### An Update on Engineering Issues Concerning Stratospheric Aerosol Injection for Geoengineering

Andrew Lockley<sup>1</sup>, Douglas MacMartin<sup>2</sup>, and Hugh Hunt<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University College London <sup>2</sup>Cornell <sup>3</sup>Cambridge

November 24, 2022

### Abstract

Solar Radiation Management (SRM) geoengineering is a proposed response to anthropogenic global warming (AGW)1. Stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI) is one proposed method, reliant on lofting particles into the stratosphere. Engineering reviews related to this technology approach have been sparse, with most major primary analyses now at least five years old. We attempt to bridge this gap - with a short, mainly qualitative review of recent developments in fields of engineering with potential applicability to stratospheric aerosol injection. Our analysis shows that a new conventional aircraft design is still likely to be the most dependable and affordable technology solution, with hybrid airships a potential challenger. Rockets, gas guns and MAGLEV/coilguns show some potential, although they lack the level flight capability preferred for direct aerosol distribution, without substantial additional engineering. Should very high-altitude access be required, rockets, hybrid rockets, and light-gas guns offer the required capability. Costs and performance for tethered balloons remain highly uncertain. No other methods are found to be promising. Nevertheless, the extreme accessibility of disposable balloons suggests that this method may be used primarily for reasons of political leverage, as opposed to being an optimal engineering solution.



1

# **The Bartlett** School of Construction & Project Management An Update on Engineering Issues Concerning Stratospheric **Aerosol Injection for Geoengineering** Andrew Lockley\*, Douglas MacMartin, Hugh Hunt

The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management, University College London, 1-19 Torrington Place, London, WC1H 0QB \* Corresponding author; Email: andrew.lockley@gmail.com

## Abstract

(SRM) Solar Radiation Management proposed response to geoengineering is a (AGW)<sup>1</sup>. global anthropogenic warming Stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI) is one proposed method, reliant on lofting particles into the stratosphere. Engineering reviews related to this technology approach have been sparse, with most major primary analyses now at least five years old. We attempt to bridge this gap – with a short, mainly qualitative review of recent developments in fields of engineering with potential applicability to stratospheric aerosol injection. Our analysis shows that a new conventional aircraft design is still likely to be the most dependable and affordable technology solution, with hybrid airships a potential Rockets, challenger. and gas guns MAGLEV/coilguns show some potential, although they lack the level flight capability preferred for direct aerosol distribution, without substantial additional engineering. Should very high-altitude access be required, rockets, hybrid rockets, and light-gas guns offer the required capability. Costs and performance for tethered balloons remain highly uncertain. No other methods are found to Nevertheless, the extreme promising. be accessibility of disposable balloons suggests that this method may be used primarily for reasons of political leverage, as opposed to being an optimal engineering solution.





Hypersciences use ram accelerator technology to drill rock. fire. Their technology is also be developed for space trains or pods to reach sonic speeds. launches.

This mining cannon uses inexpensive propellant and Several companies are developing hyperloop systems. experiences low barrel wear, despite having a high rate of These combine maglev and vacuum tube technology to allow Similar two-stage launch technologies could be used to



SpaceX Starship is designed for reusability and large scale Utron (defunct) has developed a gas gun, it has much lower production. It can be used for sub orbital flights, and propellant costs than a conventional gun. The gentler compared to other launch systems.



Reaction Engines have developed the SABRE hybrid engine. This combines rocket and jet engine technologies, aiming to make single-stage-to-orbit flight possible. Because the promises to dramatically cut cost per kilo of payload, acceleration reduces shell casing mass, and therefore engine is air-breathing at low altitudes, oxidising agent tank improves payload fraction. space is minimised.

A new generation of aircraft remains the most likely option at scale, potentially with unconventional propulsion. However, hybrid airships are a credible challenger. Both of these types of platforms benefit from the ability to carry out stable, level flight.

□ Rockets and MAGLEV/coilguns are promising outsiders, due to rapid independent development – with gas guns also promising in principle, but lacking current development progress. None of these approaches are naturally optimised for stable, level flight - which is optimal for aerosol direct distribution. Nevertheless, the low-g launches of rockets and MAGLEV make them inherently suitable for launching gliders.




### Conclusion

- ruled out, for extreme altitudes.
- early and rogue deployment, due to their near-zero capital costs. We discount towers.



Virgin Galactic use the White Knight Two aircraft from Scaled Composites to carry SpaceShipTwo to its launch altitude. overcome the challenges of developing an aircraft optimised for both ascent and high-altitude cruise.





□ Should very high altitude access be required, light gas guns, rockets, and rocket-hybrid aircraft are useful standby technology alternatives. Railguns have inherent disadvantages, but cannot be comprehensively

□ Tethered balloons have only an outside chance of success, suffering with highly uncertain costs and performance – and no independent development. Free balloons are a wildcard technology, which facilitate

National Academy of Sciences, Climate Intervention: Reflecting Sunlight to Cool Earth, (National Academies Press: Washington, DC 20001, 2015); J. G. Shepherd et al., Geoengineering the Climate: Science, Governance and Uncertainty, (Royal Society: London 2009).