Interactive Impacts of Uncertainties in Bias-Corrected Hydrologic Simulations: Southern China

Chen Li¹, Guohe Huang^{*1}, and Guanhui Cheng¹

¹University of Regina

November 23, 2022

Abstract

This study aims to comprehensively examine diverse uncertainties/multiplicities (e.g., performance indicators, bias-correction methods, hydrologic models, bias-correction schemes, predictor combinations, watersheds, streamflow magnitudes, and temporal scales) in bias-corrected hydrologic simulations (BCHS). The focus is placed on the variations of BCHS accuracies (representing climatic impacts on runoffs) with every uncertainty, as well as their interactions with the other uncertainties. To achieve this, an integrated bias-corrected hydro-modeling uncertainty analysis approach (IBCHMUA) is developed based on one advanced hydro-modeling method, i.e., discrete principal-monotonicity inference (DiPMI), and two hydrologic models, i.e., Xin'anjiang and HyMOD. IBCHMUA is applied to two representative watersheds (Xiangxi and Zhongzhou) in southern China. Many findings are revealed. For instance, it is necessary to apply multiple performance indicators and DiPMI is effective in correcting hydro-model biases. Every uncertainty poses significant impacts on BCHS, and the significance of the impacts further varies with all or part of the other uncertainties. BCHS accuracies (or the estimated climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China) increase from daily to monthly scales, from Xiangxi to Zhongzhou Watersheds, from the highest through the lowest to the overall runoff magnitudes, from Xin'anjiang to HyMOD models, and from original to bias-corrected hydrologic simulations. Meanwhile, the impacts of the uncertainties in BCHS decrease from bias-correction schemes, temporal scales, streamflow magnitudes, hydrologic models or predictor combinations, to watersheds. These findings are helpful for reducing the complexity and enhancing the reliability of BCHS under diverse uncertainties, and point out the importance of taking into account the interactions of the uncertainties in BCHS studies.

1 Interactive Impacts of Uncertainties in Bias-Corrected Hydrologic Simulations: Southern

2 China

- 3
- 4 Chen Li¹, Guohe Huang^{1*}, Guanhui Cheng^{1*}
- ⁵ ¹ Institute for Energy, Environment and Sustainable Communities, University of
- 6 Regina, Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2, Canada
- 7 Corresponding author: Guohe Huang (huang@iseis.org); Guanhui Cheng
- 8 (guanhuicheng@gmail.com).
- 9

10 Key Points:

- An approach was developed to comprehensively examine diverse uncertainties
 in bias-corrected hydrologic simulations over southern China.
- Uncertainty impacts: bias-correction schemes > temporal scales > streamflow
 magnitudes > hydrologic models or predictor combinations > watersheds.
- Every uncertainty poses significant impacts on simulations, which further
 varies with all or part of the other uncertainties.
- 17

18 Abstract:

19 This study aims to comprehensively examine diverse uncertainties/multiplicities (e.g., performance indicators, bias-correction methods, hydrologic models, bias-20 correction schemes, predictor combinations, watersheds, streamflow magnitudes, and 21 22 temporal scales) in bias-corrected hydrologic simulations (BCHS). The focus is 23 placed on the variations of BCHS accuracies (representing climatic impacts on runoffs) with every uncertainty, as well as their interactions with the other 24 25 uncertainties. To achieve this, an integrated bias-corrected hydro-modeling uncertainty analysis approach (IBCHMUA) is developed based on one advanced 26 hydro-modeling method, i.e., discrete principal-monotonicity inference (DiPMI), and 27 two hydrologic models, i.e., Xin'anjiang and HyMOD. IBCHMUA is applied to two 28 29 representative watersheds (Xiangxi and Zhongzhou) in southern China. Many 30 findings are revealed. For instance, it is necessary to apply multiple performance indicators and DiPMI is effective in correcting hydro-model biases. Every uncertainty 31 poses significant impacts on BCHS, and the significance of the impacts further varies 32 with all or part of the other uncertainties. BCHS accuracies (or the estimated climatic 33 34 impacts on runoffs in southern China) increase from daily to monthly scales, from 35 Xiangxi to Zhongzhou Watersheds, from the highest through the lowest to the overall runoff magnitudes, from Xin'anjiang to HyMOD models, and from original to bias-36 corrected hydrologic simulations. Meanwhile, the impacts of the uncertainties in 37 BCHS decrease from bias-correction schemes, temporal scales, streamflow 38 39 magnitudes, hydrologic models or predictor combinations, to watersheds. These findings are helpful for reducing the complexity and enhancing the reliability of 40

41 BCHS under diverse uncertainties, and point out the importance of taking into

- 42 account the interactions of the uncertainties in BCHS studies.
- 43

44 Keywords: hydrologic modeling, bias correction, DiPMI, uncertainty analysis, China.

45

46 **1.Introduction**

Hydrologic simulation is crucial for understanding hydrologic systems and 47 mitigating hydrologic risks (e.g., droughts and floods) under climatic and 48 anthropogenic impacts. Nevertheless, biases (i.e., systematic errors) exist because it is 49 50 challenging for hydrologic models to perfectly reproduce complex hydrologic processes (Piani et al., 2010; Roberto Buizza, 2005). The biases would reduce the 51 52 robustness of hydrologic simulation, the reliability of the corresponding water resources management schemes, and the reasonableness of socio-economic and eco-53 environmental development (Piani et al., 2010; Saber et al., 2018). Correcting biases 54 of hydrologic simulation through effective methods is required for eliminating these 55 consequences and enhancing the sustainability and resilience of water resources 56 systems. 57

Correspondingly, bias correction was proposed. Representative methods consist
 of linear scaling, power transformation, and quantile mapping (Shrestha et al., 2017).
 These methods presented encouraging performances in correcting averages, variances,

- 61 distributions, or other features of biases in hydrologic simulation, while their
- 62 effectiveness was limited in some cases. Meanwhile, a series of sophisticated
- 63 statistical methods emerged for hydrosystem analyses and might overperform existing
- ones in bias correction. As a representative, discrete principal-monotonicity inference
- 65 (DiPMI) (G. Cheng et al., 2016b, 2016a; G. H. Cheng et al., 2017) can be used to
- 66 enhance the reliability of hydro-model bias correction, and to reveal the joint,
- 67 dominant, interactive, and non-monotonic impacts of climatic conditions on

streamflow. Correcting biases of hydrologic simulation through both conventional andemerging methods deserves exploration.

In addition, many multiplicities (i.e., uncertainties in most hydrologic,
geophysical or other relevant studies) exist and propagate in bias-corrected hydrologic
simulation (BCHS) (Cheng et al., 2017; Ehret et al., 2012). Typical ones include the

- 73 multiplicities/uncertainties of hydrologic models, bias-correction methods, predictor
- selections, watersheds, temporal scales, streamflow magnitudes, etc. Neglecting them
- 75 (e.g., choosing one hydrologic model corrected by one method to represent a
- 76 hydrologic system of uncertain structures) would lead to arbitrary BCHS results,
- violateral research findings, and unreliable decision support. Examining these
- 78 uncertainties is conducive to enhancing the reliability of hydrologic simulation and
- 79 the related water resources management and engineering practices (Vetter et al.,
- 80 2017).

In this regard, many studies have been conducted. For instance, Beven et al. (1992) analyzed the uncertainties of hydrologic models by the GLUE method (Beven and Binley, 1992). The selection of bias-correction methods had a more significant impact on runoff extremes than runoff averages (Lenderink et al., 2007). Different

simulation structures or conceptualizations might result in significant uncertainties in 85 86 hydrologic predictions (Arkesteijn and Pande, 2013). Addor et al. (2014) identified 87 the dominant impacts of uncertainties in climate models, emission scenarios, postprocessing methods, and catchments. However, few studies took all aforementioned 88 89 uncertainties into account and revealed their interactive impacts on hydro-simulation 90 reliabilities. This gap may decrease the robustness of hydrologic simulations, increase the unreliability of simulation-based decision support, and hinder the mitigation of 91 hydrologic hazards (e.g., floods and droughts). 92

93 Therefore, the objective of this study is to develop an integrated bias-corrected 94 hydro-modeling uncertainty analysis approach (IBCHMUA) for comprehensively examining interactive impacts of diverse uncertainties (especially their interactive 95 impacts) in BCHS. Specifically, Section 2 introduces two representative catchments in 96 southern China, and the necessity of this study for local water resources management. 97 Section 3 presents the principle of DiPMI and the framework of IBCHMUA. Section 98 99 4 focuses on the variations of BCHS performances with method-related uncertainties (i.e., performance indicators, bias-correction methods, hydrologic models, bias-100 correction schemes, and predictor combinations). Section 5 elaborates the variations 101 with non-method uncertainties (i.e., watersheds, streamflow magnitudes, and temporal 102 103 scales), as well as the interactions of all uncertainties. Section 6 summarizes the 104 innovation, findings, and potential extensions of this study.

105

106 2. Study Areas: Southern China

107 (1) Representative Watersheds

Southern China is one of the most economically active areas across the world.
Two large rivers (i.e., Yangtze River and Pearl River) are supporting extensive socioeconomic activities (e.g., agriculture, fishery, shipping and industries) over this
region. As rapidly developing areas in economics, both river basins are the frontier of
scientific and technological innovation in China (Liu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2016).
In this study, two watersheds (Figure 1) are selected due to their representative
characteristics (as specified below) of watersheds in the river basins.

115 Xiangxi Watershed is an upstream tributary of Yangtze River that is closest to the Three Gorges Dam. It is located between 30.99° N and 31.67° N and between 110.47° 116 E and 111.06° E. Its mainstream, Xiangxi River, reaches a length of 97.3 kilometers 117 and a drainage area of 1189 km². This river has two sources, i.e., Shendu River of 118 119 64.5 kilometers in the east, and Baisha River of 54 kilometers in the west. Both rivers intersect at Gaoyang Town, Xingshan County. The elevation of the Watershed ranges 120 from 67 to 3088 meters, and the average slope is 1.42%. In contrast, Zhongzhou 121 Watershed (Figure 1) has a length of 136.5 kilometers and a drainage area of 2,328 122 123 km². Its mainstream, Xiaohuanjiang River, is the secondary tributary of Beijiang 124 River in Pearl River Basin. It is located between 23.96° N and 24.44° N and between 125 112.02° E and 112.26° E. Its elevation fluctuates from 61 to 1411 meters, and the average slope is 0.76%. 126 127 In addition, both mountainous watersheds have narrow and twisted river

128 channels, and fast river flows. High coverages of forests and red soils lead to low

- sediment concentrations in both watersheds. The forest-coverage rates of Xiangxi and 129
- 130 Zhongzhou Watersheds are 80.02% and 72.63%, respectively. Xiangxi Watershed is
- 131 full of shoals without waterway transportation, the river valley (i.e., ≤ 800 m) is
- composed of purple sand shales and argillaceous rocks, and the high-altitude area is 132
- dolomites, siliceous rocks and limestones. In comparison, Zhongzhou Watershed is 133 characterized by magmatic rocks and granites, few dangerous shoals, efficient 134
- shipping conditions, and developed waterway transportation. 135
- 136



137 138 Figure 1. Locations and topographic characteristics of selected watersheds in

- 139
- 140

141 (2) Climatic and Hydrologic Features

142 The climatic conditions that significantly drive changes of streamflow are

identified through correlation analysis. A group of data for hydrological simulation are 143

Southern China.

obtained by Kriging interpolation. Based on the finalized datasets for both 144

watersheds, hydroclimatic characteristics are primarily analyzed to facilitate 145

subsequent bias-corrected hydrologic simulation. Critical results of the analysis are 146 presented as follows. 147

Multi-year averages of daily discharges at outlets are 30.2 m³/s in Xiangxi 148

Watershed and 30.5 m³/s in Zhongzhou Watershed. Annual average numbers of flood 149

- 150 peaks ($\geq 100 \text{ m}^3$ /s) are 75 and 83 for Xiangxi and Zhongzhou Watersheds,
- 151 respectively. Both rivers are prone to floods in flooding seasons. Precipitation and
- evaporation have the highest correlation with runoffs, which are 0.89 and 0.76 152
- respectively. The driving force of the two climate conditions for runoffs is stronger 153
- than the others. 154
- 155 Both watersheds belong to subtropical monsoon climates, with flood seasons

- 156 from April to September, dry seasons from October to March of the next year, and no
- 157 frozen seasons. Zhongzhou Watershed is more susceptible to heavy precipitation in
- summer than Xiangxi Watershed, which may be associated with high vulnerability of
- 159 southeastern coastal areas of China to typhoons. The annual average number of
- 160 precipitation days in Xiangxi Watershed is 128.8, while that in Zhongzhou Watershed
- 161 is 159.6. The numbers of days precipitation exceeding 50 and 100 mm in Xiangxi
- 162 Watershed are 9 (0.49% of total days) and 1 (0.05%), respectively; they are 36
- 163 (1.97%) and 8 (0.04%) for Zhongzhou Watershed, respectively. The highest
- 164 temperature in Xiangxi Watershed is 41.5 °C and the lowest is -4.5 °C; in Zhongzhou
- 165 Watershed, they are 38.7 and -0.9 °C, respectively. The numbers of days with above
- 166 35 °C in Xiangxi and Zhongzhou Watersheds are 40.6 and 39.8, respectively. Heavy
- 167 precipitation dominates streamflow changes. This indicates the existence of extreme
- 168 climatic events in both watersheds.
- 169

170 (3) Research Necessity

Both watersheds as well as many others in southern China are prone to 171 hydrologic hazards, i.e., floods, droughts and the related events (e.g., landslides, 172 mudslides, or mountain torrents). Changing climates, dense populations, and 173 174 prosperous economies in the watersheds aggravate socio-economic and eco-175 environmental effects of the hazards. Particularly, both watersheds suffer from 176 varying degrees of economic losses each year. For instance, heavy precipitation triggered a 50-year flood in Xiangxi Watershed on August 23, 2011. As a result, 177 streamflow overtopped river banks, flooded riverine communities, destroyed national 178 road sections, affected over 22,000 people, and caused severe economic losses. In 179 180 October 2004, the drought area of Zhongzhou Watershed was 7,093 hectare, including 3,000 hectare of serious drought areas. Meanwhile, extreme weather shows higher 181 182 risks and severer impacts under climate change (McBean et al., 2019). Reliable hydrologic forecasting is highly required for guiding strategic flood 183 control, drought mitigation, policy making, engineering practices, and public 184 engagement in addressing potential hydrologic hazards over the two watersheds under 185 climate change. This depends on the reliability of hydrologic modeling in reproducing 186 187 climatic impacts on historical hydrologic regimes. One challenge for this is the existence of biases in hydrologic modeling, and another is diverse uncertainties (e.g., 188 hydrologic models, bias-correction methods, and temporal scales) in correcting them. 189 Hydrologic modeling and forecasting without integrated analyses of the uncertainties 190 in bias correction is hardly reliable for providing scientific decision support, and may 191 192 aggravate socio-economic and eco-environmental consequences of hydrologic 193 hazards under climate change. Thus, this study focuses on the analyses of diverse 194 uncertainties (especially their interactive impacts on hydroclimatic responsive relationships) in bias-corrected hydrologic simulation of Xiangxi and Zhongzhou 195 Watersheds in southern China. 196 197

198 **3. Methodology**

199 (1) Research Framework

200 An integrated approach is proposed to systematically analyze diverse uncertainties in bias-corrected hydrologic simulations (especially interactive impacts 201 of uncertainties). It is named as integrated bias-corrected hydrologic modeling 202 uncertainty analysis (IBCHMUA) in this study. Its flowchart is shown in Figure 2. 203 Specifically, (i) the historical observations of runoffs and the related climatic 204 205 conditions are extracted through fundamental data processing; (ii) two hydrologic models are employed to simulate climatic impacts on runoffs; (iii) according to five 206 predictor selection schemes, a set of datasets involving hydrologic simulations and 207 hydroclimatic observations are constructed for bias corrections; (iv) for every dataset, 208 biases in hydrologic simulation are corrected by DiPMI and other methods through 209 four different approaches (e.g., day-to-day or distributional corrections); and (v) 210 211 twelve indicators such as the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (Krause et al., 212 2005) and the root mean square error (Ye et al., 2014) are introduced to represent the

213 multi-dimensional accuracies of all simulations.

214



215

- Figure 2. Flowchart of IBCHMUA (all abbreviations are specified in Tables 1 and 2.
- 217

218 (2) **DiPMI**

DiPMI (i.e., discrete principal-monotonicity inference) is an advanced statistical classifier for quantifying concurrent variations of dependent variables (Y) with independent variables (X) through recursive classifications of X-Y samples and statistical inferences of classified results. The fundamental algorithm of DiPMI is mainly based on the theories of multivariate variance and discrimination analyses (Cheng et al., 2016a). The algorithm is illustrated in Figure 3, and is briefly 225 introduced as follows.

Step 1: A normality test is conducted to analyze whether the predictand (y) (e.g., observed runoffs in this study) is normally distributed. If the test does not pass, y is converted by a discrete method (Cheng et al., 2016a) to a normal distribution based on the inversible transformation between the [0, 1] uniform distribution and the cumulative distribution of y. The distribution of y is restored by the discrete method after construction of a DiPMI-based bias-correction model.

Step 2: Two sub-modules, i.e., classification and clustering, are employed to group the variations of y with multiple predictors (X) (e.g., simulated runoffs and observed climates in this study). Multi-year paired data series (X, y) are classified as a series of different nodes in the former sub-module, while the latter clusters any two similar nodes of (X, y). Both are recursively conducted to discretize the responsive relationship between X and y as nodes (constituting a DiPMI model) (Cheng et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2017).

Steps 3&4: The Nash coefficient (Ye et al., 2014) is introduced to quantify model accuracies. Two model parameters N_{min} (i.e., the minimum sample size in nodes) and α (i.e., the statistical significance level) are calibrated through greedy search (Steven et al., n.d.) and a two-stage calibration strategy (Cheng et al., 2016a). The

performance of the calibrated DiPMI model is verified through another (X, y) series. 243 244 DiPMI was initially developed for modeling complicated hydrologic systems 245 under irregular nonlinearities, multivariate dependencies, and data uncertainties (Cheng et al., 2016a). One unique advantage of this method is that it could reveal the 246 joint, dominant, interactive, and non-monotonic impacts of multiple influencing 247 248 factors (e.g., climatic conditions) on hydrologic variables based on rigorous statistical 249 inferences. As an emerging advanced statistical method, DiPMI may outperform 250 conventional bias-correction methods (e.g., linear regression). Thus, both methods are 251 incorporated into the framework of IBCHMUA to address the uncertainty of bias-252 correction methods.

253



254

255

Figure 3. Procedures of discrete principal-monotonicity inference.

256

257 (3) Uncertainty of Hydrologic Models

BCHS (i.e., bias-corrected hydrologic simulation) consists of multiple modules, e.g., hydrologic modeling, bias correction, and predictor selection. For each module, multiple models, methods, approaches or options are available, and the uncertainty may pose significant impacts on BCHS results and findings. These uncertainties are named as method uncertainties to structuralize various uncertainties that are taken into account in this study. To reflect each of them, multiple options are employed in the IBCHMUA approach. These options are briefly explained as follows.

It has been reported that the uncertainty of hydrologic models has an essential 265 contribution to hydro-simulation uncertainties. To reflect such an uncertainty, two 266 hydrologic models (i.e., HyMOD and Xinanjiang) are calibrated through the SCEUA 267 algorithm (Tu & Smith, 2018) to simulate runoffs at outlets of the two watersheds 268 (i.e., Xiangxi and Zhongzhou). The HyMOD model (Yin et al., n.d.) conceptualizes 269 runoff generation by a water storage capacity curve, and flow routing by two linear 270 271 tanks. In comparison, the Xinanjiang model (Yuan et al., 2008) characterizes 272 hydrologic processes as three modules, i.e., three-layer evapotranspiration, runoff generation, and runoff routing. Both models are suitable for southern China of humid 273 274 climates and their suitability has been verified in previous studies (Liu et al., 2018; Wi et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). 275 276

(4) Uncertainty of Bias-Correction Methods & Schemes 277

278 In consideration of the uncertainty of bias-correction methods, two methods (i.e., 279 DiPMI and linear regression) are used to correct biases of the two hydrologic models. 280 Meanwhile, multiple schemes exist for any bias-correction method, and such an uncertainty may also significantly influence hydrologic simulations (Chen et al., 281 2013; Haerter et al., 2011). For instance, correction can focus on either both 282 magnitudes and timing of biases, or overall distributions; the former scheme is 283 284 suitable for the cases (e.g., flood control) where timing of streamflow is critical, while 285 the latter for those (e.g., engineering design) concentrating on multi-year distributions 286 of streamflow. Besides, the selection of samples for calibration and verification can be either random or chronological at a given ratio (e.g., 4:1); these selections are suitable 287 for stationary and nonstationary cases, respectively, and their differences can help 288 289 reveal the nonstationarity of hydroclimatic responsive relationships. Accordingly, five 290 bias-correction schemes are proposed as listed in Table 1. In every scheme, 80% and 291 20% of data series are exacted for calibration and verification, respectively.

292

293	Table 1. Five bias-correction schemes.							
	Abbreviation	Sample pre-processing						
		Original samples (X, y): Day-to-day						

Abbreviation	Sample pre-processing	Sample selection		
	Original samples (X, y): Day-to-day	Random sampling: randomly extract		
SOP	climatic conditions and runoff	4/5 of (X, y) data series for		
SOR	simulation (X), and runoff observation	calibration, and the remaining 1/5 for		
	(y)	verification		
SOC		Chronological sampling: extract the		
	Original complex	first $4/5$ of (X, y) data series for		
	Oliginal samples	calibration, and the remaining 1/5 for		
		verification		
	Sorted samples: Sort X by simulated			
SSR	runoff magnitudes (from low to high),	Random sampling		
	and also for y by observed magnitudes			
SSC	Sorted samples	Chronological sampling		
SUC	Not correct biases			

294

295 (5) Uncertainty of Predictor Combinations

Various combinations of predictors (X) (especially climatic conditions) are 296 available for any hydrologic-model bias-correction practice. The variation is related to 297 298 bias-correction accuracies although the relation may be weaker than that from other 299 uncertainties (e.g., hydrologic models and bias-correction schemes) (Muleta & Nicklow, 2005). In this study, the variation mainly represents the associations of 300 301 runoff-simulation biases with antecedent climatic conditions of different lag times. Specifically, five lag times between streamflow and climatic conditions are selected as 302 listed in Table 2. In addition to simulated runoffs, four climatic variables, i.e., daily 303 304 accumulative precipitation (P), daily highest temperature (HT), daily lowest 305 temperature (LT), and daily mean moisture (M), at any lag time are used as predictors 306 in bias-correction modeling based on data availabilities. Cross-comparisons of modeling results under these predictor combinations can differentiate the 307 contributions of incorporating antecedent climatic conditions at different lag times to 308

309 correcting biases of hydrologic models. This would be helpful for facilitating the

- 310 other related BCHS practices.
- 311

312	Table 2	Five cor	nhinations (of nre	dictors	in h	vdro-mod	lelino I	hias	correction
J I Z	10010 Z.		inomations .		alotois	111 11	yaro moc		ulus .	

Abbreviation	Lag time	Selected predictors
C5x	No	R; P, HT, LT, M
C9x14	14 days	R; P, HT, LT, M; P ₁₄ , HT ₁₄ , LT ₁₄ , M ₁₄
C9x1	1 day	R; P, HT, LT, M; P ₁ , HT ₁ , LT ₁ , M ₁
C9x2	2 days	R; P, HT, LT, M; P ₂ , HT ₂ , LT ₂ , M ₂
C13x	Consecutive 2 days	$R; P, HT, LT, M; P_1, HT_1, LT_1, M_1; P_2,$
CIJX	Consecutive 2 days	HT_2 , LT_2 , M_2

313 Note: daily accumulative precipitation (P), daily highest temperature (HT), daily lowest

314 temperature (LT), daily mean relative humidity (M), and simulated runoff (R). Subscripts

315 represent the numbers of lag days between streamflow and antecedent climatic conditions.

316

317 (6) Uncertainty of Hydro-Modeling Performance Indicators

318 For any hydrologic or bias-correction model, its accuracy can be represented as 319 various indicators. For instance, correlation coefficients reflect the matchiness of simulation and observation in overall linear/nonlinear trends, error indices for the 320 deviations of simulation with observation, while others for the similarity between 321 322 them. Any indicator can hardly be suitable for assessing model accuracies from the 323 perspective of all application practices of diverse emphases (Tian & Xu, 2015). To address such an uncertainty, eleven commonly-used indicators are employed to 324 quantify the multi-aspect accuracies of hydrologic modeling and the associated bias 325 326 correction. The abbreviations, full names, formulas, optimal values, and value ranges 327 of these indicators are specified in Table 3.

328

329 Table 3. Indicators of modeling accuracies.

Abbreviation	Name	Formula	Perfect/ Interval
PR	Pearson correlation coefficient	$\frac{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sqrt{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i})^{2}} \sqrt{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2} - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i})^{2}}}$	1/-1~1
KR	Kendall correlation coefficient	$\frac{2\sum_{i < j} \text{sgn}(x_i - x_j) \text{sgn}(y_i - y_j)}{n(n-1)}$	1/-1~1
SR	Spearman correlation coefficient	$1 - \frac{6\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}$	1/-1~1

MAE	Mean absolute error	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - x_i }{n}$	0/0~+∞
RMSE	Root mean squared error	$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}{n}}$	0/0~+∞
NRE1	Type-1 normalized root mean squared error	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}}$	0/0~+∞
NRE2	Type-2 normalized root mean squared error	RMSE y	0 ∕0~+∞
RAE	Relative absolute error	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_i }{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_i - \overline{\mathbf{y}} }$	0/0~+∞
RRSE	Root relative squared error	$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}}$	0/0~+∞
NSE	Nash-Sutcliffe coefficient	$1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$	1/-∞~1
ΙΟΑ	Similarity coefficient	$1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x} + y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$	1/ - ∞~1

Note (taking daily modeling as an example): day i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n (n is the total number of

 $\label{eq:samples} 331 \quad \text{samples}); x_i: \text{simulated discharge at the } i^{th} \text{ day}; \ y_i: \text{observed discharge at the } i^{th} \text{ day}; \ \overline{x}: \text{the average}$

332 of x_i; \overline{y} = the average of y_i; d_i = rg(x_i) - rg(y_i) where rg() is the rank of x_i or y_i for all i.

333

334 (7) Non-Method Uncertainties

There are also some other uncertainties (or multiplicities) in bias-corrected

hydrologic simulation from the perspective of model applications (Ajami et al., 2007;

337 Liu & Gupta, 2007b). For example, the findings of hydroclimatic relationships or

modeling practices for one watershed may not be transferable for another. Model 338 339 users may be interested in low, medium, high, or other magnitudes of streamflow, 340 depending on the discrepant, dynamic or allied importance of these magnitudes for 341 them. Daily, monthly, seasonal or yearly modeling results are required for various water resources management or engineering practices (Brunner et al., 2012). To 342 address these non-method uncertainties, multiple watersheds, streamflow magnitudes, 343 344 and temporal scales are taken into account in this study. Specifically, (1) two 345 representative watersheds (i.e., Xiangxi and Zhongzhou) of different hydrologic, climatic, geophysical, socio-economic, eco-environmental, and other characteristics in 346 347 southern China are selected as study areas. The selection is helpful for examining the transferability of key findings of the IBCHMUA approach among different 348 349 watersheds. (2) Magnitudes of streamflow discharges are refined as six quantile intervals (i.e., 0 to 10%, 10 to 25%, 25 to 50%, 50 to 75%, 75 to 90%, and 90 to 350 100%) of related simulations or observations. The refining can help reveal the 351 352 variations of bias-corrected hydrologic simulations and their accuracies with 353 streamflow magnitudes. (3) Modeling results at three temporal scales (i.e., monthly, 354 seasonal, and yearly) are composited to facilitate cross-scale analysis of hydroclimatic 355 relationships and model performances. The relevant modeling results are summarized in Section 5. 356

357

358 4. Impacts of Method Uncertainties

359 (1) Model-Performance Indicators

A total of 11 indicators are employed to quantify the bias-corrected hydro-360 modeling accuracy during verification for every combination of hydrologic models, 361 bias-correction methods and schemes, predictor combinations, watersheds, 362 363 streamflow magnitudes and temporal scales under the diverse uncertainties. The 364 correlation of every pair of the indicators, and the distribution and statistics of every indicator for all combinations are shown in Figure 4. One representative statistic is the 365 coefficient of variation (CV) (Brian et al., 1998) that can characterize the standardized 366 dispersion of the distribution of an indicator under the uncertainties in BCHS. It can 367 be used to reflect the impact of the uncertainties on BCHS accuracies (i.e., the 368 indicators). Meanwhile, the indicators can quantify the impacts of climatic conditions 369 on the trends, absolute magnitudes, relative magnitudes, or other features of runoffs, 370 371 in addition to indicating BCHS accuracies. Thus, a comparison of the CVs for all indicators implies that the impacts of the uncertainties in BCHS on hydro-modeling 372 accuracies (i.e., on the estimations of climatic impacts on runoffs) vary with 373 performance indicators significantly and generally decrease from the relative 374 magnitudes, through the absolute magnitudes, to the trends of runoffs. 375 376 In addition, significant differences exist for the similarities of the indicators. For 377 instance, RAE (i.e., the relative absolute error of a bias-corrected hydrologic model) is significantly different with the other indicators. This implies that the RAE of a 378 379 hydrologic model calibrated according to the other indicators can hardly be 380 guaranteed and vice versa. This also reflects the significant impacts of the uncertainty 381 of performance indicators on BCHS (e.g., accuracies and results). In contrast, NSE is

382 highly positively/negatively correlated with the other indicators (except RAE); the

383 median of its absolute correlations with the others is equal to 0.91, higher than that for

any others. Although NSE cannot perfectly represent all diverse performance

indicators (especially RAE), it could be the most representative indicator in BCHS

studies. Compared with PR, NRE2, RRSE and IOA (of which the absolute

387 correlations with NSE are higher than 0.90), SR, KR, MAE and RMSE show

relatively lower absolute correlations with NSE although the correlations are higher

than 0.82 as well as that between RAE and NSE. In the following results analyses,

390 NSE and couple of other indicators are selected to reflect the uncertainty of

391 performance indicators.

392

393



Figure 4. Distributions, correlations and statistics of bias-corrected hydro-modeling performance indicators under all uncertainties. Upper right: correlation coefficient for every pair of indicators; lower left: scatter plot for every pair of indicators; diagonal line: distribution and relative variance (i.e., variance / mean) of every indicator; table
at the bottom: median, and coefficient of variation (CV = standard deviation / mean)
of the distribution of every indicator; performance indicators are defined in Table 3.

401 (2) Bias-Correction Methods

Table 4 lists the bias-corrected hydro-modeling accuracies for Xiangxi 402 Watershed during the verification period based on two bias-correction methods and 403 three bias-correction schemes. The accuracies under the other combinations of 404 405 hydrologic models, predictor combinations, and watersheds present similar patterns. 406 Results show that DiPMI is more effective than LM in correcting biases of hydrologic models. The advantages of DiPMI over LM are apparent for all performance 407 indicators. In the bias-correction scheme of SOR, both DiPMI and LM have 408 encouraging performances, e.g., NSE raised by 95.3% and 55.2%, respectively in 409 comparison with original biased hydrologic simulations. In the SOC scheme, the 410 411 improvement of modeling accuracies is less significant than SOR due to the different foci of the two schemes (i.e., SOR on multi-year streamflow distributions, while SOC 412 on monthly streamflow timing and magnitudes). Besides, modeling accuracies are 413 414 decreased after bias corrections in some combinations (bold numbers in Table 4) of 415 performance indicators, bias-correction methods, and bias-correction schemes. For example, bias corrections enhance the overall modeling accuracies of hydrologic 416 417 models (in consideration of the representativeness of NSEs), but lead to higher RAEs 418 (i.e., the relative absolute errors) for the verification period. The cause for this might 419 be the nonstationarity of hydro-model biases or rainfall-runoff relationships between calibration and verification periods. A bias-corrected hydrologic model built for the 420 calibration period may over-correct model biases that differ for the calibration and 421 verification periods, and performs worse than the original hydrologic model in the 422 423 verification period. This points out the potential limitation of bias corrections for 424 nonstationary rainfall-runoff relationships, and the necessity of applying multiple performance indicators in evaluating bias-corrected hydrologic simulations. 425 426

427 Table 4. Verification accuracies of hydrologic simulations through two bias-correction

428 methods (DiPMI and LM) for Xiangxi Watershed in southern China. Performance

429 indicators are defined in Table 3; bias-correction schemes (SUC, SOC and SOR) are

Indicators	SUC	DiPMI-SOC	DiPMI-SOR	LM-SOC	LM-SOR
KR	0.61	0.63	0.99	0.54	0.82
RMSE	26.19	25.14	6.99	25.88	11.76
RAE	1.07	1.10	1.13	1.10	1.09
NSE	0.52	0.57	0.96	0.55	0.90

430 defined in Table 1.

431

432 The impacts of bias-correction methods (i.e., DiPMI and LM) on hydrologic

433 simulations under combinations of watersheds, hydrologic models, temporal scales

434 (e.g., monthly or yearly), bias-correction schemes, and performance indicators are

435 illustrated in Figure 5. Results verify the advantages of DiPMI over LM in correcting 436 biases of hydrologic models, although LM performs better than DiPMI in few cases. 437 Since performance indicators can reflect the impacts of climate change on runoffs, 438 Figure 5 shows the estimated climatic impacts on the streamflow of southern China 439 significantly vary with bias-correction methods for single months, but not for the 440 entire verification period. Namely, the impacts of the uncertainty of bias-correction 441 methods on hydrologic modeling accuracies (or the estimations of climatic impacts on 442 runoffs) increase from yearly to monthly scales. Additionally, the differences of 443 modeling accuracies between bias-correction methods are higher in the SOR scheme 444 than those in the SSR scheme. This implies the impacts of the uncertainty of bias-445 correction methods significantly vary with bias-correction schemes (e.g., decreasing 446 from SOR to SSR). Besides, it is also shown that the impacts of the uncertainty of 447 bias-correction methods do not significantly vary with hydrologic models and present 448 significant spatial heterogeneity for different watersheds in southern China. 449



Figure 5. Verification accuracies of hydrologic models (HyMOD and Xin'anjiang) corrected by DiPMI and LM for watersheds (Xiangxi and
 Zhongzhou) in southern China. Performance indicators (NSE and RMSE) are defined in Table 3; bias-correction schemes (SUC, SOR and SSR)
 are defined in Table 1.

450

454 (3) Hydrologic Models

455 To examine the impact of the uncertainty of hydrologic models on BCHS, we 456 analyzed the verification accuracies of two hydrologic models (i.e., HyMOD and 457 Xin'anjiang) for the watersheds in southern China under representative scenarios of bias-correction schemes and performance-indicator selections. The relevant results are 458 presented in Table 5. Generally, HyMOD is more capable of capturing the hydrologic 459 460 processes in southern China under the impact of climate change than Xin'anjiang, 461 which does not significantly differ for various performance indicators; its advantages over Xin'anjiang are shrunk after the biases of original hydrologic simulations being 462 corrected. Meanwhile, HyMOD cannot outperform Xin'anjiang in all cases. For 463 464 instance, both NRE2 and RRSE of the original HyMOD-based simulation of 465 Zhongzhou Watershed are higher than those of Xin'anjiang, implying that Xin'anjiang performs better than HyMOD in simulating the relative magnitudes of runoffs in 466 467 southern China under climate change. Furthremore, we may conclude that the 468 uncertainty of hydrologic models poses significant impacts on BCHS accuracies and 469 on the estimations of climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China, and that the 470 significance varies with bias-correction schemes (e.g., decreasing after bias 471 correction).

472

Table 5. Verification accuracies of two hydrologic models (HM and XAJ) for the

474 watersheds (Xiangxi and Zhongzhou) in southern China under various scenarios of

475 bias-correction schemes (SUC, SOR and SSR in Table 1) and performance-indicator

476 selections (NSE, NRE2 and RRSE in Table 3). HM: the HyMOD hydrologic model;

Watershed		SUC: HI	М	SUC: XAJ					
	NSE	NRE 2	RRSE	NSE	NRE2	RRSE			
Xiangxi	0.52	0.29	0.69	0.36	0.40	0.79			
Zhongzho u	0.54	0.55	1.16	0.36	0.36	0.80			
		SOR: H	М		SOR: XAJ				
	NSE	NRE 2	RRSE	NSE	NRE2	RRSE			
Xiangxi	0.58	0.26	0.65	0.52	0.30	0.69			
Zhongzho u	0.77	0.16	0.48	0.70	0.21	0.55			
		SSR: HI	M		SSR: XAJ				
	NSE	NRE 2	RRSE	NSE	NRE2	RRSE			
Xiangxi	0.97	0.020	0.18	0.95	0.034	0.23			
Zhongzho u	0.89	0.074	0.32	0.89	0.080	0.34			

477 XAJ: the Xin'anjiang hydrologic model.

479 (4) Bias-Correction Schemes

480 In this study, five bias-correction schemes (or literally four, i.e., SOR, SOC, SSR 481 and SSC, and the scheme of no bias correction as the baseline, i.e., SUC) are applied. 482 Their foci differ from each other. SOR and SOC emphasize the timing of runoffs 483 (crucial for water resources management) under the impacts of climate change, while 484 SSR and SSC for the multi-year distribution of runoffs (especially important for 485 relevant engineering designs). On the other hand, SOR and SSR focus on climatic 486 impacts on runoffs in the entire historical period, while SOC and SSC on their nonstationarity (or temporal change) within the period. Comparing them with SUC 487 488 can reveal the biases of original hydrologic simulations in these different aspects, and 489 comparing all schemes can examine the impacts of the uncertainty of bias-correction 490 schemes on hydrologic simulations.

491 Some representative results (i.e., verification accuracies of the hydrologic models corrected by the DiPMI method for the watersheds in southern China) are shown in 492 493 Figure 6. They verify a few of existing findings, e.g., the significant improvement of modeling accuracies by bias correction, and the higher accuracies of hydrologic 494 495 models in reproducing runoff distributions than timing. In addition, they also reveal a series of new findings. For instance, the overall impacts of climate change on multi-496 497 year distributions of runoffs are higher than those on runoff timing. The 498 nonstationarity of rainfall-runoff relationships exists for the watersheds in southern 499 China and poses relatively insignificant impacts on hydrologic simulations; 500 meanwhile, it decreases from Zhongzhou to Xiangxi Watersheds, implying higher 501 impacts of human activities on runoffs in the former watershed than the latter, and 502 does not significantly vary with hydrologic models and performance indicators. 503 Besides, the impacts of the uncertainty of bias-correction schemes on the accuracies 504 of hydrologic simulations (reflecting the estimated climatic impacts on runoffs) are 505 significant for all combinations of watersheds, hydrologic models and performance indicators, especially for Zhongzhou Watershed. 506 507



508

509 Figure 6. Verification accuracies of hydrologic models for the watersheds in southern

510 China under various bias-correction schemes (SUC, SOR, SOC, SSR and SSC in 511 Table 1). XX: Xiangxi Watershed; ZZ: Zhongzhou Watershed; HM: the HyMOD 512 hydrologic model; XAJ: the Xin'anjiang hydrologic model; NSE, MAE, RMSE and RRSE: four performance indicators in Table 3. 513 514 Furthermore, the intra-annual variations of the impacts of bias-correction 515 schemes on hydrologic simulations are presented in Figure 7. It is shown that, at the 516 monthly scale, the impacts of bias-correction schemes on hydrologic simulations vary 517 with performance indicators significantly. Compared with NSEs (denoting the 518 519 accuracies of bias-corrected hydrologic models in reproducing the impacts of climatic conditions on both trends and magnitudes of runoffs), RMSEs (denoting those for 520 runoff magnitudes) present V-shape patterns in the Figure. One cause for this is that 521 the accuracies of bias-corrected hydrologic models in simulating runoff magnitudes, 522 or the impacts of climate change on runoff magnitudes show more significant intra-523 annual variations than those on runoff trends. Another cause is that, in comparison 524 with runoff magnitudes, the impacts of bias-correction schemes on the hydro-525 modeling accuracies for and the estimated climatic impacts on runoff trends are more 526 significant. In addition, the intra-annual variations of the impacts of bias-correction 527 schemes do not significantly vary with watersheds and hydrologic models; although 528 the impacts for Xiangxi Watershed are intensified from HyMOD to Xin'anjiang, their 529 530 intra-annual variations seem similar.

531



532

533 Figure 7. Verification accuracies of hydrologic models for the watersheds in southern

534 China in every month under various bias-correction schemes (SUC, SOR, SOC, SSR

and SSC in Table 1). XX: Xiangxi Watershed; ZZ: Zhongzhou Watershed; HM: the
 HyMOD hydrologic model; XAJ: the Xin'anjiang hydrologic model; NSE and
 RMSE: two performance indicators in Table 3.

539 (5) Predictor Combinations

538

For any hydrologic simulation, multiple combinations of predictors (e.g., 540 different climatic variables in different lag months) are optional. Such an uncertainty/ 541 multiplicity may diversify hydrologic simulations (e.g., their accuracies representing 542 543 the impacts of climate change on runoffs) and can differentiate the impacts of various 544 predictors by comparing the simulations. Accordingly, we compared the verification accuracies of bias-corrected hydrologic models for the watersheds in southern China 545 under five predictor combinations (Figure 8). The comparison is helpful for 546 investigating the impacts of the uncertainty/multiplicity of predictor combinations, as 547 548 well as their variations with the other uncertainties/multiplicities (e.g., hydrologic 549 models, bias-correction schemes, watersheds, performance indicators, and seasons). Specifically, compared with the other uncertainties, bias-correction schemes pose 550 the most significant impacts on hydrologic simulations under various predictor 551

combinations. According to the foci of the schemes, the impacts of climate change on 552 the multi-year distributions of runoffs are significantly higher than those on runoff 553 timing regardless of the other uncertainties. Besides, the impacts of performance 554 555 indicators on hydrologic simulations in the bias-correction schemes of SOR and SOC 556 are more significant than those in the other two schemes. This implies that, due to the nonstationarity of climatic impacts on runoffs, the impacts estimation would be more 557 sensitive with the multiplicity of performance indicators. Additionally, the impacts of 558 predictor combinations on hydrologic simulations are less significant than those of the 559 other uncertainties; according to NSEs (i.e., the most representative performance 560 561 indicator), they significantly vary with watersheds (decreasing from Zhongzhou to Xiangxi Watersheds) and seasons (decreasing from JJA, Son, MAM to DJF) and do 562 563 not with hydrologic models. Meanwhile, insignificant improvements of hydrologic modeling accuracies from predictor combination C5x to the others reveal that the 564 runoffs in southern China are dominated by the current-day climate under all 565 uncertainties. Generally, the impacts of predictor combinations on hydrologic 566 simulations decrease from bias-correction schemes, performance indicators, seasons, 567

- 568 watersheds to hydrologic models.
- 569



570

Figure 8. Verification accuracies of bias-corrected hydrologic models for the
watersheds in southern China under various predictor combinations. Horizontal axes:
predictor combinations (C5x, C9x14, C9x1, C9x2 and C13x in Table 2) and
hydrologic models (HM = HyMOD and XAJ = Xin'anjiang); vertical axes:
watersheds (XX = Xiangxi and ZZ = Zhongzhou) and seasons (DJF, MAM, JJA and
SON); bias-correction schemes (SOR, SOC, SSR and SSC in Table 1); performance
indicators (NSE, MAE, RMSE and RRSE in Table 3).

578

579 5. Impacts of Non-Method Uncertainties

580 (1) Watersheds

581 Two watersheds were selected to represent southern China. Whether and how the accuracies of hydrologic simulations vary with watersheds under all uncertainties can 582 reflect the spatial heterogeneities of climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China, 583 and their interactions with the other diverse uncertainties. To achieve this, analyzed all 584 relevant modeling results. For instance, the enhancements of hydrologic modeling 585 accuracies by bias corrections are more significant for Zhongzhou Watershed than 586 Xiangxi Watershed (Figure 5), especially under the scenarios of HyMOD and dry 587 seasons. Generally, the impacts of climate change on runoffs in Zhongzhou Watershed 588 are higher than those in Xiangxi Watershed (Figure 5), implying the relatively lower 589 impacts of non-climatic factors (e.g., water resources management or other human 590 591 activities) in Zhongzhou Watershed. As shown in Table 5, the original hydrologic 592 models underestimate the differences of climatic impacts on runoffs between the watersheds in southern China due to the existence of biases and, after bias corrections, 593 the estimated impacts significantly vary with watersheds. Furthermore, the variation 594 595 with watersheds is shrunk from the HyMOD to Xin'anjiang models (Figure 6) and is 596 higher in the SOR and SOC schemes compared with the other bias-correction

schemes (Figure 6 or 7). Besides, the differences of hydrologic simulations betweenwatersheds do not significantly vary with predictor selections (Figure 8).

599

600 (2) Streamflow Magnitudes

601 Climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China may vary with runoff magnitudes 602 (represented as quantile intervals of runoffs as defined in Section 3(7)). To reveal the 603 variational effects and their interactions with the other uncertainties, we analyzed the 604 verification accuracies of bias-corrected hydrologic models under all combinations of 605 watersheds, hydrologic models, predictor selections, runoff magnitudes, bias-606 correction schemes, and performance indicators (Figure 9).

According to the bias-corrected hydrologic simulations, the overall impacts of 607 climatic conditions on runoff magnitudes and trends in southern China show 608 609 increasing and decreasing trends with runoff magnitudes, respectively. Namely, 610 drought trends and flood magnitudes are more sensitive with climate change 611 compared with flood trends and drought magnitudes. The impacts of climate change on runoffs increase from runoff timing to distributions, their variations with 612 613 streamflow magnitudes show opposite trends, and the nonstationarity of rainfall-614 runoff relationships due to human interferences would intensify these effects. The variations of climatic impacts on runoffs with runoff magnitudes vary significantly 615 with predictor selections in the bias-correction scheme of SOR, while not in the other 616 617 schemes (i.e., SOC, SSR and SSC). This may be because the latter schemes cannot 618 eliminate anthropogenic impacts on runoffs or specify temporal variability of rainfallrunoff relationships. 619

The difference of predictor combinations mainly originates the lag time (e.g., 0, 620 621 1, 2 or 14 lag days) between climatic conditions and runoffs. In the SOR scheme, the 622 impacts of such a difference on the variations of climatic impacts on runoffs with 623 runoff magnitudes differ for the combinations of watersheds and hydrologic models. 624 Take the impacts of climatic conditions on the runoffs of Xiangxi Watershed 625 estimated by bias-corrected HyMOD models as an example, the impacts on the lowest runoffs (i.e., Q10) are the highest for the climatic conditions in the current, lag-1 and 626 627 lag-2 days and are the lowest for those in the current days, while the impacts on the highest runoffs (Q100) are the highest for the climatic conditions in the current, lag-1 628 and lag-2 days and the lowest for those in the current and lag-1 days. Although both 629 watersheds and hydrologic models pose significant impacts on the variations of 630 rainfall-runoff relationships with runoff magnitudes, no significant patterns are found 631 for the impacts. Generally, climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China significantly 632 vary with runoff magnitudes, which further varies with bias-correction schemes, 633 634 performance indicators, predictor combinations, hydrologic models, and watersheds. 635





Figure 9. Verification accuracies of bias-corrected hydrologic models in modeling 637 various runoff magnitudes for the watersheds in southern China. Horizontal axes: 638 639 predictor combinations (C5x, C9x14, C9x1, C9x2 and C13x in Table 2), watersheds (XX = Xiangxi and ZZ = Zhongzhou), and hydrologic models (HM = HyMOD and 640 XAJ = Xin'anjiang); vertical axes: runoff magnitudes represented as quantile intervals 641 (i.e., Q10 = 0 to 10%, Q25 = 10 to 25%, Q50 = 25 to 50%, Q75 = 50 to 75%, Q90 = 100642 643 75 to 90%, and Q100 = 90 to 100%) of runoffs; bias-correction schemes (SOR, SOC, 644 SSR and SSC in Table 1); performance indicators (NSE and RMSE in Table 3).

645

646 (3) Temporal Scales

All analyses above focus on the daily-scale hydrologic simulations for southern 647 China. To reveal the impacts of temporal scales on the simulations, as well as their 648 interactions with every other uncertainty, we estimate the medians of the NSEs at 649 daily and monthly scales, and their differences between both scales. Take the 650 multiplicity/uncertainty of watersheds in southern China as an example, the median of 651 the NSEs during the verification period for all combinations of streamflow 652 magnitudes, predictor combinations, hydrologic models, and bias-correction schemes 653 is calculated for every watershed (i.e., Xiangxi and Zhongzhou). The related results 654 655 are presented in Figure 10. Due to the significantly low accuracies of the LM bias-656 correction method and the SUC bias-correction scheme as discussed above, they are 657 excluded in the results. Only two representative predictor combinations (i.e., C13x and C5x in Table 2), and the most representative performance indicator (i.e., the NSE 658 in Table 3) are selected. 659 Modeling accuracies increase from the daily to monthly scales in most cases, 660

which has been verified in many existing studies and implies the higher impacts of

climatic conditions on runoffs in southern China at the monthly scale than those at the 662 663 daily scale. The increments of climatic impacts on runoffs (except floods corresponding to the highest quantile interval Q100) from the daily to monthly scales 664 show a significantly increasing trend with runoff magnitudes. This is attributable to 665 the significant decreases in climatic impacts at the daily scale, and the insignificant 666 667 difference of them at the monthly scale as runoff magnitudes increase. The difference 668 of modeling accuracies (or the estimated climatic impacts on runoffs) between 669 temporal scales varies with predictor combinations, watersheds, and hydrologic models, although the variation is not highly significant. In contrast, bias-correction 670 schemes pose significant impacts on the difference that is the highest for the SOC 671 672 scheme and insignificant for the others. In consideration of the differences of these schemes in characterizing runoff characteristics (e.g., timing versus distributions, and 673 674 stationarity versus nonstationarity), we may conclude that the estimated nonstationary 675 climatic impacts on runoff timing in southern China significantly vary with temporal 676 scales. Generally, it is shown that the significance of the impacts of temporal scales on 677 hydrologic simulations increases from hydrologic models, watersheds, predictor 678 combinations, bias-correction schemes, to runoff magnitudes. 679





Figure 10. Medians of the Nash coefficients of bias-corrected hydrologic simulations
for the watersheds in southern China at daily and monthly scales (and their differences
Monthly-Daily). Streamflow magnitudes: Qfull (full data series), and quantile
intervals (i.e., Q10 = 0 to 10%, Q25 = 10 to 25%, Q50 = 25 to 50%, Q75 = 50 to 75%,
Q90 = 75 to 90%, and Q100 = 90 to 100%) of multi-year runoff observations;
predictor combinations: C13x and C5x (Table 2); watersheds: XX = Xiangxi, and ZZ
= Zhongzhou; hydrologic models: HM = HyMOD, and XAJ = Xin'anjiang; bias-

688 correction schemes: SOC, SOR, SSC and SSR (Table 1).

689

690 (4) All Uncertainties

691 To reveal the interactions of the impacts of all uncertainties, we calculate the

medians of the NSEs (as the most representative performance indicator) for every
predictor combination, every hydrologic model, and every bias-correction scheme
under all combinations of temporal scales, watersheds, and streamflow magnitudes.
Due to the significantly low accuracies of the LM bias-correction method and the
SUC bias-correction scheme as discussed above, they are also excluded in this group
of results (Table 6).

698 It is shown that the modeling accuracies (or the estimated climatic impacts on 699 runoffs) increase from daily to monthly scales, and from Xiangxi to Zhongzhou Watersheds. The accuracies or impacts for various runoff magnitudes are lower than 700 701 those for the overall runoffs for all predictor combinations, hydrologic models, and most bias-correction schemes at the monthly scale, and for the SSR scheme at the 702 daily scale. As streamflow magnitudes increase, the NSEs decrease for most 703 704 combinations of temporal scale, watersheds, predictor combinations, hydrologic 705 models, and bias-correction schemes, although they also show nonlinear or 706 insignificant variations for the other combinations. The lag time between precipitation and runoffs tends to be two days for Xiangxi Watershed and zero to one day for 707 Zhongzhou Watershed, and it varies with runoff magnitudes significantly (generally 708 709 decreasing with them) and temporal scales insignificantly. The HyMOD model is 710 more effective than Xin'anjiang at capturing the impacts of climate change on runoffs in southern China (especially at the monthly scale, for Xiangxi Watershed, and on 711 712 non-flood or overall runoff magnitudes), although Xin'anjiang also shows higher 713 accuracies in a few of cases. The estimated climatic impacts on runoffs in southern 714 China are the highest for the bias-correction scheme of SSR, do not significantly vary with temporal scales and watersheds for the SOR and SSR schemes, their variations 715 with the SOC and SSC schemes show opposite patterns between the daily and 716 717 monthly scales. Namely, the variations of the estimated climatic impacts on runoffs 718 with temporal scales, watersheds, and runoff magnitudes are significantly influenced 719 by bias-correction schemes.

Generally, the uncertainties in bias-corrected hydrologic simulations are 720 interactive with each other. As shown in the extension table at the bottom of Table 6, 721 722 the bias-corrected hydrologic modeling accuracies (or the estimated climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China) increase from daily to monthly scales, from Xiangxi to 723 724 Zhongzhou Watersheds, from the highest through the lowest to the overall runoff 725 magnitudes, from C9x2, C9x14, C13x, C5x to C9x1 predictor combinations, from Xin'anjiang to HyMOD models, and from SUC, SOC, SOR, SSC to SSR bias-726 correction schemes. Meanwhile, the impacts of the uncertainties in bias-correction 727 hydrologic simulations decrease from bias-correction schemes, temporal scales, 728 streamflow magnitudes, hydrologic models or predictor combinations, to watersheds. 729 730

Table 6. Medians of the verification-period Nash coefficients (NSEs) for every predictor combination (i.e., C5x, C9x14, C9x1, C9x2 and C13x

in Table 2), every hydrologic model (i.e., HM = HyMOD and XAJ = Xin'anjiang), and every bias-correction scheme (i.e., SOC, SOR, SSC and

SSR in Table 1) for all combinations of temporal scales, southern-China watersheds, and streamflow magnitudes (i.e., all and quantile intervals

(Q10 = 0 to 10%, Q25 = 10 to 25%, Q50 = 25 to 50%, Q75 = 50 to 75%, Q90 = 75 to 90%, and Q100 = 90 to 100%) of multi-year runoff

735 observations). The maximum of the Nash coefficients for all predictor combinations, hydrologic models, or bias-correction schemes under every

combination of temporal scales, watersheds, and streamflow magnitudes is bolded. The extension table at the bottom lists the medians of the

737 NSEs for every alternative, and their standard deviation (SDV) for all alternatives of every uncertainty/multiplicity.

Temporal	Watarahad	Streamflow	I	Predictor co	ombin	ation		Hydro	-model	Bias-o	correc	tion so	heme
scale	Watershed	magnitude	C5x	C9x1	C9x2	C13x	C9x14	нм	XAJ	SOC	SSC	SOR	SSR
		All	0.75	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.80	0.83	0.72	0.55	0.92	0.57	0.95
		Q10	0.72	0.68	0.73	0.77	0.75	0.77	0.73	0.37	0.83	0.57	0.88
		Q25	0.59	0.21	0.20	0.68	0.50	0.73	0.27	0.14	0.27	0.53	1.00
	Xiangxi	Q50	0.53	0.16	0.47	0.59	0.35	0.47	0.32	0.07	0.46	0.50	1.00
		Q75	0.39	0.12	0.69	0.65	0.19	0.26	0.33	0.07	0.26	0.52	1.00
		Q90	0.27	0.17	0.70	0.60	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.07	0.28	0.31	1.00
Daily		Q100	0.77	0.54	0.37	0.32	0.59	0.52	0.46	0.03	0.94	0.20	0.99
Dally		All	0.85	0.84	0.59	0.78	0.84	0.85	0.71	0.62	0.90	0.67	0.93
		Q10	0.74	0.75	0.67	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.74	0.48	0.77	0.74	0.80
		Q25	0.82	0.80	0.56	0.56	0.54	0.71	0.72	0.18	0.78	0.66	1.00
	Zhongzhou	Q50	0.73	0.84	0.52	0.55	0.58	0.69	0.56	0.15	0.48	0.67	1.00
		Q75	0.82	0.04	0.43	0.42	0.47	0.12	0.65	0.03	0.12	0.65	1.00
		Q90	0.46	0.04	0.18	0.28	0.38	0.16	0.41	0.04	0.13	0.37	1.00
		Q100	0.36	0.12	0.46	0.71	0.23	0.37	0.37	0.09	0.22	0.37	0.95
Monthly	Xiangxi	All	0.70	0.75	0.78	0.81	0.74	0.78	0.76	0.39	0.92	0.43	1.00
		Q10	0.91	0.88	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.90	0.80	0.99	0.66	-0.75	1.00

		Q25	0.80	0.87	0.94	0.78	0.86	0.90	0.76	0.99	0.66	0.22	1.00
		Q50	0.93	0.94	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.92	0.90	0.99	0.68	0.63	1.00
		Q75	0.85	0.88	0.88	0.86	0.85	0.88	0.87	0.99	0.61	0.69	1.00
		Q90	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.89	0.83	0.89	0.89	0.91	0.65	0.76	1.00
		Q100	0.65	0.68	0.65	0.75	0.63	0.67	0.73	0.36	0.61	0.77	1.00
		All	0.82	0.83	0.66	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.76	0.58	0.92	0.49	1.00
		Q10	0.93	0.94	0.81	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.99	0.71	0.07	1.00
		Q25	0.90	0.90	0.84	0.86	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.99	0.75	0.32	1.00
	Zhongzhou	Q50	0.96	0.93	0.87	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.88	0.99	0.78	0.63	1.00
		Q75	0.95	0.89	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.90	0.87	0.99	0.75	0.65	1.00
		Q90	0.95	0.90	0.83	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.95	0.72	0.70	1.00
		Q100	0.68	0.79	0.80	0.64	0.74	0.72	0.73	0.21	0.73	0.66	1.00
.	Tempora	l scale	Daily	Monthly	SDV								
tive V			0.56	0.87	0.22								
alterna on (SD	Waters	shed	Xiangxi	Zhongzho u	SDV								
iati			0.74	0.77	0.02								
eve dev	Streamflow I	magnitude	All	Q10	Q25	Q50	Q75	Q90	Q100	SDV			
for ard			0.81	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.72	0.71	0.64	0.05			
Es Indá	Predictor co	mbination	C5x	C9x1	C9x2	C13x	C9x14	SDV					
° NS sta			0.79	0.81	0.72	0.78	0.76	0.03					
s of neir	Hydrologi	c model	HyMOD	Xin'anjiang	SDV								
ian d th			0.78	0.73	0.03								
led an	Bias-correcti	on scheme	SOC	SSC	SOR	SSR	SDV						
2			0.44	0.69	0.57	1.00	0.24						

739 6. Conclusions

740 In this study, an integrated bias-corrected hydro-modeling uncertainty analysis 741 (i.e., IBCHMUA) approach based on DiPMI (i.e., discrete principal-monotonicity inference) was developed to investigate the impacts of various uncertainties on BCHS 742 (i.e., bias-corrected hydrologic simulations). These uncertainties were classified as 743 744 two groups, i.e., method uncertainties (e.g., performance indicators, bias-correction methods, hydrologic models, bias-correction schemes, and predictor combinations) 745 and non-method uncertainties (e.g., watersheds, streamflow magnitudes, and temporal 746 scales). The approach was applied to two representative watersheds (Xiangxi and 747 748 Zhongzhou) in southern China. As summarized below, a series of findings regarding the impacts of these uncertainties were revealed through IBCHMUA. 749

(1) The impacts of the uncertainties in BCHS on hydro-modeling accuracies (i.e., on the estimations of climatic impacts on runoffs) vary with performance indicators significantly and generally decrease from the relative magnitudes, through the absolute magnitudes, to the trends of runoffs. This points out the necessity of applying multiple performance indicators in evaluating BCHS. Although the Nash coefficient cannot perfectly represent all diverse performance indicators, it could be the most representative indicator in BCHS studies.

(2) DiPMI is more effective than one commonly-used method (i.e., multiple linear regression) in correcting biases of hydrologic models. The impacts of the uncertainty of bias-correction methods on hydro-modeling accuracies (or the estimations of climatic impacts on runoffs) increase from yearly to monthly scales. The impacts of the uncertainty of bias-correction methods significantly vary with bias-correction schemes, do not with hydrologic models, and present significant spatial heterogeneity for watersheds in southern China.

(3) HyMOD is more capable of capturing the hydrologic processes in southern 764 China under the impact of climate change than Xin'anjiang, and Xin'anjiang performs 765 better in simulating the relative magnitudes of runoffs. The uncertainty of hydrologic 766 models poses significant impacts on BCHS accuracies (or the estimations of climatic 767 impacts on runoffs), and the significance varies with bias-correction schemes (e.g., 768 decreasing after bias correction). The nonstationarity of rainfall-runoff relationships 769 decreases from Zhongzhou to Xiangxi Watersheds, implying higher impacts of human 770 activities on runoffs in the former watershed than the latter, and does not significantly 771 772 vary with hydrologic models and performance indicators.

773 (4) The impacts of the uncertainty of bias-correction schemes on the accuracies of hydrologic simulations (or the estimated climatic impacts on runoffs) are significant 774 for all combinations of watersheds, hydrologic models and performance indicators, 775 especially for Zhongzhou Watershed. At the monthly scale, the impacts of bias-776 correction schemes on BCHS vary with performance indicators significantly. The 777 778 intra-annual variations of the impacts of bias-correction schemes do not significantly 779 vary with watersheds and hydrologic models. Compared with the other uncertainties, bias-correction schemes pose the most significant impacts on BCHS under various 780 781 predictor combinations. Due to the nonstationarity of climatic impacts on runoffs, the 782 impact estimation is sensitive with the multiplicity of performance indicators.

(5) The impacts of predictor combinations on BCHS are less significant than those of the other uncertainties, significantly vary with watersheds (decreasing from Zhongzhou to Xiangxi Watersheds) and seasons (decreasing from JJA, Son, MAM to DJF) and do not with hydrologic models. The runoffs in southern China are dominated by the current-day climate under all uncertainties. The impacts of predictor combinations on hydrologic simulations decrease from bias-correction schemes, performance indicators, seasons, watersheds to hydrologic models.

790 (6) The enhancements of hydrologic modeling accuracies by bias corrections are 791 more significant for Zhongzhou Watershed than Xiangxi Watershed. The impacts of 792 climate change on runoffs in Zhongzhou Watershed are higher than those in Xiangxi Watershed, implying the relatively lower impacts of non-climatic factors (e.g., water 793 resources management or other human activities) in Zhongzhou Watershed. The 794 original hydrologic models underestimate the differences of climatic impacts on 795 796 runoffs between the watersheds in southern China due to the existence of biases and, 797 after bias corrections, the estimated impacts significantly vary with watersheds. The variation with watersheds is shrunk from the HyMOD to Xin'anjiang models and is 798 799 higher in the SOR and SOC schemes compared with the other bias-correction schemes. The differences of hydrologic simulations between watersheds do not 800 significantly vary with predictor selections. 801

(7) The overall impacts of climatic conditions on runoff magnitudes and trends in 802 803 southern China show increasing and decreasing trends with runoff magnitudes, 804 respectively. The impacts of climate change on runoffs increase from runoff timing to distributions, their variations with streamflow magnitudes show opposite trends, and 805 the nonstationarity of rainfall-runoff relationships due to human interferences would 806 intensify these effects. The variations of climatic impacts on runoffs with runoff 807 808 magnitudes vary significantly with predictor selections in the bias-correction scheme 809 of SOR, while not in the other schemes. Climatic impacts on runoffs in southern China significantly vary with runoff magnitudes, which further varies with bias-810 correction schemes, performance indicators, predictor combinations, hydrologic 811 812 models, and watersheds.

813 (8) The impacts of climatic conditions on runoffs in southern China at the monthly scale are higher than those at the daily scale. The increments of climatic 814 impacts on runoffs (except floods) from the daily to monthly scales show a 815 significantly increasing trend with runoff magnitudes. The estimated nonstationary 816 climatic impacts on runoff timing in southern China significantly vary with temporal 817 scales. The significance of the impacts of temporal scales on BCHS increases from 818 hydrologic models, watersheds, predictor combinations, bias-correction schemes, to 819 runoff magnitudes. 820

(9) Generally, the uncertainties in BCHS are interactive with each other. The biascorrected hydrologic modeling accuracies (or the estimated climatic impacts on
runoffs in southern China) increase from daily to monthly scales, from Xiangxi to
Zhongzhou Watersheds, from the highest through the lowest to the overall runoff
magnitudes, from C9x2, C9x14, C13x, C5x to C9x1 predictor combinations, from
Xin'anjiang to HyMOD models, and from SUC, SOC, SOR, SSC to SSR bias-

correction schemes. Meanwhile, the impacts of the uncertainties in BCHS decrease
from bias-correction schemes, temporal scales, streamflow magnitudes, hydrologic
models or predictor combinations, to watersheds.

These findings are of great significance for hydrologic simulations under
uncertainties, as well as for water resources management practices over southern
China and the other similar watersheds. As one of few attempts to comprehensively
examine diverse uncertainties in BCHS, this study can be improved in various

- 834 aspects. For example, data uncertainty, spatial-scale uncertainty, and uncertainty of
- 835 climate models may contribute significantly to the uncertainty of hydrologic
- simulations. An analysis of predictor interactions may help improve simulation
- 837 efficiencies. A systematic multifactorial analysis would help quantify both individual
- and interactive impacts of all uncertainties in BCHS. Many subsequent studies will be
- 839 conducted to achieve these and some other potential improvements of this study.
- 840

841 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Key Research and Development Plan (2016YFC0502800), the Natural Sciences Foundation (51520105013, 51679087), the 111 Program (B14008) and the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Data were collected and are available from Hydrological Yearbook of the People's Republic of China at the National Library of China, and China National Meteorological Information Center (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html).

848

849 **References**

- Addor, N., Rössler, O., Köplin, N., Huss, M., Weingartner, R., & Seibert, J. (2014).
 Robust changes and sources of uncertainty in the projected hydrological regimes of
 Swiss catchments. Water Resources Research, 50(10), 7541-7562.
- Arkesteijn, L., & Pande, S. (2013). On hydrological model complexity, its geometrical
 interpretations and prediction uncertainty. Water Resources Research, 49(10),
 7048-7063.
- Beven, K., & Binley, A. (1992). The future of distributed models: model calibration
 and uncertainty prediction. Hydrological processes, 6(3), 279-298.
- Beven, K., & Binley, A. (1992). The future of distributed models: model calibration
 and uncertainty prediction. Hydrological processes, 6(3), 279-298.
- Buizza, R., Houtekamer, P. L., Pellerin, G., Toth, Z., Zhu, Y., & Wei, M. (2005). A
 comparison of the ECMWF, MSC, and NCEP global ensemble prediction systems.
 Monthly Weather Review, 133(5), 1076-1097.
- Chen, J., Brissette, F. P., Chaumont, D., & Braun, M. (2013). Finding appropriate bias
 correction methods in downscaling precipitation for hydrologic impact studies over
 North America. Water Resources Research, 49(7), 4187-4205.
- Cheng, G. H., Huang, G. H., Dong, C., Baetz, B. W., & Li, Y. P. (2017). Interval
 Recourse Linear Programming for Resources and Environmental Systems
 Management under Uncertainty. Journal of Environmental Informatics, 30(2).
- 869 Cheng, G., Dong, C., Huang, G., Baetz, B. W., & Han, J. (2016a). Discrete principal-
- 870 monotonicity inference for hydro-system analysis under irregular nonlinearities,

- data uncertainties, and multivariate dependencies. Part I: methodology
 development. Hydrological Processes, 30(23), 4255-4272.
- Cheng, G., Dong, C., Huang, G., Baetz, B. W., & Han, J. (2016b). Discrete principal–
 monotonicity inference for hydro-system analysis under irregular nonlinearities,
- data uncertainties, and multivariate dependencies. Part II: Applied to streamflow simulation in the Xingshan Watershed. Hydrological Processes, 30(23), 4273-4291.
- Cheng, G., Huang, G., Dong, C., Zhou, X., Zhu, J., & Xu, Y. (2017). Climate
 classification through recursive multivariate statistical inferences: a case study of
 the Athabasca River Basin, Canada. International Journal of Climatology, 37,
- 880 1001–1012.
- Cheng, G., Huang, G., Dong, C., Zhu, J., Zhou, X., & Yao, Y. (2017). High-resolution
 projections of 21st century climate over the Athabasca River Basin through an
 integrated evaluation-classification-downscaling-based climate projection
 framework. Journal of Geophysical Research, 122(5), 2595–2615.
- Ehret, U., Zehe, E., Wulfmeyer, V., Warrach-Sagi, K., & Liebert, J. (2012). HESS
 Opinions'' Should we apply bias correction to global and regional climate model
 data?''. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 16(9), 3391-3404.
- Evaristo, J., Jasechko, S., & McDonnell, J. J. (2015). Global separation of plant
 transpiration from groundwater and streamflow. Nature, 525(7567), 91-94.
- Everitt, Brian (1998). The Cambridge Dictionary of Statistics. Cambridge, UK New
 York: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0521593465.
- Gupta, H. V, Kling, H., Yilmaz, K. K., & Martinez, G. F. (2009). Decomposition of
 the mean squared error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving
 hydrological modelling. Journal of Hydrology, 377(1–2), 80–91.
- Haerter, J. O., Hagemann, S., Moseley, C., & Piani, C. (2010). Climate model bias
 correction and the role of timescales. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
 Discussions, 7(5), 7863-7898.
- Holliday, C. R., & Thompson, A. H. (1979). Climatological characteristics of rapidly
 intensifying typhoons. Monthly Weather Review, 107(8), 1022-1034.
- Krause, P., Boyle, D. P., & Bäse, F. (2005). Comparison of different efficiency criteria
 for hydrological model assessment. Advances in geosciences, 5, 89-97.
- LaValle, S. M., Branicky, M. S., & Lindemann, S. R. (2004). On the relationship
 between classical grid search and probabilistic roadmaps. The International Journal
 of Robotics Research, 23(7-8), 673-692.
- Lenderink, G., Buishand, A., & Deursen, W. V. (2007). Estimates of future discharges
 of the river Rhine using two scenario methodologies: direct versus delta approach.
 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 11(2) 1145 1150
- 907 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 11(3), 1145-1159.
- Liu, W., Zhan, J., Wang, C., Li, S., & Zhang, F. (2018). Environmentally sensitive productivity growth of industrial sectors in the Pearl River Delta. Resources,
- 910 Conservation and Recycling, 139, 50-63.
- 911 McBean, E., Huang, G., Yang, A., Cheng, H., Wu, Y., Liu, Z., ... & Bhatti, M. (2019).
- 912 The effectiveness of exfiltration technology to support sponge city objectives.913 Water, 11(4), 723.
- 914 Muleta, M. K., & Nicklow, J. W. (2005). Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis coupled

- with automatic calibration for a distributed watershed model. Journal of hydrology,
 306(1-4), 127-145.
- Piani, C., Weedon, G. P., Best, M., Gomes, S. M., Viterbo, P., Hagemann, S., &
 Haerter, J. O. (2010). Statistical bias correction of global simulated daily
 precipitation and temperature for the application of hydrological models. Journal of
- 920 hydrology, 395(3-4), 199-215.
- Saber, M., & Yilmaz, K. K. (2018). Evaluation and bias correction of satellite-based
 rainfall estimates for modelling flash floods over the Mediterranean region:
 application to Karpuz River Basin, Turkey. Water, 10(5), 657.
- Shrestha, M., Acharya, S. C., & Shrestha, P. K. (2017). Bias correction of climate
 models for hydrological modelling–are simple methods still useful?.
 Meteorological Applications, 24(3), 531-539.
- Tian, Y., Xu, Y. P., Booij, M. J., & Wang, G. (2015). Uncertainty in future high flows
 in Qiantang River Basin, China. Journal of hydrometeorology, 16(1), 363-380.
- Tu, M. C., & Smith, P. (2018). Modeling pollutant buildup and washoff parameters
 for SWMM based on land use in a semiarid urban watershed. Water, Air, & Soil
 Pollution, 229(4), 1-15.
- 932 Vetter, T., Reinhardt, J., Flörke, M., van Griensven, A., Hattermann, F., Huang, S., ...
- & Krysanova, V. (2017). Evaluation of sources of uncertainty in projected
 hydrological changes under climate change in 12 large-scale river basins. Climatic
 Change, 141(3), 419-433.
- Wi, S., Yang, Y. C. E., Steinschneider, S., Khalil, A., & Brown, C. M. (2015).
 Calibration approaches for distributed hydrologic models in poorly gaged basins:
 implication for streamflow projections under climate change. Hydrology and Earth
 System Sciences, 19(2), 857-876.
- Wilby, R. L., & Harris, I. (2006). A framework for assessing uncertainties in climate
 change impacts: Low-flow scenarios for the River Thames, UK. Water resources
 research, 42(2).
- Ye, A., Duan, Q., Yuan, X., Wood, E. F., & Schaake, J. (2014). Hydrologic postprocessing of MOPEX streamflow simulations. Journal of hydrology, 508, 147156.
- Yin, Z., Liao, W., Lei, X., Wang, H., & Wang, R. (2018). Comparing the Hydrological
 Responses of Conceptual and Process-Based Models with Varying Rain Gauge
 Density and Distribution. Sustainability, 10(9), 3209.
- Yuan, F., Ren, L. L., Yu, Z. B., & Xu, J. (2008). Computation of potential
 evapotranspiration using a two-source method for the Xin'anjiang hydrological
 model. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 13(5), 305-316.
- 252 Zhang, X., Dong, Z., Gupta, H., Wu, G., & Li, D. (2016). Impact of the Three Gorges
- Dam on the hydrology and ecology of the Yangtze River. Water, 8(12), 590.