA
values measured during this time period, which indicate that BC is internally mixed in bulk aerosol.

284



Figure 3. Average volume fraction remaining at 40, 80, and 160 °C for 35, 75 and 110 nm size-selected particles during the background period (27 – 31 March) with sigmoidal fits to data. The error bars represent standard deviation and the number of measurements at each size is shown in the legend. Initial volume (*VFR* =1) is assumed to be the volume at 30 °C.

Combining the results of the HGF and VFR analyses provides insights into the composition of background UFPs. The high volume loss of particles at 80 °C makes it unlikely that a significant fraction of UFP volume consists of low-volatility inorganic salts. This is supported by the HGF analysis that shows significantly lower hygroscopicity compared to sea salt and seawater proxy reference particles (Figure 2c). The observed evaporation behavior is consistent with sulfate that is partially or fully neutralized by a base such as ammonia, which is expected to fully evaporate at temperatures below 160 °C as confirmed by our calibrations as well as those performed by Huffman et al., (2008) using a similar instrument. The measured *HGF* is slightly below than that of ammonium sulfate (Figure 2c) with the exception of a period of elevated gas phase H₂SO₄ on 29 March during which *HGF* increased slightly, supporting a contribution of sulfate to UFP

304 composition with the addition of a less hygroscopic material. This leads us to hypothesize that UFPs also 305 contain some fraction of lower hygroscopicity organics. Organic compounds are routinely detected in 306 marine aerosol (Cochran et al., 2017; O'Dowd et al., 2004; Prather et al., 2013). A mixture of organics 307 and sulfate is also consistent with the measured VFR data, as loss of particulate volume over the size range of 40 - 160 °C is consistent not only with ammonium sulfate but with oxidized organics (Huffman 308 309 et al., 2009). Our measurements suggest that, while it may be possible that primary organics contribute to 310 background UFP composition, the observation that VFR is nearly zero at 160 °C suggests minimal 311 contribution from low-volatility primary marine organic species (Frossard et al., 2014), and a small 312 contribution from black carbon (Jennings et al., 1994). The measured HGFs may be consistent with that measured for marine nanogels (Hawkins & Russell, 2010; Ovadnevaite et al., 2011), the latter of which 313 314 ranged from 1.2 to 1.3 at 90% RH.

- 315 An estimate of the volume fractions of sulfate salt and organic species, using the *HGFs* of
- ammonium sulfate (1.7) (Hämeri et al., 2000) and atmospheric organic matter (1.2) (Gysel et al., 2004),
- 317 was performed using the average ambient *HGF* measured for each particle size reported above. Using the
- 318 ZSR method with these assumptions, we estimate that, on average, volume fractions of ammonium sulfate
- 319 (ϵ_{AS}) and organic species (ϵ_{ORG}) were 0.48 and 0.52 for 35 nm particles, 0.44 and 0.56 for 75 nm particles,
- and 0.50 and 0.50 for 110 nm particles, respectively. Estimations of volume fraction using H_2SO_4 as a
- 321 third component (*HGF* = 1.9, ε_{SA}) were more consistent with the *VFR* measured for the larger particle
- 322 sizes; for 35 nm, $\varepsilon_{AS} = 0.10$, $\varepsilon_{SA} = 0.20$, $\varepsilon_{ORG} = 0.70$; for 75 nm, $\varepsilon_{AS} = 0.10$, $\varepsilon_{SA} = 0.23$, $\varepsilon_{ORG} = 0.67$; and
- for 110 nm, $\varepsilon_{AS} = 0.10$, $\varepsilon_{SA} = 0.27$, $\varepsilon_{ORG} = 0.63$. These estimates support the hypothesis that partially
- 324 neutralized sulfate and organic species contribute mainly to the observed UFP volume.
- 325

326 **3.3 Ultrafine particle growth events**

327 In mid-March there were two distinct UFP growth events observed at the site occurring on 328 consecutive days (Figure 4). Both occurred during a "clean" period and there was no evidence in SSA 329 data (Event 1: 0.845 ± 0.076 ; Event 2: 0.857 ± 0.030) to suggest any site-specific pollution impacting the 330 particle properties measured. Bulk particle SSA measured during both periods were very similar to both 331 each other and the background period average. Event 1 was first observed at the site at just after 4:00 332 local time on 12 March, with particles appearing at a modal diameter of 11 nm. The modal diameter 333 increased linearly over a 12-hour period to 21 nm, corresponding to a growth rate 0.862 ± 0.034 nm h⁻¹. 334 This event coincided with solar radiation at the site and an average measured H₂SO₄ concentration of 335 3.7×10^6 molecules cm⁻³. Event 2 was first observed at the site on 13 March at 20:40, with a measured 336 modal diameter of 7 nm. Growth lasted for ~4 hours ending at 15 nm and a measured modal growth rate 337 of 2.12 ± 0.07 nm h⁻¹. This mode persisted after midnight, but no longer displayed growth. The event 338 occurred at nighttime, thus solar radiation was minimal and the average concentration of H_2SO_4 was 3.0×10^5 molecules cm⁻³, which is close to the SICIMS lower limit of detection. 339

340 A prior analysis of ultrafine particle growth rates observed at the NOAA Research Station at Utqiagvik characterized these two growth events as "marine influenced" along with possible influence 341 342 from Prudhoe Bay (Kolesar et al., 2017). To provide additional insights into the origins of these air 343 masses, 72-hour HYSPLIT back trajectories were calculated for the period of each event before their 344 arrival at the measurement site and are overlaid over MODIS satellite images of the region in Figure 5. 345 There were no significant changes in the sea ice in this region over the time periods of the back 346 trajectories, so the satellite image shown, while from 11 March, is representative of the entire modeling 347 period (10 - 14 March). Two traces are shown for each event, with the starting point of the back 348 trajectory corresponding to the beginning and halfway time points of the events. The black boxes on

350 leads, which are small regions of water between ice sheets that serve as direct interfaces between the



Figure 4. Photochemical and particle size distribution properties during ultrafine particle growth (a) Event 1 and (b) Event 2. Plotted are the gas-phase concentrations of H_2SO_4 (red, molecules cm⁻³) and downwelling solar radiation (black dashes, W m⁻²). Particle number size distributions for each growth event are shown with the mode diameter during the growth period and its linear regression fit to determine growth rates are shown in black.

- 351 ocean and atmosphere, and open ocean. Sea ice leads have been shown to contribute to sea spray and
- 352 seawater-like particles in the atmosphere (May et al., 2016; Nilsson et al., 2001). The back trajectories for
- 353 the air masses associated with both events originate in the same region of the Arctic Ocean, however there
- 354 are differences in their geographic paths and altitudes. The air masses associated with Event 1 did not
- 355 pass over the leads offshore of Prince Patrick Island (Northwest Territories, Canada) and spent more time



Figure 5. Air mass back trajectory analysis for each growth event. (a) HYSPLIT 72-hour back trajectories for each event plotted with MODIS satellite images from 3/11, with one trajectory at the beginning of each event and one halfway through growth. Triangles mark 12-hour time points. The blue region in the upper-left corner is an imaging artefact and does not represent open ocean. Black boxes highlight regions with sea ice leads. (b) Average altitudes above ground level (AGL) of the two trajectories calculated for each event.

aloft, at ~350 m above ground level (AGL) which near the top of the estimated boundary layer during this

- time (Boylan et al., 2014), reaching surface level 24 hours before the start of the observed event. The air
- 358 masses impacting Event 2 passed over open leads off Prince Patrick Island and are much closer to the
- 359 surface (not exceeding 150 m AGL over the 72 hours), opening up the possibility that the source of the
- 360 species responsible for nucleation and/or growth came from these leads. Given the chemical complexity
- 361 of the marine upper boundary layer compared to near the surface (Zheng et al., 2021), the species
- involved in particle growth are likely to be different for these two events.
- 363 While we have no direct measurements of wind speed at these open leads, measurements performed at the measurement site provide some insights into the potential role of primary sea spray in 364 forming the initial seeds for condensational growth. When wind speeds exceed 4 m s⁻¹ over open water, 365 366 breaking waves tend to produce sea spray aerosol (May et al., 2016; Nilsson et al., 2001). This 367 phenomenon has been previously observed at Utgiagvik in the winter in sub-500 nm particles (Kirpes et al., 2018, 2019). During Event 1, the average wind speed recorded at the site was 5.3 ± 0.9 m s⁻¹. Lower 368 wind speeds were measured during Event 2 (average of $2.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) but in both cases there was 369 turbulent ice flow offshore from the measurement site visible in the Barrow sea ice radar (Mahoney & 370 371 Jones, 2020), which has also been shown to form sea spray aerosol in the Arctic (Nilsson et al., 2001).
- 372 Using the SICIMS measurements, we estimated the contribution to the measured growth rate at 373 the measurement site from condensation of H_2SO_4 using the equation provided in Kuang et al. (2010) for 374 Γ , the fraction of growth that can be attributed to H_2SO_4 condensation:
- $375 \quad \Gamma = \frac{2GR_{meas}}{v_1[H_2SO_4]\bar{c}_1} \tag{4}$

376 where GR_{meas} is the measured growth rate (nm h⁻¹), [H₂SO₄] is the average number concentration of H_2SO_4 measured onsite during the event (molecules cm⁻³), v₁ is the volume of a hydrated H_2SO_4 molecule 377 (1.7 x 10⁻²² cm³), and \bar{c}_1 is the mean thermal speed of the condensing H₂SO₄ monomer (nm hr⁻¹). From the 378 379 Γ calculations, the results of which are summarized in Table 1, we estimate that H₂SO₄ condensation 380 accounted for 22% of volumetric growth during Event 1 ($\Gamma = 4.5 \pm 1.5$) and did not contribute 381 substantially to Event 2 ($\Gamma = 139.2 \pm 4.2$). The Γ analysis assumes that the concentration of H₂SO₄ 382 measured during each event represents that which sustained growth during the entire period of growth. It 383 thus assumes that the air mass is exposed to a constant supply of condensing H_2SO_4 and overlooks the role 384 of inhomogeneities during growth (Kivekäs et al., 2016). Nonetheless, the distinct differences in growth 385 rates and apparent influence from H₂SO₄ on observed particle growth are evidence of fundamental 386 differences in the species and circumstances responsible for the observed events. The SSA measured for

387

bulk aerosol during these events were very similar, suggesting the differences in these events were not 388 impacting larger particle radiative properties.

389

390	Table 1. Ultrafine particle growth rates and H ₂ SO ₄ contribution	to growth (Γ)
	1 0 =	0

Event	T (°C)	GR _{meas} (nm h ⁻¹)	Г	$[H_2SO_4](cm^{-3})$
1 (12 March)	-26.4	0.862 ± 0.034	4.5 ± 1.5	3.7 x 10 ⁶
2 (13 – 14 March)	-27.6	2.12 ± 0.07	139.2 ± 4.2	3.0 x 10 ⁵

391

392 To further investigate the composition of the particles in each growth event, we next consider the 393 indirect measurements of UFP composition. Figure 6 shows 15 and 35 nm diameter HGF data for the two 394 events. An instrument malfunction resulted in missing 15 nm data during Event 1; that issue was resolved 395 midway through Event 2 but as a result we were only able to obtain one reliable measurement of 15 nm 396 *HGF* during that event. Based on the size distribution measurements shown in Figure 4, we postulate that 397 35 nm particle composition represents both background particles as well as those associated with the 398 growth events. While the condensing species are likely similar for both particle populations, prior studies 399 suggest a higher salt content in larger particles compared to smaller ones if these events began as primary 400 marine aerosol (Prather et al., 2013). Figure 6a shows both the average HGF distributions measured 401 during the growth events and the Gaussian fit to each distribution. The sampled particles in both growth 402 events were highly hygroscopic. The HGF for 35 nm diameter particles measured during Event 1 was 403 2.10 ± 0.10 . HGFs of 15 nm and 35 nm diameter particles during Event 2 were 1.67 and 1.94 ± 0.07 , 404 respectively. Measured HGFs of 35 nm particles are significantly higher than those measured during the 405 background period (Figure 2c), even compared to the period during 29 March when $[H_2SO_4]$ was 406 similarly high. This difference is evidence of a compositional difference between the particles generated 407 in these two formation events and the background ultrafine particles at the site, and we hypothesize that 408 the former was highly influenced by primary marine particle production whereas the latter is more representative of aged sulfate and organics as discussed above. The 35 nm HGFs measured for both 409 410 events are smaller than those previously reported for 50 nm mobility-selected sea salt particles (HGF =2.3) (Zieger et al., 2017) but fall in the range of nebulized 40 nm sea water proxy with varying amounts of 411 organic material (HGF = 1.9 - 2.3, see Figure 2c) (Fuentes et al., 2011) and are larger than that of 35 nm 412



418 nm particles were compositionally more homogeneous compared to 35 nm particles. The peak *HGF* for



Figure 6. (a) Measured *HGFs* at 90% RH for 15 and 35 nm size-selected particles during each event (15 nm data missing for Event 1). Markers are the averaged size distributions measured during each event. The error bars represent standard deviation and the number of measurements at each size is shown in the legend. Solid lines are Gaussian fits of the data. Distributions were normalized prior to plotting to facilitate comparison. (b)

Time evolution of Event 1 HGF. The Event 2 HGF distribution did not change during the growth period.



Figure 6. Volume fraction remaining at 40, 80, and 160 °C for 15 and 35 nm size-selected particles during (a) Event 1 and (b) Event 2. The error bars represent standard deviation and the number of measurements at each size is shown in the legend. Initial volume was assumed to be the volume at 30 °C.

15 nm particles, 1.67, is lower than that measured for 35 nm particles, in part due to the Kelvin effect but
also likely because of the relatively smaller contribution of primary marine particle seeds to overall
particle composition.

422 Figure 6 shows volatility measurements of 15 and 35 nm mobility-selected particles collected 423 during the events. We note first that the VFR data are very similar for both particle sizes measured in Event 1, whereas Event 2 shows more substantial differences between the two sizes. One possible 424 425 explanation for this can be seen in Figure 4, in which the size distribution of Event 1 (Figure 4a) appears to have a single mode and relatively low concentration of particles larger than 30 nm. In contrast, Event 2 426 427 (Figure 4b) occurs with overall higher concentrations of particles larger than 30 nm in diameter. Considering the exceptionally high measured HGF measured during both events, it is likely that the 428 429 differences in VFR during Event 2 arise from differences in the relative contributions of primary marine 430 aerosol. In Event 1, 15 nm UFP volume is almost completely lost at 160 °C whereas, in Event 2, 15 nm 431 particles appear to be somewhat less volatile. While generally it is true that background particles show 432 similar volatility at 160 °C (see Figure 3), there is a notable difference in size-dependence of the VFR data 433 from these events compared to that of the background period. For the latter, heating to 80 °C resulted in 434 19.2 ± 0.2 % VFR for 35 nm particles, whereas during Event 1, VFRs of 71 ± 4 % were measured for 15 nm and 72.57 \pm 0.01 % 35 nm diameter particles. Event 2 showed a similar behavior, with VFR of 61 \pm 3 435 % for 15 nm particles and 82 ± 1 % for 35 nm particles. Thus, compared to background particles, those 436

437 associated with these UFP formation events were significantly less volatile at 80 °C. We attribute this 438 difference in *VFR* at 80 °C to the relative contributions of organics and a low-volatility salt, which in the 439 case of the former is reported as ~40 % for laboratory-generated α -pinene SOA (Huffman et al., 2009) 440 and for the latter as ~100 % for ammonium sulfate and sodium chloride (Huffman et al., 2008; Villani et 411 al., 2007).

To summarize the observations of the two UFP growth events, both events display qualities of 442 443 regional new particle formation events, specifically sustained and continuous growth that persisted for 444 several hours. This suggests that particles formed over a large region and then were advected over the 445 measurement site, with the first particles detected corresponding to the least aged and the last ones the 446 most aged. Both events are characterized by higher HGFs than can be achieved by sulfate salts, and from 447 this we conclude that particles contained significant amounts of highly hygroscopic salts. These salts 448 typically have lower volatility than ammoniated sulfate. The high HGF measured are consistent with sea 449 salt consisting of NaCl and other trace elements, which is expected to be non-volatile at 160 °C (Mendes 450 et al., 2016; Villani et al., 2007). The non-volatile nature of NaCl was confirmed for 15 nm particles in 451 laboratory tests with our thermodenuder. Our measurements of 15 and 35 nm VFR at 80 °C are consistent 452 with published values for 25 nm VFR of marine nanoparticles sampled in Antarctica (Asmi et al., 2010) 453 and observations of 50 nm diameter particle volatility the Arctic and Pacific Oceans (Kim et al., 2015). 454 They are also consistent with reported 30-40 nm particle volatility performed in the North Atlantic (Quinn 455 et al., 2019) and coastal California (Bates et al., 2012), although those observations were performed at 456 higher temperatures (230 °C). Our observations of the importance of a component more hygroscopic than 457 ammonium sulfate in nanoparticle composition is inconsistent with the main conclusions of a study of 458 nanoparticle volatility performed at Svalbard by Giamarelou, et al. (2016), who concluded that 459 ammoniated sulfates dominated 12 nm particle compositon. That study, which did not have supporting 460 hygroscopicity measurements nor direct measurements of sulfuric acid, based its conclusion on the 461 observation that ambient particles completely volatilized at 230 °C. The results for Event 2 are consistent 462 with a comprehensive study by Clarke et al., (2006), which concluded that marine UFPs contained a non-463 volatile core with as much as 90 % by volume of a component that completely volatilized at 300 °C. We 464 hypothesize that the newly formed UFPs observed in this study are comprised of a mixture of volatile 465 organic species and a salt with hygroscopicity similar to sea salt or NaCl, but that largely volatilizes by 466 160 °C. We are not aware of any aerosol component that has this property but hope that future 467 observations can shed light on this intriguing property of newly formed particles in this region.

468	Our estimates of volume fraction based on the measured HGFs during these events are separated
469	into the composition of 15 nm particles during Event 2, which minimizes potential biases from
470	background particles and is therefore more representative of the species responsible for this event, and
471	that of 35 nm particles during both events, which we hypothesize as being influenced by larger seed
472	particles and higher levels of background particles. For the analysis of 35 nm particle composition, we
473	consider a mixture of sea salt ($HGF = 2.2$), sulfuric acid ($HGF = 1.9$), and oxidized organic ($HGF = 1.2$).
474	We acknowledge that our volatility observations may rule out sea salt but this is the only component that
475	we are aware of that could be responsible for the high HGFs observed in this study and so we apply it to
476	this analysis with the caveat that this component has this HGF but cannot be pure NaCl. Our Γ analysis
477	(see Table 1) suggests that sulfuric acid may contribute to 22% of particulate volume during Event 1, and
478	we use this information to constrain the contribution by sulfuric acid to composition during that event.
479	For the analysis of 15 nm particle composition during Event 2, we use the following HGFs from prior
480	studies: sea salt ($HGF = 2.0$) (Zieger et al., 2017) and oxidized organic ($HGF = 1.1$) (Virkkula et al.,
481	1999). Table 2 summarizes the results of the analysis of these HGF measurements by the ZSR method.
482	During both Events 1 and 2, 35 nm diameter particles contained significant amounts of the very
483	hygroscopic "sea salt", with an estimated volume fraction (ϵ_{SS}) of 0.74. The balance of composition is
484	predominantly sulfuric acid for Event 1 ($\epsilon_{SA} = 0.22$), and oxidized organic for Event 2 ($\epsilon_{ORG} = 0.26$).
485	Oxidized organics contributed a small amount to 35 nm particle composition in Event 1 ($\varepsilon_{ORG} = 0.04$).
486	Even though these results are based on measured HGF, they are qualitatively in agreement with the
487	measurements of volatility if it were true that the oxidized organics were of low volatility. This possibility
488	is also suggested in the study of Arctic aerosol volatility by Giamarelou et al. (2016). Finally, the
489	significant contribution of "sea salt" to 35 nm particle composition in both events agrees qualitatively
490	with the analyses of the size distribution, back trajectories and wind data, all of which point to the
491	likelihood that marine emissions were responsible for the initiation of these events. As for the 15 nm
492	diameter particles most associated with the UFP growth event, our analysis suggests that this event may
493	have also begun with the formation of primary hygroscopic sea salt-like particles, and then subsequently

Table 2. Estimates of the volume fraction of representative compound classes during UFP formation events, based on analysis of HGF data using the ZSR mixing rule. ε_{SS} : volume fraction of hygroscopic sea salt, ε_{SA} : volume fraction of sulfuric acid, ε_{ORG} : volume fraction of organic compounds.

Event	Dp (nm)	ESS	ESA	E ORG
1 (12 March)	35	0.74	0.22	0.04
2 (12 14 March)	15	0.63	0	0.37
2(13 - 14 March)	35	0.74	0	0.26

494 grew from the condensation of organic compounds. As mentioned previously, Event 2 was first observed

495 at the site with a measured modal diameter of 7 nm, so while this qualitatively agrees with the HGF

496 measurements that suggest a sea salt-like core, the HGF measurements suggest a much higher volume 497 fraction.

- 498
- 499

500 **4** Conclusion

501 In this manuscript, we report indirect composition measurements of ultrafine particles in 502 Utqiagvik, Alaska, observed during late winter and early spring (5 March – 14 April 2009). Our estimates 503 of size-resolved particle composition combine measurements of particle hygroscopicity and volatility with those of gas-phase H₂SO₄. During "background" periods with minimal local anthropogenic 504 505 influence, ultrafine particles in this region were characterized by low concentrations, especially sub-20 506 nm diameter particles. Under these conditions, particles exhibited moderate hygroscopic growth that 507 suggests a mixed organic-inorganic composition. Volatility measurements support this observation, with 508 less than 20% VFR measured for sub-100 nm particles. We estimated the volume fractions of 509 representative compounds using the ZSR method and found that ammoniated sulfate (~30% by volume) 510 and low-hygroscopicity oxidized organics (~70% by volume) could account for the measured 511 hygroscopicity of 35 nm particles. Gas-phase H₂SO₄ generally trends with solar radiation but did not 512 follow a regular diurnal pattern in this campaign. We also analyzed two ultrafine particle growth events 513 wherein the properties of the particles differed greatly from those measured during the background 514 period. Both growth events produced highly hygroscopic particles, but the differences in the average 515 measured hygroscopic growth factors (Event 1: 2.1; Event 2: 1.9), as well as growth rates and H_2SO_4 516 contributions to growth, suggest differences in their chemical composition. HYSPLIT back trajectories 517 and MODIS satellite imagery suggest that Event 1 was likely influenced by upper marine boundary layer 518 processes, while Event 2 passed over open leads in the lower boundary layer. Both hygroscopicity and 519 volatility data show that particles in both growth events contain a significant volume fraction of high 520 hygroscopicity, low volatility species and support a role for primary marine emissions similar to sea salt 521 as the initial seed for these events. The preponderance of evidence suggests that Event 1 particles were 522 composed of mixtures of sea salt-like species and sulfuric acid, whereas Event 2 particles contained 523 similar levels of salt but the balance of the composition was oxidized organics. This sea salt-like species, 524 which is highly hygroscopic but more volatile than NaCl, is not known and illustrates the necessity of 525 further measurements in this region. This study illuminates the importance of a multi-pronged approach to 526 indirect measurements of ultrafine particle composition and illustrates the variability that exists between 527 background aerosol and newly formed aerosol. It also highlights to potentially important role of low-

- 528 volatility, high-hygroscopicity primary marine species like sea salt as initiators of ultrafine particle
- 529 production in the Arctic late-winter. Additional measurements during this understudied time of year
- should be performed to better elucidate the processes driving particle production in this important region.
- 531

532 Acknowledgement

- 533 The authors wish to thank the organizers of the OASIS 2009 field campaign, the Barrow Arctic Science
- 534 Consortium for logistics support, and all of the researchers who contributed to the campaign. The authors
- 535 gratefully acknowledge funding for the analysis of this dataset by the Department of Energy, grant DE-
- 536 SC0019000. All data from the OASIS Barrow 2009 field campaign are publicly available at
- 537 10.5065/D6CJ8BM3.
- 538
- 539
- 540 Citations
- Abbatt, J. P. D., Leaitch, W. R., Aliabadi, A. A., Bertram, A. K., Blanchet, J.-P., Boivin-Rioux, A., et al.
 (2019). Overview paper: New insights into aerosol and climate in the Arctic. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2527-2019
- Allan, J. D., Williams, P. I., Najera, J., Whitehead, J. D., Flynn, M. J., Taylor, J. W., et al. (2015). Iodine
 observed in new particle formation events in the Arctic atmosphere during ACCACIA. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(10), 5599–5609. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5599-2015
- Asmi, E., Frey, A., Virkkula, A., Ehn, M., Manninen, H. E., Timonen, H., et al. (2010). Hygroscopicity
 and chemical composition of Antarctic sub-micrometre aerosol particles and observations of new
 particle formation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 4253–4271. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp 10-4253-2010
- Asmi, E., Kondratyev, V., Brus, D., Laurila, T., Lihavainen, H., Backman, J., et al. (2016). Aerosol size
 distribution seasonal characteristics measured in Tiksi, Russian Arctic. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(3), 1271–1287. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1271-2016
- Baccarini, A., Karlsson, L., Dommen, J., Duplessis, P., Vüllers, J., Brooks, I. M., et al. (2020). Frequent
 new particle formation over the high Arctic pack ice by enhanced iodine emissions. *Nature Communications*, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18551-0
- Barrie, L. A. (1986). Arctic air pollution: An overview of current knowledge. *Atmospheric Environment*,
 20(4), 643–663. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(86)90180-0
- Bates, T. S., Quinn, P. K., Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Hakala, J., Petäjä, T., et al. (2012).
 Measurements of ocean derived aerosol off the coast of California. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, *117*(12), 0–15. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017588
- Bergin, M. H., Ogren, J. A., Schwartz, S. E., & Mcinnes, L. M. (1997). Evaporation of ammonium nitrate
 aerosol in a heated nephelometer: Implications for field measurements. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *31*(10), 2878–2883. https://doi.org/10.1021/es970089h
- Bodhaine, B. A., Harris, J. M., & Herbert, G. A. (1981). Aerosol light scattering and condensation nuclei
 measurements at Barrow, Alaska. *Atmospheric Environment*, 15(8), 1375–1389.

- 567 https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(81)90344-9
- Boylan, P., Helmig, D., Staebler, R., Turnipseed, A., Fairall, C., & Neff, W. (2014). Boundary layer
 dynamics during the Ocean-Atmosphere-Sea-Ice-Snow (OASIS) 2009 experiment at Barrow, AK. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 119(5), 2261–2278.
- 571 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020299
- Browse, J., Carslaw, K. S., Arnold, S. R., Pringle, K., & Boucher, O. (2012). The scavenging processes
 controlling the seasonal cycle in Arctic sulphate and black carbon aerosol. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *12*(15), 6775–6798. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-6775-2012
- Burkart, J., Hodshire, A. L., Mungall, E. L., Pierce, J. R., Collins, D. B., Ladino, L. A., et al. (2017).
 Organic Condensation and Particle Growth to CCN Sizes in the Summertime Marine Arctic Is
 Driven by Materials More Semivolatile Than at Continental Sites. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 44(20), 10,725-10,734. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075671
- Burtscher, H., Baltensperger, U., Bukowiecki, N., Cohn, P., Hüglin, C., Mohr, M., et al. (2001).
 Separation of volatile and non-volatile aerosol fractions by thermodesorption: Instrumental
 development and applications. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, *32*(4), 427–442.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(00)00089-6
- Chang, R. Y. W., Sjostedt, S. J., Pierce, J. R., Papakyriakou, T. N., Scarratt, M. G., Michaud, S., et al.
 (2011). Relating atmospheric and oceanic DMS levels to particle nucleation events in the Canadian
 Arctic. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, *116*(21).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD015926
- 587 Clarke, A. D., Charlson, R. J., & Radke, L. F. (1984). Airborne observations of Arctic aerosol, IV:
 588 Optical properties of Arctic haze. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 11(5).
 589 https://doi.org/10.1029/GL011i005p00405
- Clarke, A. D., Owens, S. R., & Zhou, J. (2006). An ultrafine sea-salt flux from breaking waves:
 Implications for cloud condensation nuclei in the remote marine atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *111*(D6), D06202. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006565
- Cochran, R. E., Ryder, O. S., Grassian, V. H., & Prather, K. A. (2017). Sea spray aerosol: The chemical
 link between the oceans, atmosphere, and climate. *Accounts of Chemical Research*. American
 Chemical Society. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00603
- Collins, D. B., Burkart, J., Y-W Chang, R., Lizotte, M., Boivin-Rioux, A., Blais, M., et al. (2017).
 Frequent ultrafine particle formation and growth in Canadian Arctic marine and coastal
 environments. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 13119–13138. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp 17-13119-2017
- Covert, D. S., & Heintzenberg, J. (1993). Size distributions and chemical properties of aerosol at Ny
 Ålesund, Svalbard. *Atmospheric Environment Part A, General Topics*, 27(17–18), 2989–2997.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(93)90331-R
- Croft, B., Martin, R. V, Leaitch, W. R., Tunved, P., Breider, T. J., D'Andrea, S. D., & Pierce, J. R.
 (2016). Processes controlling the annual cycle of Arctic aerosol number and size distributions.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, *16*, 3665–3682. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3665-2016
- Croft, B., Martin, R. V, Richard Leaitch, W., Burkart, J., Y-W Chang, R., Collins, D. B., et al. (2019).
 Arctic marine secondary organic aerosol contributes significantly to summertime particle size
 distributions in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*, 2787–
 2812. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2787-2019

- Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P., & Lehtinen, K. E. J.
- 611 (2005). Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: Eight years of aerosol size distribution 612 data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland. *Boreal Environment Research*, 10(5), 323–336.
- Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D. C. S., Tunved, P., Krejci, R., Ström, J., Hansson, H. C., et al. (2017). Arctic
 sea ice melt leads to atmospheric new particle formation. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03328-1
- 616 Dall'Osto, M., Simo, R., Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Lange, R., et al. (2018).
 617 Abiotic and biotic sources influencing spring new particle formation in North East Greenland.
 618 Atmospheric Environment, 190, 126–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.019
- Dall'Osto, M., Geels, C., Beddows, D. C. S., Boertmann, D., Lange, R., Nøjgaard, J. K., et al. (2018).
 Regions of open water and melting sea ice drive new particle formation in North East Greenland. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24426-8
- Ferek, R. J., Hobbs, P. V., Radke, L. F., Herring, J. A., Sturges, W. T., & Cota, G. F. (1995). Dimethyl
 sulfide in the Arctic atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *100*(D12), 26093–26104.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/95jd02374
- Flowers, B. A., Dubey, M. K., Mazzoleni, C., Stone, E. A., Schauer, J. J., Kim, S. W., & Yoon, S. C.
 (2010). Optical-chemical-microphysical relationships and closure studies for mixed carbonaceous
 aerosols observed at Jeju Island; 3-laser photoacoustic spectrometer, particle sizing, and filter
 analysis. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(21), 10387–10398. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-1010387-2010
- Frieß, U., Sihler, H., Sander, R., Phler, D., Yilmaz, S., & Platt, U. (2011). The vertical distribution of BrO
 and aerosols in the Arctic: Measurements by active and passive differential optical absorption
 spectroscopy. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, *116*(18).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD015938
- Frossard, A. A., Shaw, P. M., Russell, L. M., Kroll, J. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Worsnop, D. R., et al.
 (2011). Springtime Arctic haze contributions of submicron organic particles from European and
 Asian combustion sources. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, *116*.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jd015178
- 637 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jd015178
- Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Massoli, P., Bates, T. S., & Quinn, P. K. (2014). Side-by-side comparison
 of four techniques explains the apparent differences in the organic composition of generated and
 ambient marine aerosol particles. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 48(3), v–x.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2013.879979
- Fuentes, E., Coe, H., Green, D., & McFiggans, G. (2011). On the impacts of phytoplankton-derived
 organic matter on the properties of the primary marine aerosol Part 2: Composition, hygroscopicity
 and cloud condensation activity. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*(6), 2585–2602.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2585-2011
- Garrett, T. J., Brattström, S., Sharma, S., Worthy, D. E. J., & Novelli, P. (2011). The role of scavenging in
 the seasonal transport of black carbon and sulfate to the Arctic. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
 38(16). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048221
- 649 Ghahremaninezhad, R., Norman, A.-L., Abbatt, J. P. D., Levasseur, M., & Thomas, J. L. (2016).
- Biogenic, anthropogenic and sea salt sulfate size-segregated aerosols in the Arctic summer.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(8), 5191–5202. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-5191-2016
- 652 Giamarelou, M., Eleftheriadis, K., Nyeki, S., Tunved, P., Torseth, K., & Biskos, G. (2016). Indirect

- evidence of the composition of nucleation mode atmospheric particles in the high Arctic. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121(2), 965–975. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023646
- Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Nyeki, S., Paulsen, D., Baltensperger, U., Galambos, I., & Kiss, G. (2004).
 Hygroscopic properties of water-soluble matter and humic-like organics in atmospheric fine aerosol.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 4(1), 35–50. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-35-2004
- Gysel, M., McFiggans, G. B., & Coe, H. (2009). Inversion of tandem differential mobility analyser
 (TDMA) measurements. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 40(2).
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2008.07.013
- Häkkinen, S. A. K., Äijälä, M., Lehtipalo, K., Junninen, H., Backman, J., Virkkula, A., et al. (2012).
 Long-term volatility measurements of submicron atmospheric aerosol in Hyytiälä, Finland. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *12*(22), 10771–10786. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-107712012
- Hall, D. K., & Riggs, G. A. (2015). MODIS/Aqua Sea Ice Extent 5-Min L2 Swath 1km, Version 6
 [March 2009]. Retrieved February 18, 2021, from https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MYD29.006
- Hämeri, K., Väkevä, M., Hansson, H.-C., & Laaksonen, A. (2000). Hygroscopic growth of ultrafine
 ammonium sulphate aerosol measured using an ultrafine tandem differential mobility analyzer. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 105(D17), 22231–22242.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900220
- Hawkins, L. N., & Russell, L. M. (2010). Polysaccharides, Proteins, and Phytoplankton Fragments: Four
 Chemically Distinct Types of Marine Primary Organic Aerosol Classified by Single Particle
 Spectromicroscopy. Advances in Meteorology, 2010, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/612132
- Heintzenberg, J. (1982). Size-segregated measurements of particulate elemental carbon and aerosol light
 absorption at remote arctic locations. *Atmospheric Environment (1967)*, *16*(10), 2461–2469.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(82)90136-6
- Heintzenberg, J., Leck, C., & Tunved, P. (2015). Potential source regions and processes of aerosol in the
 summer Arctic. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *15*, 6487–6502. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp 15-6487-2015
- Hinds. William C. (1999). Aerosol Technology: Properties, Behavior, and Measurement of Airborne
 Particles, 2nd Edition | Wiley. Retrieved May 17, 2021, from https://www.wiley.com/enus/Aerosol+Technology%3A+Properties%2C+Behavior%2C+and+Measurement+of+Airborne+Part
 icles%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780471194101
- Huffman, J. A., Ziemann, P. J., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., & Jimenez, J. L. (2008). Development and
 Characterization of a Fast-Stepping/Scanning Thermodenuder for Chemically-Resolved Aerosol
 Volatility Measurements. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, *42*(5), 395–407.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820802104981
- Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Mohr, C., Cubison, M. J., Ulbrich, I. M., Ziemann, P. J., et al. (2009).
 Chemically-resolved volatility measurements of organic aerosol from different sources.
 Environmental Science and Technology, 43(14), 5351–5357. https://doi.org/10.1021/es803539d
- Jennings, S. G., O'Dowd, C. D., Cooke, W. F., Sheridan, P. J., & Cachier, H. (1994). Volatility of
 elemental carbon. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 21(16), 1719–1722.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/94GL01423
- Karl, M., Leck, C., Gross, A., & Pirjola, L. (2012). A study of new particle formation in the marine
 boundary layer over the central Arctic Ocean using a flexible multicomponent aerosol dynamic

- model. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 64(1).
 https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.17158
- Karl, M., Leck, C., Coz, E., & Heintzenberg, J. (2013). Marine nanogels as a source of atmospheric
 nanoparticles in the high Arctic. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(14), 3738–3743.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50661
- Kecorius, S., Vogl, T., Paasonen, P., Lampilahti, J., Rothenberg, D., Wex, H., et al. (2019). New particle
 formation and its effect on cloud condensation nuclei abundance in the summer Arctic: a case study
 in the Fram Strait and Barents Sea. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(22), 14339–14364.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-14339-2019
- Keith, C. H., & Arons, A. B. (1954). The Growth of Sea-Salt Particles by Condensation of Atmospheric
 Water Vapor. *Journal of Meteorology*, *11*(3). https://doi.org/10.1175/1520 0469(1954)011<0173:TGOSSP>2.0.CO;2
- Kim, G., Cho, H., Seo, A., Kim, D., Gim, Y., Yong Lee, B., et al. (2015). Comparison of Hygroscopicity,
 Volatility, and Mixing State of Submicrometer Particles between Cruises over the Arctic Ocean and
 the Pacific Ocean. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *49*, 51.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01505
- Kirpes, R. M., Bondy, A. L., Bonanno, D., Moffet, R. C., Wang, B., Laskin, A., et al. (2018). Secondary
 sulfate is internally mixed with sea spray aerosol and organic aerosol in the winter Arctic. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18(6), 3937–3949. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3937-2018
- Kirpes, R. M., Bonanno, D., May, N. W., Fraund, M., Barget, A. J., Moffet, R. C., et al. (2019a).
 Wintertime Arctic Sea Spray Aerosol Composition Controlled by Sea Ice Lead Microbiology. ACS Central Science, 5(11), 1760–1767. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.9b00541
- Kirpes, R. M., Bonanno, D., May, N. W., Fraund, M., Barget, A. J., Moffet, R. C., et al. (2019b).
 Wintertime Arctic Sea Spray Aerosol Composition Controlled by Sea Ice Lead Microbiology. ACS Central Science, 5(11), 1760–1767. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.9b00541
- Kivekäs, N., Carpman, J., Roldin, P., Leppä, J., O'Connor, E., Kristensson, A., & Asmi, E. (2016).
 Coupling an aerosol box model with one-dimensional flow: a tool for understanding observations of new particle formation events. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, *68*(1), 29706.
 https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v68.29706
- Kolesar, K. R., Cellini, J., Peterson, P. K., Jefferson, A., Tuch, T., Birmili, W., et al. (2017). Effect of
 Prudhoe Bay emissions on atmospheric aerosol growth events observed in Utqiaġvik (Barrow),
 Alaska. *Atmospheric Environment*, 152, 146–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.019
- Kreidenweis, S. M., McInnes, L. M., & Brechtel, F. J. (1998). Observations of aerosol volatility and
 elemental composition at Macquarie Island during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment
 (ACE 1). Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103(D13), 16511–16524.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00800
- Kuang, C., Riipinen, I., Sihto, S.-L., Kulmala, M., McCormick, A. V., & McMurry, P. H. (2010). An
 improved criterion for new particle formation in diverse atmospheric environments. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(17), 8469–8480. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8469-2010
- Kupiszewski, P., Leck, C., Tjernström, M., Sjogren, S., Sedlar, J., Graus, M., et al. (2013). Vertical
 profiling of aerosol particles and trace gases over the central Arctic Ocean during summer. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *13*(24), 12405–12431. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-124052013

- Lance, S., Raatikainen, T., Onasch, T. B., Worsnop, D. R., Yu, X. Y., Alexander, M. L., et al. (2013).
 Aerosol mixing state, hygroscopic growth and cloud activation efficiency during MIRAGE 2006.
 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, *13*(9), 5049–5062. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5049-2013
- Law, K. S., & Stohl, A. (2007, March 16). Arctic air pollution: Origins and impacts. *Science*. American
 Association for the Advancement of Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137695
- Leaitch, W. R., Sharma, S., Huang, L., Toom-Sauntry, D., Chivulescu, A., Macdonald, A. M., et al.
 (2013). Dimethyl sulfide control of the clean summertime Arctic aerosol and cloud. *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*, 1(0), 000017. https://doi.org/10.12952/journal.elementa.000017
- Leck, C, Gao, Q., Mashayekhy Rad, F., & Nilsson, U. (2013). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Size resolved atmospheric particulate polysaccharides in the high summer Arctic. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 12573–12588. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-12573-2013
- Leck, Caroline, & Bigg, E. K. (2010). New Particle Formation of Marine Biological Origin. *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 44(7), 570–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2010.481222
- Liao, J., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Flocke, F. M., Orlando, J. J., Neuman, J. A., et al. (2012).
 Observations of inorganic bromine (HOBr, BrO, and Br2) speciation at Barrow, Alaska, in spring
 2009. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117(D14).
- 755 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016641@10.1002/(ISSN)2169-8996.OASIS1
- Malm, W. C., & Kreidenweis, S. M. (1997). The effects of models of aerosol hygroscopicity on the
 apportionment of extinction. *Atmospheric Environment*, *31*(13), 1965–1976.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00355-X
- Mauldin, R. L., Frost, G. J., Chen, G., Tanner, D. J., Prevot, A. S. H., Davis, D. D., & Eisele, F. L.
 (1998). OH measurements during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1):
 Observations and model comparisons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *103*(D13),
 16713–16729. https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00882
- May, N. W., Quinn, P. K., McNamara, S. M., & Pratt, K. A. (2016). Multiyear study of the dependence of
 sea salt aerosol on wind speed and sea ice conditions in the coastal Arctic. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *121*(15), 9208–9219. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025273
- Mendes, L., Eleftheriadis, K., & Biskos, G. (2016). Performance comparison of two thermodenuders in
 Volatility Tandem DMA measurements. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, *92*, 38–52.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2015.10.002
- NCAR. (2012). Location information and pictures of the OASIS Barrow field intensive Spring 2009.
 Retrieved February 3, 2021, from https://data.eol.ucar.edu/dataset/106.366
- Nguyen, Q. T., Glasius, M., Sørensen, L. L., Jensen, B., Skov, H., Birmili, W., et al. (2016). Seasonal
 variation of atmospheric particle number concentrations, new particle formation and atmospheric
 oxidation capacity at the high Arctic site Villum Research Station, Station Nord. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*, 11319–11336. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-11319-2016
- Nilsson, E. D., Rannik, Ü., Swietlicki, E., Leck, C., Aalto, P. P., Zhou, J., & Norman, M. (2001).
 Turbulent aerosol fluxes over the Arctic Ocean: 2. Wind-driven sources from the sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *106*(D23), 32139–32154.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900747
- Nyeki, S., Coulson, G., Colbeck, I., Eleftheriadis, K., Baltensperger, U., & Beine, H. J. (2005). Overview
 of aerosol microphysics at Arctic sunrise: measurements during the NICE renoxification study.
 Tellus B, 57(1), 40–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2005.00122.x

- O'Dowd, C. D., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Ceburnis, D., Mircea, M., Decesari, S., et al. (2004).
 Biogenically driven organic contribution to marine aerosol. *Nature*, 431(7009), 676–680.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02959
- Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Martucci, G., Bialek, J., Monahan, C., Rinaldi, M., et al. (2011). Primary
 marine organic aerosol: A dichotomy of low hygroscopicity and high CCN activity. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(21). https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048869
- Patterson, E. M., Marshall, B. T., & Rahn, K. A. (1967). Radiative properties of the arctic aerosol.
 Atmospheric Environment, 16(12), 2967–2977. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(82)90048-8
- Polissar, A. V., Hopke, P. K., & Harris, J. M. (2001). Source regions for atmospheric aerosol measured at
 Barrow, Alaska. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *35*(21), 4214–4226.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0107529
- Prather, K. A., Bertram, T. H., Grassian, V. H., Deane, G. B., Stokes, M. D., DeMott, P. J., et al. (2013).
 Bringing the ocean into the laboratory to probe the chemical complexity of sea spray aerosol.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110(19), 7550–
 7555. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300262110
- Quinn, P. K., Miller, T. L., Bates, T. S., Ogren, J. A., Andrews, E., & Shaw, G. E. (2002). A 3-year
 record of simultaneously measured aerosol chemical and optical properties at Barrow, Alaska. *Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres*, 107(11), AAC 8-1.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jd001248
- Quinn, P. K., Shaw, G., Andrews, E., & Dutton, E. G. (2007). Arctic haze: current trends and knowledge
 gaps. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.16000889.2006.00236.x
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Schulz, K., & Shaw, G. E. (2009). Decadal trends in aerosol chemical
 composition at Barrow, Alaska: 1976-2008. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *9*, 8883–8888.
 Retrieved from www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/8883/2009/
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D. J., Upchurch, L., Johnson, J. E., Moore, R., et al. (2019). Seasonal
 Variations in Western North Atlantic Remote Marine Aerosol Properties. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(24), 14240–14261. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031740
- Rahn, K. A. (1981). Relative importances of North America and Eurasia as sources of arctic aerosol.
 Atmospheric Environment (1967), *15*(8), 1447–1455. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(81)90351-6
- Rolph, G., Stein, A., & Stunder, B. (2017). Real-time Environmental Applications and Display sYstem:
 READY. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 95(1364–8152), 210–228. Retrieved from
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.06.025
- Sjogren, S., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., Cubison, M. J., Coe, H., et al. (2007).
 Hygroscopic growth and water uptake kinetics of two-phase aerosol particles consisting of
 ammonium sulfate, adipic and humic acid mixtures. *Aerosol Science*, *38*, 157–171.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., & Ngan, F. (2015). NOAA's
 HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *96*, 2059–2077. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-1400110.1
- Stokes, R. H., & Robinson, R. A. (1966). Interactions in aqueous nonelectrolyte solutions. I. Solutesolvent equilibria. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 70(7), 2126–2131.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/j100879a010

- Ström, J., Umegård, J., Tørseth, K., Tunved, P., Hansson, H. C., Holmén, K., et al. (2003). One year of
 particle size distribution and aerosol chemical composition measurements at the Zeppelin Station,
 Svalbard, March 2000-March 2001. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 28(28–32), 1181–1190.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2003.08.058
- Tanner, D. J., Jefferson, A., & Eisele, F. L. (1997). Selected ion chemical ionization mass spectrometric
 measurement of OH. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, *102*(5), 6415–6425.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/96jd03919
- Thompson, C. R., Shepson, P. B., Liao, J., Huey, L. G., Apel, E. C., Cantrell, C. A., et al. (2015).
 Interactions of bromine, chlorine, and iodine photochemistry during ozone depletions in Barrow,
 Alaska. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *15*, 9651–9679. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-96512015
- Tomasi, C., Lupi, A., Mazzola, M., Stone, R. S., Dutton, E. G., Herber, A., et al. (2012). An update on
 polar aerosol optical properties using POLAR-AOD and other measurements performed during the
 International Polar Year. *Atmospheric Environment*, *52*, 29–47.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.02.055
- Tremblay, S., Picard, J.-C., Bachelder, J. O., Lutsch, E., Strong, K., Fogal, P., et al. (2019).
 Characterization of aerosol growth events over Ellesmere Island during the summers of 2015 and
 2016. *Atmos. Chem. Phys*, *19*, 5589–5604. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-5589-2019
- Tunved, P., Ström, J., & Krejci, R. (2013). Arctic aerosol life cycle: linking aerosol size distributions
 observed between 2000 and 2010 with air mass transport and precipitation at Zeppelin station, NyÅlesund, Svalbard. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *13*(7), 3643–3660.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3643-2013
- Villani, P., Picard, D., Marchand, N., & Laj, P. (2007). Design and validation of a 6-volatility tandem
 differential mobility analyzer (VTDMA). *Aerosol Science and Technology*, *41*(10), 898–906.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820701534593
- Virkkula, A., Van Dingenen, R., Raes, F., & Hjorth, J. (1999). Hygroscopic properties of aerosol formed
 by oxidation of limonene, α-pinene, and β-pinene. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*,
 104(D3), 3569–3579. https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD100017
- Wehner, B., Philippin, S., & Wiedensohler, A. (2002). Design and calibration of a thermodenuder with an
 improved heating unit to measure the size-dependent volatile fraction of aerosol particles. *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 33(7), 1087–1093. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(02)00056-3
- Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., & Burtscher, H. (1995). Growth and Structural Change of
 Combustion Aerosols at High Relative Humidity. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 29(12),
 2982–2986. https://doi.org/10.1021/es00012a014
- Wiedensohler, A., Covert, D. S., Swietlecki, E., Aalto, P., Heintzenberg, J., & Leck, C. (1996).
 Occurrence of an ultrafine particle mode less than 20 nm in diameter in the marine boundary layer
 during Arctic summer and autumn. *Tellus B*, 48(2), 213–222. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.16000889.1996.t01-1-00006.x
- Willis, M. D., Burkart, J., Thomas, J. L., Köllner, F., Schneider, J., Bozem, H., et al. (2016). Growth of
 nucleation mode particles in the summertime Arctic: a case study. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*, 7663–7679. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7663-2016
- Zheng, G., Wang, Y., Wood, R., Jensen, M. P., Kuang, C., McCoy, I. L., et al. (2021). New particle
 formation in the remote marine boundary layer. *Nature Communications*, 12(1).

- 868 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20773-1
- Zieger, P., Väisänen, O., Corbin, J. C., Partridge, D. G., Bastelberger, S., Mousavi-Fard, M., et al. (2017).
 Revising the hygroscopicity of inorganic sea salt particles. *Nature Communications*, *8*.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15883
- Ziemba, L. D., Dibb, J. E., Griffin, R. J., Huey, L. G., & Beckman, P. (2010). Observations of particle
- growth at a remote, Arctic site. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(13), 1649–1657.
- 874 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.032
- 875