

Geomorphological and geological controls on storage-discharge functions of Alpine landscapes: evidence from streamflow analysis in the Swiss Alps and perspectives for the Critical Zone Community

Clément Roques¹, Sibylle Lacroix², Kerry Leith¹, Laurent Longuevergne³, Sarah Leray⁴, Elisabeth R. Jachens⁵, David E. Rupp⁵, Jean-Raynald DeDreuzy⁶, Nicolas Cornette⁷, Larissa Barbara de Palezieux⁸, Nicolas Oestreicher⁸, Alexandre Boisson⁹, Gordon E Grant¹⁰, and John Steven Selker⁵

¹ETH Zurich

²ETH Zürich

³CNRS - Université Rennes 1

⁴Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

⁵Oregon State University

⁶Geosciences Rennes, University Rennes 1

⁷Géosciences Rennes

⁸Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich

⁹Unknown

¹⁰USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station

November 21, 2022

Abstract

Predicting the impact of changing climate and anthropogenic influences on stream discharge dynamics and baseflow conditions requires insight into the main factors that regulate storage and transfer of water from hillslope aquifers to surface streams. Classically, it is assumed that above a certain scale, hydrological laws involved at small-scale can be simplified, allowing the representation of the landscape and its subsurface in models as a homogeneous hillslope with effective slope, length and hydraulic properties. From a comprehensive analysis of hydrological, geological and geomorphological databases available in the Swiss Alps we provide evidence that such simplification might lead to inaccurate estimates of streamflow dynamics at baseflow. We reveal that recession behavior strongly deviates from that predicted by idealized homogeneous theories. A correlation analysis allows us to identify which key features of the landscape might control this deviation, with particular attention to slope, drainage density, depth to bedrock, and lithology as the main drivers. We summarize the current knowledge of physical mechanisms that could lead to complex hydrological behavior in Alpine contexts, and we finally discuss implications in defining modeling strategies for the Critical Zone community.

Geomorphological and geological controls on storage-discharge functions of Alpine landscapes: evidence from streamflow analysis in the Swiss Alps and perspectives for the Critical Zone Community

Clément Roques^{1,2}, Sibylle Lacroix², Kerry Leith², Laurent Longuevergne¹, Sarah Leray³, Elisabeth R. Jachens⁴, David E. Rupp⁵, Jean-Raynald de Dreuz¹, Nicolas Cornette¹, Larissa B. de Palézieux², Nicolas Oestreicher², Alexandre Boisson⁶, Gordon Grant⁷, and John S. Selker⁴

¹Univ Rennes, CNRS, Geosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France.

²Department of Earth Sciences, Institute of Geology, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.

³Departamento de Ingeniería Hidráulica y Ambiental, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, RM, Chile

⁴Department of Biological and Ecological Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA

⁵Oregon Climate Change Research Institute, College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA

⁶BRGM DAT Bretagne, Rennes Atalante Beaulieu, 2 rue de Jouanet, 35700 Rennes, France

⁷USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA

Corresponding author: Clément Roques (clement.roques@univ-rennes1.fr or roques Clem@gmail.com)

Key Points:

- Catchment recession is influenced by non-linear processes due to heterogeneity of mechanisms controlling storage-discharge relations;
- These non-linearities raise questions about applying homogeneous assumptions (i.e., Bousinessq) when modeling catchment behavior;
- Considering lithological constraints alone do not allow description of the interactions between the subsurface and streamflow dynamics;
- Geomorphological and hydrological processes coevolve in shaping groundwater flow architectures and controlling storage-discharge relations.

Abstract

Predicting the impact of changing climate and anthropogenic influences on stream discharge dynamics and baseflow conditions requires insight into the main factors that regulate storage and transfer of water from hillslope aquifers to surface streams. Classically, it is assumed that above a certain scale, hydrological laws involved at small-scale can be simplified, allowing the representation of the landscape and its subsurface in models as a homogeneous hillslope with effective slope, length and hydraulic properties. From a comprehensive analysis of hydrological, geological and geomorphological databases available in the Swiss Alps we provide evidence that such simplification might lead to inaccurate estimates of streamflow dynamics at baseflow. We reveal that recession behavior strongly deviates from that predicted by idealized homogeneous theories. A correlation analysis allows us to identify which key features of the landscape might control this deviation, with particular attention to slope, drainage density, depth to bedrock, and lithology as the main drivers. We summarize the current knowledge of physical mechanisms that could lead to complex hydrological behavior in Alpine contexts, and we finally discuss implications in defining modeling strategies for the Critical Zone community.

Plain Language Summary

In introductory courses in hydrology, geomorphology, and geology, we learn that water flowing through the landscape weathers rocks that, in turn, influence the pathways by which water flows through the landscape. We also learn that the movement of water through the landscape carves and shapes that landscape, and that the shape of the landscape, in turn, changes the architecture of the hydrological cycle. Current hydrological models build to assess groundwater storage and streamflow behavior at regional to global scales tend to neglect this evolving architecture, representing the subsurface as a box with homogeneous properties where lithology is the main constraint on hydraulic properties. Based on the analysis of streamflow recessions across 54 catchments in the Swiss Alps we discuss the strong limitations arising from this assumption. We propose original hypothesis on the role of geomorphological processes in controlling the distribution of the water resources availability in space and time.

1 INTRODUCTION

Water is ubiquitous, being both a key component sustaining life, and a key actor for weathering, transporting energy and nutrients (Richter and Billings, 2015). As a liquid, water flows from high to low altitudes, at the surface and the subsurface, connecting and structuring different elements of our landscapes. Several recent studies have underscored the strong coupling between water and carbon cycles (Gentine et al., 2019), in which water availability, more than temperature, might be a dominant driver of ecological systems at local and interannual time scales (Jung et al., 2017). Understanding the processes that regulate how our landscapes store and release water into the stream network has been identified by the hydrological community as a major challenge (Blöschl et al., 2019), while being central to questions of the Critical Zone community (Fan, 2015; Fan et al., 2019; Gaillardet et al., 2018; Riebe et al., 2017). Such knowledge is essential for evaluating the resilience of hydrological systems to extended drought periods, planning for appropriate human activities, and anticipating the impact of global changes on water resources. Evaluating the influence of groundwater on stream dynamics is key (Alley et al., 2002; Taylor et al., 2013), but requires systematic quantification based on uncertain boundary conditions and state variables without elaborate calibration (Abbott et al., 2019). The hidden and cryptic nature of groundwater makes this a daunting challenge.

The storage and transfer of water across landscapes is controlled by a range of interconnected factors operating and possibly coupled at various scales, including plant interception, geomorphology, soil properties, geological heterogeneity and rainfall variability. Considering the wide range of spatial scales required to define water transfer (from leaf to basin), hydrological modeling strategies at catchment, regional and global scales rely on simplified assumptions to integrate the effects of surface and subsurface heterogeneities below a representative elementary area (Wood et al., 1988). In this context, the hillslope is classically considered as the elementary entity (Fan, 2015), where processes are characterized by effective geometric and hydrodynamic properties. However, studies have questioned such simplifications, which might introduce strong limitations in predicting streamflow dynamics, especially during baseflow (Clark et al., 2009). Simplifying or even neglecting processes may result in prediction errors, independent of the scale considered, such as interbasin fluxes (Liu et al., 2020), spatial and vertical distribution of flow paths (Clark et al., 2009; Harman et al., 2009; Rupp and Selker, 2005), influence of planform shape of elementary area on flow paths

(Paniconi et al., 2003), and spatial variability of recharge and evapotranspiration (Hartmann et al., 2017; Jachens et al., 2020; Tashie et al., 2019). This leads to two motivating questions: 1) in which geological and geomorphological contexts are simplified effective representations likely to fail to describe water storage-discharge dynamics? And 2) conversely, in which contexts are such simplifications still acceptable? Evidence from field observations is required to address these questions, and in turn, define relevant physical laws and parameterization of regional scale storage-discharge relations (Clark et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2019).

In this study, we test two complementary hypotheses regarding the potential controls of landscape heterogeneity on storage-discharge behavior. In the first hypothesis, we consider a representative elementary area smaller than the hillslope scale, and posit that storage-discharge processes involved at the catchment scale are not significantly influenced by landscape and subsurface heterogeneities. Stream baseflow dynamics can then be obtained from an aquifer represented by an effective reservoir whose hydraulic properties can be constrained from lithological properties (Clark et al., 2015; Gleeson et al., 2011; Kollet and Maxwell, 2006; Schaller and Fan, 2009). In the second hypothesis, we evaluate the contribution of landscape and sub-surface heterogeneity (including stream drainage organization, topographic slope, soil development and geology) to the storage-discharge relationship at the catchment scale heterogeneity (Biswal and Nagesh Kumar, 2015; Jefferson et al., 2010; Rempe and Dietrich, 2014; Yoshida and Troch, 2016). We test these hypotheses by exploring the relationship between landscape characteristics (spatial variability and correlation structure) and their storage-discharge functions, taking advantage of the combined hydrological and geophysical information available in regional datasets. We focus our analysis on catchments located in the well-instrumented Swiss Alps because of the variety of landscape and geological configurations. We summarize several hypotheses on the potential controlling factors of streamflow recession, as supported by a review of the literature. We finally draw some conclusions and perspectives for the representations of subsurface water flow for large-scale modeling strategies.


121 2 CONTEXT AND DATA

122 2.1 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND FOR HOMOGENEOUS AQUIFERS

123 Streamflow recession analysis is commonly used to estimate the storage-discharge functions
124 of catchments. This method is based on the analysis of the falling limb of the hydrograph
125 after a precipitation event. Saturated-flow theory (for horizontal homogeneous aquifers)
126 predicts that the rate of change in discharge of an aquifer to a stream scales with the actual
127 discharge following a power law relationship (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977).

$$\frac{-dQ}{dt} = a Q^b \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

128 where a and b are recession constants that can be related to geometric and hydraulic
129 properties of the aquifer system under predominately diffusive or kinematic flow and
130 idealized geometry (Rupp and Selker, 2006). b is specifically defined as the constant of non-
131 linearity in the storage-discharge function (Kirchner, 2009). A watershed's capacity to
132 maintain late-season streamflow is tightly linked to b (Figure 1b and c), wherein a resilient
133 stream will have large values of b (Berghuijs et al., 2016; Jachens et al., 2020; Kirchner,
134 2009). In real catchments, b has been shown to vary over a wide range of values, - from ~ 1 to
135 greater than 10 (Tashie et al., 2020). Reference models for homogeneous and horizontal
136 aquifers theoretically predict values from 1 to 3, following the “early” and “late” time
137 solutions derived from the Boussinesq solutions. Under low flow conditions, $b=1$ in the case
138 of constant aquifer transmissivity (i.e. where changes in the saturated thickness are
139 negligible), and $b=1.5$ for a homogeneous unconfined aquifer (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977;
140 Rupp and Selker, 2006; Troch et al., 2013). For an unconfined aquifer, values larger than 1.5
141 mark deviations from the homogeneous model that may come from heterogeneous geological
142 and geomorphological conditions, for example an aquifer with decreasing hydraulic
143 conductivity with depth (Rupp and Selker, 2005), or lateral heterogeneity in hydraulic
144 properties (Harman et al., 2009).



145

146 **Figure 1. a.** Conceptual representation of a hillslope from ridge to stream, in which the
 147 water table follows topography, and groundwater flow paths are organized from the
 148 recharge zone to discharge at the stream. The main metrics used in the manuscript are
 149 defined. K and ϕ stand for hydraulic conductivity and porosity respectively. **b.** Typical

150 **streamflow hydrographs for different values of recession timescale τ and constant of**
 151 **non-linearity b . The Y axis is in log scale. In c. are drawn the recession plots $-dQ/dt$ vs**
 152 **Q for the 4 hydrographs presented in b. Both X and Y axes are in log scales. Values of t ,**
 153 **Q , and τ in b. and c. are arbitrary.**

154 From the linear solution with $b=1$, the characteristic diffusion timescale, $\tau=1/a$, can be
 155 defined as being proportional to the square of the characteristic flow path length $L^2[m]$
 156 divided by the diffusivity, $D[m^2/s]$ (the ratio of the transmissivity $T[m^2/s]$ by the porosity
 157 ϕ : KH/ϕ , H is the aquifer thickness) of the aquifer connected to the stream (Brutsaert &
 158 Lopez, 1998). τ represents the half-life of storage (for $b=1$) so that for higher values of τ , the
 159 rate of change in discharge is lower so that discharge extend over a longer period than lower
 160 values of τ (Figure 1b and c). Drainage timescale has been estimated analytically by Brutsaert
 161 (1994) as:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{a}, \text{ with } a = \left[\frac{KH}{\phi} \right] \left[\frac{\pi^2 p l^2}{A^2} \cos \beta \right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\eta}{\pi p} \right)^2 \right] \quad \text{Eq. 2?}$$

162

163 where the first term between brackets represents the geological effect, where K and ϕ are the
 164 mean hydraulic conductivity and porosity, respectively; the second term represents the
 165 geomorphological influence, where β the slope angle, A the catchment area, and l the total
 166 stream network length (Figure 1). p is an empirical weighting factor generally taken between
 167 0.1-0.3 (Brutsaert, 2008, 1994). In the following we consider an average value with $p=0.2$.
 168 Finally, the third term includes the effect of slope (Rupp and Selker, 2006), with $\eta = B \tan \beta$, B
 169 being the characteristic hillslope length from stream to ridge.

170 This mathematical framework allows an estimation of the key geometrical and hydraulic
 171 properties of the landscape and its subsurface. In this study we characterize hydrological
 172 behavior by evaluating the spatial variations of both τ and b with respect to a range of metrics
 173 relating to catchment heterogeneity.

174 **2.2 DISCHARGE DATA**

175 We analyzed daily discharge time series measured from stream gauging stations maintained
 176 by the Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN). The selection of the watersheds
 177 was inspired by previous studies performed in the same area (Birsan et al., 2005; Clara

Santos et al., 2018) to which additional watersheds have been considered in order to cover a greater range of geomorphological and geological settings. We have selected gauging stations that have at least 30 years of continuous record and excluded those that could be influenced by extended lakes and the presence of potential anthropogenic regulations such as dams. These were identified through a careful analysis of national maps, aerial photographs, and a global database of reservoirs and dams.

We also checked for evidence in the discharge time series of regulation practices by looking at the presence of typical step-like changes in discharge at low flows. These criteria resulted in the selection of the 54 watersheds displayed in Figure 4 with a diversity of flow regimes, i.e., rain-, snow- and glacier-dominated regimes (Birsan et al., 2005).

2.3 LANDSCAPE PROPERTIES

We used the digital elevation model DHM25 GeoVite of Switzerland provided by the Federal Office of Topography to compute landscape properties previously identified in Eq. 2 (Figure 2a. and b.). The DEM has lateral resolution of 25 m and vertical accuracy of 1.5 m for the plains and the Jura, 2 m for the pre-Alps and Canton Ticino, and 3 m for the central Alps. The global lithological dataset GLiM (Hartmann and Moosdorf, 2012) was used for the lithological classification. The lithological units were classified into 5 main units: unconsolidated sediments, sedimentary, volcanic, plutonic and metamorphic rocks (Figure 4a).




Figure 2. Maps of the Swiss Alps with a) elevation, b) slopes, c) permeability values (m²) in log scale extracted from the GLHYMPS database (Huscroft et al., 2018), and, d) the depth to bedrock (Shangguan et al., 2017).

The geometry of the fluvial network was computed from the 25m resolution DEM using the Arc Hydro Toolbox implemented in ArcGIS (ESRI, 2011). The total length of streams l , was computed as the sum of the length of individual stream segments in a catchment. The drainage density (ζ) was calculated as the total length of the streams in the watershed divided by catchment area, $\zeta = l/A$.

Hydrogeological properties (Figure 2c), i.e., hydraulic conductivity and porosity K and ϕ , of the subsurface were extracted from the global database GLHYMPS (Huscroft et al., 2018). GLHYMPS provides values of permeability $k[m^2]$ that have been converted to hydraulic conductivities $K = k\rho g/\mu$ assuming water properties where $\rho = 1000[kg/m^3]$ is the density of water, $\mu = 1e-3[Pa.s]$ its density, and gravity $g = 9.8m/s^2$. If several hydrogeological units were present in the watershed, we computed the geometric average of permeability and porosity weighed by the area of each unit with respect to total catchment area. Variability (σ)

in K and ϕ where also computed as the geometric average of standard deviations of K and ϕ also weighted as a function of the area of hydrogeological units.

We compiled information about depth to bedrock (DTB, Figure 2d) available in the Global Depth to Bedrock Dataset for Earth System Modeling (Shangguan et al., 2017). Authors defined the DTB as the ‘*depth from the ground surface to the contact with coherent (continuous) bedrock.*’ The layer covering the bedrock includes unconsolidated sediments, soil and regolith. The map relied on three types of data sources: soil profiles, boreholes logs, and soft and remote observations - i.e. remote sensing data or literature. The dataset has a spatial resolution of 250m (Shangguan et al., 2017).

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 STREAMFLOW RECESSON ANALYSIS

In order to determine the storage-discharge behaviour of the different catchments, we performed streamflow recession analyses to estimate b and τ in two ways: 1) We estimated the parameters from the ensemble of recessions (often called the ‘cloud’ of recessions) in order to represent the average behavior (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; Kirchner, 2009); and 2) We estimated the parameters for every single recession to examine the temporal variability among recessions. For the analysis of the cloud, we used the binning and fitting approach proposed by Kirchner (2009). For the single recession analysis, we used the method proposed by Roques et al., (2017): we isolated segments in the stream discharge time series that decrease monotonously between recharge events. Recharge events were defined as discharge peaks that exceeded five times the absolute measurement accuracy, computed as the minimum discharge drop measured in the entire time series. The first day after the peak was excluded to reduce potential influence from the overland flow on the recession parameter estimation (Dralle et al., 2017).

We distinguished high flows from the baseflow regimes by considering a threshold discharge, set to the long-term median (Q_{50}). Although this value was arbitrarily set and has no specific physical meaning, it offers a consistent methodology across the catchments. This methodology presents the advantage of excluding periods in the discharge time series that are dominated by strong recharge from rain, snow or glacier melt. This means that the recession values for rain-dominated catchments are estimated during late summer and fall while for

243 catchments with snow and glacier melt regimes, estimates are made during winter when the
244 catchments are covered by snow and when streamflow is only controlled by groundwater
245 discharge (Andermann et al., 2012).

246 The recession derivative, $-dQ/dt$, was estimated using the Exponential Time Steps (ETS)
247 method proposed by Roques et al., (2017). The recession coefficients a and b in Eq. 1 were
248 determined using a linear least-squares regression on the log transformed data, i.e.,
249 $\log(-dQ/dt)$ vs $\log(Q)$ (Shaw and Riha, 2012).

250 The analysis included data from more than three decades for the 54 selected catchments. The
251 algorithm identified 54,000 recessions in total, among which 13,600 respected the selection
252 and quality criteria. This represents an average of 250 estimates per station. In the following
253 section, we describe how we computed the mean and standard deviation values of b_H , b_L (the
254 subscripts H and L stand for High and Low flow regimes, respectively) from a log-normal fit
255 on the distribution to avoid potential influence from outliers (Figure 3). In order to evaluate
256 the temporal variability of each parameter, we computed the coefficient of variations ($CV = \sigma/\mu$, where σ is the standard deviation and μ is the mean). The precision of the mean
257 is assessed through the standard error as σ/\sqrt{N} with N the number of samples.

259 **3.2 ESTIMATION OF RECESSION TIMESCALES AND HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES**

260 We estimated the characteristic timescale τ from the low-flow groundwater-dominated
261 segments of the recessions (i.e., for $Q_t < Q_{50}$) as $1/a$, and derived the effective hydraulic
262 properties of the catchments using Eq. 2 for the case of $b=1$ (exponential in Eq. 2). This
263 means that for each estimate of b_L (section 3.1) we also obtained an evaluation of τ . We then
264 compared fitted values of τ with those estimated analytically from Eq. 2 (Brutsaert, 1994)
265 accounting for the geometric and hydraulic properties extracted from the global databases
266 previously described.

267 **3.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**


268 Our main objective is to decipher the landscape factors controlling streamflow behaviour. For
269 this, we performed a cross-correlation analysis of hydrological and geomorphological
270 databases combining classical multivariate correlation approaches. We used a Principal
271 Component Analysis (PCA) to investigate the correlation structure among the ensemble of
272 the variables and isolate the main variable(s) controlling the variance in our dataset. We also

quantified the degree of correlation between each of the pairs of variables based on an analysis of the correlation matrix using Pearson's coefficient of determination r^2 .

4 RESULTS

4.1 HYDROLOGICAL REGIMES AND LANDSCAPE CONTROLS

To visualize the extent to which landscape properties control streamflow dynamics, we consider annual hydrographs for three stations located in similar lithologies, i.e. crystalline rocks, and alpine settings (Figure 3). The basin morphologies pictured on the aerial photos (first row) show typical glacier morphological features on the left (Fig. 3a) while the basin on the right (Fig. 3c) is characterized by a fluvial-dominated morphology (with 20% coverage of alluvial deposits). The basin in the middle (Fig. 3b) is a hybrid with upland cirque features characteristic of montane glaciers and a fluvially incised valley. The three landscapes show distinct hydrological responses (second row in Figure 3) with most of the recharge happening during spring snow-melt and precipitation (de Palézieux and Loew, 2019). On the left-hand side, maximum discharge happens during spring and summer with a clear peak in July followed by a long recession timescale on which short term discharge events of low amplitude are superimposed. On the right-hand side, discharge in response to snow melt happens to be of lower magnitude with faster recession. Precipitation events induce sharper discharge responses. This highlights the fundamental difference in the storage-discharge functions across these landscapes, controlled by differences in recharge dynamics and subsurface flow processes, with a higher efficiency for drainage (higher subsurface diffusivity) in the case of the fluvially dominated landscape (right). This is particularly visible when looking at a specific hydrograph typical of a drought year, here 2003 in Figure 3. While discharge in the glacier-dominated landscape (left) remains in the 10-90th quantile range, discharge in the fluvial one (right) drops significantly below the 10th quantile.



297

298 **Figure 3. Typical contrasts in alpine bedrock landscapes, organized by columns, and**
 299 **their hydrological responses. The ID numbers used by the Swiss federal office are**
 300 **displayed on the top of the figure. The top row presents an aerial view of the catchments**
 301 **with their drainage area drawn with red contours and the location of the gauging**
 302 **station represented by blue dots. Pictures were taken in July 2014 for the left hand one**
 303 **and the 2 others are from October 2019. The middle row displays the annual**
 304 **hydrographs for the 3 watersheds with the 10-90th quantile range displayed as the**
 305 **shaded cyan band, the median flow with a red line and in blue the hydrograph for 2003**
 306 **which was a year of intense drought in Europe. On the bottom row, the recession plots**
 307 **are shown as $-dQ_s/dt$ vs Q_s in a log-log space. Grey transparent points are the stacked**
 308 **recessions computed from the ETS method presented in section 3.1. We also display 3**
 309 **examples of single recession events chosen randomly that are identified with blue,**

orange, and yellow points. The vertical dashed lines represent the long-term median value of specific discharge that was used as the threshold to separate b_H estimated at high flows from b_L and τ estimated at low flows. Inserts show the histograms of $\ln(b_L)$ showing lognormal distributions. The red line represents the fitted distribution, while the magenta dashed line shows the mean value of $\ln(b_L)$ of the fitted distribution. Black continuous and dash-dotted lines serve as references for $b=1$ and $b=1.5$ respectively in both the main figure and the insert.

This difference is also visible in the recession plots (third row of Figure 3) displaying the rate of change in specific discharge, $-dQ_s/dt$, as a function of average specific discharge Q_s , in a bi-logarithmic scale. We highlight three individual recessions chosen randomly with different symbols and colors for each station to illustrate how the variability in responses operate across different recessions. For the glacier-dominated landscape (left), we notice the strong decrease in $-dQ_s/dt$ toward the lower discharge values which means the discharge is almost stabilizing. While for the fluvial dominated landscape (right), recessions do not show such stabilization with higher values of $-dQ_s/dt$ (stronger decrease in discharge over time). One may also notice that the variability in recession constants b_L seems to be greater in the case of the glacier-dominated landscape compared to the fluvial dominated one revealing stronger sensitivity to initial conditions prior to recession. This is confirmed in the histograms of b_L (inserts in Figure 3, third row) across the three catchments. All three histograms display lognormal distributions, i.e. $\ln(b_L)$ shows a normal-like distribution. Modes, means and variances of $\ln(b_L)$ evolve from higher values in the context of the glacier-dominated catchment toward lower ones in the fluvial dominated one.

We also draw attention to the pronounced differences visible between the individual recession power laws and the one from the cloud (grey dots). Similar to conclusions drawn from previous studies (Jachens et al., 2020; Tashie et al., 2020), it shows that single recessions do not follow the same laws as the lower envelope of the cloud of recessions and, by including other constraints including precipitation and recharge events, lead to different recession parameters resulting both from the medium characteristics and the climate forcing (Figure 3). For this reason, we do not further consider estimates of recession constants from the analysis of the cloud and focus on the estimations drawn from single recessions analysis (per Jachens et al., 2020; Roques et al., 2017).

4.2 SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION AND VARIABILITY OF STORAGE-DISCHARGE FUNCTIONS

Our analysis revealed anomalous values of the constant of non-linearity in storage-discharge functions b_H and b_L measured at both high and low flows respectively (Figure 4). While the average $b_H=3.06$ fits with the theoretical prediction of $b_H=3$, values range from a minimum of 1.75 to a maximum of 6.91 across the catchments (Figure 4b). b_L measured at low flows appears to be systematically larger than b_H , ranging from 2.51 to 14.78 with an average of 5.58 (Figure 4a). This is inconsistent with what would be predicted from the classical thin homogeneous aquifer case following Boussinesq equations, pictured with a red circle in Figure 4b.




Figure 4. a) Spatial distribution of the mean constant of nonlinearity b_L pictured by circles with color scale from blue to red on top of the lithological map. The coefficient of variation $CV(b_L)=\sigma(b_L)/b_L$ is represented by the size of the disks, b) shows the mean constant of non-linearity for each catchment estimated at both high (b_H) and low (b_L) flow regimes. The grey error bars represent the standard errors. For reference, the values expected from the Boussinesq theory for thin homogeneous aquifers is drawn as a red disk. A clear positive correlation is observed with values systematically larger for

recessions during low flows compared to the ones estimated at high flows. c) boxplot representation of the distribution of b_L categorized by the dominant lithology.

The spatial distribution of b_L and its coefficient of variation $CV(b_L)$ are mapped in Figure 4a. Higher values of b_L were found in high alpine contexts settled in crystalline rocks (Figure 4c) compared to the ones found in lower detritic plateaus. $CV(b_L)$ range from 50 to 150% with the highest values also located in the high alpine crystalline rock regions. This is confirmed by the statistical distribution of b_L for the main ensemble of lithologies (i.e. unconsolidated sediments, sedimentary and crystalline rocks), where crystalline rocks have higher values of b_L than unconsolidated sediments (Figure 4c).

4.3 ENSEMBLE CORRELATION OF RECESSION CONSTANTS WITH LANDSCAPE CHARACTERISTICS

Results of the statistical analysis are summarized in Figure 5. Figure 5a shows the main groups of correlated variables in the 2 first components of the PCA, which explain 55% of the total variance. In Figure 5b, we show part of the correlation matrix where the bubble size scales with the Pearson's correlation coefficient and the colors highlight positive and negative correlation in red and blue respectively. From this analysis, we identify the main hydrological and geomorphological variables that are correlated and their degree of correlation:

1. Constant of non-linearity b_L and recession timescales τ are correlated with each other and are aligned with the first Principal Component showing the first controlling factor of variance in the dataset;
2. b_L and τ are strongly correlated with geological and geomorphological properties of the landscapes, with a positive correlation with elevation, watershed slope, and crystalline rocks coverage, and negatively correlated with depth to bedrock (sedimentary cover), porosity and drainage density;
3. The coefficient of variation $CV(b_L)$ is correlated with the same set of parameters as the average value of b_L and τ ;

This analysis confirms that anomalously high values of b_L are observed in landscapes dominated by crystalline rocks, high slopes, low drainage density and low depth to bedrock while b_L tends toward lower values in flat landscapes dominated by unconsolidated sediments and high drainage density.





Figure 5. Results of the statistical analysis. In a) are displayed the 2 first components of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA), which explain 55% of the variance. The eigenvectors are grouped into 4 main categories according to the nature of their correlation, i.e. aligned with PC1 and PC2 and positively or negatively correlated. In b) is presented the correlation matrix of some of the parameters with the size of the bubbles scaled with the Pearson's coefficient and their colors revealing a positive (red) or negative (blue) correlation.

As expected, alpine landscapes with crystalline basements tend to have a higher average slope, less soil development (low depth to bedrock) and a lower drainage density; while landscapes settled in the valleys and plateaus with thick unconsolidated sediments present a much more developed river network. Hydrological behaviors for these two landscape end-members evolve from anomalous b_L with high variability in-between recessions toward lower values of b_L with low variability. These results highlight the strong control of landscape and

subsurface architectures on the average storage-discharge relationship from anomalous
hydrological behaviors in alpine crystalline settings, to behaviors described by homogeneous
aquifer theory ($b_L=1.5$) in systems dominated by detritic aquifers in valleys.



411

Figure 6. Scatter plots showing the correlation between the constant of non-linearity b_L (error bars show the standard errors) and its variability $\sigma(b_L)/b_L$ (organized as rows) as a function of geomorphological (left column) and geological (right column) parameters. a) b_L and b) $\sigma(b_L)/b_L$ vs average slope where symbols are color-coded according to the drainage density; c) b_L and d) $\sigma(b_L)/b_L$ vs average depth to bedrock where symbols are color-coded according to the proportion of crystalline rock cover. Error bars on the X

417

axis show the standard deviation for the main geomorphological and geological parameters.

4.4 PREDICTION OF RECESSION TIMESCALES

τ is a critical parameter when evaluating the resilience of surface and groundwater resources as it contains both a geomorphological information, by scaling with the hillslope length L^2 , and a geological information being inversely proportional to diffusivity D (Eq. 2). We found that effective diffusivities, estimated as L^2/τ , range over one order of magnitude from $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ to $6 \cdot 10^{-1} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 7a). This variability of values seems lower considering the variability in lithological and structural settings across the 54 selected catchments, largely independent of the catchment area sampled by the stream gauge (Figure 7a). From Figure 7a, we distinguished 2 groups of values that can be differentiated according to their lithology, in this case taken as the percentage of bedrock, with crystalline rocks globally showing lower diffusivities than other lithologies. This differentiation is expected as crystalline rocks tend to have lower permeability with respect to unconsolidated and sedimentary lithologies (Gleeson et al., 2014).




Figure 7. a) L^2/τ , proxy for hydraulic diffusivity $D[\text{m}^2/\text{s}]$, as a function of catchment area (error bars for Y axis are the standard errors), and, b) Average recession timescales estimated from single recession analysis (error bars for Y axis are the standard errors) vs diffusion timescales computed from Eq. 2 (Brutsaert, 1994)

accounting for the lithological interpolation of K and ϕ from the GLHYMPS database (Huscroft et al., 2018) (error bars for X axis illustrate the variability of τ computed for the range of K and ϕ available in the GLHYMPS database).

We also compared the values of estimated τ with those computed with Eq. 2 assuming a thick homogeneous aquifer with linearized Boussinesq flow solution (Figure 7b). Recession analysis revealed values of τ ranging from 10 to 110 days, with an average of 35 days. It is noteworthy to highlight that this 35-day timescale is close to that previously proposed as a representative estimate for effective groundwater parameters in several catchments (from 25 days in Brutsaert and Lopez (1998) to 45 +/- 15 days in Brutsaert (2008)), although those estimates were assessed with a different method (based on the lower envelope) than the one used here. However, Eq. 2 led to a much wider range of values, from 1 day to 104 days. While a few catchments are displayed along the 1:1 line, the vast majority shows strong deviations between observed and computed values. This result reveals the strong limitations of using Eq. 2 and effective hydraulic properties derived from a lithological database to estimate streamflow recession timescales at the catchment scale. Indeed, this assumption might fail in representing the complex architecture of groundwater flow involved in the subsurface.

5 DISCUSSION

Streamflow dynamics are controlled by numerous processes responsible for the transfer of water at the catchment scale. Groundwater plays the central role in this control, especially during baseflow periods, where the subsurface architecture shapes most of the dynamics and resilience of the system. Our analysis revealed unprecedented spatial and temporal variabilities in storage-discharge functions across the 54 selected catchments. This shows that groundwater contribution is not restricted to the drainage of a homogeneous reservoir, where the dynamics would be only controlled by effective hydraulic properties. This is confirmed by the strong deviation of the observed streamflow recession constants from the ones derived from analytical solutions considering homogeneous aquifers (Eq. 1 and 2). Here, we discuss the first-order landscape characteristics that may contribute to this deviation. We identify which types of landscape are likely to display anomalous storage-discharge functions and review current hypotheses regarding the main mechanisms that could be responsible.

5.1 GEOLOGICAL AND GEOMORPHOLOGICAL CONTROLS ON EFFECTIVE DIFFUSION TIMESCALES

Landscapes display immense variability in morphological traits, resulting from the complex interplay among tectonic, erosive, biospheric and anthropogenic forcings. The European Alps constitutes a unique natural laboratory to explore this variability. Several tectonic and lithological units can be distinguished, from the carbonates of the Jura Mountains, to the Molasse basins and the crystalline basements of the Infrahelvetic complex and the overlying Helvetic nappes (Kühni and Pfiffner, 2001; Schmid et al., 2004). These units have been shaped by nine major glacial - interglacial cycles since the mid-Pleistocene Transition that have triggered valley incision and glacial sediment output (Haeuselmann et al., 2007; Muttoni et al., 2003), depositing sediments in the valley bottoms (Fox et al., 2015; Korup and Schlunegger, 2007; Leith et al., 2018; Sternai et al., 2013; Valla et al., 2011). The present-day Alps can be considered an immature mountain range in which local relief is significantly greater than that which may be expected in a mature alpine setting, in which longitudinal valley profiles reflect a balance between stream power and uplift rate, and hillslope gradients are determined by that required for threshold sediment transport (Hergarten et al., 2010; Howard and Kerby, 1983). As such, most catchments presented in this study are likely to demonstrate characteristics consistent with environments in disequilibrium, including, steep non-linear hillslopes, migrating drainage divides, fluvial knickpoints and waterfalls, bedrock landslides, limited soil cover, and developing fracture networks consistent with strength-limited hillslopes (Figure 8).

Theoretically, differences in effective timescales, τ , can be expected to be associated with the variability in hydraulic properties of the rock mass, with τ proportional to $1/D = \phi/(Ke)$ (Eq. 2), and the effective length of subsurface flow paths, with τ proportional to L^2 (Eq. 2) often estimated by the direct crest-to-stream distance, (L in figure 1). K , ϕ and L are all constrained by the intrinsic mechanical properties of the rock mass: i.e. i) K and ϕ are inversely proportional to the rock strength; and ii) landscapes with more competent rocks tend to display larger valley spacing (Perron et al., 2009), and consequently larger L . Although critical, the flowpath length L^i (Figure 1) is a more difficult metric to estimate than a crest-to-stream distance. It depends directly on the geometric characteristics of the basin and of the drainage network (wavelengths, shape, slopes) as well as on the distribution of hydrodynamic properties in the subsurface. Both the geology and the morphology of the

basin shape the groundwater flow paths: the variety of lengths, the dimensionality of flow and its possible compartmentalization.

Our analysis revealed longer diffusion timescales for high alpine catchments while it appears significantly lower in catchments developed in structural valleys and plateaus. High elevation catchments are typically composed of low conductive and unweathered crystalline bedrock. Low conductive bedrock favors high water tables mostly following the topography, generating steep hydraulic gradients that favor both fast local flow and deeper paths through the bedrock (Gleeson and Manning, 2008; Haitjema and Mitchell-Bruker, 2005). However, catchments at lower elevations tend to be more compartmentalized with the development of soils and the presence of detritic deposits. This compartmentalization induces strong contrasts in diffusivity between aquifers which tend to concentrate fluxes in higher K and ϕ zones in the near surface (Figure 8).




Figure 8. In this figure we show conceptual representations in 2D cross sections of the co-evolution between hillslope morphological and hydrological behavior in alpine settings with, on the left side, an example of glacier-dominated hillslope with steep slopes, low drainage density where groundwater flow is mostly dominated by a poorly diffusive fracture network with deep flow paths. This landscape shows high diffusion timescales and storage-discharge non-linearity. On the right side is presented a hillslope with typical fluvial features influenced by advanced erosion and weathering, where the stream network density increases. Groundwater is organized in shorter flow path

lengths following the topography. This results in an evolution toward streamflow recessions typical of drainage of thin homogeneous aquifers.

The lithological constraint is classically used to calibrate the recession timescale τ . Our estimations of aquifer diffusivity, approximated by L^2/τ (Figure 7a.), confirmed lower values for crystalline-dominated catchments compared to the ones where detritic and sedimentary formations are involved. In the meantime, we found several order of magnitudes differences between the observed τ and the ones obtained analytically relying on the linearization of the Boussinesq equation (Eq. 2) where average values of transmissivity and porosity are extracted from global databases (Huscroft et al., 2018; Shangguan et al., 2017). While this result highlights the strong limitations of i) the global databases in representing relevant hydraulic properties to describe catchment scale processes, and, ii) the linear theory to capture the groundwater flow complexity, such differences in the ranges of values spanning several orders of magnitude was unexpected. From this result, we can argue that considering the lithological constraints alone do not allow a full description of the complex interactions between the subsurface and streamflow dynamics. The simplification of local geomorphological, hydrogeological and climatic processes that might control the distribution of flow paths introduce strong biases when estimating baseflow recession timescales and sensitivity to drought.

5.2 HETEROGENEOUS LANDSCAPES LEAD TO ANOMALOUS STORAGE-DISCHARGE RELATIONS

Anomalous values of b_L reflect strong non-linearities between storage and discharge revealing potential controls from subsurface heterogeneity. The data presented in this work show a gradient in the storage-discharge relation across the 54 catchments with high alpine landscapes tending to have greater values of b_L than catchments located in the low-land valleys and plateaus.

Landscapes are heterogeneous due to the organization of the topography (slope and hillslope length distributions) and subsurface (permeability, porosity and compartmentalization). Slope has been previously identified as a major driver for sustained low flows (Carlier et al., 2019, 2018) and higher b_L values (Karlsen et al., 2019; Mcmillan et al., 2014; Pauritsch et al., 2015; Rupp and Selker, 2006) resulting from the combined effect of kinematic flow and steep hydraulic gradients. Geology and subsurface heterogeneity exert additional controls on the

storage-discharge non-linearity in steep alpine catchments. Conceptually, anomalous storage-discharge functions can be explained by multiple linear reservoirs with different characteristic timescales (Perrin et al., 2003), where the degree of non-linearity scales with the variance in the distribution of τ (Harman et al., 2009). Our results suggest higher non-linearity in catchments dominated by unweathered bedrock at high elevation. In such context, groundwater flow and storage are controlled by a complex network of fractures and faults (Forster and Smith, 1988; Maillot et al., 2016; Welch and Allen, 2014) with an overall decreasing trend in hydraulic properties with depth (Achtziger-Zupančič et al., 2017; Rojstaczer et al., 2008). The strong variability in hydraulic properties, flow length and connectivity of the fractured bedrock favor high storage-discharge non-linearity. In addition, steep hillslopes might be subject to strong three-dimensional effects during drainage that might influence storage-discharge behavior, i.e. (1) stream network contraction in head basins during drainage (Biswal and Marani, 2010; Ghosh et al., 2016; Prancevic and Kirchner, 2019), and, (2) the rotation of flow length during drainage from perpendicular to parallel to the main stream channel controlled by hillslope angle with respect to stream slope. Both processes progressively increase the effective flow path length during drainage, which in turn increases the effective diffusion timescale (Jachens, 2020).

Moreover, catchments settled in weathered landscapes tend toward more homogeneous groundwater flow regimes. The development of fractures, soils and detritic aquifers in the near-surface with greater hydraulic conductivity concentrate groundwater flow, subparallel to the topography. In this configuration of simpler groundwater flow regimes, storage-discharge relations show lower non-linearity and lower drainage timescales (Figure 8).

While this convergence toward homogenization suggests that the assumption of Boussinesq flow could be valid, the dynamics of none of the 54 catchments analyzed here were well described with this assumption. Observed b_L from single recession analysis always deviated from the predictions established by the analytical solutions. Quantifying the relationship between aquifer compartmentalization, permeability architecture and storage-discharge behaviors at the catchment scale remains one of the main challenges in hydrology.

5.3 INITIAL CONDITIONS AND MEMORY EFFECT DURING RECHARGE CONTRIBUTE TO THE EMERGENCE OF NON-LINEAR STORAGE- DISCHARGE

Catchments with high storage-discharge nonlinearity, b_L , were located in high elevation bedrock catchments, and had higher coefficients of variation $CV(b_L)$. In these contexts, the storage-discharge behaviors are non-stationary and may change between recharge events. The initial conditions of saturation prevailing before the beginning of the recession might exert a strong impact in controlling the following discharge dynamics (Karlsen et al., 2019; Tashie et al., 2019). A conceptual explanation would suggest that, in a heterogeneous subsurface, groundwater flow is composed of a superposition of fast and slow drainage features, leading to complex recharge-storage- discharge superpositions between events (Harman et al., 2009; Jachens et al., 2020).

Alpine aquifers tend to include thick unsaturated zones, spanning over several tens to hundreds of meters (de Palézieux and Loew, 2019). In addition, low effective porosities of bedrocks favor strong seasonal variations of groundwater levels, mainly controlled by snowmelt recharge (Cochand et al., 2019; Manga, 1996; Safeeq et al., 2013; Somers and McKenzie, 2020). Assuming unconfined aquifers involved in a fractured bedrock, it means that the effective transmissivity can strongly and quickly evolve with the saturated thickness at the onset of drainage. It also implies that slow infiltration through the unsaturated zone might induce temporary storage that would be progressively released over long timescales (Luo et al., 2018; Rupp et al., 2009; Tashie et al., 2019; Wang, 2011). Those dynamic processes co-evolving during infiltration, saturated flow and discharge in Alpine bedrock catchments provides stream baseflow recession with a high variability in behaviors across recharge events.

5.4 CO-EVOLUTION OF LANDSCAPE MORPHOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY: CHALLENGES FOR THE REPRESENTATION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW FOR LARGE-SCALE MODELING

Results revealed an unexpected diversity of streamflow behaviors across landscapes. They suggest that the spatial variability in drainage timescales and storage-discharge non-linearity are tightly linked to geomorphological contexts, for which first-order proxies, i.e. lithology, slope, drainage density and depth to bedrock, can be used to anticipate complex behaviors.

This co-evolution implies that consideration of properties associated with geomorphological maturity and / or equilibrium (e.g. relief, hillslope angle, soil formation, bedrock fracturing, and drainage patterns) and hydrological characteristics (stream network architecture and dynamics, hydraulic properties) cannot be disentangled if one aims at properly capturing the dynamics involved during the transfer of water, especially during baseflow regimes for which groundwater is the main contributor to stream discharge.

Predicting the resilience of landscapes to climate and anthropogenic modifications will then require an improvement in the manner in which groundwater-surface interactions are considered in numerical models (Clark et al., 2015). This raises a two-fold modeling challenge from considerations of different geologic and geomorphic settings:

1. How to inform the model of relevant storage-discharge relationships?
2. How to parametrize models with equivalent hydrodynamic properties of the subsurface that properly capture the dynamics?

Addressing these challenges requires:

1. building a global dataset describing the architecture of the subsurface (Fan et al., 2015), where depth to bedrock, catchment scale hydrodynamical parameters and anthropogenic pressures would complement the lithological description;
2. building global databases of hydrosystem behavior for wide ranges of geological, climatic and anthropogenic contexts, with homogeneous data and information, in order to apply systematic data analysis and test current models (eg. CAMELS dataset from Addor et al. (2017));
3. strengthening collaborations between communities involved in field and modeling activities for geomorphological, climatic and hydrological studies. These collaborations would allow the definition of relevant indicators and models to predict the processes involved at the interface between groundwater and surface water.

Such initiatives would contribute to better define coupled groundwater-river interactions within Earth System Models (Burek et al., 2020), focusing on the definition of pertinent sub-scale parametrization of lateral subsurface flow involved at the catchment scale.

PERSPECTIVES

In introductory courses in hydrology, geomorphology, and geology, we are all introduced to the idea that the landscape is a coupled system. We learn that water flowing through the landscape encounters, alters, and weathers rocks with different properties that, in turn, influence the pathways by which water flows through the landscape. We also learn that the movement of water through the landscape carves and shapes that landscape, and that the shape of the landscape, in turn, changes the way water moves. These concepts of landscapes evolving and co-evolving in response to these forcings underlie the emerging field of critical zone science (Brantley et al., 2011; Fan, 2015). Yet a coherent and comprehensive understanding of these linkages still awaits us, in large part because we are still searching for integrated models, both conceptual and physical, that allows us to recognize general principles that underlie the specific mechanisms and processes at work in any given landscape. The work reported here is only a start towards these more general principles, but we offer the evolutionary sequence shown in Fig. 8 as at least a testable hypothesis for how hydrological behavior might change over the course and timescales of landscape evolution. We look forward to the emergence of other competing hypotheses, and the tools and insights necessary to test alternative three-dimensional models of coupled hydrogeomorphic landscape trajectories.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All data supporting the conclusions can be freely obtained on national to global databases. Hydrological data can be obtained from the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) database: <https://www.bafu.admin.ch/bafu/en/home/topics/water.html>. Digital elevation model DHM25 of Switzerland can be obtained from the Federal Office of Topography <https://www.swisstopo.admin.ch/> or alternatively on the Copernicus website: <https://land.copernicus.eu/imagery-in-situ/eu-dem/eu-dem-v1.1>. The global lithological dataset GLiM is detailed in Hartmann and Moosdorf (2012) and can be downloaded on <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.788537>. The global database GLHYMPS used to extract hydraulic properties of the subsurface is detailed in Huscroft et al. (2018) and available for download on <https://dataverse.scholarsportal.info/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.5683/SP2/DLGXYO>. The Global Depth to Bedrock Dataset is described in Shanguan et al. (2017) and available for download on <http://globalchange.bnu.edu.cn/> or <http://soilgrids.org/>.

Clément Roques would like to thank the Rennes Métropole research chair for funding his research. Part of the funding comes from the National Science Foundation grant 1551483. We acknowledge the support of SNO H+ network and OZCAR research infrastructure. The authors would like to thank all colleagues who have contributed in shaping this work through discussions and participation in field activities: Bjorn Bauhofer, Sarah Lewis, Cara Walter, Bjorn Bauhofer, Anne Nolin, Simon Loew and many others.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, B.W., Bishop, K., Zarnetske, J.P., Minaudo, C., Chapin, F.S., Krause, S., Hannah, D.M., Conner, L., Ellison, D., Godsey, S.E., Plont, S., Marçais, J., Kolbe, T., Huebner, A., Frei, R.J., Hampton, T., Gu, S., Buhman, M., Sara Sayedi, S., Ursache, O., Chapin, M., Henderson, K.D., Pinay, G., 2019. Human domination of the global water cycle absent from depictions and perceptions. *Nat. Geosci.* 12, 533–540. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0374-y>
- Achtziger-Zupančič, P., Loew, S., Mariéthoz, G., 2017. A new global database to improve predictions of permeability distribution in crystalline rocks at site scale. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 122, 3513–3539. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014106>
- Addor, N., Newman, A.J., Mizukami, N., Clark, M.P., 2017. The CAMELS data set: catchment attributes and meteorology for large-sample studies. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 21, 5293–5313. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-5293-2017>
- Alley, W.M., Healy, R.W., LaBaugh, J.W., Reilly, T.E., 2002. Flow and storage in groundwater systems. *Science* (80-.). 296, 1985–1990. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1067123>
- Andermann, C., Longuevergne, L., Bonnet, S., Crave, A., Davy, P., Gloaguen, R., 2012. Impact of transient groundwater storage on the discharge of Himalayan rivers. *Nat. Geosci.* 5, 127–132. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1356>
- Berghuijs, W.R., Hartmann, A., Woods, R.A., 2016. Streamflow sensitivity to water storage changes across Europe. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43, 1980–1987. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067927>
- Birsan, M.-V., Molnar, P., Burlando, P., Pfaundler, M., 2005. Streamflow trends in Switzerland. *J. Hydrol.* 314, 312–329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.06.008>

- 702 Biswal, B., Marani, M., 2010. Geomorphological origin of recession curves. *Geophys. Res.*
703 *Lett.* 37, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045415>
- 704 Biswal, B., Nagesh Kumar, D., 2015. Estimation of “drainable” storage - A
705 geomorphological approach. *Adv. Water Resour.* 77, 37–43.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2014.12.009>
- 707 Blöschl, G., Bierkens, M.F.P., Chambel, A., Cudennec, C., Destouni, G., Fiori, A., Kirchner,
708 J.W., McDonnell, J.J., Savenije, H.H.G., Sivapalan, M., Stump, C., Toth, E., Volpi, E.,
709 Carr, G., Lupton, C., Salinas, J., Széles, B., Viglione, A., Aksoy, H., Allen, S.T., Amin,
710 A., Andréassian, V., Arheimer, B., Aryal, S.K., Baker, V., Bardsley, E., Barendrecht,
711 M.H., Bartosova, A., Batelaan, O., Berghuijs, W.R., Beven, K., Blume, T., Bogaard, T.,
712 Borges de Amorim, P., Böttcher, M.E., Boulet, G., Breinl, K., Brilly, M., Brocca, L.,
713 Buytaert, W., Castellarin, A., Castelletti, A., Chen, X., Chen, Yangbo, Chen, Yuanfang,
714 Chiffard, P., Claps, P., Clark, M.P., Collins, A.L., Croke, B., Dathe, A., David, P.C., de
715 Barros, F.P.J., de Rooij, G., Di Baldassarre, G., Driscoll, J.M., Duethmann, D., Dwivedi,
716 R., Eris, E., Farmer, W.H., Feicabrino, J., Ferguson, G., Ferrari, E., Ferraris, S., Fersch,
717 B., Finger, D., Foglia, L., Fowler, K., Gartsman, B., Gascoin, S., Gaume, E., Gelfan, A.,
718 Geris, J., Gharari, S., Gleeson, T., Glendell, M., Gonzalez Bevacqua, A., González-
719 Dugo, M.P., Grimaldi, S., Gupta, A.B., Guse, B., Han, D., Hannah, D., Harpold, A.,
720 Haun, S., Heal, K., Helfricht, K., Herrnegger, M., Hipsey, M., Hlaváčiková, H.,
721 Hohmann, C., Holko, L., Hopkinson, C., Hrachowitz, M., Illangasekare, T.H., Inam, A.,
722 Innocente, C., Istanbuloglu, E., Jarihani, B., Kalantari, Z., Kalvans, A., Khanal, S.,
723 Khatami, S., Kiesel, J., Kirkby, M., Knoben, W., Kochanek, K., Kohnová, S.,
724 Kolehkina, A., Krause, S., Kremer, D., Kreibich, H., Kunstmann, H., Lange, H.,
725 Liberato, M.L.R., Lindquist, E., Link, T., Liu, J., Loucks, D.P., Luce, C., Mahé, G.,
726 Makarieva, O., Malard, J., Mashtayeva, S., Maskey, S., Mas-Pla, J., Mavrova-
727 Guirguinova, M., Mazzoleni, M., Mernild, S., Misstear, B.D., Montanari, A., Müller-
728 Thomy, H., Nabizadeh, A., Nardi, F., Neale, C., Nesterova, N., Nurtaev, B., Odongo,
729 V.O., Panda, S., Pande, S., Pang, Z., Papacharalampous, G., Perrin, C., Pfister, L.,
730 Pimentel, R., Polo, M.J., Post, D., Prieto Sierra, C., Ramos, M., Renner, M., Reynolds,
731 J.E., Ridolfi, E., Rigon, R., Riva, M., Robertson, D.E., Rosso, R., Roy, T., Sá, J.H.M.,
732 Salvadori, G., Sandells, M., Schaefli, B., Schumann, A., Scolobig, A., Seibert, J., Servat,
733 E., Shafiei, M., Sharma, A., Sidibe, M., Sidle, R.C., Skaugen, T., Smith, H., Spiessl,
734 S.M., Stein, L., Steinsland, I., Strasser, U., Su, B., Szolgay, J., Tarboton, D., Tauro, F.,

Thirel, G., Tian, F., Tong, R., Tussupova, K., Tyralis, H., Uijlenhoet, R., van Beek, R.,
van der Ent, R.J., van der Ploeg, M., Van Loon, A.F., van Meerveld, I., van Nooijen, R.,
van Oel, P.R., Vidal, J., von Freyberg, J., Vorogushyn, S., Wachniew, P., Wade, A.J.,
Ward, P., Westerberg, I.K., White, C., Wood, E.F., Woods, R., Xu, Z., Yilmaz, K.K.,
Zhang, Y., 2019. Twenty-three unsolved problems in hydrology (UPH) – a community
perspective. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 64, 1141–1158.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2019.1620507>

Brantley, S.L., Megonigal, J.P., Scatena, F.N., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Barnes, R.T., Bruns,
M.A., Van Cappellen, P., Dontsova, K., Hartnett, H.E., Hartshorn, A.S., Heimsath, A.,
Herndon, E., Jin, L., Keller, C.K., Leake, J.R., Mcdowell, W.H., Meinzer, F.C.,
Mozdzer, T.J., Petsch, S., Pett-Ridge, J., Pregitzer, K.S., Raymond, P.A., Riebe, C.S.,
Shumaker, K., Sutton-Grier, A., Walter, R., Yoo, K., 2011. Twelve testable hypotheses
on the geobiology of weathering. *Geobiology* 9, 140–165.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4669.2010.00264.x>

Brutsaert, W., 2008. Long-term groundwater storage trends estimated from streamflow
records: Climatic perspective. *Water Resour. Res.* 44, 1–7.
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006518>

Brutsaert, W., 1994. The unit response of groundwater outflow from a hillslope. *Water
Resour. Res.* 30, 2759–2763. <https://doi.org/10.1029/94WR01396>

Brutsaert, W., Lopez, J.P., 1998. Basin-scale geohydrologic drought flow features of riparian
aquifers in the Southern Great Plains. *Water Resour. Res.* 34, 233–240.
<https://doi.org/10.1029/97WR03068>

Brutsaert, W., Nieber, J.L., 1977. Regionalized drought flow hydrographs from a mature
glaciated plateau. *Water Resour. Res.* 13, 637–643.
<https://doi.org/10.1029/WR013i003p00637>

Burek, P., Satoh, Y., Kahil, T., Tang, T., Greve, P., Smilovic, M., Guillaumot, L., Zhao, F.,
Wada, Y., 2020. Development of the Community Water Model (CWatM v1.04) – a
high-resolution hydrological model for global and regional assessment of integrated
water resources management. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 13, 3267–3298.
<https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3267-2020>

Carrier, C., Wirth, S.B., Cochand, F., Hunkeler, D., Brunner, P., 2019. Exploring Geological

- and Topographical Controls on Low Flows with Hydrogeological Models. *Groundwater* 57, 48–62. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12845>
- Carlier, C., Wirth, S.B., Cochand, F., Hunkeler, D., Brunner, P., 2018. Geology controls streamflow dynamics. *J. Hydrol.* 566, 756–769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.08.069>
- Clara Santos, A., Manuela Portela, M., Rinaldo, A., Schaefli, B., 2018. Analytical flow duration curves for summer streamflow in Switzerland. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 22, 2377–2389. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-2377-2018>
- Clark, M.P., Bierkens, M.F.P., Samaniego, L., Woods, R.A., Uijlenhoet, R., Bennett, K.E., Pauwels, V.R.N., Cai, X., Wood, A.W., Peters-Lidard, C.D., 2017. The evolution of process-based hydrologic models: Historical challenges and the collective quest for physical realism. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 21, 3427–3440. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-3427-2017>
- Clark, M.P., Fan, Y., Lawrence, D.M., Adam, J.C., Bolster, D., Gochis, D.J., Hooper, R.P., Kumar, M., Leung, L.R., Mackay, D.S., Maxwell, R.M., Shen, C., Swenson, S.C., Zeng, X., 2015. Improving the representation of hydrologic processes in Earth System Models. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 5929–5956. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017096>
- Clark, M.P., Rupp, D.E., Woods, R.A., Tromp-van Meerveld, H.J., Peters, N.E., Freer, J.E., 2009. Consistency between hydrological models and field observations: linking processes at the hillslope scale to hydrological responses at the watershed scale. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 311–319. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7154>
- Cochand, M., Christe, P., Ornstein, P., Hunkeler, D., 2019. Groundwater Storage in High Alpine Catchments and Its Contribution to Streamflow. *Water Resour. Res.* 55, 2613–2630. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR022989>
- de Palézieux, L., Loew, S., 2019. Long-term transient groundwater pressure and deep infiltration in Alpine mountain slopes (Poschiavo Valley, Switzerland). *Hydrogeol. J.* 27, 2817–2834. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-019-02025-9>
- Dralle, D.N., Karst, N.J., Charalampous, K., Veenstra, A., Thompson, S.E., 2017. Event-scale power law recession analysis: Quantifying methodological uncertainty. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 21, 65–81. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-65-2017>

- 796 Fan, Y., 2015. Groundwater in the Earth's critical zone: Relevance to large-scale patterns and
797 processes. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 3052–3069. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017037>
- 798 Fan, Y., Clark, M., Lawrence, D.M., Swenson, S., Band, L.E., Brantley, S.L., Brooks, P.D.,
799 Dietrich, W.E., Flores, A., Grant, G., Kirchner, J.W., Mackay, D.S., McDonnell, J.J.,
800 Milly, P.C.D., Sullivan, P.L., Tague, C., Ajami, H., Chaney, N., Hartmann, A.,
801 Hazenberg, P., McNamara, J., Pelletier, J., Perket, J., Rouholahnejad-Freund, E.,
802 Wagener, T., Zeng, X., Beighley, E., Buzan, J., Huang, M., Livneh, B., Mohanty, B.P.,
803 Nijssen, B., Safeeq, M., Shen, C., Verseveld, W., Volk, J., Yamazaki, D., 2019.
804 Hillslope Hydrology in Global Change Research and Earth System Modeling. *Water*
805 *Resour. Res.* 55, 1737–1772. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023903>
- 806 Fan, Y., Richard, S., Bristol, R.S., Peters, S.E., Ingebritsen, S.E., Moosdorf, N., Packman, A.,
807 Gleeson, T., Zaslavsky, I., Peckham, S., Murdoch, L., Fienen, M., Cardiff, M., Tarboton,
808 D., Jones, N., Hooper, R., Arrigo, J., Gochis, D., Olson, J., Wolock, D., 2015.
809 DigitalCrust - a 4D data system of material properties for transforming research on
810 crustal fluid flow. *Geofluids* 15, 372–379. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12114>
- 811 Forster, C., Smith, L., 1988. Groundwater flow systems in mountainous terrain: 2.
812 Controlling factors. *Water Resour. Res.* 24, 1011–1023.
813 <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR024i007p01011>
- 814 Fox, M., Leith, K., Bodin, T., Balco, G., Shuster, D.L., 2015. Rate of fluvial incision in the
815 Central Alps constrained through joint inversion of detrital ^{10}Be and
816 thermochronometric data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 411, 27–36.
817 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.11.038>
- 818 Gaillardet, J., Braud, I., Hankard, F., Anquetin, S., Bour, O., Dorfliger, N., de Dreuzay, J.R.,
819 Galle, S., Galy, C., Gogo, S., Gourcy, L., Habets, F., Laggoun, F., Longuevergne, L., Le
820 Borgne, T., Naaim-Bouvet, F., Nord, G., Simonneaux, V., Six, D., Tallec, T., Valentin,
821 C., Abril, G., Allemand, P., Arènes, A., Arfib, B., Arnaud, L., Arnaud, N., Arnaud, P.,
822 Audry, S., Comte, V.B., Batiot, C., Battais, A., Bellot, H., Bernard, E., Bertrand, C.,
823 Bessière, H., Binet, S., Bodin, J., Bodin, X., Boithias, L., Bouchez, J., Boudevillain, B.,
824 Moussa, I.B., Branger, F., Braun, J.J., Brunet, P., Caceres, B., Calmels, D., Cappelaere,
825 B., Celle-Jeanton, H., Chabaux, F., Chalikakis, K., Champollion, C., Copard, Y., Cotel,
826 C., Davy, P., Deline, P., Delrieu, G., Demarty, J., Dessert, C., Dumont, M., Emblanch,
827 C., Ezzahar, J., Estèves, M., Favier, V., Fauchaux, M., Filizola, N., Flammarion, P.,

Floury, P., Fovet, O., Fournier, M., Francez, A.J., Gandois, L., Gascuel, C., Gayer, E.,
Genthon, C., Gérard, M.F., Gilbert, D., Gouttevin, I., Grippa, M., Gruau, G., Jardani, A.,
Jeanneau, L., Join, J.L., Jourde, H., Karbou, F., Labat, D., Lagadeuc, Y., Lajeunesse, E.,
Lastennet, R., Lavado, W., Lawin, E., Lebel, T., Le Bouteiller, C., Legout, C., Lejeune,
Y., Le Meur, E., Le Moigne, N., Lions, J., Lucas, A., Malet, J.P., Marais-Sicre, C.,
Maréchal, J.C., Marlin, C., Martin, P., Martins, J., Martinez, J.M., Massei, N., Mauclerc,
A., Mazzilli, N., Molénat, J., Moreira-Turcq, P., Mougin, E., Morin, S., Ngoupayou,
J.N., Panthou, G., Peugeot, C., Picard, G., Pierret, M.C., Porel, G., Probst, A., Probst,
J.L., Rabatel, A., Raclot, D., Ravanel, L., Rejiba, F., René, P., Ribolzi, O., Riotte, J.,
Rivière, A., Robain, H., Ruiz, L., Sanchez-Perez, J.M., Santini, W., Sauvage, S.,
Schoeneich, P., Seidel, J.L., Sekhar, M., Sengtaheuanghoung, O., Silvera, N.,
Steinmann, M., Soruco, A., Tallec, G., Thibert, E., Lao, D.V., Vincent, C., Viville, D.,
Wagnon, P., Zitouna, R., 2018. OZCAR: The French Network of Critical Zone
Observatories. *Vadose Zo. J.* 17, 180067. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.04.0067>

Gentine, P., Green, J.K., Guérin, M., Humphrey, V., Seneviratne, S.I., Zhang, Y., Zhou, S.,
2019. Coupling between the terrestrial carbon and water cycles - A review. *Environ.*
Res. Lett. 14. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab22d6>

Ghosh, D.K., Wang, D., Zhu, T., 2016. On the transition of base flow recession from early
stage to late stage. *Adv. Water Resour.* 88, 8–13.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.11.015>

Gleeson, T., Manning, A.H., 2008. Regional groundwater flow in mountainous terrain:
Three-dimensional simulations of topographic and hydrogeologic controls. *Water*
Resour. Res. 44, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR006848>

Gleeson, T., Moosdorf, N., Hartmann, J., van Beek, L.P.H., 2014. A glimpse beneath earth's
surface: GLObal HYdrogeology MaPS (GLHYMPS) of permeability and porosity.
Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 3891–3898. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059856>

Gleeson, T., Smith, L., Moosdorf, N., Hartmann, J., Dürr, H.H., Manning, A.H., Van Beek,
L.P.H., Jellinek, A.M., 2011. Mapping permeability over the surface of the Earth.
Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045565>

Haeuselmann, P., Granger, D.E., Jeannin, P.Y., Lauritzen, S.E., 2007. Abrupt glacial valley
incision at 0.8 Ma dated from cave deposits in Switzerland. *Geology* 35, 143–146.

67
68

859 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G23094A>

860 Haitjema, H.M., Mitchell-Bruker, S., 2005. Are water tables a subdued replica of the
861 topography? *Ground Water* 43, 781–786. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.00090.x)
862 6584.2005.00090.x

863 Harman, C.J., Sivapalan, M., Kumar, P., 2009. Power law catchment-scale recessions arising
864 from heterogeneous linear small-scale dynamics. *Water Resour. Res.* 45, 1–13.
865 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007392>

866 Hartmann, A., Gleeson, T., Wada, Y., Wagener, T., 2017. Enhanced groundwater recharge
867 rates and altered recharge sensitivity to climate variability through subsurface
868 heterogeneity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 2842–2847.
869 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614941114>

870 Hartmann, J., Moosdorf, N., 2012. The new global lithological map database GLiM: A
871 representation of rock properties at the Earth surface. *Geochemistry, Geophys.*
872 *Geosystems* 13, 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GC004370>

873 Hergarten, S., Wagner, T., Stüwe, K., 2010. Age and Prematurity of the Alps Derived from
874 Topography. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 297, 453–460.
875 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.06.048>

876 Howard, A.D., Kerby, G., 1983. Channel changes in badlands. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 94, 739–
877 752. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1983\)94<739:CCIB>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1983)94<739:CCIB>2.0.CO;2)

878 Huscroft, J., Gleeson, T., Hartmann, J., Börker, J., 2018. Compiling and Mapping Global
879 Permeability of the Unconsolidated and Consolidated Earth: GLobal HYdrogeology
880 MaPS 2.0 (GLHYMPS 2.0). *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 45, 1897–1904.
881 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075860>

882 Jachens, E.R., 2020. Using Recession Analysis to Characterize Watershed Responses to
883 Drought. Oregon State University.

884 Jachens, E.R., Rupp, D.E., Roques, C., Selker, J.S., 2020. Recession analysis revisited:
885 Impacts of climate on parameter estimation. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 24, 1159–1170.
886 <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1159-2020>

887 Jefferson, A., Grant, G.E., Lewis, S.L., Lancaster, S.T., 2010. Coevolution of hydrology and
888 topography on a basalt landscape in the Oregon Cascade Range, USA. *Earth Surf.*

- 889 Process. Landforms 35, 803–816. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1976>
- 890 Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Schwalm, C.R., Huntingford, C., Sitch, S., Ahlström, A., Arneth,
891 A., Camps-Valls, G., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., Gans, F., Ichii, K., Jain, A.K., Kato,
892 E., Papale, D., Poulter, B., Raduly, B., Rödenbeck, C., Tramontana, G., Viovy, N.,
893 Wang, Y.-P., Weber, U., Zaehle, S., Zeng, N., 2017. Compensatory water effects link
894 yearly global land CO₂ sink changes to temperature. *Nature* 541, 516–520.
895 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20780>
- 896 Karlsen, R.H., Bishop, K., Grabs, T., Ottosson-Löfvenius, M., Laudon, H., Seibert, J., 2019.
897 The role of landscape properties, storage and evapotranspiration on variability in
898 streamflow recessions in a boreal catchment. *J. Hydrol.* 570, 315–328.
899 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.065>
- 900 Kirchner, J.W., 2009. Catchments as simple dynamical systems: Catchment characterization,
901 rainfall-runoff modeling, and doing hydrology backward. *Water Resour. Res.* 45, 1–34.
902 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR006912>
- 903 Kollet, S.J., Maxwell, R.M., 2006. Integrated surface-groundwater flow modeling: A free-
904 surface overland flow boundary condition in a parallel groundwater flow model. *Adv.*
905 *Water Resour.* 29, 945–958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.08.006>
- 906 Korup, O., Schlunegger, F., 2007. Bedrock landsliding, river incision, and transience of
907 geomorphic hillslope-channel coupling: Evidence from inner gorges in the Swiss Alps.
908 *J. Geophys. Res.* 112, F03027. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000710>
- 909 Kühni, A., Pfiffner, O., 2001. The relief of the Swiss Alps and adjacent areas and its relation
910 to lithology and structure: topographic analysis from a 250-m DEM. *Geomorphology*
911 41, 285–307. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X\(01\)00060-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(01)00060-5)
- 912 Leith, K., Fox, M., Moore, J.R., 2018. Signatures of Late Pleistocene fluvial incision in an
913 Alpine landscape. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 483, 13–28.
914 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.050>
- 915 Liu, Y., Wagener, T., Beck, H.E., Hartmann, A., 2020. What is the hydrologically effective
916 area of a catchment? *Environ. Res. Lett.* 15, 104024. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba7e5)
917 [aba7e5](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba7e5)
- 918 Luo, Z., Shen, C., Kong, J., Hua, G., Gao, X., Zhao, Z., Zhao, H., Li, L., 2018. Effects of

71
72

- 919 Unsaturated Flow on Hillslope Recession Characteristics. *Water Resour. Res.* 54, 2037–
920 2056. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR022257>
- 921 Maillot, J., Davy, P., Le Goc, R., Darcel, C., de Dreuz, J.R., 2016. Connectivity,
922 permeability, and channeling in randomly distributed and kinematically defined discrete
923 fracture network models. *Water Resour. Res.* 52, 8526–8545.
924 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR018973>
- 925 Manga, M., 1996. Hydrology of spring-dominated streams in the Oregon cascades. *Water*
926 *Resour. Res.* 32, 2435–2439. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96WR01238>
- 927 Mcmillan, H., Gueguen, M., Grimon, E., Woods, R., Clark, M., Rupp, D.E., 2014. Spatial
928 variability of hydrological processes and model structure diagnostics in a 50km2
929 catchment. *Hydrol. Process.* 28, 4896–4913. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9988>
- 930 Muttoni, G., Carcano, C., Garzanti, E., Ghielmi, M., Piccin, A., Pini, R., Rogledi, S.,
931 Sciunnach, D., 2003. Onset of major Pleistocene glaciations in the Alps. *Geology* 31,
932 989. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G19445.1>
- 933 Paniconi, C., Troch, P.A., Van Loon, E.E., Hilberts, A.G.J., 2003. Hillslope-storage
934 Boussinesq model for subsurface flow and variable source areas along complex
935 hillslopes: 2. Intercomparison with a three-dimensional Richards equation model. *Water*
936 *Resour. Res.* 39. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002WR001730>
- 937 Pauritsch, M., Birk, S., Wagner, T., Hergarten, S., Winkler, G., 2015. Analytical
938 approximations of discharge recessions for steeply sloping aquifers in alpine
939 catchments. *Water Resour. Res.* 51, 8729–8740. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017749>
- 940 Perrin, C., Michel, C., Andréassian, V., 2003. Improvement of a parsimonious model for
941 streamflow simulation. *J. Hydrol.* 279, 275–289. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(03\)00225-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00225-7)
942
- 943 Perron, J.T., Kirchner, J.W., Dietrich, W.E., 2009. Formation of evenly spaced ridges and
944 valleys. *Nature* 460, 502–505. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08174>
- 945 Prancevic, J.P., Kirchner, J.W., 2019. Topographic Controls on the Extension and Retraction
946 of Flowing Streams. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46, 2084–2092.
947 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081799>
- 948 Rempe, D.M., Dietrich, W.E., 2014. A bottom-up control on fresh-bedrock topography under

- landscapes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 6576–6581.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404763111>
- Richter, D. deB., Billings, S.A., 2015. ‘One physical system’: Tansley’s ecosystem as Earth’s critical zone. *New Phytol.* 206, 900–912. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13338>
- Riebe, C.S., Hahm, W.J., Brantley, S.L., 2017. Controls on deep critical zone architecture: a historical review and four testable hypotheses. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms* 42, 128–156. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4052>
- Rojstaczer, S.A., Ingebritsen, S.E., Hayba, D.O., 2008. Permeability of continental crust influenced by internal and external forcing. *Geofluids* 8, 128–139. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-8123.2008.00211.x>
- Roques, C., Rupp, D.E., Selker, J.S., 2017. Improved streamflow recession parameter estimation with attention to calculation of $-dQ/dt$. *Adv. Water Resour.* 108, 29–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.07.013>
- Rupp, D.E., Schmidt, J., Woods, R.A., Bidwell, V.J., 2009. Analytical assessment and parameter estimation of a low-dimensional groundwater model. *J. Hydrol.* 377, 143–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.018>
- Rupp, D.E., Selker, J.S., 2006. On the use of the Boussinesq equation for interpreting recession hydrographs from sloping aquifers. *Water Resour. Res.* 42, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005080>
- Rupp, D.E., Selker, J.S., 2005. Drainage of a horizontal Boussinesq aquifer with a power law hydraulic conductivity profile. *Water Resour. Res.* 41, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005WR004241>
- Safeeq, M., Grant, G.E., Lewis, S.L., Tague, C.L., 2013. Coupling snowpack and groundwater dynamics to interpret historical streamflow trends in the western United States. *Hydrol. Process.* 27, 655–668. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9628>
- Schaller, M.F., Fan, Y., 2009. River basins as groundwater exporters and importers: Implications for water cycle and climate modeling. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, D04103. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010636>
- Schmid, S.M., Förschuh, B., Kissling, E., Schuster, R., 2004. Tectonic map and overall architecture of the Alpine orogen. *Eclogae Geol. Helv.* 97, 93–117.

- 75
76
- 979 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00015-004-1113-x>
- 980 Shangguan, W., Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Yuan, H., Dai, Y., 2017. Mapping the global
981 depth to bedrock for land surface modeling. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 9, 65–88. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000686)
982 doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000686
- 983 Shaw, S.B., Riha, S.J., 2012. Examining individual recession events instead of a data cloud:
984 Using a modified interpretation of dQ/dt -Q streamflow recession in glaciated watersheds
985 to better inform models of low flow. *J. Hydrol.* 434–435, 46–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.034)
986 [j.jhydrol.2012.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.034)
- 987 Somers, L.D., McKenzie, J.M., 2020. A review of groundwater in high mountain
988 environments. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water* 7, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1475>
- 989 Sternai, P., Herman, F., Valla, P.G., Champagnac, J.D., 2013. Spatial and temporal variations
990 of glacial erosion in the Rhône valley (Swiss Alps): Insights from numerical modeling.
991 *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 368, 119–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.02.039>
- 992 Tashie, A., Pavelsky, T., Band, L.E., 2020. An Empirical Reevaluation of Streamflow
993 Recession Analysis at the Continental Scale. *Water Resour. Res.* 56, 1–18.
994 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025448>
- 995 Tashie, A., Scaife, C.I., Band, L.E., 2019. Transpiration and subsurface controls of
996 streamflow recession characteristics. *Hydrol. Process.* 33, 2561–2575.
997 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13530>
- 998 Taylor, R.G., Scanlon, B., Döll, P., Rodell, M., van Beek, R., Wada, Y., Longuevergne, L.,
999 Leblanc, M., Famiglietti, J.S., Edmunds, M., Konikow, L., Green, T.R., Chen, J.,
1000 Taniguchi, M., Bierkens, M.F.P., MacDonald, A., Fan, Y., Maxwell, R.M., Yechieli, Y.,
1001 Gurdak, J.J., Allen, D.M., Shamsudduha, M., Hiscock, K., Yeh, P.J.F., Holman, I.,
1002 Treidel, H., 2013. Ground water and climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 3, 322–329.
1003 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1744>
- 1004 Troch, P.A., Berne, A., Bogaart, P., Harman, C., Hilberts, A.G.J., Lyon, S.W., Paniconi, C.,
1005 Pauwels, V.R.N., Rupp, D.E., Selker, J.S., Teuling, A.J., Uijlenhoet, R., Verhoest,
1006 N.E.C., 2013. The importance of hydraulic groundwater theory in catchment hydrology:
1007 The legacy of Wilfried Brutsaert and Jean-Yves Parlange. *Water Resour. Res.* 49, 5099–
1008 5116. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20407>

- 1009 Valla, P.G., Shuster, D.L., van der Beek, P.A., 2011. Significant increase in relief of the
1010 European Alps during mid-Pleistocene glaciations. *Nat. Geosci.* 4, 688–692.
1011 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1242>
- 1012 Wang, D., 2011. On the base flow recession at the Panola Mountain Research Watershed,
1013 Georgia, United States. *Water Resour. Res.* 47, 1–10.
1014 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009910>
- 1015 Welch, L.A., Allen, D.M., 2014. Hydraulic conductivity characteristics in mountains and
1016 implications for conceptualizing bedrock groundwater flow. *Hydrogeol. J.* 22, 1003–
1017 1026. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-014-1121-5>
- 1018 Wood, E.F., Sivapalan, M., Beven, K., Band, L., 1988. Effects of spatial variability and scale
1019 with implications to hydrologic modeling. *J. Hydrol.* 102, 29–47.
1020 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(88\)90090-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(88)90090-X)
- 1021 Yoshida, T., Troch, P.A., 2016. Coevolution of volcanic catchments in Japan. *Hydrol. Earth*
1022 *Syst. Sci.* 20, 1133–1150. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-1133-2016>
- 1023