#### Climate-Water Impacts on Interconnection-Scale Electricity System Planning

Stuart Cohen<sup>1</sup>, Ana Dyreson<sup>1</sup>, Jordan Macknick<sup>1</sup>, Ariel Miara<sup>2</sup>, Vincent Tidwell<sup>3</sup>, Nathalie Voisin<sup>4</sup>, Sean Turner<sup>5</sup>, and Michael Bailey<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Renewable Energy Laboratory Golden
<sup>2</sup>Advanced Science Research Center at the Graduate Center, CUNY
<sup>3</sup>Sandia Natl Laboratories
<sup>4</sup>PNNL
<sup>5</sup>Singapore University of Technology and Design
<sup>6</sup>WECC

November 22, 2022

#### Abstract

A growing literature emphasizes the importance of integrating climate change impacts into electricity system planning. Rising average temperatures can increase and shift electricity demand while reducing generator and transmission efficiency. Changes to water availability and quality can reduce the output of thermally cooled generators and hydropower. Electric power grids across the US and globally are undergoing transformational changes that present new opportunities and challenges to reliability assurance. However, electric utilities and system operators have limited internal capabilities to incorporate these effects into planning practices. This work addresses gaps in utility and system planner practices by integrating climate-water-electricity expertise from universities and U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratories with electricity system planners and stakeholders in the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC). Using a highly collaborative approach, global climate model data, high-resolution hydrology models, and long-term electric sector capacity expansion tools are employed to analyze a range of climate outcomes for future electricity scenarios aligned with recent WECC planning studies. Doing so allows WECC to expand its climate-agnostic planning assessments to consider how future temperature and precipitation patterns could influence generation and transmission planning. We explore how changes to climate-water conditions can affect power plant investment and operation, system economics, and environmental impacts, providing an expanded perspective on interconnection-wide decision making under climate uncertainty.





Stuart M. Cohen<sup>1</sup>, Ana Dyreson<sup>1</sup>, Jordan Macknick<sup>1</sup>, Ariel Miara<sup>1,2</sup>, Vincent C. Tidwell<sup>3</sup>, Nathalie Voisin<sup>4</sup>, Sean W.D. Turner<sup>4</sup>, and Michael Bailey<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> National Renewable Energy Laboratory; <sup>2</sup> City University of New York; <sup>3</sup> Sandia National Laboratories; <sup>4</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory; <sup>5</sup> Western Electricity Coordinating Council

# **Background & Motivation**

### **Stakeholder-Driven Modeling and Collaboration**

- U.S. Department of Energy National Laboratories have cutting-edge capabilities for studying climate-water-electricity interactions that can assist in answering questions that cannot be addressed with typical industry tools
- The Western Electricity Coordinating Council provides a practical perspective for power system decision-making and what is important to industry stakeholders

#### **Research and Analysis Questions**

- 1. How could future climate and water availability affect WECC investment decisions, dispatch decisions, and grid reliability?
- 2. How do climate and water impacts change under varying climate projections and electric system drivers?
- 3. How could the role of hydropower in the electricity system change under future climate and water conditions?

# Methods

### The Regional Energy Deployment System (ReEDS) with Climate-Water Impacts

- NREL's flagship model for simulating U.S. electricity generation and transmission investment and operation through 2050
- 134 regions for demand, water, PV; 356 regions for wind, CSP
- 17 intra-annual time-slices for seasonal and diurnal dispatch



- Temperature impacts on load, generator performance, and transmission capacity
- CUNY Water Balance Model converts precipitation projections to thermal cooling water and hydropower availability

# Four Infrastructure Expansion Scenarios

- 1. REF: default ReEDS v2018 assumptions
- 2. LOW.VG.COST: NREL ATB 2018 Low Cost case for wind and solar
- 3. HIGH.VG.COST: NREL ATB 2018 High Cost case for wind and solar
- 4. ELEC: Transportation and building electrification increases electricity demand and adds demand flexibility
- ATB: NREL Annual Technology Baseline

### **Five Future Climate Conditions**

- 1. NOCLIM: Static climate conditions
- + Four temperature/precipitation alternatives from climate model simulations
- 2. HOTDRY: IPSL-CM5A-LR RCP 8.5
- 3. HOTWET: MIROC-ESM-CHEM RCP 8.5
- 4. COOLDRY: IPSL-CM5A-LR RCP 4.5
- 5. COOLWET: GFDL-ESM2M RCP 4.5

This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Electricity. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

# **Climate-Water Impacts on Interconnection-Scale Electricity System Planning**



### **Regional and State-Level Capacity Expansion** 1. Climate impacts on hydropower generation could affect future WECC

- capacity planning
- 2. Flexible and peaking capacity or flexible demand can help respond to temperature-induced load growth
- 3. State and sub-state impacts could depend on local resources and system configuration

# Results

# Key Takeaways

# **System Economics**







1. Heat-driven demand increases can lead to higher system costs, while increased hydropower generation can reduce system costs

2. Underlying technology costs and demand projections can influence total system costs as much as future climate conditions

3. Climate impacts on electricity prices appear small compared to the influence of technology assumptions







AGU Fall Meeting 2019: GC43H-1396 San Francisco, California, United States December 9–13, 2019 NREL/PO-6A20-75554