A new full 3D model of cosmogenic tritium 3H production in the atmosphere (CRAC:3H)

Stepan Poluianov¹, Gennady A. Kovaltsov², and Ilya Usoskin¹

¹University of Oulu ²Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute

November 24, 2022

Abstract

A new model of cosmogenic tritium (H) production in the atmosphere is presented. The model belongs to the CRAC (Cosmic-Ray Atmospheric Cascade) family and is named as CRAC:3H. It is based on a full Monte-Carlo simulation of the cosmic-ray induced atmospheric cascade using the Geant4 toolkit. The CRAC:3H model is able, for the first time, to compute tritium production at any location and time, for any given energy spectrum of the primary incident cosmic ray particles, explicitly treating, also for the first time, particles heavier than protons. This model provides a useful tool for the use of H as a tracer of atmospheric and hydrological circulation. A numerical recipe for practical use of the model is appended.

A new full 3D model of cosmogenic tritium ³H production in the atmosphere (CRAC:3H)

S. V. Poluianov^{1,2}, G. A. Kovaltsov³, I. G. Usoskin^{1,2}

¹Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, University of Oulu, Finland
²Space Physics and Astronomy Research Unit, University of Oulu, Finland
³Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

Key Points:

hydrological circulation.

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

13

8	A new CRAC:3H model of cosmogenic tritium (³ H) production in the atmosphere
9	s presented.
10	For the first time, it provides 3D production, also explicitly treating particles heav-
11	er than protons.
12	This model provides a useful tool for the use of ³ H as a tracer of atmospheric and

Corresponding author: Stepan Poluianov, stepan.poluianov@oulu.fi

14 Abstract

A new model of cosmogenic tritium (^{3}H) production in the atmosphere is presented. The 15 model belongs to the CRAC (Cosmic-Ray Atmospheric Cascade) family and is named 16 as CRAC:3H. It is based on a full Monte-Carlo simulation of the cosmic-ray induced at-17 mospheric cascade using the Geant4 toolkit. The CRAC:3H model is able, for the first 18 time, to compute tritium production at any location and time, for any given energy spec-19 trum of the primary incident cosmic ray particles, explicitly treating, also for the first 20 time, particles heavier than protons. This model provides a useful tool for the use of ${}^{3}H$ 21 as a tracer of atmospheric and hydrological circulation. A numerical recipe for practi-22 cal use of the model is appended. 23

24 **1 Introduction**

Tritium $({}^{3}\text{H}, \text{ earlier also called triton})$ is a radioactive isotope of hydrogen with the 25 half-life time of approximately 12.3 years. As an isotope of hydrogen, it is involved in 26 the global water cycle and forms a very useful tracer of atmospheric moisture (e.g., Sykora 27 & Froehlich, 2010; Juhlke et al., 2020) or hydrological cycles (Michel, 2005). In the nat-28 ural environment, tritium is mostly produced by galactic cosmic rays (GCR) in the at-29 mosphere, as a sub-product of the induced nucleonic cascade, and is thus a cosmogenic 30 radionuclide. On the other hand, tritium is also produced artificially in thermonuclear 31 bomb tests. Before the nuclear-test ban became in force, a huge amount of tritium had 32 33 been produced artificially and realised into the atmosphere, leading to an increase of the global reservoir inventory of tritium by two orders of magnitude above the natural level 34 (e.g., Sykora & Froehlich, 2010; Cauquoin et al., 2016). Thus, the cosmogenic produc-35 tion of tritium was typically neglected as being too small against anthropogenic one. How-36 ever, as nearly 60 years have passed since the nuclear tests, its global content has reduced 37 to the natural pre-bomb level (Palcsu et al., 2018) and presently is mostly defined by the 38 cosmogenic production. Accordingly, natural variability of the isotope production can 39 be again used for atmospheric tracing (Cauquoin et al., 2015; Fourré et al., 2018; Palcsu 40 et al., 2018; Juhlke et al., 2020; László et al., 2020). For this purpose, a reliable produc-41 tion model is needed, which is able to provide a full 3D and time variable production of 42 tritium in the atmosphere. 43

Some models of tritium production by cosmic rays (CR) in the atmosphere have 44 been developed earlier. First models (Fireman, 1953; Craig & Lal, 1961; Nir et al., 1966; 45 Lal & Peters, 1967; O'Brien, 1979) were based on simplified numerical or semi-empirical 46 methods of modelling the cosmic-ray induced atmospheric cascade. Later, a full Monte-47 Carlo simulation of the cosmogenic isotope production in the atmospheric cascade had 48 been developed (Masarik & Beer, 1999) leading to higher accuracy of the results. How-49 ever, that model had some significant limitations: (1) were considered only GCR pro-50 tons (heavier GCR species were treated as scaled protons); (2) the energy spectrum of 51 GCR was prescribed; (3) only global and latitudinal zonal mean productions were pre-52 sented, implying no spatial resolution. That model was slightly revisited by Masarik & 53 Beer (2009), but the methodological approach remained the same. A more recent tri-54 tium production model developed by Webber et al. (2007) is also based on a full Monte-55 Carlo simulation of the atmospheric cascade and was built upon the yield-function ap-56 proach which allows dealing with any kind of the cosmic-ray spectrum. However, only 57 columnar (for the entire atmospheric column) production was provided by those authors, 58 making it impossible to model the height distribution of isotope production. Moreover, 59 that model was dealing with CR protons only, while the contribution of heavier species 60 to cosmogenic isotope production can be as large as 40% (see section 3). 61

Here we present a new model of cosmogenic tritium production in the atmosphere,
 that is based on a full simulation of the cosmic-ray induced atmospheric cascade. This
 model belongs to the CRAC (Cosmic-Ray Atmospheric Cascade) family and is named

as CRAC:3H. The CRAC:3H model is able, for the first time, to compute tritium pro duction at any location and time, for any given energy spectrum of the primary incident
 CR particles, explicitly treating, also for the first time, particles heavier than protons.
 This model provides a useful tool for the use of ³H as a tracer of atmospheric and hy drological circulation.

70 2 Production model

The local production rate q of a cosmogenic isotope, in atoms per second per gram of air, at a given location with the geomagnetic rigidity cutoff P_c and the atmospheric depth h can be written as

$$q(h, P_{\rm c}) = \sum_{i} \int_{E_{{\rm c},i}}^{\infty} J_i(E) \cdot Y_i(E, h) \cdot dE, \qquad (1)$$

where $J_i(E)$ is the intensity of incident cosmic-ray particles of the *i*-th type (character-74 ized by the charge Z_i and atomic mass A_i numbers) in units of particles per (s sr cm² 75 GeV), $Y_i(E,h)$ is the isotope yield function in units of (atoms sr cm² g⁻¹, — see sec-76 tion 2.1 for details), E is the kinetic energy of the incident particle in GeV, h is the at-77 mospheric depth in units of (g/cm²), $E_{c,i} = \sqrt{(Z_i \cdot P_c/A_i)^2 + E_0^2 - E_0}$ is the energy corresponding to the local geomagnetic cutoff rigidity for a particle of type *i*, and the 78 79 summation is over the particle types. $E_0 = 0.938$ GeV is the proton's rest mass. The 80 geomagnetic rigidity cutoff $P_{\rm c}$ quantifies the shielding effect of the geomagnetic field and 81 can be roughly interpreted as a rigidity/energy threshold of primary incident charged 82 particles required to imping on the atmosphere (see formalism in Elsasser, 1956; Smart 83 et al., 2000). 84

2.1 Production function

Here we computed the tritium production function in a way similar to our previous works in the framework of the CRAC-family models (e.g., Usoskin & Kovaltsov, 2008;
Kovaltsov & Usoskin, 2010; Kovaltsov et al., 2012; Poluianov et al., 2016), viz. by applying a full Monte-Carlo simulation of the cosmic-ray induced atmospheric cascade, as
briefly described below. Full description of the nomenclature and numerical approach
is available in Poluianov et al. (2016).

The yield function $Y_i(E,h)$ (see equation 1) of a nuclide of interest provides the 92 number of atoms produced in the unit (1 g/cm^2) atmospheric layer by incident parti-93 cles of type i (e.g., cosmic ray protons, α -particles, heavier species) with the fixed en-94 ergy E and the unit intensity (1 particle per cm^2 per steradian). The yield function should 95 not be confused with the so-called production function $S_i(E, h)$, which is defined as the 96 number of nuclide atoms produced in the unit atmospheric layer per one incident par-97 ticle with the energy E. In a case of the isotropic particle distribution, these quantities 98 are related as 99

$$Y = \pi S,\tag{2}$$

where π is the conversion factor between the particle intensity in space and the particle flux at the top of the atmosphere (see, e.g., chapter 1.6.2 in Grieder, 2001).

The production function in units (atoms cm^2/g) can be calculated, for the isotropic flux of primary CR particles of type *i*, as

$$S_{i}(E,h) = \sum_{l} \int_{0}^{E} \eta_{l}(E') \cdot N_{i,l}(E,E',h) \cdot v_{l}(E') \cdot dE', \qquad (3)$$

where summation is over types l of secondary particles of the cascade (can be protons,

neutrons, α -particles), η_l is the 'aggregate' cross-section (see below) in units (cm²/g), $N_{i,l}(E, E', h)$



Figure 1. Specific σ (panel a) adopted from Nir et al. (1966); Coste et al. (2012) and *aggre*gate $\eta(E)$ (panel b) cross-sections for production of tritium as a function of the particle's energy.

and $v_l(E')$ are concentration and velocity of the secondary particles of type l with energy E' at depth h. The aggregate cross-section $\eta_l(E')$ is defined as

$$\eta_l(E') = \sum_j \kappa_j \cdot \sigma_{j,l}(E'),\tag{4}$$

where j indicates the type of a target nucleus in the air (nitrogen and oxygen for tritium), 108 κ_i is the number of the target nuclei of type j in one gram of air, $\sigma_{i,l}(E')$ is the total 109 cross-section of nuclear reactions between the *l*-th atmospheric cascade particle and the 110 *j*-th target nucleus yielding the nuclide of interest. Atmospheric tritium is produced by 111 spallation of target nuclei of nitrogen and oxygen, which have the values of $\kappa_{\rm N} = 3.22$. 112 10^{22} g⁻¹ and $\kappa_0 = 8.67 \cdot 10^{21}$ g⁻¹, respectively. The reactions yielding tritium are caused 113 mainly by the cascade neutrons and protons and include: $N(n,x)^{3}H$; $N(p,x)^{3}H$; $O(n,x)^{3}H$; 114 $O(p,x)^{3}H$. The cross-sections used here were adopted from Nir et al. (1966) and Coste 115 et al. (2012), as shown in Figure 1a. We assumed that cross-sections of the neutron-induced 116 reactions are similar to those for protons above the energy of 2 GeV. For reactions caused 117 by α -particles, N(α, x)³H and O(α, x)³H, the cross-sections were assessed from proton ones 118 according to Tatischeff et al. (2006). These reactions are induced mostly by α -particles 119 from the primary CRs and are, hence, important only in the upper atmospheric layers. 120

The tritium aggregate cross-sections η (equation 4) are shown in Figure 1b. Although production efficiencies of protons and neutrons are similar at high energies, they differ significantly in the <500 MeV range. Because of the lower energy threshold and higher cross-sections for neutrons in this energy range, comparing to protons, tritium production is dominated by neutrons in a region where the cascade is fully-developed, viz., in the lower part of the atmosphere.

The quantity $N_{i,l}(E, E', h) \cdot v_l(E')$ describing the cascade particles (equation 3) 127 was computed using a full Monte Carlo simulation of the cascade induced in the atmo-128 sphere by energetic cosmic-ray particles. The general computation scheme was similar 129 to that applied by Poluianov et al. (2016). The simulation code was based on the Geant4 130 toolkit v.10.0 (Agostinelli et al., 2003; Allison et al., 2006). In particular, we used the 131 physics list QGSP_BIC_HP (Quark-Gluon String model for high-energy interactions; Geant4 132 Binary Cascade model; High-Precision neutron package) (Geant4 collaboration, 2013), 133 which was shown to describe the cosmic ray cascade with sufficient accuracy (e.g., Mesick 134 et al., 2018). We simulated a real-scale spherical atmosphere with the inner radius of 6371 135 km, height of 100 km and thickness of 1050 g/cm^2 . The atmosphere was divided into 136



Figure 2. Production function $S=Y/\pi$ of tritium by primary protons. Panel a: production function S by primary protons with energies between 0.1–10 GeV, as denoted in the legend. Panel b: contribution of protons (p) and secondary neutrons (n) to the production function (sum) for 0.1 GeV (red) and 1 GeV (blue) primary protons.

homogeneous spherical layers with the thickness ranging from 1 g/cm^2 (at the top) to 137 10 g/cm^2 near the ground. The atmospheric composition and density profiles were taken 138 according to the atmospheric model NRLMSISE-00 (Picone et al., 2002). Cosmic rays 139 were simulated as isotropic fluxes of mono-energetic protons and α -particles, while heav-140 ier species were considered as scaled α -particles (see section 2.2). The simulations were 141 performed with a logarithmic grid of energies between 20 MeV/nuc and 100 GeV/nuc. 142 The number of simulated incident particles was set so that the statistical accuracy of the 143 isotope production should be better than 1% in any location. This number varied from 144 1000 incident particles for α -particles with the energy of 100 GeV/nucleon to $2 \cdot 10^7$ 145 simulations for 20-MeV protons. The results were extrapolated to higher energies, up 146 to 1000 GeV/nuc, by applying a power law. The yield of the secondary particles (pro-147 tons, neutrons and α -particles) at the top of each atmospheric layer was recorded as his-148 tograms with the spectral (logarithmic) resolution of 20 bins per one order of magnitude 149 in the range of the secondary particle's energy between 1 keV and 100 GeV. The primary 150 CR particles were also recorded in the same way. 151

The production functions $S_i(E, h)$ were subsequently calculated from the simula-152 tion results, using equation (3), for a prescribed grid of energies and atmospheric depths 153 and are tabulated in the Supporting Information. Some examples of the tritium produc-154 tion function are shown in Figure 2 for primary CR protons. One can see in Figure 2a 155 that the efficiency of tritium atom production grows with the energy of the incident par-156 ticles because of larger atmospheric cascades induced. Contributions of different com-157 ponents to the total production are shown in Figure 2b for low (0.1 GeV) and medium 158 (1 GeV) energies of the primary proton. The red curve for the 0.1 GeV incident protons 159 depicts a double-bump structure: the bump in the upper atmospheric layers $(h < 10 \text{ g/cm}^2)$ 160 is caused by spallation reactions caused mostly by the primary protons (as indicated by 161 the red dotted curve), while the smooth curve at higher depths is due to secondary neu-162 trons (red dashed curve). Overall, production of tritium at depths greater than 10 g/cm^2 163 is very small for the low-energy primary protons. On the other hand, higher-energy (1) 164 GeV, blue curves in Figure 2b) protons effectively form a cascade reaching the ground, 165 where the contribution of secondary neutrons dominates below $\approx 50 \text{ g/cm}^2$ depths. 166



Figure 3. Columnar production function $S_{\rm C}=Y_{\rm C}/\pi$ (number of atoms per primary incident nucleon) of tritium by incident protons (blue line) and α -particles (red line). Tabulated values are available in Table 1. Circles indicate the production function for protons from Webber et al. (2007).

This kind of the depth/altitude profiles or the tritium production function was not studied in earlier works, where only columnar functions, viz. integrated over the full atmospheric column, were presented (Webber et al., 2007). Therefore, in order to compare our results with the earlier published ones, we also calculated the columnar production function

$$S_{\rm C}(E) = \int_0^{h_{\rm sl}} S(E,h) \cdot dh, \qquad (5)$$

where $h_{\rm sl} = 1033 \text{ g/cm}^2$ is the atmospheric depth at the mean sea level or the thick-172 ness of the entire atmospheric column. The columnar production function is tabulated 173 in Table 1 (see also the Supporting Information) and depicted in Figure 3 along with the 174 earlier results published by Webber et al. (2007) for incident protons. No results for in-175 cident α -particles have been published earlier, and the production function of cosmogenic 176 tritium by cosmic-ray α -particles is presented here for the first time. One can see that, 177 while the production functions for incident protons generally agree between our work and 178 the results by Webber et al. (2007), there are some small but systematic differences. In 179 particular, our result is lower than that of Webber et al. (2007) in the low-energy range 180 below 100 MeV. It should be noted that the contribution of this energy region to the to-181 tal production of tritium is negligible because of the geomagnetic shielding in such a way 182 that low-energy incident particles can impinge on the atmosphere only in spatially small 183 polar regions. In the energy range above 200 MeV, the tritium production function com-184 puted here is higher than that from Webber et al. (2007). The difference is not big, \approx 185 30%, but systematic and can be related to the uncertainties in the cross-sections or de-186 tails of the cascade simulation (FLUKA vs. Geant4). Overall, our model predicts slightly 187 higher production of tritium than the one by Webber et al. (2007), for the same cosmic-188 ray flux. 189

¹⁹⁰ 2.2 Cosmic-ray spectrum

The first term $J_i(E)$ in equation (1) refers to the spectrum of differential intensity of the incident cosmic-ray particles. A standard way to model the GCR spectrum for practical applications is based on the so-called *force-field approximation* (Gleeson & Ax-

$E \; (\text{GeV/nuc})$	$S_{\mathrm{C,p}}$	$S_{{ m C},lpha}$
0.0200	5.68E-7	1.77E-5
0.0251	4.26E-6	5.31E-5
0.0316	3.10E-5	1.37E-4
0.0398	1.18E-4	3.32E-4
0.0501	3.30E-4	7.60E-4
0.0631	7.99E-4	1.69E-3
0.0794	1.68E-3	3.41E-3
0.100	3.40E-3	6.67E-3
0.126	7.03E-3	1.24E-2
0.159	1.26E-2	2.14E-2
0.200	2.22E-2	3.65E-2
0.251	3.76E-2	5.99E-2
0.316	6.17E-2	9.43E-2
0.398	9.52E-2	1.40E-1
0.501	1.53E-1	2.13E-1
0.631	2.03E-1	2.69E-1
0.794	2.77E-1	3.42E-1
1.00	3.87E-1	4.39E-1
1.26	4.69E-1	5.15E-1
1.59	5.75E-1	6.08E-1
2.00	7.13E-1	7.21E-1
2.51	8.26E-1	8.35E-1
3.16	9.58E-1	9.65E-1
3.98	1.10E + 0	1.10E + 0
5.01	1.27E + 0	1.26E + 0
6.31	1.43E + 0	1.41E + 0
7.94	1.61E + 0	1.58E + 0
10.0	1.83E + 0	1.77E + 0
12.6	1.98E+0	1.93E + 0
15.9	2.15E+0	2.10E + 0
20.0	2.34E+0	2.29E + 0
25.1	2.50E+0	2.46E + 0
31.6	2.69E+0	2.65E + 0
39.8	2.93E+0	2.88E + 0
50.1	3.18E+0	3.14E + 0
63.1	3.45E+0	3.40E + 0
79.4	3.73E+0	3.68E + 0
100	4.05E+0	3.99E + 0
126	4.39E+0	4.32E + 0
159	4.76E+0	4.69E + 0
200	5.17E + 0	5.09E + 0
251	5.62E + 0	5.52E + 0
316	6.10E + 0	6.00E + 0
398	6.64E + 0	6.52E + 0
501	7.22E+0	7.09E + 0
631	7.86E+0	7.72E + 0
794	8.55E+0	8.40E + 0
1000	9.32E + 0	9.15E + 0

Table 1. Columnar production function $S_{\rm C}=Y_{\rm C}/\pi$ (number of atoms per primary incident nucleon) of tritium, for incident protons (column 2, $S_{\rm C,p}$) and α -particles (column 3, $S_{\rm C,\alpha}$). These data correspond to Figure 3 and are also presented in the Supporting Information.

ford, 1967; Caballero-Lopez & Moraal, 2004; Usoskin et al., 2005), which parameterizes the spectrum with reasonable accuracy even during disturbed periods, as validated by direct in-space measurements (Usoskin et al., 2015). In this approximation, the differential energy spectrum of the *i*-th component of GCR near Earth (outside of the Earth's magnetosphere and atmosphere) is parameterized in the following form:

$$J_i(E,t) = J_{\text{LIS},i}(E + \Phi_i(t)) \frac{E(E + 2E_0)}{(E + \Phi_i(t))(E + \Phi_i(t) + 2E_0)},$$
(6)

where $J_{\text{LIS},i}$ is the differential intensity of GCR particles in the local interstellar medium, 199 often called the local interstellar spectrum (LIS), E is the particle's kinetic energy per 200 nucleon, E_0 is the rest energy of a proton (0.938 GeV), and $\Phi_i(t) \equiv \phi(t) \cdot Z_i / A_i$ is the 201 modulation parameter defined by the modulation potential $\phi(t)$ as well as the charge (Z_i) 202 and atomic (A_i) numbers of the particle of type i, respectively. The spectrum at any mo-203 ment of time t is fully determined by a single time-variable parameter $\phi(t)$, which has 204 the dimension of potential (typically given in MV or GV) and is called the modulation 205 potential. The absolute value of ϕ makes no physical sense and depends on the exact shape 206 of LIS (see discussion in Usoskin et al., 2005; Herbst et al., 2010; Asvestari et al., 2017). 207

In this work, we made use of a recent parameterization of the proton LIS (Vos & Potgieter, 2015), which is partly based on direct *in situ* measurements of GCR:

$$J_{\rm LIS}(E) = 0.27 \, \frac{E^{1.12}}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{E+0.67}{1.67}\right)^{-3.93},\tag{7}$$

where $J_{\text{LIS}}(E)$ is the differential intensity of GCR protons in the local interstellar medium 210 in units of particles per (s sr cm² GeV), E and $\beta = v/c$ are the particle's kinetic en-211 ergy (in GeV) and the velocity-to-speed-of-light ratio, respectively. Following a recent 212 work (Koldobskiy et al., 2019) based on a joint analysis of data from the space-borne 213 experiment AMS-02 (Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer) and from the ground-based neutron-214 monitor network, we assumed that LIS (in the number of nucleons) of all heavier $(Z \ge 2)$ 215 GCR species can be represented by the LIS for protons scaled with a factor of 0.353 for 216 the same energy per nucleon. 217

The integral production rate in the entire atmospheric column is called the columnar production rate. For a given location, characterized by the geomagnetic cutoff rigidity $P_{\rm c}$, and at the time moment t it is defined as

$$Q_{\rm C}(P_{\rm c},t) = \int_0^{h_{\rm sl}} q(h, P_{\rm c}, t) \cdot dh.$$
(8)

The global production rate Q_{global} is the spatial average of $Q_{\text{C}}(P_{\text{c}})$ over the globe, while the integral of Q over the globe yields the total production of tritium.

Production of tritium by GCR, which always bombard the Earth's atmosphere, is described above. Production by solar energetic particles (SEP) can be computed in a similar way, with the SEP energy spectrum entering directly in equation (1).

226 3 Results

Using the production function computed here (section 2.1) and applying equations (1) and (8), we calculated the mean production rate Q of tritium in the atmosphere for different levels of solar modulation (low, moderate and high), for the entire atmosphere and only for the troposphere. The results are shown in Table 2.

The global production rate of tritium for the moderate solar activity level ($\phi = 650 \text{ MV}$), which is the mean level for the modern epoch (Usoskin et al., 2017), is 0.345 atoms/(s cm²). This value can be compared with earlier estimates of the global produc**Table 2.** Tritium production rates (in atoms/(s cm²)) averaged globally (see also Figure 5) and over the polar regions (geographical latitude $60^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$), separately in the entire atmosphere and only the troposphere for different levels of solar activity: low, medium and high (ϕ =400, 650 and 1100 MV, respectively). The values of the modulation potential correspond to the formalism described in section 2.2. The geomagnetic field is taken according to IGRF (International Geomagnetic Reference Field, Thébault et al., 2015) for the epoch 2015. The tropopause height profile is adopted from Wilcox et al. (2012).

G 1 4° 4	Entire atm.		Troposphere	
Solar activity	Global	Polar	Global	Polar
Low	0.41	0.92	0.12	0.16
Moderate	0.345	0.72	0.11	0.14
High	0.27	0.51	0.09	0.10

tion rate of tritium. We performed a literature survey and found that the estimates per-234 formed before 1999 were based on different approximated approaches and vary by a fac-235 tor of 2.5, between 0.14–0.36 atoms/(s cm²) (Craig & Lal, 1961; Nir et al., 1966; O'Brien, 236 1979; Masarik & Reedy, 1995). Modern estimates, based on full Monte-Carlo simulations, 237 are more constrained. The early value of the global production rate of $0.28 \text{ atoms}/(\text{s cm}^2)$ 238 published by Masarik & Beer (1999) was revised by the authors to $0.32 \text{ atoms/(s cm^2)}$ 239 in Masarik & Beer (2009). Our value is very close to that, despite the different compu-240 tational schemes and assumptions made. The computed global production rate also agrees 241 with the estimates obtained from reservoir inventories (e.g. Craig & Lal, 1961), that are, 242 however, loosely constrained within a factor of about four, between 0.2-0.8 atoms/(s cm²). 243 We note that heavier-than-proton primary incident particles contribute about 40% to 244 the global production of tritium, in the case of GCR, and thus, it is very important to 245 consider these particles explicitly. 246

²⁴⁷ Geographical distribution of the columnar production rate $Q_{\rm C}(P_{\rm c})$ of tritium is shown ²⁴⁸ in Figure 4. It is defined primarily by the geomagnetic cutoff rigidity (e.g., Smart & Shea, ²⁴⁹ 2009; Nevalainen et al., 2013) and varies by an order of magnitude between the high-cutoff ²⁵⁰ spot in the equatorial west-Pacific region and polar regions.

²⁵¹ Dependence of the global production rate of tritium on solar activity quantified via ²⁵² the modulation potential ϕ is shown in Figure 5, both for the entire atmosphere and for ²⁵³ the troposphere. The tropospheric contribution to the global production is about 31% ²⁵⁴ on average, ranging from 30% (solar minimum) to 34% (solar maximum).

Even though the production rate is significantly higher in the polar region, its con-255 tribution to the global production is not dominant, because of the small area of the po-256 lar regions. Figure 6 (upper panel) presents the production rate of tritium in latitudi-257 nal zones (integrated over longitude in one degree of geographical latitude) as a func-258 tion of geographical latitude and atmospheric depth. It has a broad maximum at mid-259 latitudes $(40-70^\circ)$ in the stratosphere $(10-100 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ of depth})$ and ceases both towards 260 the poles and ground. The bottom panel of the Figure depicts the zonal mean contri-261 bution (red curve) of the entire atmospheric column into the total global production. It 262 illustrates that the distribution with a maximum at mid-latitudes shape is defined by 263 two concurrent processes: the enhanced production (green curve) and reduced zonal area 264 (blue curve) from the equator to the pole. The zonal contribution is proportional to the 265 product of these two processes. 266



Figure 4. Geographical distribution of the columnar production rate $Q_{\rm C}$ (atoms/(s cm²)) of tritium by GCR corresponding to a moderate level of solar activity (ϕ =650 MV). The geomagnetic cutoff rigidities were calculated using the eccentric tilted dipole approximation (Nevalainen et al., 2013) for the IGRF model (epoch 2015). Other model parameters are as described above. The background map is from Gringer/Wikimedia Commons/public domain.

The altitude profile of the tritium production rate by GCR for the moderate level of solar activity is shown in Figure 7. The maximum of the globally averaged production is located at about 40 g/cm2 or 20 km of altitude in the stratosphere, corresponding to the so-called Regener-Pfotzer maximum where the atmospheric cascade is most developed. The maximum of production is somewhat higher in the polar region because of the reduced geomagnetic shielding there, so that lower-energy CR particles can reach the location.

Figure 8 depicts temporal variability of the global tritium production for the pe-274 riod 1958–2018, computed using the model presented here. To indicate the solar cycle 275 shape, the sunspot numbers are also shown in the bottom. The contribution from GCR 276 is shown by the blue curve and computed using the modulation potential reconstructed 277 from the neutron-monitor network (Usoskin et al., 2017). Red dots consider also addi-278 tional production of tritium by strong SEP events, identified as ground-level enhance-279 ment (GLE) events (http://gle.oulu.fi). It is negligible on the long run but may con-280 tribute essentially on the short-time scale. Overall, the production of tritium is mostly 281 driven by the heliospheric modulation of GCR as implied by obvious anti-correlation with 282 the sunspot numbers. 283

²⁸⁴ 4 Conclusion

A new full model CRAC:3H of tritium cosmogenic production in the atmosphere is presented. It is able to compute the tritium production rate at any location in 3D and for any type of the incident particle energy spectrum/intensity — slowly variable galactic cosmic rays or intense sporadic events of solar energetic particles. The core of the model is the yield/production function, rigorously computed by applying a full Monte-Carlo simulation of the cosmic-ray induced atmospheric cascade with high statistics and is tab-



Figure 5. Global columnar production Q_{global} of tritium, in the entire atmosphere and only in the troposphere, as a function of solar activity quantified via the heliospheric modulation potential. The shaded area denotes the range of a solar cycle modulation for the modern epoch. The geomagnetic field corresponds to the IGRF for the epoch 2015. The tropopause height profile is adopted from Wilcox et al. (2012). The values of the modulation potential correspond to the formalism described in section 2.2.

ulated in the Supporting Information. Using this tabulated function, one can straight-291 forwardly and easily calculate the production of tritium for any conditions in the Earth's 292 atmosphere (see Appendix A), including solar modulation of GCR, sporadic SEP events, 293 changes of the geomagnetic field, etc. The columnar and global production of tritium, 294 computed by the new model, is comparable with most recent estimates by other groups, 295 but is significantly higher than the results of earlier models, published before 2000. It 296 also agrees well with empirical estimates of the tritium reservoir inventories, consider-297 ing large uncertainties of the latter. Thus, for the first time, a reliable model is devel-298 oped which provides a full 3D production of tritium in the atmosphere. The CRAC:3H 299 model is important, e.g., for studies related to application and validation of modern air-300 transport models. 301

³⁰² Appendix A Calculation of tritium production: Numerical algorithm

Using the production function S(E, h) presented here in the Supporting Information, one can easily compute tritium production at any given location (quantified by the local geomagnetic rigidity cutoff P_c and atmospheric depth h), and time t, following the numerical algorithm below.

1. For a given moment of time t, the intensity of incident primary particles can be 307 evaluated, in case of GCR, using equations (6) and (7) for the independently known 308 modulation potential ϕ (e.g., as provided at http://cosmicrays.oulu.fi/phi/ 309 phi.html). These formulas can be directly applied for protons, while the contri-310 bution of heavier species $(Z \ge 2)$ can be considered, using the same formulas, but 311 applying the scaling factor of 0.353 for LIS, which is given in number of nucleons, 312 and considering kinetic energy per nucleon. Thus, the input intensities of the in-313 cident protons $J_{\rm p}(E,t)$ and heavier species $J_{\alpha}(E,t)$, the latter effectively includ-314



Figure 6. Upper panel: Tritium zonal production rate by GCR (ϕ =650 MV, geomagnetic field IGRF epoch 2015) as function of the atmospheric depth and northern geographical latitude. The color scale (on the right) is given in units of atoms per second per degree of latitude per gram/cm². Bottom panel: zonal mean contribution C_{zonal} (red curve, per degree of latitude) to the tritium global production rate (a columnar integral of the distribution shown in the upper panel), normalized so that its total integral over all latitudes is equal to unity. Green dot-dashed and blue dashed lines represent the columnar production rate and cosine of latitude, respectively (both in arbitrary units), and C_{zonal} is directly proportional to their product.



Figure 7. Altitude profile of the tritium differential production q (equation 1) by GCR for the moderate solar activity level (ϕ =650 MV). The red solid and blue dash lines represent the global and polar (60°-90°) production rates, respectively. The horizontal marks on the right indicate the approximate altitude, which depends on the exact atmospheric conditions.



Figure 8. Monthly means of the global production rates Q_{global} of tritium computed here for the period 1958–2018. The blue curve is for the GCR production (modulation potential and geomagnetic field were adopted from Usoskin et al. (2017) and IGRF, respectively). The red dots indicate periods of GLE events (http://gle.oulu.fi) with additional production of tritium by SEPs as computed using the spectral parameters adopted from Raukunen et al. (2018). The grey-shaded curve in the bottom represents the sunspot number (right-hand side axis) adopted from SILSO (http://www.sidc.be/silso/datafiles, Clette & Lefèvre, 2016).

ing all heavier species, can be obtained. Energy should be in units of GeV, and J(E) in units of nucleons per (sr cm² s GeV). The energy grid is recommended to be logarithmic (at least 10 points per order of magnitude).

- 2. The production function $S_i(E, h)$ for the given atmospheric depth h can be obtained, for both protons S_p and heavier species S_α , from the Supporting Information in units of (cm^2/g) . The yield function is defined as $Y = \pi \cdot S$, in units of $(\text{sr cm}^2/\text{g})$, also separately for protons and heavier species. The product of the yield function and the intensity of incident particles is called the response function $F_i(E, h) = Y_i(E, h) \cdot J_i(E)$, separately for protons and heavier species.
- 3. As the next step, the local geomagnetic rigidity cutoff P_c, which is related to the lower integration bound in equation (1), needs to be calculated for a given location and time. A good balance between simplicity and realism is provided by the eccentric tilted dipole approximation of the geomagnetic field (Nevalainen et al., 2013). The value of P_c in this approximation can be computed using a detailed numerical recipe (Appendix A in Usoskin et al., 2010). This approach works well with GCR, but is too rough for an analysis of SEP events, where a detailed computations of the geomagnetic shielding is needed (e.g., Mishev et al., 2014).
- 4. Next, the response function F_i should be integrated above the energy bound de-332 fined by the geomagnetic rigidity cutoff $P_{\rm c}$, as specified in equation (1) separately 333 for the protons and α -particles (the latter effectively includes also heavier Z > 2334 species). Since the response function is very sharp, the use of standard methods 335 of numerical integration, such as trapezoids, Gauss, etc., may lead to large uncer-336 tainties. For numerical integration of equation (1), the piecewise power-law ap-337 proximation is recommended, as described below. Let function F(E) whose val-338 ues are defined at grid points E_1 and E_2 as F_1 and F_2 , respectively, be approx-339 imated by a power law between these grid points. Then its integral on the inter-340 val between these grid points is 341

$$\int_{E_1}^{E_2} F(E) \cdot dE = \frac{(F_2 \cdot E_2 - F_1 \cdot E_1) \cdot \ln(E_2/E_1)}{\ln(F_2/F_1) + \ln(E_2/E_1)}.$$
 (A1)

The final production rate at the given location, atmospheric depth and time is the sum of the two components (protons and α -particles). 5. In a case when not only the very local production rate of tritium is required, but spatially integrated or averaged, the columnar production function (equation 8) can be used as tabulated in Table 2. The spatially averaged/integrated production can be then obtained by averaging/integration over the appropriate area considering the changes in the geomagnetic cutoff rigidity $P_{\rm c}$.

349 Acknowledgments

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

The yield/production functions of tritium, obtained in this work, are available in the Sup-350 porting Information to this paper. The used cross-section data can be found in Nir et 351 al. (1966) and Coste et al. (2012). The toolkit Geant4 (Agostinelli et al., 2003; Allison 352 et al., 2006) is freely distributed under Geant4 Software License at http://www.geant4 353 .org. This work used publicly available data for SEP events from the GLE database (http:// 354 gle.oulu.fi), sunspot number series from SILSO (http://www.sidc.be/silso/datafiles, 355 Clette & Lefèvre, 2016), heliospheric modulation potential series provided by the Oulu 356 cosmic ray station (http://cosmicrays.oulu.fi/phi/phi.html). S.P. acknowledges 357 the International Joint Research Program of ISEE, Nagoya University, and thanks Naoyuki 358 Kurita from Nagoya University for valuable discussion. This work was partly supported 359 by the Academy of Finland (Projects ESPERA no. 321882 and ReSoLVE Centre of Ex-360 cellence, no. 307411). 361

References 362

406

- Agostinelli, S., Allison, J., Amako, K., Apostolakis, J., Araujo, H., Arce, P., ... 363 Zschiesche, D. (2003).Geant4 - a simulation toolkit. Nucl. Instr. Meth. 364 Phys. A, 506(3), 250-303. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/ 365 science/article/pii/S0168900203013688 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ 366 S0168-9002(03)01368-8 367
- Allison, J., Amako, K., Apostolakis, J., Araujo, H., Dubois, P., Asai, M., ... Yoshida, H. (2006). Geant4 developments and applications. Nuclear Science, 369 IEEE Transactions on, 53(1), 270-278. doi: 10.1109/TNS.2006.869826 370
- Asvestari, E., Gil, A., Kovaltsov, G., & Usoskin, I. (2017).Neutron Monitors 371 and Cosmogenic Isotopes as Cosmic Ray Energy-Integration Detectors: Effec-372 tive Yield Functions, Effective Energy, and Its Dependence on the Local In-
- 373 terstellar Spectrum. J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys., 122(10), 9790-9802. doi: 374 10.1002/2017JA024469 375
- Limitations of the force field equa-Caballero-Lopez, R. A., & Moraal, H. (2004).376 tion to describe cosmic ray modulation. J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys., 109(A1), 377 A01101. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003JA010098 doi: 10 378 .1029/2003JA010098 379
- Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Risi, C., Fourré, É., & Landais, A. (2016). Mod-380 eling the global bomb tritium transient signal with the AGCM LMDZ-iso: A 381 method to evaluate aspects of the hydrological cycle. J. Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 382 121(21), 12,612-12,629. doi: 10.1002/2016JD025484 383
- Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Risi, C., Fourré, É., Stenni, B., & Landais, A. 384 (2015).The global distribution of natural tritium in precipitation simulated with 385 an Atmospheric General Circulation Model and comparison with observations. 386 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 427, 160-170. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2015.06.043 387
- Clette, F., & Lefèvre, L. (2016). The New Sunspot Number: Assembling All Correc-388 tions. Solar Phys., 291, 2629-2651. doi: 10.1007/s11207-016-1014-y 389
- Coste, B., Derome, L., Maurin, D., & Putze, A. (2012).Constraining galac-390 tic cosmic-ray parameters with z \leq 2 nuclei. Astron. Astrophys., 539, A88. 391 Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117927 doi: 392 10.1051/0004-6361/201117927 393
- Craig, H., & Lal, D. (1961). The Production Rate of Natural Tritium. Tellus Ser. A, 394 13(1), 85-105. doi: 10.1111/j.2153-3490.1961.tb00068.x 395
- Elsasser, W. (1956). Cosmic-Ray Intensity and Geomagnetism. Nature, 178, 1226-396 1227. doi: 10.1038/1781226a0 397
- Fireman, E. L. (1953). Measurement of the (n, H³) Cross Section in Nitrogen and 398 Its Relationship to the Tritium Production in the Atmosphere. Phys. Rev., 91(4), 399 922-926. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.91.922 400
- Fourré, E., Landais, A., Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Lipenkov, V., & Petit, 401
- J. R. (2018). Tritium Records to Trace Stratospheric Moisture Inputs in Antarc-402 tica. J. Geophys. Res. (Atmos.), 123(6), 3009-3018. doi: 10.1002/2018JD028304 403
- Geant4 collaboration. (2013).Physics reference manual (ver-404 sion geant4 9.10.0) [Computer software manual]. (available from 405 http://geant4.cern.ch/support/index.shtml)
- Gleeson, J. J., & Axford, W. I. (1967). Cosmic rays in the interplanetary medium. 407 Astrophys. J., 149, L115. Retrieved from http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/ 408 1967ApJ...149L.115G doi: 10.1086/180070 409
- Grieder, P. K. F. (2001). Cosmic Rays at Earth. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science. 410
- Herbst, K., Kopp, A., Heber, B., Steinhilber, F., Fichtner, H., Scherer, K., & 411
- Matthi, D. (2010). On the importance of the local interstellar spectrum for the so-412
- lar modulation parameter. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 115(D1), D00I20. Retrieved 413
- from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012557 doi: 10.1029/2009JD012557 414

415	Juhlke, T., Sültenfuß, J., Trachte, K., Huneau, F., Garel, E., Santoni, S., van
416	Geldern, R. (2020). Tritium as a hydrological tracer in Mediterranean pre-
417	cipitation events. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20(6), 3555-3568. doi: 10.5194/
418	acp-20-3555-2020
419	Koldobskiy, S. A., Bindi, V., Corti, C., Kovaltsov, G. A., & Usoskin, I. G. (2019).
420	Validation of the Neutron Monitor Yield Function Using Data From AMS-02 Ex-
421	periment, 2011-2017. J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys., 124(4), 2367-2379. doi:
422	10.1029/2018JA026340
423	Kovaltsov, G. A., Mishev, A., & Usoskin, I. G. (2012). A new model of cosmogenic
424	production of radiocarbon ¹⁴ C in the atmosphere. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i> , 337,
425	114-120. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.05.036
426	Kovaltsov, G. A., & Usoskin, I. G. (2010). A new 3D numerical model of cosmogenic
427	nuclide ¹⁰ Be production in the atmosphere. <i>Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.</i> , 291, 182-188.
428	doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2010.01.011
429	Lal. D., & Peters, B. (1967). Cosmic ray produced radioactivity on the earth. In
430	K. Sittle (Ed.), Handbuch der physik (Vol. 46, pp. 551–612). Berlin: Springer.
421	László E Palcsu M & Leelõssy Á (2020) Estimation of the solar-induced nat-
422	ural variability of the tritium concentration of precipitation in the Northern and
432	Southern Hemisphere. Atmos. Envir., doi: 10.1016/i.atmosenv.2020.117605
424	Masarik I & Beer I (1999) Simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic nuclide
434	production in the Earth's atmosphere <u>I</u> Geonbus Res 10/ 12099-12112 doi:
436	10 1029/1998JD200091
430	Masarik I & Beer I (2009) An undated simulation of particle fluxes and cos-
437	mogenic nuclide production in the Earth's atmosphere J Geophys Res 11/
430	D11103. doi: 10.1029/2008JD010557
440	Masarik J & Reedy R C (1995) Terrestrial cosmogenic-nuclide production sys-
441	tematics calculated from numerical simulations. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 136, 381-
442	395. doi: 10.1016/0012-821X(95)00169-D
443	Mesick, K. E., Feldman, W. C., Coupland, D. D. S., & Stonehill, L. C. (2018).
444	Benchmarking Geant4 for Simulating Galactic Cosmic Ray Interactions Within
445	Planetary Bodies. Earth Space Sci., 5(7), 324-338. doi: 10.1029/2018EA000400
446	Michel, R. (2005). Tritium in the hydrological cycle. In P. Aggarwal, J. Gat, &
447	K. Froehlich (Eds.). Isotopes in the Water Cycle. Past. Present and Future of a
448	Developing Science (p. 53-66). Dordtrecht: Springer.
449	Mishey, A. L., Kocharov, L. G., & Usoskin, I. G. (2014). Analysis of the ground
450	level enhancement on 17 may 2012 using data from the global neutron moni-
451	tor network. J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys., 119(2), 670–679. Retrieved from
452	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2013JA019253 doi: 10.1002/2013JA019253
453	Nevalainen, J., Usoskin, I., & Mishev, A. (2013). Eccentric dipole approximation of
454	the geomagnetic field: Application to cosmic ray computations. Adv. Space Res
455	52(1), 22-29. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2013.02.020
456	Nir, A., Kruger, S. T., Lingenfelter, R. E., & Flamm, E. J. (1966). Natural Tritium.
457	Rev. Geophys. Space Phys., 4, 441-456. doi: 10.1029/RG004i004p00441
458	O'Brien, K. (1979). Secular variations in the production of cosmogenic iso-
459	topes in the earth's atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res., 84, 423-431. doi: 10.1029/
460	JA084iA02p00423
461	Palcsu, L., Morgenstern, U., Sültenfuss, J., Koltai, G., László, E., Temovski, M.,
462	Jull, A. J. (2018). Modulation of Cosmogenic Tritium in Meteoric Precipitation
463	by the 11-year Cycle of Solar Magnetic Field Activity. Sci. Rep., 8, 12813. doi:
464	10.1038/s41598-018-31208-9
465	Picone, J. M., Hedin, A. E., Drob, D. P., & Aikin, A. C. (2002). Nrlmsise-00 empir-
466	ical model of the atmosphere: Statistical comparisons and scientific issues. J. Geo-
467	phys. Res.: Space Phys., 107(A12), SIA 15-1–SIA 15-16. Retrieved from http://
468	dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JA009430 (1468) doi: 10.1029/2002JA009430

- Poluianov, S. V., Kovaltsov, G. A., Mishev, A. L., & Usoskin, I. G. (2016). Production of cosmogenic isotopes ⁷Be, ¹⁰Be, ¹⁴C, ²²Na, and ³⁶Cl in the atmosphere:
 Altitudinal profiles of yield functions. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres)*, 121, 8125-8136. doi: 10.1002/2016JD025034
- Raukunen, O., Vainio, R., Tylka, A. J., Dietrich, W. F., Jiggens, P., Heynderickx,
- D., ... Siipola, R. (2018). Two solar proton fluence models based on ground level
 enhancement observations. Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate, 8(27),
 A04. doi: 10.1051/swsc/2017031
- 477 Smart, D., & Shea, M. (2009). Fifty years of progress in geomagnetic cutoff rigidity
 determinations. Adv. Space Res., 44 (10), 1107–1123. Retrieved from http://www
 479 .sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273117709004815 doi: http://
 480 dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2009.07.005
- Smart, D. F., Shea, M. A., & Flückiger, E. O. (2000). Magnetospheric Models
 and Trajectory Computations. *Space Sci. Rev.*, *93*, 305-333. doi: 10.1023/A:
 1026556831199
- Sykora, I., & Froehlich, K. (2010). Radionuclides as Tracers of Atmospheric Processes. In K. Froehlich (Ed.), *Environmental Radionuclides: Tracers and Timers of Terrestrial Processes* (Vol. 16, p. 51-88). Amsterdam: Elevier.
- Tatischeff, V., Kozlovsky, B., Kiener, J., & Murphy, R. J. (2006). Delayed X- and
 Gamma-Ray Line Emission from Solar Flare Radioactivity. Astrophys. J. Suppl.,
 165, 606-617. doi: 10.1086/505112
- ⁴⁹⁰ Thébault, E., Finlay, C. C., Beggan, C. D., Alken, P., Aubert, J., Barrois, O., ...
- ⁴⁹¹ Zvereva, T. (2015). International Geomagnetic Reference Field: the 12th genera-⁴⁹² tion. *Earth Planet. Space*, *67*, 79. doi: 10.1186/s40623-015-0228-9
- ⁴⁹³ Usoskin, I. G., Alanko-Huotari, K., Kovaltsov, G. A., & Mursula, K. (2005). Helio ⁴⁹⁴ spheric modulation of cosmic rays: Monthly reconstruction for 1951–2004. J. Geo ⁴⁹⁵ phys. Res., 110, A12108. doi: 10.1029/2005JA011250
- ⁴⁹⁶ Usoskin, I. G., Gil, A., Kovaltsov, G. A., Mishev, A. L., & Mikhailov, V. V. (2017).
 ⁴⁹⁷ Heliospheric modulation of cosmic rays during the neutron monitor era: Calibra⁴⁹⁸ tion using PAMELA data for 2006-2010. J. Geophys. Res., 122, 3875-3887. doi: 10.1002/2016JA023819
- Usoskin, I. G., & Kovaltsov, G. A. (2008). Production of cosmogenic ⁷Be isotope in the atmosphere: Full 3-D modeling. J. Geophys. Res., 113(D12), D12107. doi: 10
 .1029/2007JD009725
- ⁵⁰³ Usoskin, I. G., Kovaltsov, G. A., Adriani, O., Barbarino, G. C., Bazilevskaya, G. A.,
 ⁵⁰⁴ Bellotti, R., ... Zverev, V. G. (2015). Force-field parameterization of the galac⁵⁰⁵ tic cosmic ray spectrum: Validation for Forbush decreases. Adv. Space Res., 55,
 ⁵⁰⁶ 2940-2945. doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2015.03.009
- Usoskin, I. G., Mironova, I. A., Korte, M., & Kovaltsov, G. A. (2010). Regional millennial trend in the cosmic ray induced ionization of the troposphere. J. Atmos. Solar-Terrestr. Phys., 72, 19-25. doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2009.10.003
- Vos, E. E., & Potgieter, M. S. (2015). New Modeling of Galactic Proton Modulation
 during the Minimum of Solar Cycle 23/24. Astrophys. J., 815, 119. doi: 10.1088/
 0004-637X/815/2/119
- Webber, W. R., Higbie, P. R., & McCracken, K. G. (2007). Production of the cosmogenic isotopes ³H, ⁷Be, ¹⁰Be, and ³⁶Cl in the Earth's atmosphere by solar and galactic cosmic rays. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *112*(A11), A10106. doi: 10.1029/2007JA012499
- Wilcox, L. J., Hoskins, B. J., & Shine, K. P. (2012). A global blended tropopause
 based on ERA data. Part I: Climatology. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 138(664), 561 575. doi: 10.1002/qj.951



Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres

Supporting Information for

A new full 3D model of cosmogenic tritium ³H production in the atmosphere (CRAC:3H)

S.V. Poluianov^{1,2}, G.A. Kovaltsov³, I.G. Usoskin^{1,2}

¹Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory, University of Oulu, Finland, ²Space Physics and Astronomy Research Unit, University of Oulu, Finland, ³Ioffe Physical-Technical Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

Contents of this file

Description of the SI file poluianov_tritium_SI.xls

Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

Tabulated production functions file poluianov_tritium_SI.xls

Introduction

This supporting information provides the tritium production functions for cosmic-ray protons and alpha-particles (see the main text) as tabulated values.

Names of the sheet include the name of the isotope and the type of the primary cosmic ray particle: "3H_p" stands for tritium produced by cosmic-ray protons while "3H_a" stands for tritium produced by cosmic-ray alphaparticles. "3H_columnar" is the sheet for the columnar production functions by both protons and alpha-particles.

Sheets "3H_p" and "3H_a" present tables of the computed production function (in atoms of the isotope per one incident nucleon in 1 g/cm2 of air at the given atmospheric depth). Columns correspond to different energies of the primary cosmic-ray particle (in GeV/nuc), while lines to atmospheric depths (in g/cm2). The first data line corresponds to the integral production in the first 10 g/cm2 of the atmosphere, next lines give the production at the exact atmospheric level. The tabulated production function S corresponds to eq. (3) in the main text. Sheet "3H_columnar" presents a table of the computed columnar production functions (in atoms of the isotope per one incident nucleon). First column corresponds to the energy of the primary cosmic-ray particle (in GeV/nuc), second and third columns are the columnar production functions for protons and alpha-particles, respectively. In this sheet, the tabulated production function S corresponds to eq. (5) and Table 1 in the main text.